TA7
W34m
no. A-97-7
c. 2

Miscellaneous Paper A-97-7
September 1997

**US Army Corps
of Engineers**
Waterways Experiment
Station

US-CE-C Property of the
United States Government

Aquatic Plant Control Research Program

Measurement of Plant Architecture in Seven Aquatic Plants

by Eric D. Dibble, K. Jack Killgore, Gary O. Dick

1. TITLE: Measurement of Plant Architecture in Seven Aquatic Plants
2. AUTHOR: Dibble, Eric D.; Killgore, K. Jack; Dick, Gary O.
3. PERIOD: September 1997
4. INSTITUTION: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station
5. AVAILABILITY: Unlimited
6. SUBJECTS: Aquatic plants; Plant architecture; Measurement; Research
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited
8. PRICE: \$1.00 per copy plus postage
9. STATEMENT OF WORK: This report was prepared under the Aquatic Plant Control Research Program, which is a part of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' research and development efforts to control aquatic plants. The research was conducted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
10. ABSTRACT: This report describes the measurement of plant architecture in seven aquatic plants. The plants studied were *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Elodea canadensis*, *Utricularia*, *Potamogeton amplifolius*, *Potamogeton amplifolius*, *Potamogeton amplifolius*, and *Potamogeton amplifolius*. The measurements were made using a computerized image analysis system. The results of the measurements are presented in this report.

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

Research Library
US Army Engineer Waterways
Experiment Station
Vicksburg, Mississippi

Prepared for Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

37716 171
**Aquatic Plant Control
Research Program**

03/17
12/1/97
0.2
**Miscellaneous Paper A-97-7
September 1997**

Measurement of Plant Architecture in Seven Aquatic Plants

by Eric D. Dibble, K. Jack Killgore, Gary O. Dick

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Waterways Experiment Station
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Final report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Washington, DC 20314-1000

**US Army Corps
of Engineers**
Waterways Experiment
Station

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT:
PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE
U.S. ARMY ENGINEER
WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION
3909 HALLS FERRY ROAD
VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180-6199
PHONE: (601) 634-2502

Waterways Experiment Station Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Dibble, Eric D., 1955-

Measurement of plant architecture in seven aquatic plants / by Eric D. Dibble, K. Jack Killgore, Gary O. Dick ; prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

12 p. : ill. ; 28 cm. -- (Miscellaneous paper ; A-97-7)

Includes bibliographic references.

1. Plant canopies -- Measurement. 2. Plant communities -- Measurement. 3. Fishes -- Habitat. 4. Aquatic plants. I. Killgore, K. Jack. II. Dick, Gary Owen, 1956- III. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. IV. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. V. Aquatic Plant Control Research Program (U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station) VI. Title. VII. Series: Miscellaneous paper (U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station) ; A-97-7.

TA7 W34m no.A-97-7

Preface

The work reported herein was conducted as part of the Aquatic Plant Control Research Program (APCRP), Work Unit 32944. The APCRP is sponsored by Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (HQUSACE), and is assigned to the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) under the purview of the Environmental Laboratory (EL). Funding was provided under Department of the Army Appropriation No. 96X3122, Construction General. The APCRP is managed under the Center for Aquatic Plant Research and Technology (CAPRT), Dr. John W. Barko, Director. Mr. Robert C. Gunkel was Assistant Director for the CAPRT. Program Monitor during this study was Ms. Denise White, HQUSACE.

The Principal Investigator for this study was Dr. K. Jack Killgore, Aquatic Ecology Branch, Ecosystem Research Division (ERD), EL, WES. The report was prepared by Dr. Eric D. Dibble, formerly at WES, now at Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS; Dr. Killgore; and Dr. Gary O. Dick, WES Aquatic Ecosystem Research Facility, Lewisville, TX. Results of this study were first published as an article in the *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*.

The investigation was performed under the general supervision of Dr. John Harrison, Director, EL, and Dr. Conrad J. Kirby, Chief, ERD.

At the time of publication of this report, Director of WES was Dr. Robert W. Whalin.

This report should be cited as follows:

Dibble, E. D., Killgore, K. J., and Dick, G. O. (1997).
"Measurement of plant architecture in seven aquatic plants,"
Miscellaneous Paper A-97-7, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways
Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Measurement of Plant Architecture in Seven Aquatic Plants

Eric D. Dibble^a and K. Jack Killgore

U. S. Army Engineers
Waterways Experimental Station
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180

and

Gary O. Dick

U. S. Army Engineers
Aquatic Ecosystem Research Facility
Lewisville, Texas 75056

ABSTRACT

We quantified architectural characteristics of seven aquatic macrophytes by measuring spatial complexity and shading properties. The plants were: *Egeria densa*, *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Myriophyllum spicatum*, *Potamogeton nodosus*, *Potamogeton pectinatus*, *Vallisneria americana*, and *Zosterella dubia*. Two replicates of each plant were cultured in aquaria in concrete raceways. Light transparency and vertical and horizontal interstices measurements were taken at three strata level in each plant species. Spatial complexity was calculated by adding the ratio between interstices frequency and size from both vertical and horizontal axes. Mean density and size of plant interstices differed significantly among plant species ($x^2=123$, $df=6$, $P<0.01$) and were greatest along horizontal axes. Plant complexity was lowest in *M. spicatum* and highest in *P. pectinatus*. Shading properties were significantly different among plants ($x^2=40$, $df=5$, $P<0.01$), and significant inter- and intra-plant strata differences were noted.

INTRODUCTION

Aquatic plants provide an important structural component to freshwater habitats, and architecture unique to aquatic plants is important to fishes (Lille and Budd 1992, Dionne and Folt 1991). Structural complexity (created by stems and leaves) in plant beds provides a habitat rich in macroinvertebrates (Pardue 1973, Gilinsky 1984, Keast 1984) and is important to growth and survival of fishes (Werner and Hall 1979, Crowder and Cooper 1982). Structural complexity interferes with foraging predators and decreases predator risk for young and small fishes (Mittelbach 1981, Savino and Stein 1982 and 1989), and shade created by plant structures improves visual acuity and increases foraging efficiency as well as predator avoidance by fishes (Diehl 1988, Helfman 1981, Lynch and Johnson 1989). Understanding architectural differences unique to aquatic plants and how structural differences influence quality of freshwater habitats is prerequisite to appropriate management of aquatic plants and fishes.

^aCurrent Address: Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762.

Aquatic plant control and restoration programs and fish habitat management have been governed largely by plant abundance and density, and varied approaches have been used to estimate and characterize standing crops of submersed plants (Maceina et al. 1984, Chambers and Kalff 1985, Downing and Anderson 1985, Duarte 1987, Canfield et al. 1990). However, only a few have measured aquatic plants at an appropriate scale to determine structural characteristics important to fishes (Lillie and Bud 1992, Dionne and Folt 1991).

We investigated architectural differences among seven aquatic plants potentially important to the quality of freshwater habitats and growth and survival of fishes. A gap analysis was used to define structural complexity, and light measurements were conducted to quantify shading effect.

METHODS

Plant cultures

The experiment was conducted at the U.S. Army Corps Engineers, Aquatic Ecosystem Research Facility, Lewisville, Texas (May-July 1993). Seven species of aquatic plants were measured: four native species (*Potamogeton nodosus*, *Potamogeton pectinatus*, *Vallisneria americana*, *Zosterella dubia*) and three exotic species (*Egeria densa*, *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Myriophyllum spicatum*). Each plant was cultured in a 0.3 x 0.3 x 1.0 m (90 L) aquarium placed within a concrete raceway (Figure 1). Plant treatments were replicated twice. Except for *V. americana*, all plants matured and topped at the water surface. Water depth was maintained 1 m around plants in the aquaria during the growing period; water was drained from raceways to allow for easier access to plants for precise and accurate measurements, but water level within aquaria was maintained so as not to disturb the plant when measurements were made.

Architectural Measurements

Approximately 8 weeks after the macrophytes were planted we measured interstices (gaps among stems and leaves) along horizontal and vertical axes (modified after Dibble and Harrel 1995, Lillie and Budd 1992). A line intercept method for determining length and frequency of interstices was used (Bonham 1989). Interstices were defined as the area along a linear transect located between leaf or stem intercepts. Length and abundance of horizontal interstices (gaps between stems and leaves along a horizontal axis) were recorded with the rule placed within the plant and perpendicular to the vertical axis of the main stem. Length and abundance of vertical interstices (gaps between stems and leaves along a vertical axis) were taken with the rule positioned at center of the plant and parallel to the main stem. Measurements started where the first part (stem or leaf) of the plant intercepted the rule and ended at the last plant intercept. Three horizontal and vertical measurements for each plant were collected at the low, mid, and top strata of the macrophyte (Figure 1); thus, nine horizontal and nine vertical measurements were recorded for each replicate.

From these measurements, we calculated an index of spatial complexity as follows:

$$I_{hv} = (f_h/l_h) + (f_v/l_v)$$

Where: f_h = mean frequency or the number of interstices intercepted/m along a horizontal axis; l_h = mean length (mm) of all interstices sampled along a horizontal transect; f_v = mean frequency or the number of interstices intercepted/m along a vertical axis; l_v = mean length (mm) of all interstices sampled along a vertical transect. The greater the I_{hv} value, the higher the frequency and smaller the length of interstices (gaps) between plant structures.

Shading Effects

Light was measured at three levels with an underwater sensor (Li-Cor Quantum; Model LI-190SA). Fifteen readings were taken for each plant species, with five at each of the plant strata (low, mid, upper). The sensor measured light ($\mu\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}$) in visible wavelengths of 380-770 nm. All light measurements were corrected for available surface light, and all were taken at 1200-1400 h under sunny conditions. Light data were treated as relative measurements among plants, and represented the percentage of light transmitted from the surface.

Variance in data comparison was determined by Bartlett's homogeneity statistic and because much of this variance was unequal and many parameters categorical, significant differences in light transmission and complexity measurements within and among plants were determined with the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis statistic (X^2) (Statistix 1994). Pearson's correlative analysis and correlation coefficients (r) were used to examine relationship between spatial-complexity and light transmitted through plants.

Figure 1. Raceway containing replicates of cultured plants, and example of vertical and horizontal interstice measurements taken at three plant strata (Top, Mid, and Low).

RESULTS

Mean frequency and length of plant interstices significantly differed among plant species ($\chi^2=123$, $df=6$, $P<0.01$) and were greatest along horizontal axes (Table 1). *P. pectinatus* contained the greatest horizontal and vertical densities of interstices at 56.1/m and 25.1, respectively. The lowest number of interstices was recorded in *M. spicatum*. A mean of 8.9 interstices was recorded for horizontal axes; for vertical axes, the mean was 5.9 (Table 1).

Significant differences in spatial complexity among macrophytes ($\chi^2=156$, $df=6$, $P<0.01$) were noted and were due to innate differences in plant growth forms. Spatial complexity values (I_{hv}) ranged from 1.5 to 42.0. *M. spicatum* had the lowest complexity ($I_{hv}=1.5$), and *P. pectinatus* had the highest ($I_{hv}=42.0$). Spatial complexity was low for *P. nodosus*, and *V. americana* ($I_{hv}=3.5$ and $I_{hv}=3.6$, respectively). *E. densa* ($I_{hv}=12.4$), *Z. dubia* ($I_{hv}=8.2$), and *H. verticillata* ($I_{hv}=18.6$) demonstrated intermediate levels relative to the other plants measured

Table 1. Differences in mean frequencies and lengths of horizontal and vertical interstices among the seven aquatic plants.

Plant species	N	Plant Interstices	
		Mean Frequency (no./m)	Mean length (cm)
<hr/>			
		<hr/> Horizontal <hr/>	
<i>Egeria densa</i>	149	27.3	3.1
<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	198	36.4	3.3
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	49	9.0	10.3
<i>Potamogeton nodosus</i>	83	15.3	6.1
<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	306	56.1	1.7
<i>Vallisneria americana</i>	86	12.7	10.5
<i>Zosterella dubia</i>	109	22.6	4.5
		<hr/> Vertical <hr/>	
<i>Egeria densa</i>	76	14.0	3.9
<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	120	22.0	2.9
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	33	6.1	9.8
<i>Potamogeton nodosus</i>	41	7.5	7.6
<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	136	25.0	2.8
<i>Vallisneria americana</i>	33	4.6	14.8
<i>Zosterella dubia</i>	62	13.5	4.2

(Table 2). The percentage of available spatial-complexity varied among plant strata (Figure 2). *P. pectinatus*, *H. verticillata*, and *E. densa* contained most complexity in the upper portion of the plant, where the top strata complexity was >50% of the values in the mid and low plant stratum. Spatial-complexity in the lower plant strata of *Z. dubia*, however, was 60% greater than the top strata (Figure 2).

Overall shading properties were significantly different among plant species ($\chi^2=40$, $df=5$, $P<0.01$), and significant inter- and intra-plant strata differences were noted (Table 2). The percentage of available light in *M. spicatum*, *V. americana*, and *Z. dubia*, was relatively high (59.2%, 57.8%, and 58.4%, respectively). Available light in *E. densa*, *H. verticillata*, and *P. pectinatus* was intermediate (36.7%, 33.7%, 20.5%, respectively). The lowest available light was recorded in *P. nodosus* (6.8%).

The amount of light transmitted from the water surface through the plants to the bottom was affected by the levels of spatial-complexity measured among the plants. However, *P. nodosus* differed from the other plant species because it exhibited low spatial-complexity and low light levels. Transmitted light was moderately correlated with spatial complexity for all plant architectures ($r = -0.40$; $P<0.01$), however, when *P. nodosus* was omitted from the analysis, a stronger correlation was noted ($r = -0.89$; $P<0.01$).

Table 2. Measurements of horizontal (*h*) and vertical (*v*) interstices ratios, spatial complexity (I_{hv}), and percent transmitted light in seven aquatic plants.

Plant species	N	Interstices ratio		Spatial complexity I_{hv}	Light transmitted %
		(f_h/I_h)	(f_v/I_v)		
<i>Egeria densa</i>	225	8.8	3.6	12.4	36.7
<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	318	11.0	7.6	18.6	33.7
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	82	0.9	0.6	1.5	59.2
<i>Potamogeton nodosus</i>	124	2.5	1.0	3.5	6.8
<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	442	33.0	9.0	42.0	20.5
<i>Vallisneria americana</i>	119	3.3	0.3	3.6	57.8
<i>Zosterella dubia</i>	171	5.0	3.2	8.2	58.4

DISCUSSION

Lillie and Budd (1992) described characteristics on one plant species (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), but no previous study to our knowledge has attempted to quantify species specific morphology by measuring differences in architecture among more than one plant type. Structural differences (i.e., gap length-frequencies and shading properties) among the plants measured in this experiment suggest aquatic plants are unique in structure and supply specific criteria that may

influence the quality of habitat for fishes and other animals. This is in agreement with previous studies suggesting habitat value of aquatic plants may be due to specific placement and arrangement of leaf and stem surfaces and that this architecture may control both the spatial and temporal distribution of macroinvertebrates and fish (Lillie and Budd 1992, Dionne and Folt 1991).

A pattern in spatial complexity and light measurements was observed among the macrophytes measured in our experiment. Plants with low complexity typically exhibited higher light readings and offered less shading, whereas plants with higher spatial complexity, especially in lower strata, exhibited additional shading effect. However, light transmission was not always dependent on spatial complexity as defined herein (i.e., interstices measurements), but also was dependent on differences in plant architecture (i.e., leaf size, arrangement and position). For example, *P. pectinatus* exhibited relatively high complexity at each stratum, and light intensities decreased from top to low strata. The combination of these measurements from this plant accurately described a morphology that contains no canopy with many horizontal leaves at each plant stratum, whereas the relatively low light levels and low complexity values in *P. nodosus* suggested a canopy and relatively low numbers of stems and leaves in the strata.

Aquatic plants exhibiting a morphology that provides more shade than spatial complexity may be important to young fishes. Johnson (1993) showed that shaded habitats with low complexity were selected by bluegills sunfish over habitats with high complexity and no shade. The shade that is created by the canopy of *P. nodosus* may improve visual acuity and improve forage and predator avoidance (Diehl 1988, Helfman 1981, Lynch and Johnson 1989). However, lack of spatial complexity allow fishes more mobility, especially larger ones, to pursue prey and/or escape predators.

Figure 2. Differences in the spatial-complexity values (I_m) calculated at different strata among the seven aquatic plants. *Vallisneria americana* was measured only at mid and low strata.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank M. Crouch, J. Snow and other individuals associated with the Lewisville Aquatic Ecosystem Research Facility for their assistance. This work was funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Aquatic Plant Control Research Program. Permission was granted by the Chief of Engineers to publish this information.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bonham, C. D. 1989. Measurements for terrestrial vegetation. Wiley, N.Y. pp.338.
- Canfield, Jr., D., M. V. Hoyer, and Duarte C. M. 1990. An empirical method for characterizing standing crops of aquatic vegetation. J. Aquat. Plant Manage. 28:64-69.
- Chambers, P. A., and J. Kalff. 1985. Depth distribution and standing crop of submersed macrophyte communities in relation to Secchi depth. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 42:701-709.
- Crowder, L. B., and W. E. Cooper. 1982. Habitat structural complexity and the interaction between bluegills and their prey. Ecology 63:1802-1813.
- Dibble, E. D., and S. L. Harrel. 1995. Effects of stem and leaf complexity in two aquatic plants (*Myriophyllum spicatum* and *Potamogeton nodosus*) on diets of adult largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Proc. 29th Ann. Aquatic Plant Control Research Program. Miscellaneous Paper A-95-2, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Diehl, S. 1988. Foraging efficiency of three freshwater fishes: effects of structural complexity and light. Oikos 53:207-214.
- Dionne, M., and C. L. Folt. 1991. An experimental analysis of macrophyte growth forms as fish foraging habitat. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48:123-131.
- Downing, J. A., and M. R. Anderson. 1985. Estimating the standing crop of aquatic macrophytes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 42:1860-1869.
- Duarte, C. M. 1987. The use of echosounder tracings to estimate the standing crop of submersed plants in lakes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44:851-855.
- Gilinsky, E. 1984. The role of fish predation and spatial heterogeneity in determining benthic community structure. Ecology 4:455-468.
- Helfman, G. S. 1981. The advantage to fishes of hovering in shade. Copeia 1981:392-400.
- Johnson, S. L. 1993. Cover choice by bluegills: orientation of underwater structure and light intensity. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 122:148-154.
- Keast, A. 1984. The introduced aquatic macrophyte, *Myriophyllum spicatum*, as habitat for fish and their invertebrate prey. Can. J. Zool. 62:1289-1303.
- Lillie, R. A., and J. Budd. 1992. Habitat architecture of *Myriophyllum* L. as an index to habitat quality for fish and macroinvertebrates. J. Freshwater Ecol. 7:113-125.
- Lynch, W. E., and D. L. Johnson. 1989. Influences of interstice size, shade, and predators on the use of artificial structures by bluegills. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 9:219-225.

- Maceina, M. J., J. V. Shireman, K. A. Laneland, and D. E. Canfield Jr. 1984. Prediction of submersed plant standing crop by used of a recording fathometer. *J. Aquat. Plant Manage.* 22:35-38.
- Mittelbach, G. G. 1981. Foraging efficiency and body size: a study of optimal diet and habitat use by bluegills. *Ecology* 62:1370-1386.
- Pardue, G. B. 1973. Production response of the bluegill sunfish *Lepomis macrochirus* to added attachment surface for fishh-food organisms. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 102:622-626.
- Savino, J. F., and R. A. Stein. 1982. Predator-prey interactions between largemouth bass and bluegills as influenced by simulated, submerged vegetation. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 111:225-266.
- Savino, J. F., and R. A. Stein. 1989. Behavioral interactions between fish predators and their prey: effects of plant density. *Anim. Behav.* 37:311-321.
- Statistix 1994. Statistix analytical software. Version 4.0: User's manual. Tallahassee, FL.
- Werner, E. E., and D. J. Hall. 1979. Foraging efficiency and habitat switching in competing sunfishes. *Ecology* 60:256-264.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final report
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Measurement of Plant Architecture in Seven Aquatic Plants			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Eric D. Dibble, K. Jack Killgore, Gary O. Dick			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Miscellaneous Paper A-97-7
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Architectural characteristics of seven aquatic macrophytes were quantified by measuring spatial complexity and shading properties. The plants were <i>Egeria densa</i> , <i>Hydrilla verticillata</i> , <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>Potamogeton nodosus</i> , <i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i> , <i>Vallisneria americana</i> , and <i>Zosterella dubia</i> . Two replicates of each plant were cultured in aquaria in concrete raceways. Light transparency and vertical and horizontal interstices measurements were taken at three strata in each plant species. Spatial complexity was calculated by adding the ratio between interstices frequency and size from both vertical and horizontal axes. Mean density and size of plant interstices differed significantly among plant species ($\chi^2 = 123$, $df = 6$, $P < 0.01$) and were greatest along horizontal axes. Plant complexity was lowest in <i>M. spicatum</i> and highest in <i>P. pectinatus</i> . Shading properties were significantly different among plants ($\chi^2 = 40$, $df = 5$, $P < 0.01$), and significant interplant and intraplant strata differences were noted.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Eelgrass Hydrilla Eurasian watermilfoil Pondweed Fish habitat Spatial complexity			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT