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**ABSTRACT:** During rehabilitation of the roller gates at the R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam, Gallipolis, OH, the U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington found some of the bolts had failed. Analysis by the Construction Engineering Research Laboratory found the primary cause of failure to be temper embrittlement. The microstructure of the bolt revealed a brittle fracture, with the fracture mode being intergranular. The presence of chlorides also accelerated the galvanic corrosion that occurred between the bolt and nut.

The temper embrittled bolts have approximately 10 times higher corrosion rate immersed in Ohio River water than the non-temper embrittled bolts. The non-temper embrittled bolts should have a 40 to 50 year service life expectancy, assuming the Ohio River water chemistry does not change significantly.

The suggested material replacement is a martensitic age-hardenable stainless steel combining the very good corrosion resistance characteristics of Type 304 stainless steel and the moderate strength characteristics of Type 410 stainless steel. Either ARMCO 17-4 PH stainless steel or Carpenter Custom 450 stainless steel is recommended for use in aqueous environments where high strength and corrosion resistance is required.

**DISCLAIMER:** The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

**DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.**
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>inches</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pounds (force) per square inch</td>
<td>0.006894757</td>
<td>megapascals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 Introduction

Background

U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, was rehabilitating the roller gates of the R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam, Gallipolis, OH. There are eight gates with a span of approximately 125 ft and a damming height of 29.5 ft. The work included the replacement of the bolts connecting the rim gears to the barrels of all eight gates. The bolts were to be fabricated from Type 410 stainless steel and were to be heat treated to a strength level of 90,000 psi minimum yield strength and 120,000 psi minimum tensile strength. They were also to have a minimum elongation of 20 percent and minimum reduction in area of 40 percent. The nuts were to be fabricated from chromium, nickel, and manganese (Cr-Ni-Mn) stainless steel (brand name Nitronic 60). After several of the gates were completed, the painting inspectors noticed that some of the bolts were loose. Further investigation showed that they had failed. Huntington District personnel contacted an independent laboratory to investigate the failure of the bolts. Bodycote Taussig wrote a report on their findings, and Huntington District requested a review of the report by the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center’s Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC/CERL). The Rock Island District also furnished a report that addressed a similar failure that they had encountered with split bolts.

Bodycote Taussig

The Bodycote Taussig failure analyses report (Nakonechny 2001) covers the failure of several bolts from R.C. Byrd Dam roller gates 6 and 7. The report concludes that the failure was the result of stress corrosion cracking due to exposure to a chlorine-rich environment while under tensile loading conditions. After review of the photomicrographs, CERL does not agree with Bodycote’s conclusions as to the point of origin of the fractures. It is agreed that the fracture mode is intergranular. The presence of chlorides in the corrosion deposit between the bolt and nut threads was also confirmed. It is CERL’s conclusion that the chlorides accelerated the crevice and/or galvanic corrosion that occurred between the nut and bolt threads due to the two dissimilar grades of stainless steel used for the nut (Cr-Ni-Mn stainless steel) and bolt (400 series). However, it is felt that the primary mode of failure is not
chloride-induced stress corrosion cracking. Although chloride is present in the corrosion products, the high hardness indicates a temper embrittlement problem.

**QC Metallurgical Laboratory, Inc.**

The Q.C. Metallurgical Laboratory failure analysis report (Bloodsworth 1999) was submitted as a reference report on a failed split bolt. The report was produced for the Rock Island District on a different project. The report identified the failure mode of the bolt as intergranular and the failure caused by brittle fracture. The failure was the result of using a bolt material that had exceptionally low toughness due to incorrect tempering. Essentially, the report described a temper embrittlement of the bolt material due to improper heat treatment of the 410 stainless steel.

**Objective**

The objective of this work was to analyze the failed bolts for cause and, from the analysis, to determine the extent of cause in all bolts on the rim gears and to determine the remaining service life of the bolts and nuts as installed.

**Approach**

To accomplish the above objective, CERL researchers first analyzed a failed bolt from roller gate 7. Following this analysis, researchers conducted a site visit to the R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam to present results of the analysis and make recommendations for further testing. Bolts, nuts, and bolt/nut combinations from two different roller gates, as well as unused bolts, were laboratory-tested for hardness and corrosion resistance. A plan was presented to District personnel for analyzing the failures and making recommendations for correcting the situation.

**Mode of Technology Transfer**

The results of this work were presented in conference to personnel at Huntington District. This report and its data are the remaining part of technology transfer.
# 2 Failure Analysis of a Bolt

## Fractography

The fracture surface of the failed rim gear bolt was examined visually and at high magnification in a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Figure 1 shows the failed bolt as received from the project site. The fracture surface had the appearance of brittle failure but had corrosion by-products on the surface that obscured high magnification viewing in the SEM to assess the structure of the fracture surface. Figures 2 and 3 show the cuts in the nut and the corrosion products in the space between the nut and the bolt. The bolt is much more affected by the corrosion than the nut, indicating some kind of galvanic corrosion in the space. The difference in chemical make-up of the two stainless steels is causing galvanic corrosion of the bolt. This process is aided by the presence of relatively high concentrations of chloride in the water.

![Figure 1. Failed bolt as received from the project site.](image)
Figure 2. Cuts made to remove the nut from the bolt.

Figure 3. The bolt after the nut was removed.
Hardness Survey

Table 1 shows the results of the hardness survey along the diameter of the bolt. The range was from Rockwell ‘C’ 42.1 to 38.5 (394 – 357 BHN). The average hardness number is 39.84 Rockwell ‘C’ (370 BHN). This corresponds to a tensile strength of approximately 180,000 psi. The high hardness numbers indicate that the material has undergone some temper embrittlement during the heat treatment process.

Energy Dispersive Analysis

Sections of the nut and bolt were placed into the SEM and the corroded area analyzed with an energy dispersive x-ray (EDX). Figure 4 shows the results of the EDX analysis. The EDX spectrum of the corrosion deposit on the nut shows the presence of chlorides (approximately 0.08 wt. %). In addition, the water quality analysis (Table 2) indicates the chloride content of the river water at 24 mg/L. This quantity is not exceptionally high. Significant corrosion would occur at chloride levels over 100 mg/L. The difference in the chemical make-up of the bolt and nut will lead to galvanic corrosion in the space between the nut and the bolt in the thread area. The presence of chlorides in this space will accelerate the galvanic corrosion. Figures 5 and 6 are photomicrographs of the thread root and the corroded area.

Table 1. Results of the hardness survey through the thickness of the failed bolt (Rockwell “C”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample A</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>39.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. EDAX print out of results of X-ray analysis of the corrosion products in the threads.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bolt Number</th>
<th>B42</th>
<th>B11</th>
<th>B11b</th>
<th>B32</th>
<th>B32b</th>
<th>B42b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>283.8</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317.7</td>
<td>326.2</td>
<td>305.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>22.59</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>28.38</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>25.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolts from Gate 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Number</td>
<td>2-D-1-5</td>
<td>2-D-3-1</td>
<td>2-D-5-4</td>
<td>2-D-8-3</td>
<td>2-D-10-2</td>
<td>2-D-12-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>222.70</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>246.00</td>
<td>271.20</td>
<td>283.00</td>
<td>262.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30.72</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>31.44</td>
<td>29.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolts from Gate 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Number</td>
<td>5-D1-5</td>
<td>5-D3-1</td>
<td>5-D5-4</td>
<td>5-D8-3</td>
<td>5-D10-2</td>
<td>5-D12-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>197.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Number</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>452.7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>42.73</td>
<td>40.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Average of Bolts from Gate 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average</th>
<th>22.81</th>
<th>28.26</th>
<th>27.23</th>
<th>27.77</th>
<th>25.50</th>
<th>26.40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Figure 5.** Photomicrograph of the root of the thread showing active corrosion area (300x).
Discussion of Results of Failure Analysis

The primary cause of failure of the bolt sampled from roller gates 6 and 7 at the R.C. Byrd Dam is temper embrittlement. The microstructure of the bolt revealed a brittle fracture, with the fracture mode being intergranular. The presence of chlorides accelerated the galvanic corrosion that occurred between the bolt and nut.

Type 410 stainless steel is subject to temper embrittlement during the heat treatment process. If the material is held too long in the 700 to 1,000 °F, it allows the precipitation of carbides, which reduce the toughness and increase the tensile strength and hardness. The tensile strength and hardness peak when the stainless steel is held at 885 °F for an extended period of time. If the material passes through this temperature range, then little precipitation occurs and, therefore, no embrittling effects affect the structure of the stainless steel. Even though the furnace temperature shows a fairly rapid movement through this range, the parts being heat treated can still be embrittled if they are clumped tightly together, thus affecting the overall mass that needs to be heated up or cooled down.

The suggested material replacement is a martensitic age-hardenable stainless steel combining the very good corrosion resistance characteristics of Type 304 stainless
steel and the moderate strength characteristics of Type 410 stainless steel. Either, ARMCO 17-4 PH stainless steel (American Society for Testing and Materials [ASTM] A-564) or Carpenter Custom 450 stainless steel (ASTM A-564) is recommended for use in aqueous environments where high strength and corrosion resistance is required. This recommendation is based on the results of the High Performance Materials and Systems Research Program study on the Advanced Materials Selection Guide for Lock, Dam, and Hydroelectric Plant Components (Kumar et al. 2002). It is also recommended that a sampling plan be instituted to remove selected bolts from the remaining gates and a metallurgical analysis be performed to determine the extent of the temper embrittlement problem. Until the extent of the temper embrittlement problem is known, a decision to replace all the bolts on the roller gates at R.C. Byrd Dam could not be made. It was therefore imperative that bolts from the remaining gates be sampled.
3 Site Visit

During a site visit to the R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam, a meeting was held at the District office where the results of the failure analysis were presented and discussed. At that same meeting, the corrosion study and additional hardness surveys were discussed, and a plan for implementation was devised. Bolts would be removed from two gates and sent to the laboratory for testing. Additional bolts would be taken from the unused selection and tested in the laboratory. All bolts at the laboratory would be tested for hardness and corrosion rate. The inspection team would go to the dam site to inspect the progress of bolt replacement and discuss the failures and history of roller gate rehabilitation with the dam staff. While at the site, samples of unused bolts would be identified for additional testing at the laboratory.

Reengineering of the gates began in 1993, and the gates were placed back in service starting in 1995. All the gates were back in service by 1999. Figure 7 shows a view of the dam and all eight of its gates. In the foreground are the bulkheads used to hold back the river during work on the gates. Figure 8 shows one refitted gate being installed on the dam. Figure 9 shows a gate after it has been reinstalled.
Figure 8. Roller gate being installed at R.C. Byrd Dam on the Ohio River.

Figure 9. Roller gate installed at R.C. Byrd Dam, Ohio River.
4 Hardness Testing of New Bolts and Bolts from Gates 2, 5, and 7

One sure way to determine the presence of temper embrittlement is to check the hardness of the stainless steel. As stated in Chapter 2, brittleness can occur when the stainless steel is held too long in the 700 to 1,000 °F range, with maximum embrittlement at 885 °F. Type 410 stainless steel shows a marked increase in hardness when the temper temperature is held in this range too long. Studies show that hardness will exceed 40 Rockwell “C” (Peckner and Bernstein 1977). Samples of bolts from gates 2, 5, and 7, as well as some as-received bolts, were sectioned and a hardness survey was performed along the diameter of each bolt. A total of 61 bolts were tested for hardness, 21 in the laboratory and 40 in the field. Table 2 lists the results of the laboratory surveys. Only the three bolts from gate 7 showed a marked increase in hardness. The three bolts averaged from 40.01 to 42.73 Rockwell “C”. These bolts were all the same diameter (1 21/32 in.) and length (6 1/2 in.). They also were some of the failed bolts removed from gate 7. Table 3 lists the results of field hardness surveys conducted with a portable hardness test apparatus. Testing of the 1 21/32-in. diameter bolts that could be reached on the D1 casting on gates 6 and 7 showed that some of those bolts exceeded the Rockwell “C” of 35. They were subsequently replaced. Bolts that showed a Rockwell “C” of between 30 and 35 were evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and many were subsequently replaced. The test results led to the conclusion that only one lot of bolts (49 total) was improperly heat treated as evidenced by excessively high hardness numbers. The lot was purchased in August 1998.
Table 3. Field hardness test results for 1 21/32-in. diameter bolts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roller Gate 6 - Driven Side</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1L</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3L</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5L</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9L</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10L</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11L</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1R</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3R</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4R</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5R</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6R</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11R</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12R</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roller Gate 7 – Driven Side</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bolts Removed From Roller Gate 7</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unused Bolts From Stock</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Corrosion Testing

Ohio River Water Analysis

Ohio River water was sampled at the dam and sent to the Illinois Water Survey for analysis. Table 4 shows the results of the analysis. The chlorine level in the water was somewhat elevated and was concentrated in the crevice between the nut and the bolt on the failed bolt tested in the laboratory. The water is not considered aggressive to ferrous-based metals. River water taken at the same time as the analyzed sample was used for all the immersion corrosion tests conducted on the nut and bolt combinations.

The presence of chlorides in the corrosion deposit (0.08 wt.%) and the water quality analysis, which showed the chloride content at 24 mg/L, support the conclusion that some accelerated galvanic and/or crevice corrosion is occurring between the bolt and the nut. This corrosion affects the life expectancy of the bolt and nut by reducing the load-bearing cross section. It is difficult to determine the actual year that the failure would occur based on the measured corrosion notch depth because of the limited exposure time (1 yr) and the fact that only one such bolt was received for analysis. The testing for the remaining bolts described in the next section is closely tied to the ability to determine the remaining life expectancy. Based upon analysis of the corrosion notch depth (0.032 in.) and the estimated rate of corrosion (33 mils/year), the potential exists for a significant reduction in the expected service life.

Table 4. Results of the water analysis at the R.C. Byrd Dam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>6.8 ºC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>271 umho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>14.9 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.3 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>60 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>28.1 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>8.22 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>18.6 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>1.96 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>24.3 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>54.2 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>104 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>188 mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corrosion Testing of Bolts, Nuts, and Combinations

A series of bolts were pulled from unused stock and removed from roller gates 2, 6, and 7 for corrosion testing to determine their corrosion resistance and predict the service life of the bolts in use at the R.C Byrd Locks and Dam. Roller gate 2 was in service for 2 years before the bolts were removed for testing. Corrosion rate testing was completed on unused bolts and bolts from gates 7 and 5, and the recent lot of bolts from gate 2. The corrosion rate for each bolt and nut individually, and in bolt and nut combinations, was determined in the laboratory using linear polarization resistance and potentiodynamic scans. Figures 10, 11, and 12 show the specimens as prepared for testing. All the testing was conducted with the specimens immersed in Ohio River water. Figures 13 and 14 show the specimens as tested. Figure 15 shows the test set-up, including the computer that measures the potential for each test sequence. The bolt and nut combinations were spaced 1 in. apart and immersed until the water level just reached the top edge of the nut standing on a flat side in the chamber. The bolt was stood on its threaded end, 1 in. from the nut. The submerged areas were calculated for the individual nuts and bolts and for combinations. At least three linear polarization scans were performed on each specimen. Table 5 contains the results of all the polarization resistance scans. The raw data from these tests are presented in Appendix A.

Figure 10. The Nitronic 60 stainless steel nut ready for testing.
Figure 11. A Type 410 stainless steel bolt ready for testing.

Figure 12. A nut and bolt connected for testing in Ohio River water.
Figure 13. A nut being tested in Ohio River water.

Figure 14. A bolt being tested in Ohio River water.
Figure 15. The test set-up for the scans of a nut and bolt combination in Ohio River water.

Table 5. Corrosion rate data for nuts and bolts from R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Scan No.</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Ident #</th>
<th>Corrosion Rate (mpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Scan No.</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Ident #</td>
<td>Corrosion Rate (mpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/03</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/03</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D15</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D54</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D102</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D126</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D83</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/03</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>2D31</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Scan No.</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Ident #</td>
<td>Corrosion Rate (mpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5D15</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5D15</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7B</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7B</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7B</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B11</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B11B</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B11B</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B11B</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B42</td>
<td>1.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B42</td>
<td>1.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B42B</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D10-2</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D10-2</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D12-6</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D3-1</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D3-1</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D3-1</td>
<td>1.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D3-1</td>
<td>1.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D5-4</td>
<td>0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D5-4</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D8-3</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>5-D8-3</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B32</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B32</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B-32-B</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Bolt</td>
<td>B-32-B</td>
<td>0.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>7A to D15</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>7A to D15</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>7C to 7C</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>7C to B11B</td>
<td>1.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>B11B to B11B</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/01</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Nut-Bolt</td>
<td>5-D3-1 to D-83</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>B42B</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>A54</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>B32</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Scan No.</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Ident #</td>
<td>Corrosion Rate (mpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D126</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D31</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/01</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D31</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D31</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/01</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D83</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/01</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Nut</td>
<td>D83</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The corrosion rates, both as measured and as physically observed, of all the temper embrittled specimens were dramatically different than the remaining specimens. The corrosion rate for the temper embrittled bolts averaged 0.8 mils per year (mpy), resulting in a metal loss of between 0.17 to a low of 0.086 pounds of metal over 20 years. The non-temper embrittled bolts such as those retrieved from gate 2 had an average of 0.07 mpy. The nuts had a corrosion rate of 0.07 mpy. The nut and bolt combinations had, as expected, a slightly higher corrosion rate of 0.12 mpy. The slightly higher corrosion rate for the nut and bolt combination is a result of galvanic corrosion occurring between the 400 series stainless steel bolts and the Cr-Ni-Mn stainless steel nuts. The low corrosion rates for the non-temper embrittled bolts would result in a metal loss of approximately 0.008 pounds over 20 years. The metal loss of the nuts would be approximately the same. The nut and bolt combinations would result in a slightly higher loss over 20 years. Figures 16 and 17 show the differences in corrosion products on the temper embrittled versus the non-temper embrittled bolts.
Figure 16. The corrosion products on a temper embrittled bolt after 48 hours immersion in Ohio River water.

Figure 17. A non-temper embrittled bolt after 48 hours in Ohio River water.
6 Conclusions and Recommendations

The primary cause of failure of the bolts sampled from roller gates 6 and 7 at the R.C. Byrd Dam is temper embrittlement. The microstructure of the bolts examined revealed brittle fractures, with the fracture mode being intergranular. The presence of chlorides accelerated the galvanic corrosion that occurred between the bolts and nuts.

The temper embrittled bolts have approximately 10 times higher corrosion rate immersed in Ohio River water than the non-temper embrittled bolts. The non-temper embrittled bolts should have a 40- to 50-year service life expectancy, assuming the Ohio River water chemistry does not change significantly.

The suggested material replacement is a martensitic age-hardenable stainless steel combining the very good corrosion resistance characteristics of Type 304 stainless steel and the moderate strength characteristics of Type 410 stainless steel. Either ARMCO 17-4 PH stainless steel or Carpenter Custom 450 stainless steel is recommended for use in aqueous environments where high strength and corrosion resistance is required.
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Appendix A: Linear Polarization Test Data on Stainless Steel Bolts and Nuts for the R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.8 mV to 21.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -413.3 mV
Icorr = 1.409E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.849E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.644 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.410032 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D10-2 #30.DTA' 11/30/2001-13:36:17

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.0 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -315.2 mV
Icorr = 1.398E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.864E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.639 mpy

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: 0.311038 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
POLRES RESULTS

Region = -19.3 mV to 21.1 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -458.6 mV
Icorr = 1.456E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.790E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.665 mpy

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.456049 V
Area: 91.941 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on Small Size Bolt

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D12-6 #9.DTA' 11/29/2001-10:57:11
POLRES RESULTS

Region = -19.3 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -154.1 mV
Icorr = 1.310E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.990E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.060 mpy

Ohio river Water on large size bolt
POLRES RESULTS

Region = -15.4 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -272.0 mV
Icorr = 5.025E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 5.185E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.230 mpy

Polarization Resistance

Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -551.1 mV
Icorr = 1.970E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.323E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.900 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D3-1 #11.DTA' 11/29/2001-11:54:26

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
Lots of scoring and scuffing marks on shank of bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.9 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -538.0 mV
Icorr = 2.297E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.135E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 1.049 mpy

Pstat: PSta3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.531264 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size bolt

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D3-1 #31.DTA' 11/30/2001-13:46:2
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -23.0 mV to 19.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -548.3 mV
Icorr = 3.271E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 7.966E+03 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 1.495 mpy

Ohio River Water on Small Size bolt with Galling on Shank, ReRun with IR Comp on
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.9 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -543.7 mV
Icorr = 1.738E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.499E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.794 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D3-1 #40.DTA' 11/30/2001- 17:14:13

Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.54282 V
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 21.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -297.6 mV
Icorr = 9.127E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.855E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.417 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 5-D5-4 #13.DTA' 11/29/2001-12:17:47

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
Polarization Resistance

'POLRES Bolt 5-D5-4 #33.DTA' 11/30/2001 - 14:12:2

Region = -19.1 mV to 21.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -320.9 mV
Icorr = 1.439E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.811E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.658 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.315464 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on Small Bolt Rerun

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 21.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -320.9 mV
Icorr = 1.439E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.811E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.658 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.0 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -573.2 mV
Icorr = 1.794E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.453E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.820 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.567638 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.4 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -508.0 mV
Icorr = 1.869E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.394E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.854 mpy

Pstat: PSta3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.503013 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small bolt
**POLRES RESULTS**

Region = -19.4 mV to 20.7 mV  
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade  
Ecorr = -508.9 mV  
Icorr = 1.789E-06 A/cm²  
Rp = 1.457E+04 Ohm cm²  
CorrRate = 0.817 mpy

**Pstat:**  
PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt  
EOC: -0.503189 V  
Area: 121.6 cm²  
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv  
Conditioning: OFF  
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large size bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.0 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -451.7 mV
Icorr = 2.058E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.266E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.940 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.444487 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large size bolt
Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 7B #4.DTA' 11/28/2001-17:29:0

- Potential (V) vs Eoc
- Current Density (A/cm²)

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.2 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -559.9 mV
Icorr = 1.375E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.896E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.628 mpy

Ohio River Water

PStat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.555103 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm3, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 21.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -484.1 mV
Icorr = 1.805E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.444E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.825 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.477217 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large size bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -532.2 mV
Icorr = 1.848E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.410E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.845 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt 7C #5.DTA' 11/28/2001-17:45:59

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.52536 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -22.5 mV to 19.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -230.2 mV
Icorr = 2.150E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.212E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.098 mpy

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.233188 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/ equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: ON

Ohio River Water

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt B11 #1.DTA' 11/28/2001-16:38:59

Potential (V) vs Eoc vs

Current Density (A/cm²)
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -7.4 mV to 2.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -213.5 mV
Icorr = 1.713E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.522E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.078 mpy

NOTES
Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.8 mV
\( \beta_C = 120.0 \text{ mV/Decade} \)
\( \beta_A = 120.0 \text{ mV/Decade} \)
\( E_{corr} = -209.5 \text{ mV} \)
\( I_{corr} = 1.983 \times 10^{-7} \text{ A/cm}^2 \)
\( R_p = 1.314 \times 10^5 \text{ Ohm cm}^2 \)
\( \text{CorrRate} = 0.091 \text{ mpy} \)

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.208511 V
Area: 121.6 cm^2
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm^3, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large size bolt
**POLRES RESULTS**

Region = -19.6 mV to 20.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -212.0 mV
Icorr = 1.861E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.400E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.085 mpy

**Scan:** -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.212166 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

**NOTES**

Pstat: PStat3

Current Density (A/cm²) vs Potential (V) vs Eoc
**POLRES Results**

Region = -19.2 mV to 20.7 mV

BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade

Ecorr = -457.9 mV

Icorr = 1.730E-06 A/cm²

Rp = 1.506E+04 Ohm cm²

CorrRate = 0.790 mpy

**Pstat:** PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt

EOC: -0.450449 V

Area: 91.9 cm²

Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv

Conditioning: OFF

IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -368.4 mV
Icorr = 1.778E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.466E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.812 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.361408 V
Area: 91.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
Polarization Resistance


Potential (V) vs Eoc

Current Density (A/cm2)

Ohio River Water on Small Size Bolt

Polarization Resistance

Region = -19.2 mV to 21.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -403.0 mV
Icorr = 1.563E-06 A/cm2
Rp = 1.667E+04 Ohm cm2
CorrRate = 0.714 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -327.2 mV
Icorr = 1.658E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.572E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.758 mpy

Ohio River Water on small size bolt
**POLRES RESULTS**

Region = -19.2 mV to 20.7 mV  
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade  
Ecorr = -535.5 mV  
Icorr = 2.449E-06 A/cm²  
R = 1.064E+04 Ohm cm²  
CorrRate = 1.119 mpy

Pstat: PStat3  
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt  
EOC: -0.529298 V  
Area: 104.8 cm²  
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv  
Conditioning: OFF  
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on medium size bolt

---

**Polarization Resistance**


---

**Graph**

- Current Density (A/cm²) vs Potential (V) vs Eoc
- Potential range: -0.20 to 0.25 V
- Current density range: -2.0E-06 to 2.5E-06 A/cm²

---

**Graph Details**

- Potential range: -0.020 to 0.020 V
- Current density range: -2.0E-06 to 2.5E-06 A/cm²

---
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -403.4 mV
Icorr = 2.400E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.086E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 1.097 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Bolt B42 #36.DTA' 11/30/2001-14:52:12

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.396741 V
Area: 104.8 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on medium size bolt
Polarization Resistance

'POLRES Bolt B42B #17.DTA' 11/29/2001-14:30:25

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.9 mV to 20.1 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -121.6 mV
Icorr = 7.453E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 3.496E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.034 mpy

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.119764 V
Area: 104.8 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River water on medium size bolt
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -412.8 mV
Icorr = 1.973E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.321E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.902 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.125 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.411096 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/ equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Sample connected to each other thru 100 ohm resistor in Ohio River Water
after 48 hours immersion unconnected. 26.98 mV across 100 ohm resistor = .0002898 amperes with bolt anode
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -417.8 mV
Icorr = 1.926E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.353E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.880 mpy

Sample connected to each other thru 100 ohm resistor in Ohio River Water after 48 hours immersion unconnected. 26.99 mV across 100 ohm resistor = .0002899 amperes with bolt anode
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -406.5 mV
Icorr = 2.526E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.032E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 1.154 mpy

Sample connected to each other thru 100 ohm resistor in Ohio River Water after 48 hours immersion unconnected. +19.305 mV across 100 ohm resistor = .00019305 amperes with bolt anode
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -398.2 mV
Icorr = 2.345E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.111E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 1.071 mpy

Pstat: PStart
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.125 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.392538 V
Area: 121.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Sample connected to each other thru 100 ohm resistor in Ohio River Water after 48 hours immersion unconnected: +25.325 mV across 100 ohm resistor = .00025325 amperes with bolt anode
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.4 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -248.2 mV
Icorr = 5.985E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 4.354E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.273 mpy

Sample connected to each other thru 100 ohm resistor in Ohio River Water after 48 hours immersion unconnected. -6.520 mV across 100 ohm resistor = .00006520 amperes with nut anode
Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Nut 7-C #27.DTA' 11/29/2001-16:41:27

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -200.0 mV
Icorr = 1.528E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.705E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.070 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.199054 V
Area: 159.6 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large nut
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.7 mV to 20.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -181.4 mV
Icorr = 7.897E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 3.300E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.036 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.177808 V
Area: 159.2 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on large size nut
POLRES RESULTS

Region: -19.5 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -185.5 mV
Icorr = 1.027E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.538E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.047 mpy

Polarization Resistance

Potential (V) vs Eoc

Current Density (A/cm²)

Ohio River Water on small size nut
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.2 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -282.8 mV
Icorr = 6.166E-07 A/cm2
Rp = 4.226E+04 Ohm cm2
CorrRate = 0.282 mpy

Polarization Resistance

Ohio River Water on small size nut
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.4 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -107.7 mV
Icorr = 8.429E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 3.091E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.039 mpy

Ohio River Water on medium size nut

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.102361 V
Area: 137.5 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Polarization Resistance
Polres Results
Region = -18.7 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -268.2 mV
Icorr = 2.187E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.191E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.100 mpy

Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.2671 V
Area: 110.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size nut

Polarization Resistance
'POLRES Nut D126 #24.DTA' 11/29/2001-16:8:59
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -14.9 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -309.4 mV
Icorr = 5.128E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 5.082E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.234 mpy

Pstat: PSta13
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.308534 V
Area: 110.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/ equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water, Smallest Nut
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 21.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -304.9 mV
Icorr = 4.877E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 5.344E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.223 mpy

Polarization Resistance

After 12 hours immersion in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 21.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -290.2 mV
Icorr = 5.343E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 4.877E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.244 mpy

Pstat: PStat3Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.288883 V
Area: 110.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Polarization Resistance

Re-Run in Freshened Water (Ohio River)
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -7.7 mV to 2.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -203.0 mV
Icorr = 2.467E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 1.056E+06 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.011 mpy

Ohio River Water on small size nut
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -193.9 mV
Icorr = 6.921E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 3.765E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.032 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.192347 V
Area: 110.9 cm²
Electrode: 7.87 gm/cm³, 27.92 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Ohio River Water on small size nut
Polarization Resistance
'Small Bolt 5-D3-1 to Small Nut D83 #46.DTA'

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -444.1 mV
Icorr = 2.077E-06 A/cm²
Rp = 1.255E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.949 mpy

Small Bolt coupled to Small Nut with 100 ohm resistor, both nut & bolt
immerse in Ohio River Water at 4 PM 11/28/01. (22.443 mV = .00022443 amperes)
Polarization Resistance
'CERL BOLT 2D102 Linear Pol Scan #23.DTA'

- **Region**: -20.0 mV to 20.2 mV
- **BetaC**: 120.0 mV/Decade
- **BetaA**: 120.0 mV/Decade
- **Ecorr**: -150.8 mV
- **Icorr**: 2.084E-08 A/cm²
- **Rp**: 1.250E+06 Ohm cm²
- **CorrRate**: 0.008 mpy

**Pstat**: PStat3
**Scan**: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
**EOC**: -0.167679 V
**Area**: 46.82 cm²
**Electrode**: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
**Conditioning**: OFF
**IR Comp.**: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 19.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -155.6 mV
Icorr = 3.706E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 7.032E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.015 mpy

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

Polarization Resistance
'CERL BOLT 2D102 Linear Pol Scan #24.DTA'

---

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.150378 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.9 mV to 20.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -179.6 mV
Icorr = 4.266E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 6.108E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.017 mpy

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
Polarization Resistance
'CERL NUT 2D102 Linear Pol Scan #11.DTA

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 45% clean metal (49.893) surface area.

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.8 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -386.0 mV
Icorr = 1.363E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.911E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.056 mpy
**POLRES RESULTS**

Region = -19.0 mV to 21.0 mV  
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade  
Ecorr = -366.4 mV  
Icorr = 1.206E-07 A/cm²  
Rp = 2.161E+05 Ohm cm²  
CorrRate = 0.049 mpy

**Pstat:** PStat3  
**Scan:** -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt  
**EOC:** -0.36452 V  
**Area:** 49.893 cm²  
**Electrode:** 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv  
**Conditioning:** OFF  
**IR Comp.:** OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 45% clean metal (49.893) surface area.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -251.2 mV
Icorr = 4.065E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 6.410E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.017 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.249083 V
Area: 96.713 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water
Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D102 Linear Pol Scan #45.DTA'

Polres Results
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -254.2 mV
Icorr = 3.506E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 7.432E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.014 mpy

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.7 mV to 20.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -254.2 mV
Icorr = 4.507E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 5.782E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.018 mpy

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.252084 V
Area: 96.713 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
Potentiodynamic Scan
'CERL POTDYN Scan on Bolt-Nut Combo #2D102
Scan#3.DTA'

Potential (V) vs Eref

Log Current Density (A/cm²)

-8.0 -7.5 -7.0 -6.5 -6.0 -5.5 -5.0 -4.5 -4.0 -3.5

-0.800 -0.600 -0.400 -0.200 0.000 0.200 0.400 0.600 0.800 1.000

Potentiodynamic Scan on Nut and bolt connected, and submerged in Ohio River Water.

TAFEL RESULTS
Region = -737.5 mV to 952.5 mV
Ecorr = -324.7 mV
Icorr = 1.509E-07 A/cm²
BetaC = 207.2 mV/Decade
BetaA = 469.8 mV/Decade
Rp = 4.138E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.061 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.5 V to 1.2 V, 5 mV/s, 1 s/pt
EOC: -0.242763 V
Area: 96.713 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/Equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/Equiv
Conditioning: OFF

IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -20.1 mV to 20.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -326.8 mV
Icorr = 1.100E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.369E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.045 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.325411 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -20.0 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -333.8 mV
Icorr = 1.020E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.554E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.042 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 19.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -338.2 mV
Icorr = 1.044E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.496E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.043 mpy

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.338163 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Polarization Resistance
'CERL BOLT 2D126 Linear Pol Scan #28.DTA'
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.0 mV to 20.1 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -399.0 mV
Icorr = 1.520E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.715E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.062 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.397737 V
Area: 39.609 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 25% clean metal (39.609) for surface area.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.8 mV to 20.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -396.4 mV
Icorr = 1.635E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.594E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.067 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.393665 V
Area: 39.609 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water
Paint on nut, 25% clean metal (39.609) for surface area

Polarization Resistance
'NERL NUT 2D126 Linear Pol Scan #8.DTA
**POLRES RESULTS**

- **Region**: -19.3 mV to 20.3 mV
- **BetaC**: 120.0 mV/Decade
- **BetaA**: 120.0 mV/Decade
- **Ecorr**: -396.1 mV
- **Icorr**: 1.377E-07 A/cm²
- **Rp**: 1.893E+05 Ohm cm²
- **CorrRate**: 0.056 mpy

**Pstat**: PStat3

**Scan**: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt

**EOC**: -0.395681 V

**Area**: 39.609 cm²

**Electrode**: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g-equiv

**Conditioning**: OFF

**IR Comp.**: OFF

**Small Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water**
POLRES RESULTS

Region = -19.5 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -314.1 mV
Icorr = 5.442E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 4.789E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.022 mpy

Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D126 Linear Pol Scan #41.DTA

Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.313498 V
Area: 86.4 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.1 g-equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 45% Bare Metal
**POLRES RESULTS**

Region = -19.2 mV to 20.8 mV

BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade

BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade

Ecorr = -315.5 mV

Icorr = 5.409E-08 A/cm²

Rp = 4.818E+05 Ohm cm²

CorrRate = 0.022 mpy

Pstat: PStat3

Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt

EOC: -0.313842 V

Area: 86.4 cm²

Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.1 g/equiv

Conditioning: OFF

IR Comp.: OFF

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 45% Bare Metal
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -312.5 mV
Icorr = 5.024E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 5.187E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.020 mpy
**TAFEL RESULTS**

Region = -872.3 mV to 818.1 mV
Ecorr = -424.9 mV
Icorr = 3.004E-07 A/cm²
BetaC = 246.1 mV/Decade
BetaA = 476.4 mV/Decade
Rp = 2.345E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.122 mpy

**Potentiodynamic Scan**

'CERL POTDYN Scan on Bolt-Nut Combo #2D126
Scan#4.DTA

Area: 86.429 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/Equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Potentiodynamic Scan on Nut and bolt connected, and submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.0 mVBetaC = 120.0 mV/DecadeBetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -148.7 mV
Icorr = 3.011E-07 A/cm²Rp = 8.656E+04 Ohm cm²CorrRate = 0.123 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/ptEOC: -0.1416 V
Area: 91.207 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFFIR Comp.: OFF

Larger Bolt (1 total this size) in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -189.3 mV
Icorr = 2.506E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.040E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.102 mpy

Larger Bolt (1 total this size) in Ohio River Water.
Polarization Resistance
'cerl bolt 2d15 linear pol scan #19.dta'

- **Pstat:** PStat3
- **Scan:** -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
- **EOC:** -0.180189 V
- **Area:** 91.207 cm²
- **Electrode:** 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
- **Conditioning:** OFF
- **IR Comp.:** OFF

Larger Bolt (1 total this size) in Ohio River Water.

**POLRES RESULTS**
- **Region:** -19.5 mV to 20.2 mV
- **BetaC:** 120.0 mV/Decade
- **BetaA:** 120.0 mV/Decade
- **Ecorr:** -182.6 mV
- **Icorr:** 2.941E-07 A/cm²
- **Rp:** 8.860E+04 Ohm cm²
- **CorrRate:** 0.120 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.0 mV to 15.4 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -466.6 mV
Icorr = 1.722E-07 A/cm2
Rp = 1.513E+05 Ohm cm2
CorrRate = 0.070 mpy

Larger Nut (1 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 40% clean metal (102.49) surface area.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 21.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -460.1 mV
Icorr = 1.581E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.648E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.064 mpy

Larger Nut (1 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 40% clean metal (102.49) surface area.
Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D15 Linear Pol Scan #50.DTA'

Potential (V) vs Ecc

Current Density (A/cm²)

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 40% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.334721 V
Area: 193.697 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 21.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -335.4 mV
Icorr = 3.393E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 7.681E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.138 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -329.7 mV
Icorr = 3.204E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.132E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.131 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.329694 V
Area: 193.697 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 40% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.9 mV to 21.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -328.9 mV
Icorr = 2.896E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.997E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.118 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.327157 V
Area: 193.697 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 40% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water

Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D15 Linear Pol Scan #52.DTA'
**ELOGI RESULTS**

Region = -721.0 mV to -603.0 mV  
Ecorr = -543.0 mV  
Icorr = 1.105E-06 A/cm²  
Beta = 88.7 mV (Cathodic)  
CorrRate = 0.450 mpy

Pstat: PStat3  
Scan: -0.5 V to 1.2 V, 5 mV/s, 1 s/pt  
EOC: -0.328384 V  
Area: 194 cm²  
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.1 g/Equiv  
Conditioning: OFF  
IR Comp.: OFF

Potentio-Dynamic Scan for **ELogI Analysis** on Nut connected to Bolt in Ohio River Water - Same data as used for TAFEL Analysis
**Potentiodynamic Scan**

'CERL Nut-Bolt Combo #2D15 POTDYN Scan #1.DTA'

---

**TAFEL RESULTS**

Region = -721.0 mV to 589.0 mV
Ecorr = -543.7 mV
Icorr = 1.783E-06 A/cm²
BetaC = 100.7 mV/Decade
BetaA = 688.5 mV/Decade
Rp = 2.140E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.726 mpy
TAFEL RESULTS
Region = -721.5 mV to 589.3 mV
Ec Orr = -543.7 mV
Icorr = 3.495E-04 A/cm²
BetaC = 101.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 691.5 mV/Decade
Rp = 1.095E+02 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 142.437 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -384.6 mV
Icorr = 3.455E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 7.542E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.141 mpy

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

P stat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.382884 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -389.8 mV
Icorr = 3.335E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 7.813E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.136 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.388803 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -392.2 mV
Icorr = 3.270E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 7.968E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.133 mpy

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.391701 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.1 mV to 19.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -306.6 mV
Icorr = 1.856E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.404E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.076 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.305448 V
Area: 78.173 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water

Polarization Resistance
'CERL NUT 2D31 Linear Pol Scan #1.DTA
ReRun with IR Comp ON Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -21.5 mV to 20.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -311.7 mV
Icorr = 1.763E-07 A/cm²
R_p = 1.478E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.072 mpy
Polarization Resistance
'CERL NUT 2D31 Linear Pol Scan #2.DTA

-2.0E-07 -1.5E-07 -1.0E-07 -0.5E-07 0.0E+00 5.0E-08 1.0E-07 1.5E-07

Current Density (A/cm²) vs Potential (V vs Eoc)

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.310485 V
Area: 78.173 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.9 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -311.3 mV
Icorr = 1.879E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.386E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.077 mpy
Polarization Resistance
'CERL NUT 2D31 Linear Pol Scan #3.DTA

Potential (V) vs Eoc

Current Density (A/cm²)
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Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.311461 V
Area: 31.896 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -312.7 mV
Icorr = 4.676E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 5.572E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.191 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.7 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -310.9 mV
Icorr = 4.412E-07 A/cm2
Rp = 5.905E+04 Ohm cm2
CorrRate = 0.180 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.310059 V
Area: 31.896 cm2
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm3, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -345.4 mV
Icorr = 2.910E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.955E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.119 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.344708 V
Area: 73.626 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF
Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D31 Linear Pol Scan #36.DTA'

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.8 mV to 20.6 mV  
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade  
Ecorr = -342.4 mV  
Icorr = 2.885E-07 A/cm²  
Rp = 9.031E+04 Ohm cm²  
CorrRate = 0.118 mpy

Pstat: PStat3  
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt  
EOC: -0.341574 V  
Area: 73.626 cm²  
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv  
Conditioning: OFF  
IR Comp.: OFF

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 10% Bare Metal
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -341.4 mV
Icorr = 2.910E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.953E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.119 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.340598 V
Area: 73.626 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 10% Bare Metal
Potentiodynamic Scan
'CERL POTDYN Scan on Bolt-Nut Combo #2D31 Scan#6.DTA'

---

Potentiodynamic Scan on Nut and bolt connected, and submerged in Ohio River Water.

---

TAFEL RESULTS
Region = -827.5 mV to 862.1 mV
Ecorr = -455.8 mV
Icorr = 1.705E-06 A/cm²
BetaC = 221.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 779.0 mV/Decade
Rp = 4.386E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.695 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.5 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -372.5 mV
Icorr = 3.349E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 7.782E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.136 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.9 mV to 19.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -373.0 mV
Icorr = 2.741E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 9.505E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.112 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.372823 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 19.7 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -356.4 mV
Icorr = 2.964E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.793E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.121 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.353975 V
Area: 49.893 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 45% clean metal (49.893) surface area.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.8 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -364.0 mV
Icorr = 2.943E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 8.855E+04 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.120 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.360851 V
Area: 49.893 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Small Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water. Paint on nut, 45% clean metal (49.893) surface area.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -18.5 mV to 20.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -354.5 mV
Icorr = 1.784E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.461E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.073 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.352806 V
Area: 96.713 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water
Polarization Resistance
'CERL Nut-Bolt 2D54 Linear Pol Scan #48.DTA'

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.6 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -355.9 mV
Icorr = 1.584E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.645E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.065 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -357.2 mV
Icorr = 1.743E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.495E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.071 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.355643 V
Area: 96.713 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Nut and Bolt connected, Nut 45% Bare Metal in Ohio River Water
**TAFEL RESULTS**

Region = -837.5 mV to 400.1 mV  
$E_{corr} = -438.7 \text{ mV}$  
$I_{corr} = 4.434E-07 \text{ A/cm}^2$  
BetaC = 193.3 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 423.8 mV/Decade  
$R_p = 1.300E+05 \text{ Ohm cm}^2$  
CorrRate = 0.181 mpy

---

**Potentiodynamic Scan**  
'CERL POTDYN Scan on Bolt-Nut Combo #2D54  
Scan#2.DTA'

---

Potentiodynamic Scan on Nut and bolt connected, and submerged in Ohio River Water.
Polarization Resistance
'CERL BOLT 2D83 Linear Pol Scan #29.DTA'

Potential (V) vs Ecc

Current Density (A/cm²)

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.

POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.2 mV to 20.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -142.1 mV
Icorr = 1.713E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 1.521E+06 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.007 mpy
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -140.3 mV
Icorr = 1.718E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 1.517E+06 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.007 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.138303 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g-equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.6 mV to 20.0 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -145.2 mV
Icorr = 1.379E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 1.889E+06 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.006 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.150173 V
Area: 46.82 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Bolt (5 total this size) submerged in Ohio River Water.
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.2 mV to 20.4 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -307.7 mV
Icorr = 1.564E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 1.666E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.064 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.304896 V
Area: 65.318 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.3 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -314.5 mV
Icorr = 1.243E-07 A/cm²
Rp = 2.096E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.051 mpy

Pstat: PStat3
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt
EOC: -0.317132 V
Area: 65.318 cm²
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv
Conditioning: OFF
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.4 mV to 20.3 mV  
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade  
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade  
Ecorr = -314.5 mV  
Icorr = 1.207E-07 A/cm²  
Rp = 2.158E+05 Ohm cm²  
CorrRate = 0.049 mpy

Pstat: PStat3  
Scan: -0.02 V to 0.02 V, 0.2 mV/s, 2 s/pt  
EOC: -0.315064 V  
Area: 65.318 cm²  
Electrode: 7.94 gm/cm³, 25.12 g/equiv  
Conditioning: OFF  
IR Comp.: OFF

Smaller Nut (5 total this size) in Ohio River Water
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -9.5 mV to 0.2 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -286.1 mV
Icorr = 5.333E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 4.886E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.022 mpy

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together -Nut 75% Bare Metal
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.5 mV to 20.8 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -290.1 mV
Icorr = 4.518E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 5.767E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.018 mpy

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 75% Bare Metal
POLRES RESULTS
Region = -19.7 mV to 20.3 mV
BetaC = 120.0 mV/Decade
BetaA = 120.0 mV/Decade
Ecorr = -290.1 mV
Icorr = 4.948E-08 A/cm²
Rp = 5.267E+05 Ohm cm²
CorrRate = 0.020 mpy

Lin Polarization Scan of Bolt and Nut Coupled together - Nut 75% Bare Metal
**TAFEL RESULTS**

Region = -771.1 mV to 918.1 mV  
\( E_{corr} = -349.9 \text{ mV} \)  
\( I_{corr} = 2.106 \times 10^{-7} \text{ A/cm}^2 \)  
\( \beta_C = 216.6 \text{ mV/Decade} \)  
\( \beta_A = 557.4 \text{ mV/Decade} \)  
\( R_p = 3.217 \times 10^5 \text{ Ohm cm}^2 \)  
\( \text{CorrRate} = 0.086 \text{ mpy} \)

**Potentiodynamic Scan**

'CERL POTDYN Scan on Bolt-Nut Combo #2D83 Scan#5.DTA'

Potentiodynamic Scan on Nut and bolt connected, and submerged in Ohio River Water.
During rehabilitation of the roller gates at R.C. Byrd Locks and Dam, Gallipolis, OH, the U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington found some of the bolts had failed. Analysis by the Construction Engineering Research Laboratory found the primary cause of failure to be temper embrittlement. The microstructure of the bolt revealed a brittle fracture, with the fracture mode being intergranular. The presence of chlorides also accelerated the galvanic corrosion that occurred between the bolt and nut.

The temper embrittled bolts have approximately 10 times higher corrosion rate immersed in Ohio River water than the non-temper embrittled bolts. The non-temper embrittled bolts should have a 40 to 50 year service life expectancy, assuming the Ohio River water chemistry does not change significantly.

The suggested material replacement is a martensitic age-hardenable stainless steel combining the very good corrosion resistance characteristics of Type 304 stainless steel and the moderate strength characteristics of Type 410 stainless steel. Either ARMCO 17-4 PH stainless steel or Carpenter Custom 450 stainless steel is recommended for use in aqueous environments where high strength and corrosion resistance is required.