Property of the United States Government USCEC

MR 82-9

# Geological Character and Mineral Resources of South Central Lake Erie

Ьу

S. Jeffress Williams and Edward P. Meisburger

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 82-9

OCTOBER 1982



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

## U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

Kingman Building Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060 Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

> National Technical Information Service ATTN: Operations Division 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22181

Contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents. UNCLASSIFIED

1. REPORT NUMBER	MILL MADE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
MB 82-0	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
GEOLOGICAL CHARACTER AND MINER	AL RESOURCES	Mienellaneous Report
OF SOUTH CENTRAL LAKE ERIE		Miscerianeous Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(»)
S. Jeffress Williams		
Loward P. Meisburger		
3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	QF55	10. PROGRAM FI EMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Department of the Army		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Coastal Engineering Research C	enter (CRPEN_CE)	011665
Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir	Virginia 22060	631003
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	, seguna adoov	12. REPORT DATE
Department of the Army		October 1982
Coastal Engineering Research C	enter	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir	, Virginia 22060	62
14. MONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESSIII di	lierani iram Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; o	distribution unlimit	ed.
Approved for public release; ( 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract and	distribution unlimit termin Block 20, 11 different fro	ed. m Report)
Approved for public release; ( 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract and 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ilstribution unlimit termi in Block 20, 11 different fro	ed. m Report)
Approved for public release; ( 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetreci en 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 15. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessar	distribution unlimit nered in Block 20, 11 different fro	ed. m Report)
Approved for public release; ( 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 15. KEY WORDS (Continue on reserve side if necessar Beach nourishment	istribution unlimit nered in Block 20, (f different fro my and Identify by block number) Lake Erie	red. m Report) Presque Isle

DD 1 JAN 72 1473 EDITION OF 1 HOV 55 IS OBSOLETE

#### UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Bhan Data Entered)

nourishment projects on Presque Isle Peninsula. A total of 416 kilometers of seismic profiles and 49 cores with an average length of 4.1 meters were analyzed along with 23 grab samples.

Analyses of the seismic profiles, sediment cores, and grab samples show that four major geologic units are present. Paleozoic shale bedrock with a lakeward slope underlies the entire region. Shale crops out at the lake floor shoreward of the -10-meter contour and attains depths of -87 meters about 18 kilometers offshore. Thick units of glacial sediment overlie the bedrock surface and include assorted tills, stratified glaciofluvial sand and gravel, and stiff lacustrine muds. Beach and dune sands are present on the top of the transverse ridge between Long Point, Ontario, and Presque Isle. These sands result from the reworking of the morainal sediments comprising the ridge by coastal processes of an earlier Lake Erie. Modern soft muds are accumulating in deepwater, low-energy areas adjacent to the ridge and Presque Isle platform.

Sand and gravel of suitable size distribution and composition are present in large quantities in two locales. The ridge and platform features contain about 39 million cubic meters of proven resources within 2.3 meters of the lake floor; the seismic profiles of the subbottom show that two to three times that volume may be present if the entire ridge is considered. A second morainal ridge off Dans Beach, west of Erie, is judged to contain several million cubic meters, but its closeness to shore and the distance of 25 kilometers from Erie limit the fill potential of the ridge.

#### PREFACE

This report provides data and information on the geomorphology, geologic character, and sediment distribution on a part of Lake Erie with specific emphasis on locating, describing, and delineating offshore sand deposits having potential for use as fill material for beach nourishment projects on Presque Isle Peninsula. Seismic reflection data and sediment cores comprise the data base for this study which will contribute to the Beach Erosion Control Study of Presque Isle Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania, being conducted by the U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo. The work was carried out under the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center's (CERC) Barrier Island Sedimentation Studies work unit, Shore Protection and Restoration Program, Coastal Engineering Area of Civil Works Research and Development.

The report was prepared principally by S. Jeffress Williams, Geologist, with the assistance of Edward P. Meisburger, Geologist, in all phases of the study, under the general supervision of Dr. C.H. Everts, Chief, Engineering Geology Branch, and Mr. N. Parker, Chief, Engineering Development Division, CERC.

The authors acknowledge the assistance of the following people: D.A. Prins for collecting and reducing the data, J. Pope and D. Clark (Buffalo District) for their support and interest in conducting the study, Professor P. Knuth (Edinboro State College) for providing unpublished data and sediment samples from his own studies and several of his students for their help in collecting the survey data.

Original copies of the seismic profiles, as well as the cores, are stored at CERC. Requests for information relative to these data should be directed to S.J. Williams at CERC.

Technical Director of CERC was Dr. Robert W. Whalin, P.E., upon publication of this report.

Comments on this publication are invited.

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 31 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.

TED E. BISHOP Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

#### CONTENTS

	Pag	6
	CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)	6
	I INTRODUCTION	7 8 8 1
	<pre>II RESULTS</pre>	5.9
	LITERATURE CITED	8
APP	ENDIX	
	A CORE SEDIMENT DESCRIPTIONS	9
	B SEDIMENT GRAIN-SIZE DATA	5
	C RSA SIZE ANALYSIS	1
	TABLES	
l	Grain-size scales-soil classification	_4
2	Summary of wood fragments present in eight cores and radiocarbon-14	. 1
	age date results	. An
	FIGURES	
1	Location map of the study area	9
2	Data coverage of seismic profiles and vibratory cores	.0
3	Bathymetric map of the study area	.2
4	Map of the central Lake Erie basin showing the transverse ridge that connects with Long Point and projects toward Presque Isle 1	.3
5	Shore-normal profiles of Presque Isle	.5
6	Map of the shale bedrock surface	.6
7	Locations of the interpreted seismic profiles	.7
8	Profiles A and B off Presque Isle	.8
9	Profiles G and H west of Presque Isle	.8
10	Extent of probable moraine segments in the study area based on the seismic and core data	20
11	Cores containing wood fragments	!1

-

### CONTENTS

FIGURES-	-Cont	inued

																									P.	age
12	Map of potential 1	o	ro	W	81	rea	as	£¢	or	St	and	1 4	and	1 1	gre	ive	-1	×	•		٠	•	×	•	•	23
13	Profiles C and D.	٠	•		•	٠	٠	×	٠		٠	٠	•	٠		٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	••	•	٠	•	24
14	Profiles E and F.	•	ł	·	•	٠		•	•	÷			•		٠	÷	e.Č	٠		X		÷		•	÷	24
15	Profiles I and J.	•	•	•	•	•	2 <b>4</b> 2	•	•	•	•			•	•				•		٠	•		•		25
16	Profiles K and L.	•		•		۲	٠	æ	÷	•	÷	÷		•	•	•		ţ,	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
17	Profile M	÷	÷	٠	•		٠		•	٠	÷	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•		•	•	٠	26
18	Profiles N and O.					•			e	•	÷			•			÷	•			•	•		٠		26

.

CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	Ъу	To obtain
inches	25.4	millimeters
	2.54	centimeters
square inches	6.452	square centimeters
cubic inches	16.39	cubic centimeters
feet	30.48	centimeters
	0.3048	meters
square feet	0.0929	square meters
cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters
yards	0.9144	meters
square yards	0.836	square meters
cubic yards	0.7646	cubic meters
miles	1.6093	kilometers
square miles	259.0	hectares
knots	1.852	kilometers per hour
acres	0.4047	hectares
foot-pounds	1.3558	newton meters
millibars	$1.0197 \times 10^{-3}$	kilograms per square centimeter
ounces	28.35	grams
pounds	453.6	grams
	0.4536	kilograms
ton, long	1.0160	metric tons
ton, short	0.9072	metric tons
degrees (angle)	0.01745	radians
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: C = (5/9) (F -32). To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: K = (5/9) (F -32) + 273.15.

#### GEOLOGICAL CHARACTER AND MINERAL RESOURCES OF SOUTH CENTRAL LAKE ERIE

by

S. Jeffress Williams and Edward P. Meisburger

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Presque Isle Peninsula is a classic example of a compound recurved sandspit, which extends 4 kilometers into Lake Erie and about 10 kilometers along the Pennsylvania shoreline. Because of its position and morphology, Presque Isle acts as a natural offshore breakwater for Erie Harbor, blocking the prevailing winds and waves from southwest to northwest. However, Presque Isle has experienced severe erosion on the straight "neck" segment, because of its exposed position, while the eastern distal end has undergone continual growth in length and width. Presque Isle is important not only to the service of Erie Harbor, but also as a recreation resource to 3 to 4 million annual visitors. Because of this value several engineering plans have been implemented during the past 40 years in an attempt to diminish erosion and maintain the integrity and position of Presque Isle.

Presque Isle was first surveyed by Army engineers in 1819 because of erosion problems, and it became a federally authorized beach erosion project in 1824. Historically, severe erosion has always plagued the narrow neck part of Presque Isle. On at least four occasions waves have breached the neck and created inlets that separated the peninsula from the mainland; each time, however, the inlets have been closed either by natural processes or by Federal and State action. Serious interest in maintaining Presque Isle for recreation purposes and the protection of Erie Harbor began to grow in the late 1940's.

The first comprehensive coastal engineering plan, which began in 1956, consisted of constructing a system of groins combined with sandfill for beach nourishment along the western side of the peninsula mainland out about two-thirds the length of the peninsula. The sandfill was derived from borrow pits within Erie Harbor and was considered suitable but the mean grain was smaller than the native beach material. Because of this the sand was very unstable in the normal littoral environment, causing subsequent erosion and the rapid removal of the nourished shore. There have been numerous emergency fills and all but one, which was done in 1965, failed to maintain the desired beach width and height because the fine sand placed was highly susceptible to erosion. The 1965 nourishment plan included an experimental phase that placed coarse sand with a mean size of about 0.4 phi (0.75 millimeter), in comparison to native grain size of 2.1 phi (0.23 millimeter), on a 350-meterlong stretch of shore between groins No. 2 and 3 where the greatest erosion occurred (Berg and Duane, 1968). This fill was unique in that it was derived from a State-leased area about 13 kilometers offshore from the project. Sampling and profiling of the groin compartment following the coarse sandfill operation indicated that the shore experienced little loss of sand and maintained a stable profile. Berg and Duane's (1968) findings proved that the use of fill with a coarser size distribution than the native sand, but including all the native profile sizes, can be an effective means of both stabilizing the shore and providing a recreational resource.

The 1974 Water Resources Act provided funding over a 5-year period to plan and conduct new studies to stabilize the Presque Isle shore. The plan being studied by the U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo, may include the construction of five segmented offshore breakwaters and the placement of 1.3 million cubic meters of suitable coarse sandfill, along with annual nourishment requirements of about 137 000 cubic meters (U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo, 1979). Over a 50-year project life the requirement for sandfill would be about 8.1 million cubic meters.

This report discusses a survey that was conducted in 1977 and 1978, covering about 900 square kilometers of the Pennsylvania region of Lake Erie, by means of high resolution seismic reflection profiles and vibratory cores with the objective of providing detailed information on the character and quantities of submerged sand and gravel deposits. This data base will provide a significant contribution to the Beach Erosion Control Study of Presque Isle Peninsula currently being conducted by the Buffalo District.

#### 1. Scope of Survey.

The study area covered about 900 square kilometers of Lake Erie, from the Ohio-Pennsylvania border east 45 kilometers to the city of Erie, Pennsylvania, with particular emphasis on the offshore areas of the Presque Isle Peninsula (Fig. 1). The area of data collection extended from the shore lakeward generally about 8 kilometers, excluding the area northwest of Presque Isle that contains an elongate submerged ridge extending to Long Point on the Canadian side. Data coverage over the ridge area extends a maximum of 32 kilometers from the shore to the Canadian border. Water depths in the areas surveyed ranged from about -5 to -23 meters. A total of 416 kilometers of high resolution continuous seismic reflection profiles and 49 cores were collected (Fig. 2). Core lengths ranged from 1.3 to 6.1 meters and averaged 4.1 meters. Throughout both the seismic and coring surveys a Motorola Mini-Ranger III electronic positioning system was used to accurately record the positions of the survey vessels. The stated accuracy of this system is ±3 meters. These basic data were supplemented by pertinent scientific and technical literature and available National Ocean Survey (NOS) charts.

The seismic and coring data were collected during summer surveys in 1977 and 1978, as part of the Coastal Field Data Collection Program conducted by the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC). Additional funding and administrative support needed for a detail study of the offshore ridge was provided by the U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo. The present study is part of a larger investigation by CERC covering the south shore of Lake Erie from Erie to Toledo, Ohio. The Ohio part of the study was done in cooperation with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Geological Survey and results from those surveys are presented in two other reports, Williams, et al. (1980) and Carter, et al. (in preparation, 1982).

#### 2. Geographic Setting and Lake Floor Topography.

The study area is situated near the southern boundary of the eastern lake section that is part of the Central Lowland Physiographic Province. This entire region has been subjected to multiple episodes of continental glaciation during the past several million years and much of the land topography and drainage has been determined by the glacial events of erosion and deposition. The



Figure 1. Location map of the study area.

9



Figure 2. Data coverage of seismic profiles and vibratory cores.

10

basin comprising present-day Lake Erie was scoured by Pleistocene age glaciers, and numerous ancestral lakes occupied the basin following the latest glacial retreat about 12,000 years ago. These lakes fluctuated considerably in area and water level elevation depending upon climatic conditions and the degree of crustal isostatic rebound of the outlet to the Erie basin at Niagara Falls, New York. The presence of deeply incised stream valleys, shoreline deposits, and wave-cut shore terraces below present lake levels suggests that several lake stages below present have persisted, and these same features plus old lacustrine deposits presently subaerially exposed prove that some lake stages have beem significantly higher than at present. The lacustrine deposits and sandy shoreline deposits are particularly evident in the study area.

Figure 3 shows that the nearshore region in the study area is characterized by generally shore-parallel contours out to -21 meters with the exception of the Presque Isle platform and spit as defined by the -12-meter contour, and a linear topographic feature off Dans Beach that trends northwest. A prominent elongate trough that reaches a maximum depth of about 23 meters parallels the shore about 11 kilometers off Erie. It attains a minimum width of 3.2 kilometers off the base of Presque Isle and widens eastward to a broad and gently sloping plain that reaches depths of 30 meters about 24 kilometers north of Erie. Westward the trough widens gradually to about 6.5 kilometers off the Ohio-Pennsylvanía border.

North of the trough is a north-northwest trending linear ridge that is recurved as defined by the 20-meter contours in Figures 3 and 4. It has a crest elevation of about -15 meters and is asymmetrical with a steep slope eastward and a more gradual slope westward to -23-meter water depths. The main body of the ridge is 1.5 to 5.5 kilometers wide and extends northward across Lake Erie to the Canadian shore at the base of Long Point (Fig. 4). This ridge is the major boundary between the deep eastern section of Lake Erie basin and the more shallow central section, and as will be discussed later, has been very important to the origin and evolution of Presque Isle Peninsula.

#### 3. Data Analysis.

The seismic profiles collected were visually examined and marked to establish the primary geologic features to depths of about 23 meters below the lake floor, the maximum penetration and resolution of the systems used. Regional geologic reflectors were mapped, identified, and where possible correlated with sedimentary materials recovered in the cores.

The cores collected were sent to the CERC laboratory where they were split open lengthwise, described, and sampled in detail to include the sediment textural characteristics, sand composition, color, relative strength of cohesive materials, and presence of organic materials that might be radiocarbon dated to give absolute geologic ages of the sediments. Complete logs of the cores (App. A) include water depth at each site, length of recovered sediment, and thickness of each sedimentary unit as measured from the top of the core. The grain-size descriptions are based on the Wentworth classification as shown in Table 1.

Appendix B contains results from grain-size analysis using the Rapid Sediment Analyzer (RSA) for fine- to coarse-grained sands and sieve analysis for



Figure 3. Bathymetric map of the study area.



Figure 4. Map of the central Lake Erie basin showing the transverse ridge that connects with Long Point and projects toward Presque Isle.

Ur Clo	nified S assifica	oils tion	ASTM Mesh	mm Size	Phi Value	W Clas	entwort ssificati	h on
C(			Sart - maintaine	1256 0	3-R01		BOULD	ER
				///.76.0%	//-6.25//		COBBI	_E
G	OARSE RAVEL			64.0	-6.0	$ \rightarrow $		
FINE	GRAVEL			// (9.07	//-4.25/		PEBBL	.ε
				4.76	//-2.25/			
	course	hmm	5	4.0	-2.0		GRAVE	:L
S			18	1.0	0.0		very coarse	
A	medium		25	0.5	1.0		coarse	S
N			40///	// 0.42	1.25%	~	medium	A
D		•	60	0.25	2.0	$ \rightarrow $		N
	fine		120	0.125	3.0	$ \rightarrow $	fine	מ
			/// 200 ///	0.074	3.75%		very fine	
	SILT		230	0.062	4.0	$ \rightarrow $	<u>си т</u>	N 10 31
		Comm.	7/10/11/	0.0039	8.0		5111	
6		Gumme		0.0024	-12.0		CLAY	'
			Pre 44-00-00				COLLO	ID

Table 1. Grain-size scales-soil classification (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977).

coarser core samples; 128 RSA analyses were performed and 20 samples were seived. An additional 23 sediment grab samples from an August 1976 survey of 8 lake floor profiles normal to Presque Isle (Fig. 5), obtained from Professor P. Knuth (Edinboro State College), were analyzed. The RSA and sieve results for these are also in Appendix B.



Figure 5. Shore-normal profiles off Presque Isle.

#### II. RESULTS

#### 1. Primary Geologic Units.

Analyses of the seismic profiles and the cores show that four major geologic units are present in the study area: (a) Devonian age shale bedrock that comprises the eastern Erie basin and underlies the entire area; (b) Pleistocene age glacial sediments that include a complex assortment of till, stratified glaciofluvial debris, and lacustrine silt and clay from ancestral lakes; (c) beach and dune sand deposits that comprise the offshore ridge and the Presque Isle platform and peninsula; and (d) soft organic muds that cover much of lake floor in deeper areas and mantle the older deposits. a. <u>Shale Bedrock</u>. Figure 6 shows the extent and general relief of the bedrock surface based on the seismic data and logs from three deep borings. Because of the limited penetration on some profiles, bedrock was not mapped in detail throughout the study area; however, contour trends were drawn from the data points in Figure 6. Shale crops out along the shoreline in the study area but is covered in some areas by glacial and lacustrine deposits and unconsolidated masses of material from cliff slumping. Figures 7, 8 (profile A), and 9 show that the shale surface slopes lakeward and crops out at the lake floor to water depths of 9 to 12 meters. The relief is sometimes irregular; Figure 6 shows that bedrock reaches a maximum depth of -87 meters about 17.6 k\*lometers northwest of Presque Isle. Clearly, its depth in all areas, except within several hundred meters of the shore, is great enough to not interfere with dredging operations.



Figure 6. Map of the shale bedrock surface.

b. <u>Glacial Deposits</u>. These unconsolidated sediments, which comprise the largest volum: of any sedimentary unit in the region, overlie the shale bedrock and are most important as sources of sand and gravel. Several of the seismic profiles show that the offshore ridge originated as a glacial moraine that crossed the Lake Erie basin and was at one time continuous from shore to shore before development of modern Lake Erie. Its unofficial name is the Long Point-Erie Moraine. Parts of the moraine appear to be unstratified and may contain very coarse materials such as boulders; however, most of the ridge appears to be stratified and composed of poorly sorted, fine to very coarse sands and gravel. The main body



Figure 7. Locations of the interpreted seismic profiles.

17



Figure 8. Profiles A and B off Presque Isle.



Figure 9. Profiles C and H west of Presque Isle.

of the moraine (Fig. 10) comprises the ridge and the flat elevated platform immediately west of Presque Isle, but there is some evidence that minor glacial deposits or erosional remnants are present several kilometers east of Presque Isle and also northwest of the shore of Dans Beach near the Ohio border. All these glacial deposits .ppear to be related to the same glacial event, which is likely the Port Huron advance that has been age-dated by several investigators at about 13,000 years before present (B.P.).

Adjacent to the ridge is a gray-brown firm clay unit with scattered rounded pebbles at the lake floor; several cores and seismic profiles show that it has considerable thickness. The unit is most likely lacustrine in origin and was deposited in an earlier Lake Erie formed when the moraine dammed and backed up normal melt-water drainage. The clay unit's firm nature suggests that it is slightly overconsolidated, possibly the result of subaerial exposure when the ridge was breached and the lake level dropped. Erosion of the clay unit to the west of the ridge has left a lag deposit veneer of coarse-grained sediment in places that form isolated ridges with relief of several meters. Some of these small ridges, which are semiparallel to the main ridge, are asymmetric suggesting that they may be active and maintained by bottom currents caused by wind shear or barometric seiche action.

c. <u>Beach and Dune Deposits</u>. Following retreat of the glacier that deposited the Long Point-Erie Moraine, the outlet at Niagara Falls rebounded in elevation and present-day Lake Erie was formed.

The radiocarbon-14 dates of wood fragments contained in cores 4, 18, 23, and 28 (Table 2, Fig. 11) show that as early as about 11,000 years ago lake levels were still at least 22 meters below the present levels and remained there until at least 6,870 ± 150 years B.P. As lake levels gradually rose during this time the ridge and Presque Isle platform were high-energy coastal areas subjected to active littoral processes. The glacial tills were washed and sorted, and much of the fine-grained sediment was carried offshore and ultimately deposited in deeper parts of the basin. The beach and dune deposits that mantle the ridge and platform were derived directly from erosion of local glacial debris. The stabilization of lake levels over the past several thousand years has resulted in the sand being eroded from the ridge-platform and transported eastward to form Presque Isle Peninsula.

d. <u>Modern Soft Mud</u>. Several of the cores in deeper water adjacent to the Presque Isle platform and ridge contain gray, very soft mud with high water content and very low shear strength. Figure 5 shows that mud is especially common east of Presque Isle, and also present in troughs on the platform northwest of Presque Isle. Fine-grained material is being deposited at the present time throughout much of the Lake Erie basin except for relatively high-energy areas, such as along the coast or on elevated areas. The predominance of muddy sediment in the samples east of Presque Isle and the lack of sand suggest that sand from the eastern end of Presque Isle is not being transported eastward off the platform in any significant volume.

#### 2. Potential Sand and Gravel Deposits.

Analyses of the seismic and core data show that two separate areas, the ridge-platform moraine complex and the moraine ridge segment off Dans Beach, contain large quantities of clean (small percentages of silt and clay),



Figure 10. Extent of probable moraine segments in the study area based on the seismic and core data.

Cores	Water depth (-m)	Sediment depth to wood (m)	Total depth of wood (-m)	Corr carbon (yr	ecti -14 B.P	ed age .)	Matrix composition
11	23.2	1	24.1				Sand
4	20.4	1	21.5	3,240	±	210	Stity sand
171	17.7	2.4	20				Sand
18	18.5	3.6	22	5,870	+	150	Sand
23	19.2	2.2	21.4	8,545	土	150	Sand
271	19.2	2.5	21.7				Sand
28	18.4	3.5	21.9	10,800	±	190	Firm clay
341	13	3.3	16.2				Sand

Table 2. Summary of wood fragments present in eight cores and radiocarbon-14 age date results.

Sample too small for age dating.



Figure 11. Cores containing wood fragments.

medium- to coarse-grained sand mixed with gravel (Fig. 12). The area with greatest potential is the ridge-platform moraine complex; the moraine ridge segment off Dans Beach is considerably lower in potential. Figure 7 shows the locations of representative profiles B to F and I to 0 for the first area and profile H for the second area. Interpretations are shown in Figures 8, 9, and 13 to 18.

A total of 13 cores (2, 5 to 10, 15 to 18, 22, and 25) are fairly evenly distributed over the Long Point-Erie ridge to the Canadian border and all contain clean, generally medium to coarse sand with varying percentages of pebbles and gravel. The minimum thickness of sand is 0.76 meter (core 22, Fig. 17), while the maximum recovery is 3.9 meters (core 17, Fig. 16). The average sand thickness for the 13 cores is 2.3 meters; however, the seismic profiles show that sand and gravel are about 5 to 6 meters thick in the main body of the ridge and thin to zero at the flanks where contact is made with the firm lacustrine clay.

The area of the ridge shown in Figure 12 has been computed to be 20.3 million square meters; using a conservative figure of 1.7 meters for thickness, the estimated volume of sand is 37.2 million cubic meters.

The platform to the west and slightly lakeward of Presque Isle has a glacial origin in common with the Long Point-Erie ridge, and the seismic profiles and cores 28, 40, and 41 show the platform is composed of generally medium to coarse sand and pebbles overlying silty fine sands or firm lacustrine clay. Detailed bathymetric charts and the profiles (see Fig. 8, profile B) show that the platform surface is made up of several irregular shoals which semiparalled the Presque Isle shore and have maximum relief of about 4.5 meters. The origin of these shoals is likely to be related to glacial processes with subsequent reforming and winnowing of the topmost sediment by modern lake processes. However, the shoals could also be relict, drowned beach ridges from an earlier and more lakeward position of Presque Isle.

Although there has been some speculation, based on historic migration rates, that Presque Isle has migrated considerable distances since its formation, this study has shown that it is the product of erosion of glacial sediments on the adjacent platform and ridge. This suggests that Presque Isle has migrated no more than 8 kilometers in the past several thousand years.

The area on the platform encompassing cores 28, 40, and 41 (Fig. 12) is about 1.7 million square meters, using a sand thickness of 0.9 meter, the estiimated volume of material is 1.6 million cubic meters. However, there are several important factors that should be considered before the shoals on the platform are viewed as borrow sources. The other CERC cores on the platform and the grab samples show that the sediments from the platform are more variable in grain size and composition than the sediments from the offshore ridge. Therefore, the chances are greater that this material may have high proportions of silt, clay, and very fine sand, which would lessen its potential for being stable as fill. A second and possibly even more important consideration is that these shoals may be directly related to the nearshore sand transport regime, which would affect alongshore wave energy distributions along Presque Isle. Sand from Presque Isle beaches may move offshore and incorporate with the shoals under storm conditions, and then return to the shoreface-beach under fair-weather conditions. If a borrow pit were dredged in water that is too shallow littoral processes may remove sand from the shore zone in an attempt to refill the



Figure 12. Map of potential borrow areas for sand and gravel.



Figure 13. Profiles C and D.



Figure 14. Profiles E and F.



Figure 15. Profiles I and J.



Figure 16. Profiles K and L.



Figure 17. Profile M.



Figure 18. Profiles N and O.

pit and maintain an equilibrium shoreline profile. Also, the shoals on the platform may act to filter and dissipate wave energy, and removal of sand from the shoal crests could increase levels of wave energy impinging on Presque Isle.

The morainal ridge segment off Dans Beach (Fig. 9, profile H) is shown by cores 47 and 48 to contain 0.5 and 1.6 meters, respectively, fine to coarse sand. The ridge feature is 4.8 kilometers long and several hundred meters wide and is judged to contain several million cubic meters of sand. However, its distance of 25 kilometers from Presque Isle and its closeness to shore detract significantly from the potential of the ridge as a source of borrow material.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BERG, D.W., and DUANE, D.B. "Effect of Particle Size and Distribution on Stability of Artificially Filled Beach, Presque Isle Peninsula, Pennsylvania," *Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Great Lakes Research*, 1968, pp. 161-178 (also Reprint 1-69, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., NTIS 694 204).
- CARTER, C.H., et al., "Regional Geology of the Southern Lake Erie Bottom Between Conneaut and Marblehead, Ohio," U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. (in preparation, 1982).
- U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, BUFFALO, "Presque Isle Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania," General Design Memorandum, Phase I, Buffalo, N.Y., 1979.
- U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS, COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER, Shore Protection Manual, 3d ed., Vols. I, II, and III, Stock No. 008-022-00113-1, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1977, 1,262 pp.
- WILLIAMS, S.J., et al., "Sand Resources of Southern Lake Erie, Conneaut to Toledo, Ohio - A Seismic Reflection and Vibracore Study," MR 80-10, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Nov. 1980.

APPENDIX A

CORE SEDIMENT DESCRIPTIONS

Core No.	Gater deptu (m)	Core length (m)	laterval (m)	Description	Care No.	Wacer depth (a)	Core Length (p)	Interval (a)	Description
1	23.2	3.9	0 co 1.2	Dark gray, fine to medium send, well isminated, 10-cm-long wood fragment at -1.0 p.	6	15.0	2.7	0 to 2.7	Clean, gray, medium to comrac, well sorted sand; no layering, shells or pebbles.
			1.2 to 2.6	Interbedded fine to medium sand and clay.	7	16.5	1.7	0 to 1.5	Clean, gray, fine to medium, moderately well sorted sand; no Layering, shells or pebbles.
			2.6 to 2.7	Clean, medium to very coarse sand with pebbles.				1.5 to 1.6	Clean, gray, coarse to very charse sand and gravel (\$1.2-cm diameter).
			2.7 to 3.9	Tan-gray, cohesive clay containing several rounded pebbles (57.5-cm diameter),				1.6 to 1.7	Clean gray, fine to medium sand.
2	19.6	3.2	'O to 1.7	Clean, moderately well sorted medium sand.	8	15.8	3.3	0 to 0.8	Clean, gray-brown, medium to coarse sand.
			1.7 to 3.2	Fine to medium sand with thin clay layers.				0.8 to 1.1	Gray-brown, coarse to very coarse sand with rounded pebbles ( $\leq 4-cm$ diameter).
3	20.1	2.7	0 to 0.5	Clean, moderately sorted, medium sand with scattered pebbles (52.5-cm				1.1 to 3.3	Clean, gray, medium sand, grading down to fine sand.
			0.5 to 0.8	diameter). Moderately well sorted, fine sand with thin clay layer at -0.6 m.	9	17.1	4.8	0 to 1.5	Clean, gray-brown, coarse and very coarse sand with rounded pebbles $(\leq 4-cm$ diameter);0.2-m lag deposit of pebbles on top
	34		0.8 to 0.9	Fine sand, abundant mollusk shells.				1.5 to 2.1	Clean, gray, fine to medium sand.
			0.9 to 2.7	Fine sand with this clay layers.				2.1 to 3.0	Interbedded, fine sand and ciay (equal volumes of sand and clay).
4	20.4	4.2	0 to 0.2	Medium to coarse sand with rounded pebbles (\$ 2.5~cm diameter).				3.0 to 3.5	Same as above interval but clay pre- dominates over sand.
			0.2 to 0.7	Interbedded, gray clay and five to medium sand, sharp contacts; clay predominates.				3.5 to 4.8	Gray-brown, firm, cohesive clay.
			0.7 to 2.4	Same as above interval but sand pro- dominates; wood (ragment at -1.0 m.	10	17.7	1.9	0 to 0.3	Ciean, gray-brown, fine to medium sand with coarse grains, shelis and rounded pebbles (S 8-mm diameter).
			2.4 co 3.1	Tan-gray clay with thin fine saud layers.				0.3 to 1.5	Clean, gray, fine to medium sand; no shells or pebbles.
			3.1 to 3.8	Dark brown, medium mand.				1.5 to 1.6	Brown, cohesive clay; sharp contacts
			3.8 to 3.9	Tan-gray clay.				1.6 to 1.9	Same as second interval above.
			3.9 to 4.2	Dark brown, fine sand.				0 0 0	
5	19.6	3.0	0 to 3.0	Gray, medium to coarse sand becoming fine with depth; shall frequents at $-2.7$ m.		19.6	4.3	0 60 2.0	uchy-prown, soll, uniform textured mud; becomes firmer with depth; rounded peobles (\$3.5 cm long) on top.

Table. Sediment descriptions of Lake Erie cores (based on Wentworth classification).

					9	i.			
Core No.	Water denth	Core length	Incerval	Description	Core No.	Water depth	Core length	Intervel	Descript ion
,,,,,,	(m)	(=)	(m)			(a)	(a)	(=)	
-			2.0 to 3.6	Same as above but thin sand layers		tali e		0.7 to 2.1	Gray, interbedded clay and silty sand.
			3.6 CO 4.8	also prasent. Tan, firm, cohesive clay with scattered rounded (drop stone) pebbles, 5 cm	1			2.1 to 2.4	Gray, silty, sine to medium sand with a few thin clay layers.
				long at -4.0 m.				2.4 to 4.4	Gray, interbedded clay and wilty sand.
12	19.2	4.4	0 to 0.09	Very coarse sand and well-rounded peobles,≤3 cg long.				44061	Dark brown moderately stiff very
			0.09 to 0.4	Clean, brown, very course sand, annules, and rounded peoples				4.4 10 0.1	plastic clay.
			0.4 to 0.7	Sharp contact, moderately firm, tan- gray clay.	20	18.6	5.3	0 to 1.2	Clean, gray-brown, moderstaly well sorted, medium to comme send; becomes gravelly at -1.1 m.
			0.7 to 0.8	Brown, coarse to very coarse sand and				1.2 co 1.4	Gray, fine sand.
			<b>6 1 - 1 1</b>					1.4 to 5.3	Gray-brown, woft clay.
13	20.3	53	0.8 to 4.4 0 to 0.06	Soft gray clay.	21	18.4	6.1	0 to 1.1	Clean, moderately well sorted, light gray, medium to coarse sand.
• •	2019		0.C6 to 5.3	Tan, moderately firm clay, very cohe- sive with scattered rounded pebbles,				1.1 to 1.3	Clean, gray, coarns and vary coarse sand with pebbles.
				an instant it available same a				1.3 to 1.4	Grey, moderately firm clay with granules.
14	19.6	3.0	D to 0.09	Brown, silty, very coarse sand.				1.4 to 5.1	Gray-brown soft clay.
			0.09 to 3.0	Brown, firm clay with scattered rounded pebblas.	22	18.5	1.4	0 to 0.8	Class, gray, medium to coarse to very coarse sand, thin mud layer at -0.8 p.
15	18-6	L.8	0 co 1.8	Clean, dark gray, moderately well sorted, medium to coarse sand fining				0.8 to 1.4	Class, gray, fine to medium sand.
				downward; no layering, pebbles or shells.	23	19.2	3.1	Ο το Ο.3	Clean, brown, fine to medium sand, gravelly from 0.2 m to 0.3 m.
16	20.4	3.6	0 to 3.6	Clean dark gray, vell-sorted, medium . to coarse and.				0.] to 3.2	Clean, light gray to brown, fine to
. 17	17.7	3.9	0 to 3.9	Moderately well sorted, fine to medium					1.0 ., wood fragment at -2 m.
18	18.5	3.6	0 to 1.0	sand Layers; wood fragments at -2.4 m.				2.2 to 3.1	Light brown, medium sand with occa- sional 1 cm clay layers, wood frammer at ~2.5 m.
				sand that coarsens with depth; thin mod Layer at 0.7 m.	24	21.7	1.7	0 το 0.7	Cray, silty, moderately sorted fine sand.
			1.0 to 3.6	Sharp contact, moderately well sorted fine to medium sand, no layering, shells or pebblen; wood fragment at $-3.6$ m.			£	0.7 το 1.7	Sharp contact; light brown-gray, stiff clay with scattered rounded peobles.
19	20.4	6.1	D to 0.7	Cless, gray, moderately sorted, medium to coarse sand.	2.5	18.6	3.8	0 to 2.7	Clean, gray understely well sorted, fine to medium sand.

Core No.	Vater depth (m)	Core length (a)	Interval (m)	Description	Cor No.	Water depth (m)	Core length (m)	Interval (m)	Desetiption
-				Medium to very source, poorly sorted	31	21.5	6.1	0 to 3.1	Gray, soft to very soft clay.
				same with marriered rounded provides				3.1 to 3.2	Gray, silty sand.
			2.9 to 3.2	Interbedded clay and fine to mediam unon; 3.5-cm pebble at -3 m.				3.2 to 3.6	Gray, mole to very solt clay.
			3.2 (0 3.4	Stilly, very rowrse sand, poorly sorted with aboundant rounded petbles (\$3.3-cm dismostar).				3.6 20 4.6	Gray, silty, fine sand, slightly cohesive.
				a second of the second se				4.6 to 4.7	Clayey and with granules and publies.
2	:3.3	215	3 20 3.2	uand Layer at 1.2 m.				4.7 co 8.1	Brown-gray, moderately firm clay.
			1 10 1.2	Wray, and clay; sandy at bottom	32	18.2	4.15	0 cp 0.3	Clean, gray, medium to coarse sand.
27	.1.2	۲.4	1.20 11.2	Cluss, brown-gray, find to sedime sand.				0.3 to 0.4	Clay.
			.3 20 1.7	Grav. [icm. moddy [ins sand.				0.4 to 0.9	Clean, gray, medium to course tand, sharp contact at top.
			0. 2	dray clay.	1			0.9 to 4.6	Brown-gray, moderately firs clay.
			5.2 55 1.2	Slightly moddy fine mand.	33	12.1	2.3	0 co 2.1	Bark gray, alightly firm mad;
			1.3 40 3.4	Stewn-gray firm clay.					increasing will with depth.
28	18.4	6.1	0 20 1.3	Clean, brown-gray, medium to coarse mand.				2.1 10 2.3	Same as above but firmer; few rounded pethins (1 2.3-cm diameter) and S-cm-
			1.3 20 2.2	Sharp contact: gray, alley fine mand.					tong stongate shale fragmant.
			2.2 to 3.7	Gray, firm, silty clay; sharp contact; wood at -3.5.m.	24	10.6	6.1	0 to 3.1	Clean, gray, moderately well sorred, fine sand.
			3.7 to 6.1	Srown-gray firm clay with many rounded				3.1 to 3.5	Gray, alightly silty, fine sand.
				happres -				3.6 to 6.1	Gray, silty, fine sand becomes more
29	12.5	5.1	0 to 3.0	Clean, gray, fine to medium sand.					sandy layers.
			3.0 to 5.1	Gray, fine and becomes silty with depth.	35	9.5	6.1	0 to 2.7	Clean, gray, moderately well sorted
			5.1 to 5.6	Coarse sand with many small pebbles.					and the second s
			5.6 to 5.1	Grav-brown, moderately firm clay.				2.7 to 2.8	Clay.
		2.3		and and an and a second second				2.8 to 2.9	Yellow-hrown, clean, medium wand.
30	15.5	3.0	0 to 2.5	Acth solf Sish mrg.				2.9 to 3.2	Silty, very fine send.
			2.0 to 2.7	Silty, medium to coarse sand.	1			3.2 to 3.5	Gray-brown clay.
			2.7 20 3.2	Firm, sandy ulay.				1.1 to 5.9	Very fine, after sand,
			1.2 to 3.7	Noderately firm, slightly sandy clay.					
			3.7 to 3.8	Brown-gray, moderately firm clay.				314 63 9.1	stead, right stoad, tips said.

Table. Sediment descriptions of Lake Eric cores (based on Wentworth classification) . -- Continued

Gor« No.	Water depch (m)	Core Length (a)	incerval (m)	Description	Core bo.	Mater depth (m)	Gare length (m)	Interval (m)	Demoriation
36	11.1	6.1	0 to 0.5	Gray, very mofe mud; sharp contact	42	15.7	ų.5	0 to 0.2	Very fine, sandy sufc gray mud.
			o F - 1 F	The same and shirt sharp contact				0.2 to 0.7	Same as above but more sand.
			0.5 [0 1.3	at base.				0.7 to 2.2	Same as shove but firmer mad.
			1.5 to 3.2	Gray, firm, slightly sandy clay.				2.2 to 2.7	Gray, muddy, course to very coarse sund,
			3.2 to 6.1	Gray, firm, slightly sandy clay; shalls near bottom.				ز.ټ 2.7 to	Moderately firm, gray clay with rounded
37	10.2	6.1	0 co 1.5	Clean, gray fine sand.	1		~ 0		pendigo.
,			1.5 to 6.1	Gray, fine to medium sand interbedded	43	),7.0	3.3	0 to 0.5	Gray, tine sand, very soft mid.
		-		with clay layers 2.5 to 5.0 cm.				0.5 to 1.2	Gray, moderately firm sandy clay.
31	14.7	3.7	0 to 2.5	Clean, gray, fine to sedium sand.	8			L.2 to 1.3	Coarse and very coarse sand, granulue, and pubbles.
				Gray, tirm clay				1.3 to 3.5	Cisan, gray-brown, modium to coarse sand.
20		340 .	3.3 to 5.7	Gray, muddy, medium to coarse sand.				3.5 to 3.5	Gray, fine clayey sand; slightly co-
39	13.0	ti - 1	0 00 0.0	Clean, gray, very fine to fine sand.					besive.
			0.0 co 0.9	Clean, gray, medium to course sand.				3.6 to 5.5	Gray-brown, firm clay.
			0.9 to 2.4	Clean, gray, fine to modium sand.	64	14.8	1.3	0 co 0.9	Mottled silt and cley Isyers.
			2.4 to ].7	Thin (2 to 5.0 cm) layers of clay, sendy clay, and fine to coarse sand, wood frament at -3.3 m.				0.9 to 1.3	Publics and granulus on top (lag deposit): moderately stiff, silty mud.
			7. 7 co ( 0	Cray fine ro suffice claves dand	45	14.2	3.1	0 20 0.09	Gravelly fine wand(\$ 2.5-rm diameter).
			5.7 10 4.9	interhedded with 1.3 cm clay layers.				0.09 (0.0.?	Fine mand.
			4.9 to 3.8	Gray, firm clay; sandy in places.				0.2 to 3.1	Very fine to fine sand with silt layers.
			5.8 to 6.1	Clean, gray, coarse and very toarse and.	61	13.0	4.1	0 to 0.3	Medium sand, moderately well sorted.
40	15.7	4.1	0 to 0.5	Clean, brown, course to very coarse sand with removed achies				0.3 to 1.3	Sandy alls.
				The second				1.3	Silry, medium sand.
			J.J CO U.S	to fine sand at -0.8 s.				1.3 co 3.9	Moderately firm mid.
			0.8 to ú.9	Brown-gray, medium to coarse sand.				3.9 to 4.1	Silty, very fine and; 10-cm-diameter rock framement at -5.0 m.
			0.9 to 1.8	Gray, wilty, fine sand.	42	13.0	5.8	0 to 0 é	Medium sand, moderately well sorted.
			1.8 to 4.1	cray-brown, moderately (irm clay, rounded mebble at -2.0 m.		x3.0	2.0		modeling for some sould
43	U.1	4.0	0.00	Class was fire to with and wolfer				U.4 LO U.S	renting to contract solut
010046	Children and Child	358.2(9) 4 <b>9</b> 5.0	C EO →.9	slightly finer with depth; 2.5-cm layer				0.5 co 4.8	Silt and mildy sand.
				at -2.4 m.				4.8 to 4.9	Clay and publiss.

## Table. Sediment descriptions of Lake Eric cores (based on Wentworth classification). -- Continued

Cote No.	Water depth	Core Length	Interval	Description
	(ב)	(5)	(ב)	
			4.9 to 5.8	Silt and fine sand.
48	12.6	4.8	0 to 1.6	Fine, medium and coarse sand with thin clay layers.
			1.6 to 1.7	Silt and clay.
			1.7 to 2.1	Fine to medium sand with this clay layers.
			2.1 to 2.5	Silt and clay, grading down to fine to medium sand.
			2.5 to 2.6	Sandy silt.
			2.6 co 2.7	Fine to medium quand.
×			2.7 co 4.6	Mottled silt and clay.
			4.6	Well-sorted, fine sund.
			4.6 to 4.8	Silt and clay.
49	14.2	4.8	0 to 0.7	Muddy sand.
			0.7 ro 0.8	Silt grading down to fine to medium sand.
			0.8 to 0.9	Course sand and pubbles.
			0.9 to 3.1	Silt and clay.
			3.1 co 3.2	Silty medium mand.
			3.2 to 3.5	Silt and clay.
			3.5 to 3.6	Medium to coarse sand.
			3.6 to 4.8	Silt and clay.

Table. Sediment descriptions of Lake Erie cores(based on Wentworth classification).--Continued

APPENDIX B

SEDIMENT GRAIN-SIZE DATA

	TUNTE D-T.	Julia Gran		Distance y Lind for		2.7.2.1.22
Core No.	Depth (m)	Me (phi)	an (mm)	Mec (ph1)	iian (mm)	Standard deviation
			<b></b>			
1	Top	2.5	0.18	2.4	0.19	0.59
	1.5	2.7	0.15	2.7	0.15	0.68
2	Тор	1.6	0.33	1.5	0.37	0.61
	0.5	1.5	0.36	1.3	0.40	0.65
	0.9	1.7	0.32	1.4	0.37	0.69
	2.3	2.1	0.23	2.2	0.22	1.03
	3.2	2.4	0.19	2.3	0.20	0.68
3	Тор	1.7	0.30	1.3	0.40	0.87
	0.3	1.5	0.40	1.3	0.40	0.62
	0.6	2.9	0.15	2.9	0.13	0.57
	1.4 to 1.9	2.5	0.20	2.7	0.16	1.03
	2.3	2.7	0.16	2.7	0.16	0.47
4	0.8	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.21	0.66
	2.1	2.5	0.18	2.6	0.16	0.94
	3.1	2.4	0.19	2.3	0.20	0.66
	4.0	2.6	0.17	2.6	0.14	0.88
5	Top	2.0	0.25	1.8	0.29	0.78
	0.6	2.4	0.19	2.4	0.19	0.52
	1.5	2.6	0.17	2.5	0.18	0.44
	2.4	2.5	0.17	2.5	0.17	0.45
	3.0	2.6	0.16	2.6	0.17	0.46
6	Top	1.9	0.27	1.8	0.28	0.47
2 <del>10</del>	0.6	1.8	0,30	1.7	0.32	0.46
	1.2	1.9	0.28	1.8	0.30	0.49
	1.0	1.9	0.28	1.8	0.30	0.57
	1 9	1 9	0.27	1.8	0.28	0.51
7	Top	2 1	0.24	2 2	0.22	0.65
	0 6	2.0	0.25	2.2	0.23	0.79
	1 2	2.0	0.26	2.1	0.26	0.47
	1.5	2.0	0.23	2.0	0.23	1 01
g	Top	1 8	0.29	1 9	0.27	0.65
0		1.8	0.29	1.9	0.29	0.63
	1.2	2.0	0.25	1.9	0.20	0.00
	1.0	2.0	0.21	2,5	0.27	0.67
	1.7 2.4	2.5	0.17	2.5	0.20	0.07
	2.4	2'	0.17	2.5	0.10	0.45
0	2.2	2-4	0.15	2.5	0.10	0.55
9	1.9	2.0	0.15	2.0	0.17	1 20
10	6.** Ton	2.2	0.21	4./ 2 1	0.15	1.54
10	10p	2 · L 2 E	0.24	2.1	0.24	0.02
	0.0	2.0	0.10	2.4	0.20	0.54
	1.2	2.3	0.21	2.3	0.20	0.55
	1.9	2.0	0.25	2.1	0.23	1.04
12	Тор	2.0	0.26	1.9	0.27	0.51
	0.9	1.9	0.27	1.9	0.27	0.56
	1.9	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.21	0.65

Table B-1. RSA	Granulometric	Data,	Lake	Erie	Cores.
----------------	---------------	-------	------	------	--------

No.         (m)         (ph1)         (mm)         (ph1)         (mm)         deviation           16         Top         2.0         0.26         1.9         0.28         0.54           1.9         2.0         0.26         1.9         0.28         0.57           2.4         2.0         0.25         1.8         0.28         0.75           17         Top         1.8         0.28         1.7         0.30         0.51           0.6         2.0         0.25         1.8         0.29         0.61           1.1         to 1.2         2.0         0.25         1.9         0.28         0.64           2.5         2.0         0.25         1.9         0.28         0.64           2.5         2.0         0.23         2.0         0.26         0.69           3.9         3.0         0.13         0.42         0.55         0.5           0.5         1.0         0.50         1.3         0.42         0.56           0.5         1.0         0.50         1.3         0.42         0.56           0.5         1.0         0.33         1.2         0.44         0.38           1.9<	Core	Depth	Me	an	Median		Standard	
16       Top       2.0 $0.26$ $1.9$ $0.28$ $0.54$ $1.9$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $1.9$ $0.28$ $0.57$ $1.9$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $1.9$ $0.28$ $0.57$ $17$ Top $1.8$ $0.28$ $1.7$ $0.30$ $0.51$ $0.6$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $1.8$ $0.29$ $0.61$ $0.9$ $1.9$ $0.26$ $1.8$ $0.29$ $0.61$ $1.1$ to $1.2$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $1.9$ $0.26$ $0.56$ $3.1$ to $3.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $0.69$ $3.9$ $3.0$ $0.13$ $2.9$ $0.51$ $0.29$ $0.55$ $0.9$ $1.6$ $0.32$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $1.00$ $1.9$ $0.22$ $0.21$ $2.2$ $0.21$ $0.22$ $0.442$ $0.9$ $1.6$ $0.33$ $1.2$ $0.42$ $0.86$ $0.9$ $1.6$ $0.33$ $1.2$ $0.442$ $0.8$	No.	(11)	(phi)	(mm)	(ph1)	(mm)	deviation	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					-			
16       Top       2.0       0.26       1.9       0.28       0.42         1.9       2.0       0.26       1.9       0.28       0.57         2.4       2.0       0.25       1.8       0.28       0.75         17       Top       1.8       0.28       1.7       0.30       0.51         0.6       2.0       0.26       1.8       0.29       0.61         0.9       1.9       0.26       1.8       0.29       0.61         1.1       to 1.2       2.0       0.25       1.9       0.26       0.56         3.1       to 3.2       2.1       0.23       2.0       0.26       0.69         3.9       3.0       0.13       2.9       0.56       0.56       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.22       0.42       0.56         0.9       1.6       0.32       1.4       0.38       1.08       1.09       0.23       0.61         1.9       2.2       0.21       2.2       0.23       0.61       0.3       0.44       0.32       0.44       0.35         0.9       1.6       0.33       1.2       0.42       0.86       0.57         0.6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.04</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>0.54</td>				0.04	1.0	0.29	0.54	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	Top	2.0	0.26	1.9	0.20	0.42	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0,9	1.9	0.27	1.9	0.23	0.42	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.9	2.0	0.26	1.9	0.28	0.57	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.4	2.0	0.25	1.8	0.28	0.75	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	Top	1.0	0.28	1.7	0.30	0.51	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.6	2.0	0.26	1.8	0.29	0.61	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.9	1.9	0.25	1.8	0.29	0.61	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.1 to 1.2	2.0	0.25	1.9	0.28	0.64	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.5	2.0	0.25	1.9	0.26	0.56	
3.9 $3.0$ $0.13$ $2.9$ $0.13$ $0.20$ 18         Top $1.9$ $0.27$ $1.8$ $0.29$ $0.55$ $0.5$ $1.0$ $0.50$ $1.3$ $0.42$ $0.56$ $0.9$ $1.6$ $0.32$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $1.08$ $1.9$ $2.2$ $0.21$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $0.42$ $0.61$ $3.6$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.61$ $3.6$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.61$ $19$ Top $1.61$ $0.33$ $1.2$ $0.42$ $0.86$ $0.3$ $1.54$ $0.34$ $1.3$ $0.40$ $0.57$ $0.6$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $1.2$ $0.45$ $0.84$ $20$ Top $1.6$ $0.32$ $1.4$ $0.28$ $0.64$ $1.2$ $1.7$ $0.31$ $1.2$ $0.43$ $0.76$ $0.90$		3.1 to 3.2	2.1	0.23	2.0	0.26	0.69	
18       Top       1.9       0.27       1.8       0.29       0.53         0.5       1.0       0.50       1.3       0.42       0.56         0.9       1.6       0.32       1.4       0.38       1.08         1.9       2.2       0.21       2.2       0.22       0.44         2.7       2.2       0.22       2.1       0.23       0.61         3.6       2.2       0.22       2.1       0.42       0.86         19       Top       1.61       0.33       1.2       0.42       0.86         0.3       1.54       0.34       1.3       0.40       0.57         0.6       1.4       0.38       1.2       0.45       0.84         20       Top       1.9       0.27       1.8       0.28       0.64         1.2       1.7       0.31       1.2       0.44       1.27         21       Top       1.6       0.32       1.4       0.38       0.57         0.6       1.3       0.40       1.2       0.43       0.76         0.9       2.4       0.19       2.6       0.24       0.90         0.6       1.3 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3.9</td><td>3.0</td><td>0.13</td><td>2.9</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.20</td></t<>		3.9	3.0	0.13	2.9	0.13	0.20	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	Тор	1.9	0.27	1.8	0.29	0.55	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.5	1.0	0.50	1.3	0.42	0,56	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.9	1.6	0.32	1.4	0.38	1.08	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.9	2.2	0.21	2.2	0.22	0.44	
3.6 $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.61$ 19Top $1.61$ $0.33$ $1.2$ $0.42$ $0.86$ $0.3$ $1.54$ $0.34$ $1.3$ $0.40$ $0.57$ $0.6$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $1.2$ $0.45$ $0.84$ 20Top $1.9$ $0.27$ $1.8$ $0.28$ $0.64$ $1.2$ $1.7$ $0.31$ $1.2$ $0.44$ $1.27$ $21$ Top $1.6$ $0.32$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $0.57$ $0.9$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.2$ $0.21$ $0.70$ $22$ Top $1.2$ $0.45$ $0.9$ $0.54$ $0.90$ $0.6$ $1.3$ $0.40$ $1.2$ $0.43$ $0.76$ $0.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $0.50$ $1.4$ $2.1$ $0.24$ $2.1$ $0.24$ $0.64$ $23$ Top $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $0.56$ $1.9$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.59$ $3.1$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $0.56$ $24$ Top $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $0.6$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $1.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.23$ $0.51$ $27$ Top $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.0$ $0.22$		2.7	2.2	0.22	2.1	0.23	0.61	
19         Top 0.3         1.61         0.33         1.2         0.42         0.86           0.3         1.54         0.34         1.3         0.40         0.57           0.6         1.4         0.38         1.2         0.45         0.84           20         Top         1.9         0.27         1.8         0.28         0.64           1.2         1.7         0.31         1.2         0.44         1.27           21         Top         1.6         0.32         1.4         0.38         0.57           22         Top         1.2         0.45         0.9         0.54         0.90           22         Top         1.2         0.45         0.9         0.54         0.90           3         0.9         2.2         0.22         0.22         0.50         0.76           0.9         2.2         0.22         0.22         0.50         0.56           1.4         2.1         0.24         2.1         0.64         0.64           23         Top         2.0         0.25         2.0         0.26         0.50           1.9         2.1         0.23         2.1         0.23		3.6	2,2	0.22	2.1	0.23	0.61	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	Top	1.61	0.33	1.2	0.42	0.86	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.3	1.54	0.34	1.3	0.40	0.57	
20Top $1.9$ $0.27$ $1.8$ $0.28$ $0.64$ $1.2$ $1.7$ $0.31$ $1.2$ $0.44$ $1.27$ $21$ Top $1.6$ $0.32$ $1.4$ $0.38$ $0.57$ $0.9$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.2$ $0.21$ $0.70$ $22$ Top $1.2$ $0.45$ $0.9$ $0.54$ $0.90$ $0.6$ $1.3$ $0.40$ $1.2$ $0.43$ $0.76$ $0.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $0.50$ $1.4$ $2.1$ $0.24$ $2.1$ $0.24$ $0.64$ $23$ Top $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $0.56$ $0.9$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $0.50$ $1.9$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.59$ $3.1$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $0.56$ $24$ Top $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $0.6$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $24$ Top $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.23$ $0.51$ $1.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.23$ $0.51$ $1.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $0.56$ $25$ $0.6$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $2.7$ $7.0$ $0.25$ $2.3$ $0.21$ $1.15$ $27$ Top $2.1$ </td <td></td> <td>0.6</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.84</td>		0.6	1.4	0.38	1.2	0.45	0.84	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	Top	1.9	0.27	1.8	0.28	0.64	
21Top1.6 $0.32$ 1.4 $0.38$ $0.57$ 22Top1.2 $0.45$ $0.9$ $0.54$ $0.90$ 0.61.3 $0.40$ 1.2 $0.43$ $0.76$ 0.92.2 $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $0.54$ 23Top2.0 $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $0.66$ 1.42.1 $0.24$ $2.1$ $0.24$ $0.64$ 23Top2.0 $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $0.56$ $0.9$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $0.56$ $1.9$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.59$ $3.1$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $0.56$ $24$ Top $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $0.6$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $1.02$ $25$ $0.6$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.3$ $0.20$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.3$ $0.22$ $0.26$ $0.72$ $0.3$ $1.8$ $0.28$ $1.8$ $0.29$ $1.04$ $28$ Top $1.3$ $0.4$		1.2	1.7	0.31	1.2	0.44	1.27	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	Top	1.6	0.32	1.4	0.38	0.57	
22Top1.20.450.90.540.900.61.30.401.20.430.760.92.20.222.20.220.501.42.10.242.10.240.6423Top2.00.252.00.250.560.92.00.252.00.260.501.92.10.232.10.230.593.13.20.113.20.110.5624Top2.40.192.60.170.860.62.40.192.60.171.02250.62.10.232.10.230.511.92.20.222.20.220.582.72.00.252.30.211.1527Top2.10.232.00.260.720.31.80.281.80.291.0428Top1.30.411.10.470.770.61.50.351.20.420.911.22.00.251.90.280.8529Top2.30.202.30.200.80		0.9	2.4	0.19	2.2	0.21	0.70	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	Top	1.2	0.45	0.9	0.54	0.90	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.6	1.3	0.40	1.2	0.43	0.76	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.9	2.2	0.22	2.2	0,22	0.50	
23Top2.0 $0.25$ 2.0 $0.25$ 0.66 $0.9$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $0.50$ $1.9$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.59$ $3.1$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $3.2$ $0.11$ $0.56$ $24$ Top $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $0.86$ $0.6$ $2.4$ $0.19$ $2.6$ $0.17$ $1.02$ $25$ $0.6$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.24$ $0.56$ $1.2$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.1$ $0.23$ $0.51$ $1.9$ $2.2$ $0.22$ $2.2$ $0.58$ $2.7$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $2.3$ $0.21$ $1.15$ $27$ Top $2.1$ $0.23$ $2.0$ $0.26$ $0.72$ $0.3$ $1.8$ $0.28$ $1.8$ $0.29$ $1.04$ $28$ Top $1.3$ $0.41$ $1.1$ $0.47$ $0.77$ $0.6$ $1.5$ $0.35$ $1.2$ $0.42$ $0.91$ $1.2$ $2.0$ $0.25$ $1.9$ $0.28$ $0.85$ $29$ Top $2.3$ $0.20$ $2.3$ $0.20$ $0.80$		1.4	2.1	0.24	2.1	0.24	0.64	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	Top	2.0	0.25	2.0	0.25	0.56	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.9	2.0	0.25	2.0	0.26	0.50	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.9	2.1	0.23	2.1	0.23	0.59	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.1	3.2	0.11	3.2	0.11	0.56	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	Top	2.4	0.19	2.6	0.17	0.86	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.6	2.4	0.19	2.6	0.17	1.02	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	0.6	2.1	0.23	2.0	0.24	0.56	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.2	2.1	0.23	2.1	0.23	0.51	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.9	2.2	0.22	2.2	0.22	0.58	
27         Top         2.1         0.23         2.0         0.26         0.72           0.3         1.8         0.28         1.8         0.29         1.04           28         Top         1.3         0.41         1.1         0.47         0.77           0.6         1.5         0.35         1.2         0.42         0.91           1.2         2.0         0.25         1.9         0.28         0.85           29         Top         2.3         0.20         2.3         0.20         0.80		2.7	2.0	0.25	2.3	0.21	1.15	
0.3         1.8         0.28         1.8         0.29         1.04           28         Top         1.3         0.41         1.1         0.47         0.77           0.6         1.5         0.35         1.2         0.42         0.91           1.2         2.0         0.25         1.9         0.28         0.85           29         Top         2.3         0.20         2.3         0.20         0.80	27	Top	2.1	0.23	2.0	0.26	0.72	
28         Top         1.3         0.41         1.1         0.47         0.77           0.6         1.5         0.35         1.2         0.42         0.91           1.2         2.0         0.25         1.9         0.28         0.85           29         Top         2.3         0.20         2.3         0.20         0.80	970 - 14	0.3	1.8	0.28	1,8	0.29	1.04	
0.61.50.351.20.420.911.22.00.251.90.280.8529Top2.30.202.30.200.80	28	Top	1.3	0.41	1.1	0.47	0.77	
1.22.00.251.90.280.8529Top2.30.202.30.200.80		0.6	1.5	0.35	1.2	0.42	0.91	
29 Top 2.3 0.20 2.3 0.20 0.80		1.2	2.0	0.25	1.9	0.28	0.85	
	29	Top	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.20	0.80	

Table B-1. RSA Granulometric Data, Lake Erie Cores .-- Continued

Core No.	Depth (m)	Me (phi)	ean (mm)	Mec (phi)	lian (mm)	Standard deviation
		-		•		
32	Тор	1.5	0.36	1.3	0.40	0.59
	0.2	1.6	0.33	1.5	0.36	0.54
	0.8	1.8	0.28	1.7	0.31	0.58
34	Тор	2.2	0.22	2.1	0.24	0.57
	0.6	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.20	0.67
	1.5	2.6	0.17	2.5	0.18	0.55
	3.0	2.6	0.16	2.6	0.17	0.59
35	Тор	2.4	0.19	2.3	0.20	0.57
	1.2	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.21	0.39
37	Top	2.4	0.20	2.4	0.19	0.81
	0.3	2.4	0.19	2.4	0.18	0.49
	0.6	2.3	0.20	2.4	0.20	0.53
	1.2	2.5	0.18	2.5	0.18	0.59
	1.9	2.6	0.16	2.6	0.17	0.61
	3.0	2.6	0.17	2.7	0.15	1.03
	4.6	2.0	0.26	2.8	0.15	1.66
20	5.5	2.8	0.15	3.2	0.11	1.18
38	Top	2.6	0.16	2.7	0.15	0.73
	1.5	2.0	0.25	2.5	0.18	1.37
20	3.7	1.4	0.39	1.2	0.45	0.61
39	Top	3.2	0.11	3.1	0.11	0.09
	0.7	1.3	0.39	1.0	0.49	0.94
	2.1	2.3	0.21	2.3	0.21	0.74
	3.0	2.7	0.15	2.7	0.16	0.50
	4.7	2.5	0.18	2.7	0.15	1.09
40	6.0	1.0	0,28	1.6	0.33	0.75
40	0.8	2.4	0.19	2-4	0.19	0.75
41	lop	1.9	0.26	1.8	0.28	0.56
	1.2	2.0	0.25	2.0	0.26	0.53
	2.4	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.21	0.53
1.2	2.9	2.5	0.18	2.4	0.19	0.53
42	2.0	2.0	0.49	1.0	0.59	0.84
40	Тор	2.0	0.25	2.0	0.25	0.52
47	$0.2 \pm 0.3 = 0$	1'7	0.35	1.7	0.36	0.52
	0.2 10 5.0	1 2	0.32	1,/	0.31	0.51
48	U.J	2.0	0.41	7.7	0.47	1.04
-0		2.0	0.27	2.0	0.24	0.85
	1 0	2-2	0.22	2.2	0.22	0.83
	1.9	2.2	0.21	2.2	0,22	0.73

Table B-1. RSA Granulometric Data, Lake Erie Cores .-- Continued

		- Size Di	stribution (pct)		0.25 to 1.0
Core	>0.850	0.425 to 0.850	0.250 to 0.425	0.250	pct medium
No.	(mm)	<u>(mm)</u>	(mm)	(mm)	and coarse
1	0.2	0.5	46.0	53.3	46.7
· 2	0	25.2	44.5	30.3	69.7
3	C	31.3	54.7	14.0	86.0
4	0.1	22.8	48.6	28.5	71,4
5	9.3	3.9	27.7	59.1	40.9
6	0.2	4.9	48.8	46.3	53.9
7	3.6	2.8	7.6	86.0	14.0
8	2.9	51.3	14.5	31.3	68.7
9	Coarse	e sand and pebble	2S		
10	7.3	2.1	23.4	67.3	32.8
15	2.2	16.8	44.7	36.3	63,7
16	0.2	28.5	35.9	35.6	64.6
17	7.0	10.0	47.8	35.4	64.8
18	0.1	3.3	47.8	48.0	51.2
19	0.4	46.7	45.6	7.7	92.7
20	2.2	2,6	63.7	31.3	68.7
21	0	2.3	82.9	14.8	85.2
22	36.2	25.4	22.3	16.0	83.9
23	0.1	0.5	24.2	74.7	24.8
24	29.4	2.3	2.3	66.0	34.0
25	71.1	16.4	7.8	4.6	95.3

Table B-2. Preliminary size distribution data of selected top samples from Lake Erie ICONS cores.

Sample	Depth	Mea	នព	1	ledian	Standard
No.	(m)	(phi)	(mm)	(phi)	(mm)	deviation
1-2	8.0	2.8	0,15	2.7	0.15	0.61
1-3	7.0	2.3	0.21	2.4	0.20	0.50
1-4	8,5	2.3	0.21	2.4	0.19	0.71
2-1	4.0	2.9	0.13	3.0	0.13	0.50
2-2	7.3	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.20	0.42
2-3	8.5	2.5	0.18	2.5	0.18	0.50
2-4	9.1	2.4	0.20	2.4	0.19	0.50
3-1	2.4	2.5	0.17	2.5	0.17	0.48
3-2	8.5	Too co	parse for	r RSA ana	alysis, see	sieve sheet
3~3	9.1	2.5	0.18	2.4	0.17	0.72
3-4	12.8	2.7	0.16	2.6	0.16	0.52
4-1	3.0	2.3	0.20	2.3	0.20	0.60
4-2	9.1	2.0	0.26	2.0	0.25	0.48
4-3	9.1	1.6	0.34	1.4	0.39	0.69
4-4	13.7	1.5	0.37	1.2	0.44	0.92
5-1.	0.9	Too co	arse for	r RSA ana	lysis, see	sieve sheet
5-2	3.0	Predou	inantly	mud, too	fine for 1	RSA analysis
5-3	7.3	2.1	0.23	2.0	0.25	0.88
5-4	9.8	1,9	Q.28	1.7	0.30	0.69
5-5	15.2	Predom	inantly	muð, too	fine for 1	RSA analysis
6-1	1.2	1.9	0.26	2.0	0.26	0.63
6-2	12.1	Predom	inantly	mud, too	fine for 1	RSA analysis
6-3	15.2	Predom	inantly	mud, too	fine for l	RSA analysis
7-1	7.9	Predom	inantly	mud, too	fine for i	RSA analysis
7-2	2.1	Predom	inantly	mud, too	fine for H	RSA analysis
7A-1	4.0	2.0	0.25	1.9	0.26	0.72
7A-2	13.4	Predom	inantly	mud, too	fine for H	RSA analysis

Table B-3. RSA grain-size data, grab samples.

----

APPENDIX C

RSA SIZE ANALYSIS

.



Presque Isle grab sample 5-1



Presque Isle grab sample 3-2









Core 8, 0.8 to 1.1 m



Core 9, (top)

÷



Core 9, -(	0.3 m	1				M. =	0.1
(excludes	one	pebble	6	3/4	sieve)	a	1.07

mm



Core 9, -0.6 m

 $M_{d} = -0.1 \text{ phi}$ 1.07 mm



core 9, -0.9 m		$M_{a} = 0.4 \text{ phi}$
(includes pebble	@ 7/16 sieve)	0.76 mm



•





Core 12, -0.4 m

 $M_d \approx -1.2 \text{ phi}$ 2.30 mm







Core 21, -1.3 m





Core 25, -3.4 m



Core 39, -6.1 m

M<sub>d</sub> = -0.1 phi 1.07 mm











<ul> <li>Williams, S. Jeffress</li> <li>Geological character and mineral resources of south central lake</li> <li>Frie / by S. Jeffress Williams and Edward P. Metsburger-Fort</li> <li>belvoir, Va. : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center, Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1982.</li> <li>[62] p. : ill. ; 28 cm(Niscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center ; no. 82-9)</li> <li>Cover title.</li> <li>"October 1982."</li> <li>Report provides seissic reflection and sediment core data on the</li> <li>generybology, geologic character, and sediment distribution for a</li> <li>part of lake fris. Emphasis is on locating, describing, and delineating offshore sand deposits for potential use as fill material for</li> <li>beach nourishment. 2. Lake Eric. 3. Presque Tale. I. Titls.</li> <li>1. Beach nourishment. 2. Lake Eric. 3. Presque Tale. I. Titls.</li> <li>11. Matsburger, Edward P. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center</li> <li>(U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center (U.S.)); no. 82-9.</li> <li>TC203 JUSBIER no. 82-9</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Williams, S. Jeffress</li> <li>Geological character and mineral resources of south central Lake</li> <li>Eris / by S. Jeffress Williams and Edward P. MeisburgerVort</li> <li>Belvoir, Va.: U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal ingineering</li> <li>Besearch Center, Springfield, Va.: available from NTIS, 1982.</li> <li>[62] p.: fill.; 20 cm(Miscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering Research Center; no. 82-9)</li> <li>Cover title.</li> <li>"October 1982."</li> <li>Beport provides seismic reflection and sediment core dats on the geomorphology, geologic character, and sediment distribution for a part of lake Frie. Rephasis is on locating, describing, and delineating offshore sand deposits for potential use as fill material for beach nourishment. 2. Lake Erie. 3. Presque Isle. 1. Title.</li> <li>1. Mesburger, Edward P. 111. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 82-9.</li> <li>TC203 .0581mr no. 82-9 627</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Williams, S. Jeffress</li> <li>Geological character and mineral resources of south central Lake</li> <li>Erie / by S. Jeffress Williams and Edward P. Metaburgar-Fort</li> <li>Beloir, Va. : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Chastal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center, Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1982.</li> <li>[62] p. : iil. ; 28 ca(Miscellameous report / Coastal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center ; no. 82-9)</li> <li>Cover title.</li> <li>"October 1982."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Williams, S. Jeffress</li> <li>Williams, S. Jeffress</li> <li>Geological character and minetal resources of south central lake</li> <li>Trie / by S. Jeffress Williams and Edward F. Meisburger-Fort</li> <li>Belvoir, Vs. : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineering Kenserch Center, Springfield, Vs. : swallable from NTIS, 1982.</li> <li>(b2) p. : iii. : 28 cm(Miscellaneous teport / Constal Engineering</li> <li>Research Center ; no. 82-9)</li> <li>Cover title.</li> </ul>
Report provides seismic reflection and sediment core data on thu geomorphology, geologic character, and andiment distribution for a part of Lake Eric. Emphasis is on locating, describing, and delin- eating offshoré sand deposits for potential use as fill material for beach nourishment on Freeque Isle Peninsula, Étie, Pennsylvania. 1. Beach nourishment. 2. Lake Eric. 3. Presque Isle. 1. Title. 11. Meisburger, Edward P. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Suries: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 82-9.	Report provides setumic reflection and sediment core data on the geomorphology, geologic character, and sediment distribution for a part of lake Erie. Emphasis is on locating, describing, and delin- esting offshore sand deposits for potential use as fill material for beach nourishment on Presque fale Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania. 1. Beach nourishment. Z. Lake Erie. 3. Presque Isle. I. Title. 11. Meisburger, Edward F. III. Constal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Constal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)): no. 82-9.