

US-CE-CProperty of the United States Government





TECHNICAL REPORT D-77-6

AQUATIC DISPOSAL FIELD INVESTIGATIONS EATONS NECK DISPOSAL SITE LONG ISLAND SOUND

APPENDIX C: PREDISPOSAL BASELINE CONDITIONS OF BENTHIC ASSEMBLAGES

by

D. Keith Serafy, David J. Hartzband, Marcia Bowen New York Ocean Science Laboratory Montauk, New York

> November 1977 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

LIBRARY_BRANCH TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER US ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI

(日日日)日日日 (日日日)日日日 (日日日)日日日日 (日日日)日日日日

Prepared for Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army Washington, D. C. 20314

Under Contract No. DACW51-75-C-0016 (DMRP Work Unit No. 1A06C)

Monitored by Environmental Effects Laboratory U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180

AQUATIC DISPOSAL FIELD INVESTIGATIONS EATONS NECK DISPOSAL SITE LONG ISLAND SOUND

Appendix A:	Hydraulic Regime and Physical Characteristics of Bottom Sediment
Appendix B:	Water-Quality Parameters and Physicochemical Sediment Parameters
Appendix C:	Predisposal Baseline Conditions of Benthic Assemblages
Appendix D:	Predisposal Baseline Conditions of Demersal Fish Assemblages
Appendix E:	Predisposal Baseline Conditions of Zooplankton Assemblages
Appendix F:	Predisposal Baseline Conditions of Phytoplankton Assemblages

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS P. O. BOX 631 VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

IN REPLY REFER TO: WESYV

18 November 1977

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Technical Report D-77-6 (Appendix C)

TO: All Report Recipients

The technical report transmitted herewith represents the results of 1. one of several research efforts (Work Units) undertaken as part of Task 1A, Aquatic Disposal Field Investigations of the Corps of Engineers' Dredged Material Research Program. Task 1A is a part of the Environmental Impacts and Criteria Development Project (EICDP), which has as a general objective determination of the magnitude and extent of effects of disposal sites on organisms and the quality of surrounding water, and the rate, diversity, and extent such sites are recolonized by benthic flora and fauna. The study reported on herein was an integral part of a series of research contracts jointly developed to achieve the EICDP general objective at the Eatons Neck Disposal Site, one of five sites located in several geographical regions of the United States. Consequently, this report presents results and interpretations of but one of several closely interrelated efforts and should be used only in conjunction with and consideration of the other related reports for this site.

2. This report, <u>Appendix C</u>: Predisposal Baseline Conditions of Benthic Assemblages, is one of six contractor-prepared appendices that are published as Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report D-77-6 entitled: Aquatic Disposal Field Investigations, Eatons Neck Disposal Site, Long Island Sound. The titles of the appendices of this series are listed on the inside front cover of this report. The main report will provide additional results, interpretations, and conclusions not found in the individual appendices and will provide a comprehensive summary and synthesis overview of the entire project.

3. The purpose of this report, conducted as Work Unit 1A06C, was to determine the baseline conditions of the macrofauna and meiofauna at an established disposal site off Eatons Neck, Long Island, New York, and to compare the disposal site with surrounding areas that were not disposed on. This report includes a determination of the distribution of benthic communities within the disposal site, the reference area and the adjacent surrounding area. Benthic distributions were determined through grab sampling, sub-coring, and epibenthic sled tows. Grain-size analysis, biomass determination, and sediment temperature profiles were also taken to augment the benthic distribution determinations.

18 November 1977

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Technical Report D-77-6 (Appendix C)

The conclusions of the report are that a mud or silt-clay habitat 4. exists for the disposal area. Dominant species or macrofauna for the habitat in the site are the polychaetes Mediomastus ambiseta and Nephtys incisa and the bivalves Mulinea lateralis and Nucula proxima. This mud assemblage is generally characterized by lower species diversity, biomass, and density of benthic organisms relative to those of the sand assemblages. It was further observed that the biology of the mud area at the dump site was very similar to nondumped mud reference areas of that portion of Long Island Sound. The same was found for the sand areas. The data suggest recovery at the dump site had progressed to a somewhat stable condition representative of that portion of Long Island Sound.

The baseline evaluations at all of the EICDP field sites were devel-5. oped to determine the base or ambient physical, chemical, and biological conditions at the respective sites from which to determine impacts due to the subsequent disposal operations. Where the dump sites had historical usage, the long-term impacts of dumping at these sites could also be ascertained. Controlled disposal operations at the Eatons Neck site, however, did not occur due to local opposition to research activities and even though the Eatons Neck project was terminated after completion of the baseline, this information will be useful in evaluating the impacts of past disposal at this site. The results of this study are particularly important in determining placement of dredged material for open-water disposal. Referenced studies, as well as the ones summarized in this report, will aid in determining the optimum disposal conditions and site selection in relation to the benthic ecology of the historical dump site and surrounding area.

Warn

JOHN L. CANNON Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

WESYV

	GE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER 2.	GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Technical Report D-77-6	
I. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
AQUATIC DISPOSAL FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	, EATONS NECK
DISPOSAL SITE, LONG ISLAND SOUND; API	ENDIX C: Final report
PREDISPOSAL BASELINE CONDITIONS OF BI	NTHIC 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
ASSEMBLAGES	
D Keith Serafy	
David J. Hartzband	Contract No.
Marcia Bowen	DACW51-75-C-0016
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
New York Ocean Science Laboratory	
Montauk, New York	DMRP Work Unit No. 1A06C
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	November 1977
Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Arr	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Washington, D. C. 20314	238
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different fr	om Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
II C Amma Englangen Unterstander Errorde	
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experim	Unclassified
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp	Unclassified
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 	Unclassified 39180 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 1tion unlimited. Block 20, if different from Report)
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp: 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in the second second	Unclassified Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 1tion unlimited. Block 20, if different from Report)
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in the second s	Unclassified Unclassified 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in the second s	Unclassified Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 1tion unlimited.
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Unclassified Unclassified Unclassification/downgRading Schedule
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 1998) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 1990) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Unclassified Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 1tion unlimited. Block 20, If different from Report)
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 1990) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Unclassified Unclassified 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Nation unlimited.
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 19. Supplementary NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i 	Unclassified 39180 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 11100 unlimited. Block 20, 11 different from Report) tentily by block number)
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i Benthos Field i 	Unclassified 39180 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
 U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i Benthos Disposal areas Marine Developed material 	Inclassified Uncla
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experin Environmental Effects Laboratory P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississipp 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribu 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and I Benthos Field i Disposal areas Marine Dredged material Waste d Eatons Neck disposal site	Unclassified Uncla

.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued).

The silt-clay or mud sedimentary environment is the largest benthic habitat in the Eatons Neck Disposal Site, extending over most of the site except in the vicinity of reefs. The mud sediments harbor a relatively distinct macrobenthic assemblage dominated numerically by the polychaetes Mediomastus ambiseta and Nephtys incisa and the bivalves Mulinia lateralis and Nucula proxima. In the sandy environment of Budd Reef in the northern corner of the site, the crustacean Hutchinsoniella macracantha, the polychaetes M. ambiseta and N. incisa, the bivalve Tellina agilis, and the nemertean Tubulanus pellucidus were the most abundant species. The sand assemblage occurring at Cable and Anchor Reef in the extreme eastern section of the site was dominated by the annelids M. ambiseta, Aricidea cirruti, and Polygordius triestinus, oligochaetes, nematodes, the bivalve T. agilis, and the amphipods Ampelisca vadorum and Phoxocephalus holbolli.

The mud assemblage generally had lower species diversity, biomass, and density of macroinvertebrates than the two sand assemblages. Deposit feeders were typically the most abundant species in all assemblages. Temporal changes occurred in benthic species composition and abundance. The meiobenthos was dominated by nematodes and harpacticoid copepods.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

THE CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR ADVERTISING, PUBLICATION, OR PROMOTIONAL PURPOSES. CITATION OF TRADE NAMES DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL ENDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS.

PREFACE

This report presents the results of an investigation to determine the baseline conditions of the macrofauna and meiofauna at an established disposal site off Eatons Neck, Long Island, New York.

The study was supported by the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Environmental Effects Laboratory (EEL), Vicksburg, Mississippi, under Contract No. DACW51-75-C-0016 to the New York Ocean Science Laboratory, Montauk, New York. The report forms part of the Dredged Material Research Program, which is sponsored by the Office, Chief of Engineers. Contracting was handled by the New York District (NYD); COL Thomas C. Hunter, CE, NYD, was contracting officer.

The report was written by D. Keith Serafy, David J. Hartzband, and Marcia Brown.

The following New York Ocean Science Laboratory personnel assisted in the collecting, sorting, and identification of the samples: Nancy Bernius, Warren Black, Amy Breyer, Gail Erskine, Diane Guyer, Bruce Harke, Diane Lindstedt, Karl Nilsen, Noel Rowe, Lorraine Scheele, and Kim Warren. L. S. Kornicker, National Museum of Natural History (NMNH), confirmed the ostracod identifications and provided access to the national collection of crustacea. M. H. Pettibone, NMNH, confirmed some polychaete identifications and provided access to the national collection of polychaetes.

The study was conducted under the direction of the following EEL personnel: Dr. R. M. Engler, Environmental Impacts and Criteria Development Project Manager, and James Reese, Site Manager.

The study was under the general supervision of Dr. John Harrison, Chief, EEL.

Directors of WES during the study and preparation of this report were COL G. H. Hilt, CE, and COL J. L. Cannon, CE. Technical Director was Mr. F. R. Brown.

CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	age
PREFACE	2
PART I: INTRODUCTION	4
Background	4 6
PART II: SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS	8
Sampling	8 12
PART III: RESULTS	15
Sediment Characteristics	15 15 33 37
PART IV: DISCUSSION	38
Macrofauna	38 45 47
LITERATURE CITED	49
TABLES 1-11	
APPENDIX A': Results of Sediment Analyses, Eatons Neck Disposal Site	A1
APPENDIX B': Mean Number of Macrofaunal Invertebrates Collected by the Smith-McIntyre Bottom Grab	B1
APPENDIX C': Mean Number of Macrofaunal Invertebrates Collected by an Epibenthic Sled, Replicates 1, 2, and 3	C1
APPENDIX D': Mean Number of Meiofaunal Invertebrates, Replicates 1, 2, and 3	D1

AQUATIC DISPOSAL FIELD INVESTIGATIONS, EATONS

NECK DISPOSAL SITE, LONG ISLAND SOUND

APPENDIX C: PREDISPOSAL BASELINE CONDITIONS OF BENTHIC ASSEMBLAGES

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. The United States Army Corps of Engineers was authorized by Congress in the 1970 River and Harbor Act to initiate studies to provide information on the environmental impact of dredged material disposal. This study was conducted under contract with the Corps of Engineers to determine the baseline conditions of the macrofauna and meiofauna at an established disposal site off Eatons Neck, Long Island. Eatons Neck was to be used in future experiments to determine the regional effects of the disposal of dredged material on the environment.

The Eatons Neck Disposal Site is located in western Long Island 2. Sound and is depicted by the lower parallelogram in Figure 1. It is approximately 1 by 2 miles with the long axis extending NE by SW. The Eatons Neck original disposal site was used for the disposal of dredged material from 1902 until March 1973.* Since records were first kept in 1954, approximately 10.4 million m³ of dredged material has been dumped at the site. In addition, Eatons Neck served as the disposal site for construction and demolition wastes as well as 30 vessels (mostly barges) over 10 m in length. The upper parallelogram depicts the extension of the site granted just prior to the initiation of this study. Most of the central part of the extension is part of the Norwalk disposal site. The only previously known benthic sampling at the site was reported by Serafy (1974).

3. The study was begun in late October 1974 and was designed to

* Personal communication, James Reese, Eatons Neck Site Manager, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, 1974.



۶

Figure 1. Benthic macrofauna stations sampled from 29 October 1974 to 22 April 1975 for the Eatons Neck aquatic field investigation

տ

monitor the results of several discrete disposal operations of different sediment types (sands and muds). However, a decision was made by the Corps to discontinue the research at the conclusion of the baseline study as a result of local political opposition. Consequently, no disposal operations were monitored and the study results can be treated only as an inventory of benthic assemblages at a historical disposal site.

Literature Review

4. Benthic studies conducted in Long Island Sound are relatively few in number and results are mostly presented in unpublished draft reports or technical reports rather than in referenced journals.

5. Benthic studies in western Long Island Sound include one conducted by the U. S. Department of Commerce (1972) near David's Island and one along the Dunwoodie-Glenwood Interconnection (Alexander and D'Agostino, 1972).

The central portion of Long Island Sound has been studied more 6. intensely than the western part, particularly with respect to power plant Several benthic studies were conducted near the Long Island sitings. Lighting Company power plant at Northport, Long Island. Hechtel (1970) collected intertidal invertebrates from sand and mud habitats while Ernst (1970) examined the flora and fauna of the jetty and deeper water areas. Polychaetes were examined by Mulstay (1971) at Northport and later D'Agostino and Colgate (1973) studied the entire bethic invertebrate fauna. Hechtel (1967) sampled the invertebrates of Flax Pond, a tidal marsh along the north shore of Long Island, while on the other side of the Sound, Richards and Riley (1967) surveyed the epifaunal invertebrates near Charles Island, Connecticut. Sanders (1956) sampled the central Sound and described the soft-bottom community of Nephtys incisa - Yoldia limatula. This community was limited to sediments containing more than 25 percent silt-clay and was found in depths of approximately 4 - 30 m.

7. Benthic studies in eastern Long Island Sound include Perlmutter (1971), who sampled the aquatic environs of a proposed nuclear power plant at Shoreham, Long Island. However, invertebrates were generally

not identified to species level and little can be interpreted from his data. Serafy and D'Agostino (1974) conducted a quantitative, 1-yr study of the benthic invertebrates off Shoreham. A total of 342 species were collected with many additional forms only identified to genus or higher taxon. Three macrobenthic assemblages were present off Shoreham: (a) a sand assemblage which was numerically dominated by the archiannelid <u>Polygordius triestinus</u> and the bivalve <u>Tellina agilis</u>; (b) a transitional muddy sand assemblage which was numerically dominated by the capitelid polychaete <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u>; and (c) a rocky sand assemblage which included a sand and an epizonic rock component.

8. Benthic studies at dredged material disposal sites in Long Island Sound include a series of papers by Rhoads (1972, 1973a, 1973e, 1974a, 1974b, and 1974c) and Rhoads et al. (1975) on the New Haven dump site. Rhoads also sampled Guilford Harbor (Rhoads, 1973c) and the Milford, Branford, and Guilford Disposal Grounds (Rhoads, 1973d). Bivalve death assemblages were used by Rhoads (1975) to reflect environmental change in Long Island Sound over the last 150 yr.

9. Saila et al. (1972) provide information on the effects of dredged material on the benthos of nearby Rhode Island Sound and a survey of macrobenthic invertebrates in Greenwich Bay was conducted by Stickney and Stringer (1957).

10. Animal-sediment relationships of the infauna in Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts, were examined by Sanders (1958). In a later paper, Sanders (1960) described the structure of the soft-bottom community (Nephtys incisa - Nucula proxima) in Buzzards Bay.

11. The south shore of Long Island was sampled by O'Connor (1972) who surveyed the benthic invertebrates of Moriches Bay and by Steimle and Stone (1973) who sampled the offshore sediments.

PART II: SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

Sampling

Macrofauna

12. <u>Grab samples</u>. An initial survey of macrofauna at 36 stations (EB1 - EB11 and EX1 - EX25) was conducted with a 0.1-m^2 Smith-McIntyre Grab on 29 and 31 October 1974 (Figure 1). Based on results from these data, nine experimental stations (EB1 - EB9) and three control stations (EB10 - EB12) were selected as permanent stations to be sampled for the remainder of the study. Permanent stations were selected on the basis of sediment type, species associations, and distance from other permanent stations since several separate experiments were planned. Three replicate bottom grabs were collected at each station. On 6 December 1974 the permanent stations were sampled and EB12 was sampled for the first time.

13. After 6 months of sampling, it was apparent that WES was not going to be able to obtain the necessary amount of dredged material to conduct several discrete disposal experiments and only one experiment could be conducted. At the request of WES the study was modified to monitor only one dumping experiment on silt-clay sediments. Therefore, on 22 April 1975, 15 new stations (A1 - A15) along two perpendicular transects (Figure 2) were established in the vicinity of the proposed disposal site and these stations were sampled 4 times from 22 April to 17 June 1975. All previously sampled stations were discontinued except The former was to be the only control station outside the EB11 and EB2. disposal site while the latter was continued at the request of WES in case more funds and dredged material became available for a second experiment. Table 1 gives the geodetic position and depth at mean low water (mlw) for all stations sampled at Eatons Neck.

14. Three replicate samples were taken at each station and a sediment core was removed from the first of each replicate. Sediments were pretreated according to Ingram (1971) and the coarse (>62 μ) and mud (<62 μ) fractions separated. The coarse fraction was further divided



Figure 2. Benthic macrofauna stations sampled from 22 April 1975 to 17 June 1975 for the Eatons Neck aquatic field investigation

into 2.0-, 1.0-, 0.5-, 0.25-, 0.125-, and 0.062-mm fractions. Pipet analyses were conducted according to Galehouse (1971) to resolve the mud components into silt $(4 - 62 \cdot \mu)$ and clay (<4 μ) fractions.

15. Grab samples were placed in plastic bags aboard the boat and 30. ml of concentrated formalin was added to each sample. The following day sediment volume was recorded and the samples were washed through a 0.5-mm The retained material was refixed in 3 percent buffered formalin sieve. with Rose Bengal for 1 or 2 days then transferred to 70 percent ethanol. Samples were elutriated to remove the less dense organisms (polychaetes, crustacea, etc.), while the more dense organisms (molluscs, etc.) were removed by hand. Organisms were then sorted under a dissecting microscope, enumerated, and, where possible, identified to species level. Taxonomic keys in Gosner (1971) were sufficient for many groups. Other keys used were as follows: platyhelminths (Hyman, 1944), nematodes (Wieser, 1953, 1954), nemerteans (McCaul, 1963), molluscs (Abbott, 1968, 1974), polychaetes (Blake, 1971; Day, 1967; Hartman, 1944, 1959a, 1959b, 1965a, 1965b; Hartman and Fauchald, 1971; Pettibone, 1953, 1963, 1966), pycnogonids (McCloskey, 1973), stomatopods (Manning, 1974), amphipods (Barnard, 1958, 1969; Bousfield, 1965, 1973; McCain, 1968; Shoemaker, 1947), isopods (Richardson, 1972; Schultz, 1969), ostracods (Blake, 1929, 1933; Cushman, 1906; King and Kornicker, 1970; Kornicker, 1967; Maddocks, 1969; Williams, 1966), and decapods (Borradaile, 1903; Williams, 1965, 1974).

16. Biomass determinations were made as total dry weight on the first of the three replicate macrofaunal samples starting in December 1974. Any large epifaunal species greater than 2 g was omitted from the total biomass value since these organisms are poorly sampled with grabs and their inclusion masked any seasonal changes in the infauna.

17. <u>Epibenthic sled samples</u>. A seasonal qualitative study of the larger epifaunal invertebrates was conducted with a small epibenthic sled (40-cm x 18-cm opening) fitted with a 2-mm mesh net. Three experimental stations (EB3, EB4, and EB9) and one control station (EB11) were sampled on 21 December 1974, 17 and 28 February 1975, and 13 May 1975 with three replicate, 5-min tows each. Samples were washed through

a 2-mm sieve and the organisms were sorted according to species. Meiofauna

18. <u>Grab samples</u>. Time and money constraints enabled only 12 of the 36 macrofaunal stations (EB2, EB3, EB5, EB9, EX10, EX13, EX14, EX17, EX19, EX21, and EX25) to be sampled for meiofauna during October 1974 (Figure 1). These 12 stations were chosen before the permanent macrofauna stations were established and were based on visual sediment characteristics and the degree to which they were isolated from other such stations within the disposal site. This was done since several discrete experiments were planned. When these 12 samples were analyzed, only 2 (EB3 and EB9) appeared satisfactory as permanent stations. The other 2 permanent stations (EB4 and EB11) were selected based on data from the macrofauna since the meiofauna was considered ancillary to the macrofauna study. These 4 permanent meiofauna stations were then sampled 4 times from 6 December 1974 through 1 April 1975.

19. When the study was modified, 11 new meiofaunal stations were located in the western portion of the dump site (Figure 2) while all the EB stations except EB2 and EB11 were deleted for the same reasons discussed above for the macrofauna. The new meiofauna stations (A1, A2, A3, A5, A6, A7, A9, A10, A11, A13, and A14) and the old EB2 and EB11 stations were sampled bimonthly from 22 April to 17 June 1975. At that time all sampling was discontinued.

20. <u>Core subsamples</u>. A core subsample was taken from each of three replicate grab samples for meiofaunal analysis. A Hope corer (3.5 cm in diameter) described by Hulings and Gray (1971) was used to obtain the meiofauna samples and the corer generally penetrated 10 cm into the sediment. The cores, sampling a surface area of 9.6 cm², were divided into two vertical components: upper 5 cm (top) and below 5 cm (bottom). Core samples were placed in glass jars and refrigerated at 5°C until they were washed the following day.

21. Each sample was placed in a beaker and an equal volume of 6 percent magnesium chloride was added. After allowing 10 min for anaesthetization, the sample was stirred thoroughly and the supernatant

poured through a series of two sieves, 0.5 and 0.062 mm. This process was repeated five times with filtered seawater. Organisms retained on the 0.5-mm sieve were not part of the meiofauna and were discarded. Organisms retained on the 0.062-mm sieve were preserved in 70 percent ethanol and Rose Bengal (.025 gm/l of 70 percent ethanol). Specimens were sorted with mouth pipets and dissecting microscope, counted, and, where possible, identified to species level.

Grab and box corer comparison

On 9 April 1975, five replicate grab samples were collected 22. with a Smith-McIntyre grab sampler (0.1 m^2) and a box corer (0.1 m^2) in the muddy sediments at station EB2. Five replicate samples were similarly collected from the muddy gravelly sand sediments at EB10, but before these samples were completely sorted and identified the study was modified to include only muddy sediments. Thus a comparison was not needed in the sandy sediments. Since 3 replicates were completed when the study changed, these data are presented along with the data for the muddy sediments. Total numbers of individuals and species were determined for each sample, as well as dry-weight biomass, depth of penetration, and total sediment volume. Each of these variables was subjected to a t-test comparison between the two types of sampling gear for both sediment types.

Statistical Analysis

23. A Shannon-Weaver diversity index was calculated for each sample according to the method of Lloyd, Zar and Karr (1968). The formula is as follows: $H' = C\sum_{j=1}^{2} P_{j}$ log P_{j} where P_{j} is the probability that a randomly selected individual will belong to species A, in an infinite series of individuals with S species $A_1, A_2, \dots A_s$. The constant C is a positive unit conversion factor. Species evented and the samples according to Pielou (1975) where: $J' = \frac{H'}{\log S}$. Species richness was calculated according to Pielou (1975) where: $SR = \frac{H - H_{min}}{H_{max} - H_{min}}$

and $H = \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{N!}{\pi N_i!}$, H_{\max} approximates log S and $H_{\min} = \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{N!}{(N - S + 1)!}$

where N is the number of individuals in a sample. All diversity statistics were calculated by determining the H', J' or SR value of each replicate separately and then taking monthly means at each station. Diversity values were then pooled within habitats (i.e. mud or sand) for experimental and control stations.

24. The multivariate technique of numerical classification was used to determine relationships between stations (normal classification) and species (inverse classification) (Clifford and Stephenson, 1975). The Bray-Curtis similarity index was used for these data since it is widely used in aquatic ecology (Clifford and Stephenson, 1975; Boesch, 1977). It can be expressed by the following formula:

 $S_{jk} = \frac{\underset{i=1}{\overset{i=1}{\underset{i=n}{\sum}} | x_{ij} - x_{ik} |}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{i=1}{\underset{ij}{\sum}} | x_{ij} - x_{ik} |}$ where x_{ij} is the number of individuals of species i

at station j, x_{ik} is the number of individuals of species i at station k, and n is the number of species.

25. The clustering strategy used was the flexible method described by Lance and Williams (1971). It is an agglomerative hierarchical clustering strategy represented by the following formula: $D_{hk} = \alpha_i D_{hi} + \alpha_j + \beta D_{ij} + \gamma |D_{hi} - D_{hj}|$ with the constraints of $\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \beta = 1$; $\alpha = 0$; and $\beta = -0.25$. According to Williams (1971) and Clifford and Stephenson (1975) the β value of -0.25 has been used with acceptable results on a wide variety of data sets and has become the conventional value. All data were normalized by a log (x+1) transformation. Species were not included in the numerical classification if they occurred in less than four samples or if they totaled less than 30 individuals for all samples combined.

26. The original data matrix can be rearranged so that species groups form the rows and station groups form the columns of a two-way table. This approach is termed "nodal analysis" (Williams and Lambert, 1961) and was further expanded by Noy-Meir (1971) who developed procedures for the inter-relationship of normal and inverse ordinations. This

"nodal analysis" can be done with respect to constancy, fidelity, and abundance.

27. Constancy is based on the percentage of the number of occurrences of species in the collection group to the total possible number of such occurrences. Constancy is defined by the following formula: $C_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{(n_i n_i)}$ where a_{ij} is the actual number of occurrences

of members of species group i in collection group j and n and n are i j the numbers of entities in the respective groups. The index is 1 when all species occur in all collections in the group, and 0 when no species occur in the collections.

28. Fidelity is an expression of the constancy of species in a collection group compared to the constancy over all collections. The fidelity of species group i in collection group j is given by the following formula: $F_{ij} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} & \sum n_{j} \\ \vdots & j & j \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} n_{ij} & \sum n_{j} \\ \vdots & 1 & j \end{pmatrix}}$. This index is greater than 1 when the

constancy of a species group in a collection group is greater than that of other station groups and less than 1 when its constancy is less than its overall constancy. According to Boesch (1977), values greater than 2 suggest strong "preference" of species in a group for a particular collection group.

29. The abundance matrix was obtained by determining the average abundance in the collection group and dividing by its average abundance overall. These ratios were then averaged over all species in the species group to reflect the average concentration of abundance for the node (Boesch, 1977).

PART III: RESULTS

Sediment Characteristics

30. Mean sediment temperatures for the mud and sand stations at Eatons Neck are presented in Figure 3. Sediment characteristics are presented in Figure 4 as percent sand content; these data were taken from Gordon <u>et al</u>. (In press). Results of the sediment analyses are presented in Appendix A'.

Macrofauna

Species checklist

31. Three hundred and twenty four taxa, mainly species, of microfauna were collected at Eatons Neck (Table 9). This represents a composite of the species collected with the grab sampler, box corer, and epibenthic sled. Table 10 lists the dominant benthic species present at Eatons Neck along with information on geographic and bathymetric range, sediment preference, reproduction, and feeding type. Grab samples

32. Species diversity values. Mean H' macrofauna species diversity values along with the two components of species diversity, species richness (SR) and species evenness (J'), are presented in Table 2 for grab samples taken from the mud and the sand stations at Eatons Neck. In all cases, diversity values were higher for sand stations than for mud stations and, except for 21 January, all were significant at p < 0.01. Mean H' diversities in the mud decreased from 1.50 bits/individuals in December to 1.34 in January. This decrease was a result of a decrease in species richness and species evenness. In February, H' diversity continued to decrease to 1.07, primarily as a result of a decrease in species richness, since species evenness stayed about the same. In early April, mean H' diversities in the mud increased to 1.57 as a result of an increase in both species richness and evenness. The sand stations showed a decrease in mean H' diversity from 2.45 in December to 1.70 in January (Table 2) which







Figure 4. Sediment characteristics based on percent sand content for the general area of the Eatons Neck aquatic field investigation (Contours are sand contents in percent; shaded area is the disposal area.)

reflected the decreases in species richness and species evenness. By February, mean H' diversity had increased to 2.85 in the sand, even though diversity in the mud was still decreasing. The increased diversity was a result of an increase in species richness and species evenness. When the final sand samples were collected in early April, mean H' diversity had increased slightly to 2.91; this increase was primarily due to an increase in species richness, since species evenness had decreased.

33. In late April, new stations were established in the western corner of the study area, and all stations were located in muddy sediments. Diversity values at the new mud stations were generally lower than those found at the old mud stations, even though the new stations were sampled in spring when diversity would be expected to be higher than in winter. They fluctuated from 0.97 - 1.42 with large standard deviations and no regularity. In each case, an increase or decrease in mean H' diversity during this period was reflected by a similar increase or decrease in both species richness and species evenness.

34. There were no significant differences in mean H' diversity values between the experimental and control stations in the mud and sand (Table 3).

35. <u>Station Groups</u>. The 36 sampling stations (EB1-EB11, EX1-EX25) surveyed in October 1974 were grouped using normal classification analysis of Bray-Curtis similarity data. Because of the wide spatial coverage of the sampling stations (Figure 1), the October data gave the most complete description of macrofauna spatial distributions at the Eatons Neck site.

36. Six station groups were established based on results of the numerical classification (Figure 5). These groups were typically comprised of adjacent or neighboring stations which had similar total macrofaunal density and species, and sediment type.

37. Group A consisted of stations located in the western and southern portion of the disposal site (Stations EX1-EX9, EX12, EX13, EX15, EX17, and EB3) and at the control area (EB11). The sediments at



Figure 5. Dendrogram depicting station groups derived from numerical classification procedures; October 1974 benthic data, Eatons Neck disposal site

Group A stations were predominantly silt-clay ranging from 53 to 97 percent fine material (Appendix A'). The macrobenthos at Group A stations was characterized by comparatively low species numbers and total density (Appendix B'). Two subgroups of stations separated in the dendrogram at moderate levels of similarity were combined to form Group A, since raw data showed that these subgroups were similar in species composition and macrofaunal abundance. Small differences in number of individuals or species may result in high dissimilarity values among stations with low densities and species numbers. This phenomenon, a disadvantage of the Bray-Curtis similarity index, may result in misclassifications. No macrofaunal organisms were collected at stations EX2 and EX7 but these were considered part of Group A because of their azooic nature.

38. Group B was comprised of three contiguous stations (EX10, EX11, and EX14) found in the south central portion of the site and two stations (EB2 and EB5) located in the west central part of the site (Figure 1). The muddy substrate (86 to 98 percent silt-clay; Appendix A') at these stations supported a slightly more diverse and abundant macrofauna than found at Group A stations. However, diversity and abundance were low compared to those of the sandy habitats (Appendix B').

39. Group C was formed by stations EB7, EB8, EB10, and EX18-EX20 having silty sand or silty gravelly sand sediments (76 to 90 percent sand) (Appendix A'). These stations were positioned along the edges of or near Cable and Anchor Reef in the eastern area of the site and in the control area (Figure 1). Number of species and total macrofaunal density in this assemblage were the largest found at Eatons Neck.

40. Four adjacent stations in the control area (EX21-EX24) composed Station Group D (Figure 1). This group was similar in species composition to Group C. It had silty sand sediments (72 to 85 percent sand; Appendix A') and a relatively large number of species and total macrofaunal density (Appendix B'). However, the silt-clay content of the sediment at Group D stations was slightly larger than those of Group C stations. The two groups were distinctly separated in the clustering procedure,

primarily because they had different dominant species.

41. Group E consisted of two stations, EB6 and EB9, in the northern corner of the site adjacent to Budd Reef with sand to muddy sand sediments (47 to 84 percent sand ; Appendix A'). Macrofaunal density and number of species were generally less than at the Cable and Anchor Reef sand assemblage and similar to the mud stations having the largest benthic populations, i.e., Group F (Appendix B'). Group E had affinities with sand station Groups C and D, but was distinguished from the latter by having different dominant species.

42. Three stations (EB1, EB4, and EX16) with mud sediments (64 to 96 percent silt-clay; Appendix A')located along the central axis of the site and oriented east-west (Figure 1) made up station Group F. The mud stations of Group F are categorized as being a mud environment having relatively high densities and species numbers compared to the macrofauna of mud station Groups A and B where densities and species numbers were low.

43. Eleven of the 36 October benthic stations, EB1-EB11, and one new control station, EB12 (Figure 1), were sampled monthly during the December 1974 through April 1975 period. These stations were located in each of the six station groups delimited through numerical classification of the October 1974 data.

44. Normal classification of the combined December-January data when benthic densities were large, and the combined February-April data, when densities were small, produced station groups similar to those of October. The sand stations (EB7, EB8, and EB10) along Cable and Anchor Reef had large macrofaunal concentrations and clustered discretely during the four-month period. A second, different group of sand to muddy sand stations was comprised of stations EB6 and EB9 in the northern corner of the site at Budd Reef (Figure 1). Sediments at these stations ranged from 18.7 to 92.0 percent sand.

45. The mud stations EB11 and EB12 at the control area and EB1, EB2, and EB4 in the west central portion (Figure 1) of the site formed two related station groups having low macrofaunal densities and number of species. Lower density and species numbers at the control mud stations

probably caused these stations to segregate separately from those in the disposal site, although the two station groups were similar in species composition. The percent silt-clay in sediments at the two mud station groups with low macrofaunal abundance and diversity varied from 70 to 98 percent, except at EB4 in January when the sediments were 35 percent silt-clay. A third group of mud stations was formed by stations EB3 and EB5 located in the northwestern section of the disposal site. The percent composition of the silt-clay fraction of the sediments at these stations varied from 81 to 95 percent, except at EB5 in February when the percent mud was 66.

46. Normal analysis of the mud stations Al through Al5 and EB2 and EB11 using combined April through June data indicated that all the experimental stations, except Al4, were highly similar. However, three small subgroups were formed within the main group of mud stations as a result of small differences in density of dominant species. Station Al4 was grouped with station EB2; station EB11, the mud control, was highly dissimilar from the experimental stations.

47. <u>Species associations.</u> Inverse analysis was used to classify species into groups based on the October data. The 38 species of macrobenthos used in the analysis were classified into six species groups (Figure 6). Nodal analysis of constancy, fidelity, and abundance data was performed to interpret relationships between station and species groups. Two-way tables presenting these results are shown in Figures 7 through 9.

48. Species Group I contained the bivalves <u>Pitar morrhuana</u>, <u>Astarte</u> <u>undata</u>, and <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>, the mud snail <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u>, and the polychaetes <u>Pherusa affinis</u> and <u>Glycera americana</u>. Each of these species reportedly inhabits sand or silty sand sediments, except <u>P</u>. <u>affinis</u> which occurs on muddy bottoms (Table 10). These species had a high or very high constancy, fidelity, and abundance in the sand station Group C, and a high fidelity in sand Group E. This indicates that in October these species had a restricted spatial distribution and center of abundance and were characteristic of the sand environment around Cable and Anchor Reef.

	BRAY - CURTIS SIMILARITY INDEX
SPECIES	0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4
GROUP I GROUP I GROUP I GROUP I Group I Glycera americana	
GROUP II Reopanope texana sayi Luconacia incerta Crepidula plana Heteromysis formosa Crepidula fornicata Protodorvillea sp. Phoxocephalous holbolli	
GROUP III { Pinnixa sayana { Scalibregma inflatum	
GROUP IV GROUP IV GROUP IV GROUP IV GROUP IV GROUP IV Sarsiella zostericola Unciola irrorata Erichthonius brasiliensis Parametopella cypris Ampelisca vadorum Tellina agilis	
GROUP Y Hutchinsoniella	
GROUP VI GROUP GRO	

Figure 6. Dendrogram depicting species groups derived from numerical classification procedures; October 1974 benthic data, Eatons Neck disposal site



Figure 7. Two-way table showing relationship of benthic species groups and station groups based on nodal analysis of constancy, Eatons Neck disposal site



0 ≤ 1

1≤2

2 ≤ 3

3 ≤ 4

4 ≤ 5

> 5

Figure 8. Two-way table showing relationship of benthic species groups and station groups based on nodal analysis of fidelity, Eatons Neck Disposal site





49. Species Group II was also characteristic of the sand environment in station Group C based on the constancy, fidelity, and abundance values. However, this species group was also found with moderate constancy at station Groups E and F, accounting for the separation of species Groups I and II in the analysis. The amphipods <u>Luconacia incerta</u> and <u>Phoxocephalus holbolli</u>, the mud crab <u>Neopanope texana sayi</u>, the slipper shells <u>Crepidula plana</u> and <u>C</u>. <u>fornicata</u>, the mysid shrimp <u>Heteromysis</u> <u>formosa</u>, and the polychaete <u>Protodorvillea sp</u>. composed Group II. The only species of this group which is known to be common in sand environments is <u>P</u>. <u>holbolli</u> (Table 10). The slipper shells are epifaunal species that attach to rocks and deadshells while the mud crab is common on mud bottoms, but may occur in other situations as well (Williams, 1965). Data were not available on the habits of the other species in this group.

50. The pea crab <u>Pinnixa sayana</u> and the burrowing polychaete <u>Scalibregma inflatum</u> formed a highly similar species association, Group III. Since <u>P. sayana</u> is a known commensal in <u>Arenicola</u> burrows, it is possible that the close association of this crab and polychaete at Eatons Neck reflects a commensal relationship between the two organisms. Group III species had highest fidelity, constancy, and abundance at sandy station Groups D and E.

51. Species Group IV is a relatively large assemblage of organisms comprised of eleven species that primarily inhabited the three groups of sandy stations at Eatons Neck. At the sandy control stations (Group D) the fidelity and constancy of species Group IV were higher than those of station Groups C and E; abundance at station Group E was slightly greater than that at Groups C and D. Thus species in Group IV were indicative of the sandy environments at Eatons Neck, but were most characteristic of the sandy sediments at the control area. Members of Group IV included the amphipods <u>Paracaprella tenuis</u>, <u>Unciola irrorata</u>, <u>Erichthonius brasiliensis</u>, <u>Parametopella cypris</u>, and <u>Ampelisca vadorum</u>, the ostracod <u>Sarsiella zostericola</u>, the bivalves <u>Tellina agilis</u> and <u>Lyonsia hyalina</u>, the nemertean <u>Carinoma</u> sp., and the polychaetes <u>Cirriformia grandis</u> and <u>Axiothella catenata</u>. Most of these species are known to inhabit sandy environments (Table 10).

52. Two species of polychaetes, <u>Pectinaria gouldii</u> and <u>Eteone</u> <u>longa</u>, and the crustacean, <u>Hutchinsoniella macracantha</u>, constituted species Group V. This group had high constancy, fidelity, and abundance in station Groups E and F. Because of sediment heterogeneity between station Groups E and F, however, the species of Group V were not equally abundant at all stations. Neither of the three species occurred at mud station EB 1 while <u>H. macracantha</u> was abundant at stations EB4, EB6, and EB9. The polychaete <u>E. longa</u> was uncommon at stations EB4 and EB9 and absent from the remaining stations. <u>Pectinaria gouldii</u> was abundant at EB4, uncommon at EB6 and EX16, and absent from EB1 and EB9. The high levels of constancy, fidelity, and abundance of Group V species at Group E stations were largely due to the abundance of <u>H. macracantha</u> and <u>P. gouldii</u> at stations EB4, EB6, EB9, and EX16. Mud is the sediment type most often associated with <u>H</u>. macracantha (Table 10).

Group VI was comprised of the polychaetes Nephtys incisa, 53. Clymenella torquata, Asabellides oculata, Cossura longocirrata, Aricidea cerruti, and Mediomastus ambiseta, the archiannelid Polygordius triestinus, the nemertean Tubulanus pellucidus, and the amphipod Ampelisca abdita. Mediomastus ambiseta, P. triestinus, and T. pellucidus were among the overall numerical dominants of the macrobenthos at Eatons Neck. Sand and mud are the sedimentary environments in which N. incisa and A. cerruti occur, while the dominants T. pellucidus and M. ambiseta are found on silty sand or sandy silt (Table 10); Polygordius triestinus is a sand dweller. Group VI displayed high to very high constancy in station Groups B-F. Conversely, the fidelity of Group VI was low to very low at all stations. Abundance, however, was moderate at station Groups C, D and F and very low at other stations. Therefore, species making up Group VI were generally ubiquitous macrofauna at Eatons Neck in October, with the exception of P. triestinus.

54. <u>Biomass</u>. Mean total dry-weight biomass for the control and experimental sand stations is given in Figure 10. Biomass at the experimental stations dropped precipitously from 284 g/0.1 m² in December to about 2 g/0.1 m² in January. In February, mean biomass had slightly increased to about 4 g/0.1 m², and on 1 April it had increased to about





 5 g/0.1 m^2 . The control station (EB10) displayed a similar decrease in biomass, though not as substantial as for the experimental stations. Biomass at the control station in December was 126 g/0.1 m^2 , and by January it had decreased to about 30 g/0.1 m². It continued to drop in February to below 6 g/0.1 m^2 and by 1 April biomass was below 5 g/0.1 m^2 . Mean total dry-weight biomass at the mud stations was considerably lower than at the sand stations (Figure 11). In December, the experimental stations had a mean biomass of about 1.7 g/0.1 m^2 and this value continued to decrease to about 0.4 g/0.1 m^2 by 1 April. In late April, the experimental mud stations were relocated, but the mean biomass value was about the same as it was for the previous experimental stations. In early May, biomass was still decreasing to its lowest value of about 0.3 g/0.1 m^2 , but by late May it had increased to about 0.5 g/0.1 m^2 . In June, the increase continued to a value of about 1.1 g/0.1 m^2 when all sampling was discontinued. Biomass at control stations EB11 and EB12 fluctuated from 0.1 to 0.8 g/0.1 m² prior to early April. In late April, station EB12 was discontinued leaving EB11 as the only control mud station. Biomass values at EB11 dropped from about 1.3 g/0.1 m² in late April to about 0.05 g/0.1 m² in June. Epibenthic sled samples

55. At mud station EB3, <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> was the dominant organism collected in the epibenthic sled, with a mean number of about 23,000 per 10-min tow (Appendix C'). Mean numbers of <u>M. lateralis</u> increased from around 11,000 per sample in December to over 41,000 in February, and then slightly decreased to around 34,000 in May. <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> was the second most common organism found in the sled, with mean numbers increasing from about 1,500 per tow in December to nearly 10,000 in February, then decreasing to about 3,000 in May. <u>Nucula proxima</u> had fairly constant mean numbers in December and February (371 and 453 per sample, respectively) with numbers increasing to a mean of about 6,600 in May. Another important organism collected in the sled was <u>Neomysis americana</u>, whose numbers steadily increased throughout the sampling period to a mean of over 1,000 per sample in May. Mean numbers of <u>Crangon</u> septemspinosa decreased from a high of 201 per sample in December to 165




in February, finally falling to 82 in May. Total numbers of organisms in the sled showed nearly a sixfold increase from the December sampling to the February sampling and remained high in the spring sampling.

56. At mud station EB4, <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> was again the most abundant organism collected in the epibenthic sled. Numbers decreased from about 41,000 in December to 844 in February, then increased to about 5,500 in May (Appendix C'). <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> was the next most numerous species at this station, with a mean of nearly 9,000 in December, but it decreased to numbers of approximately 1,000 in February and May. <u>Crepidula plana and Nucula proxima</u> both numbered more than 500 per sample in December, but dropped to low numbers in February. <u>Crepidula plana</u> continued to have low numbers in May, but numbers of <u>Nucula proxima</u> rose to nearly 500. <u>Pagurus longicarpus</u> was also an important organism at this station, with mean numbers decreasing from 317 in December to 154 in February, and then sharply dropping to 14 in May.

57. Sled samples from control mud station EB11 had fewer species than samples from experimental stations (Appendix C'). The dominant organism was <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u>, with a mean of about 3,500 per sample in December. Numbers decreased to approximately 1,000 per sample in February and May. The other organism found in abundance at this station was <u>Nucula proxima</u>, which decreased from mean numbers of 1,201 in December to 651 in February, then increased to nearly 3,000 per sample in May. The control mud station, in contrast to the experimental mud stations, had mean numbers of <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> less than 100 throughout the sampling period.

58. At station EB9, sediment samples ranged from gravelly sand to mud, but generally had sand as their major component. Sled samples from this station (Appendix C') did not display the inordinate dominance by <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> found at the mud stations. This resulted in a more even distribution between species. <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> was the dominant organism, with a mean number of approximately 12,000 in December, dropping to under 2,000 for February and May. <u>Nucula proxima</u> was the next most abundant species, with numbers increasing from a mean of 417 in December

to 1,082 in February and to 6,849 in May. Mean numbers of <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> at EB9 remained fairly stable throughout the sampling period with 689 for the December sled samples, 463 for February, and 542 for May. Relatively high numbers of <u>Crangon septemspinosa</u> were collected at this station, with a mean of more than 300 in December, dropping to a mean of approximately 100 for the remainder of the sampling period. <u>Neomysis americana</u> did not appear in December sled samples, but was collected in low mean numbers during February (91) and in higher numbers during May (310). <u>Pagurus longicarpus</u> occurred in numbers of approximately 350 specimens per sample in December, dropping to about 115 in February, and to 20 in May.

Meiofauna

59. Samples were collected with a corer 3.5 cm in diameter, which covered a surface area of approximately 9.6 cm² and penetrated the sediment to a depth of about 10 cm. However, for purposes of discussion, the surface area will be considered as 10 cm^2 . As a result of taxonomic difficulties, most meiofaunal organisms were only identified to major taxa. These taxa, in some cases phyla (Nematoda) and in some cases classes (Harpacticoida), are treated as homogeneous units, even though they contain several species. Therefore, spatial and temporal patterns within these taxa are obscured.

60. Nematodes were the dominant organisms at all stations throughout the study, and their mean percent composition varied from 45 - 100 percent by number (Tables 4 and 5). A large number of nematodes were examined with the help of Dr. W. Duane Hope, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C., and two species were consistently present in large numbers. <u>Enopolus</u> sp. was the most abundant and generally occurred in numbers two to three times greater than the next most abundant species, Halichoanilaimus sp.

61. Harpacticoid copepods were the second most abundant taxon, and they varied from 0 to 39 percent composition by number (Tables 4 and 5). In all cases, the top fraction (0 to 5 cm) of the meiofauna had more taxa

(up to twice as many) and more individuals (one or two orders of magnitude) than the bottom fraction (5 to 10 cm). In general, species in the bottom fraction were also found in the top fraction.

62. From October 1974 through April 1975, the mud experimental stations EB3 and EB4 were similar in both dominant species and pattern of occurrence, but total numbers were higher at EB3 (Appendix D'). Nematodes dominated the samples from both of these stations. Mean numbers from the top fraction of EB3 stayed generally the same, fluctuating from 172 to 387 per 10 cm², while in the bottom fraction they varied from 3 to 36. The top fraction at EB4 generally increased from 15 per 10 cm² in December 1974 to 200 per 10 cm² in early April 1975, while the bottom fraction remained below 2 per sample.

63. Harpacticoid copepods were the second most abundant taxa at the experimental mud stations (Appendix D'). The top fraction at EB3 had 93 harpacticoids per sample in October 1974, but all subsequent samples had mean numbers that fluctuated between 6 and 12. The top fraction at EB4 did not include harpacticoids until January 1975. Mean numbers remained below 2 until 1 April 1975 when they increased to 37. The bottom fractions at EB3 and EB4 included harpacticoids sporadically in mean numbers less than 2 per sample. Several other taxa occurred erratically at these muddy stations indicating their patchy distribution and relatively low abundance (Appendix D'). Unidentified turbellarians occurred only in the top fraction at EB3 and EB4, as did the kinorhynchs Pycnophyes frequens and Trachydemus mainensis. Several polychaete species were present at EB3 in mean concentrations less than 3 per sample. These species included Cossura longocirrata, Hypaniola grayi, Mediomastus ambiseta, and Polygordius triestinus. C. longocirrata and M. ambiseta were the only polychaetes that occurred in the bottom fraction, and they were collected only at EB3. Oligochaetes, ostracods (Cytheromorpha sp., Loxoconcha granulata, Sarsiella ozotothrix), halacarid mites, and several unidentified larvae occurred sporadically in low numbers.

64. The control mud station at EB11 generally had fewer species and individuals than the experimental mud stations at EB3 and EB4 (Appendix D').

It was dominated by nematodes whose mean numbers fluctuated between 17 and 157 per 10 cm² in the top fraction. In the bottom fraction they remained below 7 per sample. Although harpacticoids were present in the top fractions of the January and February 1975 samples, they were less abundant than at EB3 and EB4. Their numbers accounted for a maximum of 1.7 percent composition (Table 4), and only one specimen was found in the bottom fraction. Polychaetes at EB11 were represented by two specimens of the opportunistic capitellid, <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u>, with one each collected in the top and bottom fractions. Halacarid mites, ostracods, and various unidentified larvae occasionally appeared in the samples.

65. The sand meiofauna was sampled only at station EB9. Both number of species and number of individuals were considerably higher than those found at the mud stations (Appendix D'). Nematodes were dominant in the sand samples, although it is probable that the species are different from those found at the mud stations. Percent composition for nematodes fluctuated from 84 to 99 percent (Table 4) at EB9 and their number decreased continuously from a high in the top fraction of 2,214 per 10 cm² in October 1974 to a low of 108 per sample in April 1975. A similar decrease occurred in the bottom fraction with maximum values of 346 per 10 cm² in October 1974 and minimum values of 13 per sample in April 1975. Harpacticoid copepods were the second most abundant taxon at EB9, and they accounted for 1 to 5 percent composition by number in the top fraction (Table 4). Their occurrence in the bottom fraction was rare and more sporadic.

66. Turbellarians and nemerteans were present in low numbers at the sand station, as were the kinorhynchs <u>Pycnophyes frequens</u> and <u>Trachydemus</u> <u>mainensis</u>. These kinorhynchs also occurred at the mud stations. Polychaetes were collected in low numbers, but more species occurred in the sand than in the mud. Oligochaetes decreased in the top fraction throughout the sampling period from 25 per sample in October 1974 to 0 in April 1975. They were found in the bottom fraction only in October 1974. Ostracods occurred in both fractions sporadically, with mean numbers generally less than 3 per 10 cm². Loxoconcha sperata, <u>Sarsiella</u>

<u>zostericola</u>, <u>Schlerochilus contortus</u>, and <u>Semicythera nigresens</u> were collected at EB9, as well as <u>Cytheromorpha</u> sp. and <u>Loxoconcha granulata</u>, two species that were found both at mud and at sand stations. The cephalocarid crustacean, <u>Hutchinsoniella macracantha</u>, halacrid mites, unidentified bivalves, and various unidentified larvae occasionally occurred in the sand samples.

67. With the exception of the control mud station at EB11, all meiofaunal stations after 22 April 1975 were relocated in the muddy sediments of the northwestern portion of the dump site and at EB2 (Figure 2). Therefore, few comparisons can be made between samples collected before 22 April 1975 and those collected after this date.

68. The eleven A-stations (A1, A2, A3, A5, A6, A7, A9, A10, A11, A13, and A14) were all located along two transects, one north-south approximately 550 m long and one east-west approximately 735 m long. Sampling at EB2 began in anticipation of a possible second dumping experiment in that area.

69. Although the sediments and species within these areas were patchy in distribution, there were considerable similarities in the fauna. Nematoda was the dominant taxon, accounting for 60 to 100 percent composition by number (Table 5). The only exception to this was at station A7 on 29 May 1975 when mean percent composition reached a low of 45 percent. Harpacticoid copepods were the second most abundant taxon at these stations, but their concentrations were considerably lower than those for the nematodes. Mean percent composition for the harpacticoids varied throughout the study from 0 to 39 percent by number, but in most cases accounted for less than 10 percent (Table 5).

70. Other taxa encountered at the mud stations after 22 April were similar to those from the mud stations sampled prior to this date in other parts of the dump site (Appendix D'). They include unidentified turbellarians and the kinorhynchs <u>Trachydemus mainensis</u> and <u>Pycnophyes frequens</u>, which occurred sporadically and in low mean numbers (less than 1 per core sample). Polychaetes were represented primarily by two species, <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> and <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u>, generally collected in

mean concentrations of less than 1 per sample, but occasionally occurring as high as 10 per sample. Unidentified larvae of various groups were found in low concentrations, as were unidentified oligochaetes and halacarid mites. The crustacea were represented occasionally by the cephalocarid <u>Hutchinsoniella macracantha</u> and the ostracods <u>Loxoconcha</u> granulata, <u>L. sperata</u>, and <u>Cytheromorpha</u> sp.

71. The two dominant meiofauna taxa, Nematoda and Harpacticoida, display a significant negative correlation between their percent compositions (Table 6). This appears to be the case in both mud and sand sediments, where significant negative correlations were found for each month except for October and December mud samples, when numbers were low (Table 6).

Grab and Box Corer Comparison

72. In sand, there was no significant difference (p < 0.05) in depth of penetration between the grab and box corer (Table 7). Sediment volume was greater for the box corer (p < 0.01), but there were no significant differences in number of macrofauna species or individuals (Table 7). However, biomass was significantly larger (p < 0.05) for samples collected in the Smith-McIntyre grab. There was no significant difference in number of meiofauna species, but the Smith-McIntyre grab collected significantly more individuals (Table 8). The grab was also much easier to handle on deck and was much safer to use than the box corer. Therefore, the Smith-McIntyre grab sampler is considered superior to the box corer in sandy sediments.

73. In mud, the box corer had a greater depth of penetration and sediment volume, but there were no significant differences in number of macrofaunal or meiofaunal species (Tables 7 and 8). Similarly there were no significant differences in biomass values (Table 7) or numbers of individuals in the meiofaunal samples (Table 8). There were significantly more macrofaunal individuals collected with the box corer (Table 7) indicating the box corer is a better sampler in soft sediments. However, the difficulties associated with operation of the box corer made the Smith-McIntyre grab the gear of choice for this study.

PART IV: DISCUSSION

Macrofauna

Grab samples

74. Community structure has been developed as a concept which quantitatively represents animal species presence and abundance and the habitat within which these species live. Species diversity (here measured as H') is one quantitative measure of community structure which relates number of species in an ecological sample (species richness = SR) with how evenly individuals are distributed among species (species evenness = J'). The mathematical formulas for these measurements show that they are interrelated so that changes in H' can be attributed to changes in J' and/or SR. H' was picked as the best single measurement of species diversity, according to the criteria of Pielou (1966).

75. Sanders (1968) proposed a stability-time hypothesis which relates community structure (i.e. species diversity) to habitat type. The stability-time hypothesis is a continuum of intrahabitat adaptive pressure in terms of environmental stability. This continuum extends from biologically accommodated communities in stable environments (temporal and/or physical), where animal adaptations are in terms of other organisms in the habitat, to physically controlled communities in unstable environments, where animal adaptations are due to fluctuations in physical parameters of the habitat (Hartzband, 1974). High species diversity is found in stable, biologically accommodated habitats, while low species diversity is found in stressed, physically controlled habitats.

76. Sanders (1968) emphasized a within-habitat restriction on diversity comparisons. Therefore, mean diversity values were computed for sand and mud stations separately. Species diversities were quite low in both cases with mean values ranging from 0.97 to 1.57 bits/individuals for the mud stations and 1.70 to 2.91 for the sand stations. Standard deviations for the mean diversities were high, averaging about 58 percent at the mud stations and 32 percent at the sand stations. According to Pielou (1969) standard deviations this high indicate patchy distributions.

77. In all cases, diversity values were higher for sand stations and, except for 21 January, all were significant at p < 0.01. This compares with Boesch (1973) who similarly found much higher diversities in sand.

78. The generally low diversities found at Eatons Neck, particularly in the muddy sediments, indicate a stressed environment. Some of this stress can probably be related to the early history of the disposal site, which was used from 1902 - 1973, when approximately 10.7 million m³ of dredged material was deposited at the Eatons Neck site. However, equally low diversity values were found in the control area, which had never been exposed to disposal operations. This indicates that areas well outside the disposal site are equally stressed, probably as a result of the nearby population centers of New York and Connecticut. There were no apparent differences between the animal populations within a given sediment type between the control and experimental areas so the stations were considered together in subsequent analyses.

79. Saila <u>et al</u>. (1972) computed H' values for recently colonized dredged material in Rhode Island Sound. Their values, ranging from 0.73 to 3.05, were comparable to those found at Eatons Neck. Rowe (1971) studied the Hudson Gorge region with respect to the amphipod-polychaetemollusc fraction of benthic samples, and he found diversities ranging from 0.7 to 2.9. He attributed the lowest values to the deposition of the dredged material in the area and low oxygen stress imposed by sewage sludge disposal. Rhoads (1974 a, b, and c) found low diversity values at a disposal site off New Haven, but his values are not comparable, since they were computed by a different method.

80. The benthic macrofauna at the Eatons Neck disposal site may be divided into a mud or silty-clay assemblage, and a group of sand or silty sand assemblages. The mud assemblage may be further subdivided into three separate groupings based on differences in total macrofaunal abundance and species composition, but this distinction is primarily a matter of degree.

81. The mud or predominantly silt-clay sedimentary environment at Eatons Neck encompasses most of the disposal site (Figure 2). The

remainder of estuary bottom at the site was comprised of sand facies. These sandy areas were located in the eastern section of the site on or adjacent to Cable and Anchor Reef, and the extreme northern corner of the site along the edge of Budd Reef. In these areas, the sediments are silty sand, muddy sand, or silty gravelly sand.

82. Sediment texture is variable spatially within the site. This factor in concert with normal vessel positioning error often resulted in large variation with time in the recorded sediment composition of a nominal station. Also grain size analysis was performed for only one of the three bottom grab samples taken at a station, but sediments in the three replicate grabs were occasionally visually different. Therefore, a given station might generally have sediments greater than 80 percent sand, but at times a very different sediment type might be recorded. These "outlying" samples of sediment and accompanying organisms occasionally resulted in classification difficulties. Thus some amount of subjectivity was used in assigning stations to groups to eliminate obvious misclassifications due to extreme sediment variations.

The mud assemblage during October was numerically dominated by 83. the deposit feeding capitellied polychaete Mediomastus ambiseta at stations having a comparatively large total density. However, several stations had so few organisms and species that relative abundance data were not meaningful. In the December-January period, M. ambiseta was dominant only at mud stations EB1, EB2, EB4, EB11, and EB12 along with the subdominant Nephtys incisa. The bivalve Mulinia lateralis, a suspension feeder, was the most abundant macroinvertebrate at mud stations EB3 and EB5 in December and January. In the February-April period, the M. ambiseta population had declined to a very low level and the deposit feeders Nucula proxima, a bivalve, and N. incisa, a polychaete, were the most numerous organisms at all mud stations. Total macrofauna densities were very low during the February-April period. From late April through mid-June, mud stations at the experimental site in the western corner of the area had Mulinia lateralis, an opportunistic suspension feeding bivalve, as the numerically dominant macroinvertebrate. Densities and number of species remained low during this period.

84. Mud stations Al through Al5 and EB2 at the experimental disposal site were similar in benthic abundance and species composition. The suspension feeding bivalve, <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>, dominated the macrofauna at all stations. The bivalve <u>Nucula proxima</u> and the polychaete <u>Nephtys</u> <u>incisa</u> were sub-dominants with densities being one to two orders of magnitude less than that of <u>M</u>. <u>lateralis</u>. The mud snail <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> and the predacious nemerteans <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u> and <u>Cerebratutus lacteus</u> were consistently present in small numbers. The macrobenthos at control station EB11 was very sparse, and no dominant species were discernible. The control station was highly dissimilar, therefore, from the experimental mud stations. Total macrofaunal density and species number were low during the spring at the mud stations.

The sand to silty sand assemblage at stations EB6 and EB9 85. adjacent to Budd Reef was characterized by the cephalocarid crustacean, Hutchinsoniella macracantha. Although this species did not occur in all samples from EB6 or EB9, it was absent at other Eatons Neck locations, except at EB4 in October. H. macracantha is a deposit feeder. Other dominant or sub-dominant species in the assemblage were the deposit feeding polychaetes Mediomastus ambiseta and Nephtys incisa, ths suspension feeding bivalve Tellina agilis, and the carnivorous nemertean Tubulanus pellucidus. Unidentified nematodes were also abundant at EB9 in October. Seasonal changes in dominant species occurred, but low total densities from December through April make relative abundance data difficult to interpret. Macrofaunal abundance and number of species were smaller at the Budd Reef sandy environment than in other sand assemblages, but were similar to the largest benthic abundances at mud stations.

86. The silty sand or silty gravelly sand station group at Cable and Anchor Reef had a larger density and number of macrofaunal species than

any benthic habitat surveyed at Eatons Neck. At station EB7, the deepest station in the group, densities were lower than at EB8 and EB10; unidentified oligochaetes, the suspension feeder <u>Tellina agilis</u>, and the epibenthic gastropod <u>Crepidula fornicata</u> were usually most abundant.

The polychaete <u>Aricidea cirruti</u>, however, was dominant in October, and <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> was dominant in December. At station EB8, <u>Polygordius</u> <u>triestinus</u>, a deposit feeder, was the most common macroinvertebrate except in October when <u>A. cirruti</u> dominated. Nematodes were also abundant from December through April. Station EB10, a sand control station located on Cable and Anchor Reef, but outside the site, was consistently dominated by <u>P. triestinus</u> and the amphipods <u>Ampelisca vadorum</u> and <u>Phoxocephalus</u> <u>holbolli</u>. Control stations EX18-EX20, which occurred in the October station group containing the Cable and Anchor Reef Stations, had <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> as the dominant organism; however, the subdominant species were similar between the two areas.

87. Comparison of the Eatons Neck benthic data with that at other Long Island Sound disposal areas is hindered because of different sampling and analysis techniques used, particularly the level of taxonomic identification. Rhoads (1972, 1973a) described a portion of the benthic fauna at the New Haven dump site, namely molluscs and polychaetes. The mollusc fraction was always identified and a few stations included polychaete identifications, but in no instance was the total fauna examined. Most molluscan species were the same as those found at Eatons Neck. <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> was abundant at most stations in the New Haven dump site, with <u>Pitar morrhuana</u>, <u>Yoldia limatula</u>, <u>Pandora gouldiana</u>, and <u>Nucula annulata</u> usually occurring in substantial numbers. <u>Macoma tenata</u>, <u>Lyonsia hyalina</u>, and <u>Tellina agilis</u> were commonly found, but generally in lower concentrations than the previous species.

88. Rhoads (1972, 1973d, 1973e, 1974a, 1974b, 1974c, and 1975) reported <u>Nucula annulata</u> in most of his studies, but occasionally he reported <u>Nucula proxima</u> (Rhoads, 1973a, 1973b). <u>N. annulata</u> was recently described by Hampson (1971) as occurring in muddy sediments, while <u>N. proxima</u> is supposed to occur in sandy sediments. However, no consistent differences in morphology or sediment preference for <u>Nucula</u> were found in this study or previous studies in Long Island Sound (Serafy and D'Agostino, 1974). Other workers have not been able to distinguish these nominal species^{*}, so until this taxonomic problem *Personal communication, Robert Reid, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Sandy Hook Laboratory, Highlands, N.J., 1974.

is resolved, all <u>Nucula</u> collected at Eatons Neck were tentatively identified as N. proxima.

Although there were similarities in the mollusc faunas at New 89. Haven and Eatons Neck, polychaetes identified at New Haven were greatly different between the two areas. Rhoads (1972) reported no archiannelids from the New Haven disposal site, and the dominant polychaetes were represented by Streblospio benedicti, Melinna cristata, Owenia fusiformis, unidentified terrebellids, and ampharetids. At the New Haven Ship Channel and Northwest Control Sites, Rhoads (1973a) reported no archiannelids and only a few stations with large numbers of capitellids. Dominant polychaetes were Streblospio benedicti, Scoloplos sp., and Nereis succinea. At the Eatons Neck site, the dominant annelids at sandy stations were the archiannelid Polygordius triestinus (which also occurred in low concentrations at the mud stations) and the dominant at the muddy stations was the capitellid Mediomastus ambiseta. Other polychaetes occurring in large numbers were Nephtys incisa, Arricidea cerruti, Pherusa affinis, and Glycera americana. These species also occurred at the New Haven site, but never in large numbers; the dominant species at New Haven occurred infrequently and in low numbers at Eatons Neck. However, the results obtained at New Haven are based on separation of organisms and sediments with a 1-mm-mesh sieve as opposed to a 0.5-mm sieve used at Eatons Neck. This difference in technique may account for the wide differences between the polychaete faunas at the two disposal sites.

Epibenthic sled samples

90. The dominant species collected with the epibenthic sled at Eatons Neck (<u>Mulinia lateralis</u>, <u>Nucula proxima</u>, <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u>, <u>Crangon septemspinosa</u>, <u>Pagurus longicarpus</u>, and <u>Neomysis americana</u>) are all common residents of Long Island Sound. <u>Crangon septemspinosa</u>, <u>Neomysis americana</u>, and <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> were all collected with a modified oyster dredge from the sandy epibenthos off Charles Island, Connecticut (Richards and Riley, 1967). With the exception of <u>P</u>. <u>longicarpus</u> and the addition of <u>Nucula proxima</u>, these same species were collected by Richards and Riley (1967) in the silty-sandy-clay sediments from the same area. M. lateralis was not abundant in their

samples, but Sanders (1956) occasionally collected this species in large numbers in Central Long Island Sound. At the New Haven disposal site, <u>M. lateralis</u> was the most dominant organism, with <u>Pitar morrhuana</u>, <u>Yoldia limatula</u>, and <u>Nucula proxima</u> present in large numbers as well (Rhoads, 1973a). The common sea star <u>Asterias forbesi</u> was abundant in epibenthic samples from off Charles Island, Connecticut (Richards and Riley, 1967), but was rare in the sled samples collected at Eatons Neck.

91. Differences between the assemblages of organisms collected in the epibenthic sled at Eatons Neck and those found elsewhere in the Sound can be ascribed primarily to the great abundance of <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u>. This species of bivalve is particularly abundant at EB3 and EB4, within the disposal area, as opposed to station EB11, the control station. <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> is reportedly an early colonizer of new or disturbed environments in Long Island Sound (Rhoads, 1975). The high birth rate and short generation time of <u>M</u>. <u>lateralis</u> (Calabrese, 1968) characterize the species as highly opportunistic (Rhoads, 1973a, 1975). Its high abundance at the Eatons Neck site where disposal has not occurred in four years indicates that the environment, particularly at the north extension of the site, has been or is being stressed by factors other than disposal of dredged material or that <u>M</u>. <u>lateralis</u> is not necessarily opportunistic.

92. Although sampling sites differed in sediment characteristics, from mud at EB3, EB4, and EB11, to sand or muddy sand at EB9, the organisms collected did not always reflect these differences. All stations had as their main components, <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>, <u>Nassarius</u> <u>trivittatus</u>, and <u>Nucula proxima</u>, with <u>Crangon septemspinosa</u>, <u>Neomysis</u> <u>americana</u>, and <u>Pagurus longicarpus</u> also occurring with great regularity. The main faunal difference between stations was in the relative abundance of the species. Lower numbers of <u>M. lateralis</u> at sandy station EB9 are probably accounted for by its preference for silty clay substratum (Sanders, 1956).

93. Although the epibenthic sled is not a quantitative sampler, attempts were made to standardize the samples. As a result, definite trends in total number of organisms collected can be seen. Total

number at stations EB4, EB9, and EB11 dropped (at station EB4, precipitously) from December to February and remained high in May. However, these changes in number are primarily due to fluctuation in number of <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>. These numbers may vary by more than an order of magnitude between replicate samples. According to Rhoads (1975), <u>M. lateralis</u> not only has an extremely patchy distribution, but also undergoes large population fluctuations.

94. Shannon-Weaver diversity indices (H') are consistently lower for the epibenthic sled samples than for the grab samples. Several epibenthic species were collected with the sled that were not collected with the grab, and in all cases there were more species collected with the epibenthic sled. However, the extreme dominance by one species in the sled samples (primarily <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>) is believed to account for the overall lower species diversities for the sled.

Meiofauna

Total numbers

95. Total numbers of meiofaunal organisms occurring in the Eatons Neck samples ranged from 1 to 2841 per 10 cm² in the upper 10 cm of the sediment with the largest numbers occurring in sand. Similar values of 169 to 1861 per 10 cm² were reported by Wieser (1960) from Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts, while Wigley and McIntyre (1964) found 117 to 988 per 10 cm² in samples taken from south of Martha's Vineyard in 40 to 567 m. Tietjen (1969) found much higher numbers (1,184 to 5,163 per 10 cm²) in estuarine samples from Connecticut and Rhode Island. The lower densities (generally less than 200 per 10 cm²) at Eatons Neck are probably a result of the highly stressed environment within the dump site.

96. The dominance of the meiofauna at Eatons Neck by nematodes is consistent with other studies along the northeastern coast of the United States (Tietjen, 1969; Wieser, 1960; Wigley and McIntyre, 1964). In Buzzards Bay, Wieser (1960) reported that nematodes accounted for 89 to 99 percent composition by number, while Tietjen (1969) reported

percent compositions of 58 to 90 percent for nematodes in the Niantic Estuary (Connecticut) and the Pettaquamscutt Estuary (Rhode Island). Wigley and McIntyre (1964) found that nematodes varied in their samples from 39 to 94 percent composition by number. Their range of values is closest to those found in this study, which varied from 45 to 100 percent.

97. Harpacticoid copepods were the next most abundant component of the meiofauna at Eatons Neck, accounting for 0 to 39 percent composition by number. However, in most cases they accounted for less than 10 percent of the total fauna. This compares with the results of Tietjen (1969), who found harpacticoids to be the second most abundant taxon, followed by ostracods and polychaetes, respectively. Wigley and McIntyre (1964) found copepods to be the second most abundant group, but they did not distinguish between harpacticoids and other types of copepods. However, it is presumed that the majority of their copepods were harpacticoids. A slightly different ranking of taxa was reported by Wieser (1960) working in Buzzards Bay. He found kinorhynchs to be the second most abundant taxon with ostracods and harpacticoids being third and fourth, respectively.

Compositional relationships

98. The strong inverse relationship between percent composition for nematodes and harpacticoids (Table 6) is believed to indicate competitive interaction between species in these groups. Samples with lower percent composition for nematodes have proportionately higher percent composition for harpacticoids, although in no cases did harpacticoids outnumber nematodes. Although Tietjen (1969) did not discuss this inverse relationship in his studies conducted in Connecticut and Rhode Island, preliminary calculations using his data show the same trend. Statistically significant negative correlations were found, based on means of three replicate samples, since raw data were not presented. Although this is not statistically correct, it strongly suggests that there is a real negative relationship.

99. Based on gut analyses of 237 unidentified harpacticoids, Tietjen (1969) found they were primarily benthic microalgae feeders.

He now has several genera of harpacticoids in xenic culture and they seem to prefer benthic microalgae of the same type as epigrowth feeding nematodes^{*}. Nematodes of this feeding type were the most prevalent during spring and summer in Tietjen's (1969) studies of two northeastern estuaries. Tietjen has also found similar negative correlations between nematodes and benthic foraminifera from samples collected on the Blake Plateau (Tietjen, personal communication). Although it is apparent that some sort of competitive interaction is occurring between nematodes and harpacticoids at Eatons Neck, a detailed analysis must await species identifications, since competition occurs between species and not higher taxa.

Species checklist

100. A checklist of meioinvertebrate species collected at Eatons Neck is given in Table 11.

Summary and Conclusions

101. The largest benthic habitat at the Eatons Neck site, in terms of area, is the mud or silt-clay sedimentary environment. This habitat extends over the entire disposal site except along the eastern and northern perimeter of the area. Two sand benthic habitats occur at the site, one along the eastern border of the site at or near Cable and Anchor Reef, and a second in the northern corner of the site adjacent to Budd Reef. A relatively distinct benthic macroinvertebrate assemblage inhabits each of these three sedimentary environments.

102. Numerically dominant species of macrofauna in the mud sediments included the polychaetes <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> and <u>Nephtys incisa</u> and the bivalves <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> and <u>Nucula proxima</u>, dominants varying with the time of year. In the sand environment at Budd Reef the crustacean <u>Hutchinsoniella macracantha</u>, the polychaetes <u>M. ambiseta</u> and <u>N. incisa</u>, the bivalve <u>Tellina agilis</u>, and the nemertean <u>Tubulanus</u> <u>pellucidus</u> were the most abundant species, with dominants changing

Personal communication, J. H. Tietjen, Dept. of Biology, City College of New York, 1975.

temporally. Nematodes were also abundant. The annelids <u>M</u>. <u>ambiseta</u>, <u>Aricidea cirruti</u>, and <u>Polygordius triestinus</u>, oligochaetes, nematodes, the bivalve <u>T</u>. <u>agilis</u>, and the amphipods <u>Ampelisca vadorum</u> and <u>Phoxocephalus holbolli</u> were the dominant species in the sand habitat at Cable and Anchor Reef.

103. The mud assemblage is generally characterized by lower species diversity, biomass, and density of benthic organisms than those of the sand assemblages.

104. Deposit feeders were typically the most abundant macrofaunal species in both the sand and mud assemblages; however, a suspension feeder was dominant at the mud stations in the western section of the site from December through June.

105. Macrobenthic epifauna collected with the epibenthic sled was generally composed of the same species in the sand and mud stations, but relative abundance of species differed among habitats. <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> was the most abundant species at mud stations, whereas the snail <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u> was dominant at the sand station. Other important epifaunal species included the crustaceans <u>Neomysis americana</u>, Crangon septemspinosa, and <u>Pagurus pollicaris</u>.

106. Nematodes were the dominant taxa in the meiofaunal samples with harpacticoid copepods being second in numerical abundance. A strong inverse relationship between the abundance of nematodes and harpacticoids indicated a competitive interaction between these two groups of organisms.

107. It was not possible to quantitatively compare the benthos at the Eatons Neck disposal site with benthos of other Long Island Sound disposal sites because of differences in techniques. The molluscan fraction of the Eatons Neck site was similar to that of the New Haven site, but large differences in the polychaete fauna were observed.

LITERATURE CITED

Abbott, R. T. 1968. <u>A guide to field identification--seashells of North</u> America. Golden Press, New York. 208 pp.

. 1974. <u>American seashells</u> (Second Edition). Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York. 663 pp.

- Alexander, J. E. and A. D'Agostino. 1972. Biological and chemical characteristics of sediments along the aquatic sections of Dunwoodie-Glenwood Interconnection. LILCO Tech. Rep. SR-71-24. 18 pp.
- Barnard, J. L. 1958. Index to the families, genera and species of the gammaridean Amphipoda. <u>Allan Hancock Found. Publ. Occ. Pap.</u> 19. 145 pp.

. 1969. The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda. U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 271. 535 pp.

- Barnes, R. D. 1968. <u>Invertebrate Zoology</u> (Second Edition). W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia. 743 pp.
- Blake, C. H. 1929. Ostracoda: Podocopa. <u>In</u>: Proctor, W., Crustacea, biological survey of the Mt. Desert Region, Part 3, Wistar, Philadelphia. pp 12-19.

. 1933. Ostracoda: Podocopa. <u>In</u>: Proctor, W. Crustacea, biological survey of Mt. Desert Region, Part 5, Wistar, Philadelphia. pp 229-241.

- Blake, J. A. 1971. Revision of the genus <u>Polydora</u> from the east coast of North America (Polychaeta; Spionidae). <u>Smithson. Contrib.</u> <u>Zool.</u> 75. 31 pp.
- Boesch, D. F. 1973. Classification and community structure of macrobenthos in the Hampton Roads Area, Virginia. <u>Mar. Biol.</u> 21:226-244.

. 1977. Application of numerical classification in ecological investigations of water pollution. <u>Spec. Sci. Rep.</u> 77, VIMS. 114 pp.

Borradaile, L. A. 1903. Classification of the Thalassinidea. <u>Ann</u>. Mag. Nat. <u>Hist. England</u>, Ser 7, 2:534-551.

Bousfield, E. L. 1965. Haustoridae of New England (Crustacea; Amphipoda). Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. 117:159-329.

- Bousfield, E. L. 1973. <u>Shallow Water Gammaridean Amphipoda of New</u> England. Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca. 312 pp.
- Carey, A. G. 1962. An ecological study of two benthic animal populations in Long Island Sound. Ph. D. Thesis, Yale University, New Haven, 65 pp.
- Calabrese, A. 1968. <u>Mulinia lateralis</u>: Molluscan fruit fly? <u>Nat</u>. Shellfish. Assoc. 59:65-66.
- Clifford, H. T. and W. Stephenson. 1975. <u>An Introduction to Numerical</u> Classification. Academic Press, New York. 229 pp.
- Cushman, J. A. 1906. Marine Ostracoda of Vineyard Sound and adjacent waters. Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist. 32(10):359-385.
- D'Agostino, A. and W. A. Colgate. 1973. Infaunal invertebrates in the near-shore waters of Long Island Sound: Benthos of Northport. LILCO Tech. Rep. SR-72-22. 31 pp.
- Day, J. H. 1967. <u>A Monograph of the Polychaeta of Southern Africa.</u> Trustees of the British Museum, London. 878 pp.
- Ernst, E. J. 1970. Biological effects of thermal effluents, Northport, New York Part II. Flora and Fauna of the jetty and deeper water areas. Mar. Sci. Res. Cent., Stony Brook, Tech. Rep. pp. 53-74.
- Galehouse, J. S. 1971. Sedimentation analysis. <u>In</u>: Carver, R. E. (ed.), <u>Procedures in Sedimentary Petrology</u>, <u>Wiley-Interscience</u>, New York. pp. 69-94.
- Gordon, R. B. et al. In press. Hydraulic and sedimentary regime at Eatons Neck Disposal Site. Draft Final Report, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Gosner, K. L. 1971. <u>Guide to the Identification of Marine and Estuarine</u> Invertebrates. Wiley-Interscience, New York. 693 pp.
- Hampson, G. R. 1971. A species pair of the genus <u>Nucula</u> (Bivalvia) from the eastern coast of the United States. Proc. Malac. Soc. Lond. 39:333-342.
- Hartman, O. 1944. New England Annelida. Part II. <u>Bull. Amer. Mus.</u> Nat. Hist. 82(7):327-344.
 - _____. 1959a. Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Part I. Allan Hancock Found. Publ. Occ. Pap. 23:1-353.

Part II. Allan Hancock Found. Publ. Occ. Pap. 23:354-628.

- Hartman, O. 1965a. Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Supplement 1960-65 and index. <u>Allan Hancock Found. Publ. Occ. Pap.</u> 23:1-197.
- . 1965b. Deep-water benthic polychaetous annelids off New England to Bermuda and other North Atlantic areas. <u>Allan Hancock</u> Monogr. Mar. Biol. 6. 327 pp.
- Hartman, O. and K. Fauchald. 1971. Deep-water benthic polychaetous annelids off New England to Bermuda and other North Atlantic areas. Part II. Allan Hancock Monogr. Mar. Biol. 6. 327 pp.
- Hartzband, D. J. 1974. Sub-Community structure in subtidal meiobenthic Harpacticoida. Oecologia. 14:37-51.
- Hechtel, G. J. 1967. Invertebrate survey of Flax Pond Summer 1967. Mar. Sci. Res. Cent., Stony Brook, Tech. Rep., Ser. 1. 39 pp.
 - . 1970. Biological effects of thermal effluents, Northport, New York. Part I. Intertidal benthic invertebrates. Mar. Sci. Res. Cent., Stony Brook, Tech. Rep. pp 1-52.
- Hobson, K. D. 1971. Polychaeta new to New England, with additions to the description of <u>Aberranta enigmatica</u> Hartman. <u>Proc. Biol. Soc.</u> Wash. 84(3):245-252.
- Hulings, N. C. and J. S. Gray. 1971. A manual for the study of Meiofauna. Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 78. 84 pp.
- Hyman, L. H. 1944. Marine Turbellaria from the Atlantic coast of North America. Amer. Mus. Novitiates, 1266:1-15.
- Ingram, R. L. 1971. Sieve analysis. <u>In</u>: Carver, R. E. (ed.), <u>Pro-</u> <u>cedures in Sedimentary Petrology</u>, Wiley-Interscience, New York. pp. 49-67.
- King, C. E. and L. S. Kornicker. 1970. Ostracoda in Texas Bays and Lagoons: An ecologic study. Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 24. 92 pp.
- Kornicker, L. S. 1967. A study of three species of <u>Sarsiella</u> (Ostracoda; Myodocopa). Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. 122(3594). 46 pp.
- Lance, G. N. and W. T. Williams. 1971. A note on a new divisive classificatory program for mixed data. Comput. J. 14:154-155.
- Lloyd, M., J. H. Zar and J. R. Karr. 1968. On the calculation of informational theoretical measures of diversity. <u>Amer. Midl. Nat.</u> 79(2):257-272.
- Maddocks, R. F. 1969. Revision of recent Bairdudae (Ostracoda). <u>U. S.</u> Nat. Mus. Bull. 295. 126 pp.

- Manning, R. B. 1974. Marine flora and fauna of the northeastern United States. Crustacea: Stomatopoda. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS Circ-387. 6 pp.
- McCain, J. C. 1968. The Caprellidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) of the western North Atlantic. U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 278. 147 pp.
- McCaul, W. E. 1963. Rhynchocoela: Nemerteans from marine and estuarine waters of Virginia. J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 79(2):111-124.
- McCloskey, L. R. 1973. Marine flora and fauna of the northeastern United States. Pycnogonida. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS Circ. 386. 12 pp.
- Mulstay, R. 1971. Winter survey of polychaete fauna. <u>In</u>: Studies on the effects of a steam-electric generating plant on the marine environment at Northport, New York. Mar. Sci. Res. Cent., Stony Brook, Tech. Rep. 9:91-104.
- Noy-Meir, I. 1971. Multivariate analysis of the semi-arid vegetation in southeastern Australia. I. Nodal ordination by component analysis, <u>Proc. Ecol. Soc.</u> Australia 6:159-193.
- O'Connor, J. S. 1972. The benthic macrofauna of Moriches Bay, New York. <u>Biol. Bull.</u> 142(1):84-102.
- Perlmutter, A. 1971. Ecological study of the aquatic environs of the proposed nuclear power station of the Long Island Lighting Company at Shoreham: 1970-1971 and summary, 1968-1971. LILCO Tech. Rep. 158 pp.
- Pettibone, M. H. 1953. A new species of polychaete worm of the family Ampharetidae from Massachusetts. J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 43(11): 384-386.
 - . 1963. Marine polychaete worms of the New England Region. <u>U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull.</u> 227, Part 1. 356 pp.

. 1966. Revision of the Pilgaridae (Annelida; Polychaeta) including descriptions of the pelagic <u>Podarmus ploa</u> Chamberline (Polynoidae). Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. 118:155-208.

Pielou, E. C. 1966. The measurement of diversity, in different types of biological collections. J. Theoret. Biol. 13:131-144.

_____. 1969. <u>Introduction to Mathematical Ecology</u>. Wiley-Interscience, New York.

. 1975. <u>Ecological Diversity.</u> Wiley-Interscience, New York. 165 pp. Rhoads, D. C. 1972. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: I. Benthic biology of the new Haven dump site. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the United Illuminating Co. 40 pp.

. 1973a. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: II. Benthic biology of the New Haven Harbor Channel and Northwest Control Site. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the United Illuninating Co. 61 pp.

. 1973b. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: III. Benthic biology of the South Control Site, 1972. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the United Illuminating Co. 44 pp.

. 1973c. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: IV. Benthic sampling Guilford Harbor dredging project pre-dredging study. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 15 pp.

. 1973d. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: V. Benthic biology of the Milford, Branford, and Guilford Dump Grounds. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and United Illuminating Co. 38 pp.

. 1973e. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: VII. Benthic biology of the New Haven Ship Channel, Dump Site, South and Northwest Control Sites, Summer 1973. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the United Illuminating Co. 64 pp.

. 1974a. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: VIII. Changes in spatial and temporal abundance patterns of benthic molluscs sampled from New Haven Harbor Dump Site, South and Northwest Control Sites, 1972-1973 (pre-dump baseline). Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the United Illuminating Co. 49 pp.

. 1974b. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: IX. Benthic biology of the New Haven Harbor Ship Channel, New Haven Dump Site, New South Control and Northwest Control Sites, February-March, 1974 (during dredging and dumping operations). Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 50 pp.

. 1974c. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: X. Benthic biology of the New Haven Harbor Ship Channel, New Haven Dump Site, New South Control and Northwest Control Sites, July, 1974 (postdredging and dumping). Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, 79 pp.

- Rhoads, D. C. 1975. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: XII. The use of bivalve death assemblages to recognize environmental change in Central Long Island Sound over the past 150 years. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 41 pp.
- Rhoads, D. C., R. C. Aller and M. B. Goldhaber. 1975. The environmental consequences of dredge spoil disposal in Central Long Island Sound: XI. The influence of colonizing benthos on physical properties and chemical diagenesis of the New Haven Dump Site. Unpublished Report to U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, 45 pp.
- Richards, S. W. and S. A. Riley. 1967. The benthic epifauna of Long Island Sound. <u>Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll.</u> 19(2):89-135.
- Richardson, H. 1972. <u>A Monograph on the Isopods of North America</u>, Antiquariaat Junk, Netherlands. 727 pp.
- Rowe, G. T. 1971. The effects of pollution on the benthos of the N. Y. Bight. Thallassia Jugoslavica. 7(1):353-359.
- Saila, S. B., S. D. Pratt, and T. T. Polgar. 1972. Dredge spoil disposal in Rhode Island Sound. Univ. Rhode Island Mar. Tech. Rep. 2. 48 pp.
- Sanders, H. L. 1955. The cephalocarida, a new subclass of Crustacea from Long Island Sound. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 41(1):61-66.

. 1956. Oceanography of Long Island Sound, 1952-1954: X. The biology of marine bottom communities. Bingham Oceanographic Collection. 15:346-414.

_____. 1958. Benthic studies in Buzzards Bay: I. Animalsediment relationships. Limnol. Oceanogr. 3:245-258.

. 1960. Benthic studies in Buzzards Bay: III. The structure of the soft bottom community. Limnol. Oceanogr. 5:138-153.

. 1963. The Cephalocarida. <u>Mem. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.</u> 15. 80 pp.

. 1968. Marine benthic diversity: a comparative study. Amer. Nat. 102:243-282.

Schultz, G. A. 1969. <u>The Marine Isopod Crustaceans.</u> W. C. Brown, Co., Iowa. 359 pp.

Serafy, D. K. 1974. Survey of Eaton's Neck Dumping Ground, Long Island Sound. Benthos. Unpublished Report to the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 27 pp.

- Serafy, D. K., and A. D'Agostino. 1974. Preoperational ecological monitoring program of the marine environs at the Long Island Lighting Company Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Shoreham, Long Island, N. Y. Vol. IV. Section VII. Benthic Invertebrates. LILCO Tech. Rep. 86 pp.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1947. Zoology: Further notes on the Amphipod genus <u>Corophium</u> from the east coast of America. J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 37 (2):47-63.
- Smith, R. I. 1964. Keys to marine invertebrates of the Woods Hole Region. Contrib. 11, SEP, Marine Biological Laboratory. 208 pp.
- Steimle, F. R., Jr. and R. B. Stone. 1973. Abundance and distribution of inshore benthic fauna off Southwestern Long Island, N. Y. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF - 673. 50 pp.
- Stickney, A. P. and L. D. Stringer. 1957. A study of the invertebrate bottom fauna of Greenwich Bay, Rhode Island. Ecology. 38(1):111-122.
- Tietjen, J. H. 1969. The ecology of shallow water meiofauna in two New England estuaries. Oecologia. 2(3):251-291.
- U. S. Department of Commerce. 1972. David's Island Phase I: A short term ecological survey of western Long Island Sound. <u>Nat. Mar. Fish</u> Serv. N. E. Region, Informal Rep. 7. 32 pp.
- Wieser, W. 1953. Free living marine nematodes. I. Enoploidea. Chile Reports 10. Lunds Univ. Arrskr. N.F. Avid. 2. 49:1-155.

_____. 1954. Free living marine nematodes. II. Chromodoroidea. Chile Reports 17. Lunds Univ. Arrskr. N.F. Avid. 2. 50:1-148.

_____. 1960. Benthic studies in Buzzards Bay II. The meiofauna. Limnol. Oceanogr. 5(2):121-137.

- Wigley, R. L. and A. D. McIntyre. 1964. Some quantitative comparisons of offshore meiobenthos and macrobenthos south of Martha's Vinyard. Limnol. Oceanogr. 9(4):485-493.
- Williams, A. B. 1965. Marine decapod crustaceans of the Carolinas. Fish. Bull. 65(1). 298 pp.
- . 1974. Marine flora and fauna of the northeastern United States. Crustacea: Decapoda. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS Circ. 389. 50 pp.
- Williams, R. B. 1966. Recent Marine podocopid ostracoda of Narragansett Bay, R. I. U. of Kansas Paleont. Contrib. 11. 36 pp.

Williams, W. T. 1971. Principles of clustering. <u>Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.</u> 2:303-326.

Williams, W. T. and J. M. Lambert. 1961. Nodal analysis of associated populations. <u>Nature.</u> 191:202.

Table 1

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth, m
EB1	40° 59' 33.9" N	73° 27' 03.4" W	24.5
EB2	40° 59' 42.4" N	73° 27' 36.8" W	29.0
EB3	41° 00' 37.8" N	73° 28' 08.6" W	21.8
EB4	41° 00' 02.9" N	73° 26' 18.0" W	31.2
EB5	41° 00' 25.3" N	73° 26' 29.6" W	23.2
EB6	41° 01' 24.1" N	73° 26' 17.6" W	22.7
EB7	40° 59' 46.3" N	73° 24' 47.6" W	38.6
EB8	41° 00' 14.0" N	73° 25' 03.4" W	30.8
EB9	41° 01' 37.8" N	73° 25' 55.3" W	21.5
EB10	41° 00' 00.0" N	73° 23' 43.3" W	20.0
EB11	41° 00' 00.0" N	73° 22' 00.0" W	31.4
EB12	41° 00' 00.0" N	73° 20' 19.7" W	25.8
Al	41° 00' 31.6" N	73° 27' 50.6" W	21.9
A2	41° 00' 30.7" N	73° 27' 54.4" W	21.0
A3	41° 00' 30.0" N	73° 27' 57.9" W	21.0
A4	41° 00' 29.3" N	73° 28' 01.7" W	21.0
A5	41° 00' 28.7" N	73° 28' 06.7" W	22.0
A6	41° 00' 32.3" N	73° 27' 46.7" W	22.5
A7	41° 00' 33.3" N	73° 27' 42.9" W	22.8
A8	41° 00' 33.9" N	73° 27' 37.7" W	22.0
A9	41° 00' 34.6" N	73° 27' 33.4" W	24.0
A10	41° 00' 34.6" N	73° 27' 51.4" W	21.5
All	41° 00' 37.2" N	73° 27' 52.3" W	21.6
A12	41° 00' 39.8" N	73° 27' 52.7" W	20.0
A13	41° 00' 28.7" N	73° 27' 49.7" W	22.3
A14	41° 00' 26.1" N	73° 27' 48.9" W	20.0
A15	41° 00' 22.8" N	73° 27' 47.6" W	22.8
EX1	40° 58' 46.3" N	73° 27' 04.3" W	24.1
EX2	40° 59" 14.0" N	73° 27' 20.6" W	32.0
EX3	41° 00' 09.8" N	73° 27' 57.2" W	25.3
EX4	40° 59' 52.2" N	73° 27' 12.9" W	28.3
EX5	41° 00' 11.7" N	73° 27' 21.9" W	25.6
EX6	41° 00' 54.5" N	73° 27' 30.9" W	21.6
EX7	40° 59' 25.4" N	73° 26' 30.0" W	37.8
EX8	41° 00' 02.6" N	73° 26' 48.9" W	26.2
EX9	41° 00' 41.7" N	73° 27' 06.0" W	21.8
EX10	40° 59' 17.0" N	73° 25' 57.0" W	43.3
EX11	40° 59' 40.4" N	73° 26' 07.7" W	32.8
EX12	41° 00' 48.3" N	73° 26' 40.3" W	22.9
EX13	41° 01' 11.1" N	73° 26' 51.4" W	22.9
EX14	40° 59' 43.0" N	73° 25' 41.6" W	34.4

Geodetic Position and Depth at Mean Low Water for all Stations Sampled at Eatons Neck

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth, m
EX15 EX16 EX17 EX18 EX19 EX20 EX21 EX22 EX22 EX23 EX24 EX25	41° 00' 58.7" N 41° 00' 08.1" N 41° 00' 46.3" N 41° 01' 09.8" N 41° 00' 00.0" N	73° 26' 18.0" W 73° 25' 25.7" W 73° 25' 34.6" W 73° 25' 35.1" W 73° 23' 30.0" W 73° 23' 17.1" W 73° 23' 12.9" W 73° 22' 51.4" W 73° 22' 38.6" W 73° 22' 25.7" W 73° 22' 25.7" W 73° 22' 12.9" W	25.6 31.7 21.2 21.6 25.0 27.7 29.6 27.1 27.4 28.0 30.0

• (

Table 1 (concluded)

Table 2

Mean Species Diversity, Richness, and Evenness Values for Mud and Sand

Stations at Eatons Neck, 6 December 1974 through 17 June 1975

Date	Sediment Species Type*	Diversity H'	Species Richness SR	Species Evenness J'
6 Dec 1974	mud sand	1.50 <u>+</u> 0.52 2.45 <u>+</u> 0.52**	1.41 ± 1.10 3.14 ± 1.43	$\begin{array}{r} 0.70 \pm 0.33 \\ 0.63 \pm 0.20 \end{array}$
21 Jan 1975	mud sand	1.34 ± 0.69 1.70 ± 0.92	0.96 ± 1.02 2.60 ± 2.08	$\begin{array}{r} 0.53 \pm 0.41 \\ 0.48 \pm 0.27 \end{array}$
20 Feb 1975	mud sand	1.07 ± 1.02 2.85 ± 0.80**	0.77 ± 0.80 2.99 ± 1.41	$\begin{array}{r} 0.56 \pm 0.43 \\ 0.62 \pm 0.28 \end{array}$
l Apr 1975	mud sand	1.57 ± 0.90 2.91 ± 0.67**	1.65 ± 0.95 3.33 ± 1.71	$\begin{array}{r} 0.76 \pm 0.28 \\ 0.55 \pm 0.39 \end{array}$
22 Apr 1975	mud	1.17 <u>+</u> 0.79	1.05 <u>+</u> 0.68	0.62 <u>+</u> 0.37
12 May 1975	mud	1.42 <u>+</u> 0.68	1.31 <u>+</u> 0.67	0.72 <u>+</u> 0.30
29 May 1975	mud	0.97 ± 0.54	0.98 <u>+</u> 0.50	0.46 <u>+</u> 0.25
17 Jun 1975	mud	1.22 <u>+</u> 0.64	1.16 <u>+</u> 0.66	0.53 <u>+</u> 0.26

* Sand stations include EB7, EB8, EB9, and EB10; mud stations from 6 December 1974 through 1 April 1975 include EB1, EB2, EB3, EB4, EB5, EB6, EB11, and EB12; and from 22 April through 17 June mud stations include A1-A15, EB2, and EB11.

** Significantly higher diversity index, p <0.01.

	Т	a	b	1	е	3
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

		Mean H' Diversi	ty values 10.	r ryberimen	ital and concror	Stations	
		at Eatons	Neck, 6 Decen	mber 1974 t	hrough 17 June 1	975	
Date		H' Mud* Experimental	H' Mud** Control	t-value	H' Sand* Experimental	H' Sand** Control	t-value
6 Dec 19	974	1.65	1.12	0.37	2.57	1.98	0.28
21 Jan 19	975	1.41	1.12	0.43	1.64	2.49	-0.39
20 Feb 19	975	1.34	0.26	1.43	2.85	1.04	0.97
1 Apr 19	975	1.65	1.64	0.004	2.58	2.27	0.13
22 Apr 19	975	1.26	0.24	1.82			
12 May 19	975	1.24	2.08	-0.69			
29 May 19	975	0.89	1.15	-0.29			
17 Jun 19	975	1.19	1.43	-0.19	. 		

* Experimental stations from 6 December 1974 through 1 April 1975 included stations EB1, EB2, EB3, EB4, EB5, and EB6 for mud and EB7, EB8, and EB9 for sand. Experimental stations from 22 April through 17 June 1975 included stations A1-A15 and EB2 for mud.

** Control stations from 6 December 1974 through 1 April 1975 included stations EB11 and EB12 for mud and EB10 for sand. Control station from 22 April through 17 June 1975 was EB11 for mud.

Table 4

Mean Numbers and Percent Compositions for Nematoda and Harpacticoida

Collected in the Meiofauna Samples 29-31 October 1974 through 1 April 1975*

Station	Taxon	Statistic	29-31 Oct***	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l</u> Apr
EB3	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Percent	423	185.7	364.0	288.6	175.4
(Muu)		Composition	72.6	94.4	95.9	92.0	90.0
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	95	6.7	7.0	12.0	8.0
		Composition	16.3	3.4	1.8	3.8	4.3
EB4 (Mud)	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Percent	**	16.5	55.0	44.3	201.0
		Composition	* *	87.7	92.7	94.0	79.4
. .	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	**	0.3	1.7	1.3	37.0
		Composition	* *	1.6	2.9	2.8	14.6
EB11	Nematoda	Mean Number	**	38.8	54.0	160.0	24.6
(Mua)		Composition	**	96.5	97.8	97.8	91.1
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	* *	0.3	0.3	2.7	0
		Composition	**	0.7	0.5	1.7	0

Station	Taxon	Statistic	29-31 Oct***	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	l Apr
EB9	Nematoda	Mean Number	2560	569.3	435.4	805.4	121.6
(Sand)		Mean Percent Composition	83.8	93.5	98.7	92.4	96.7
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	156	9.3	1.3	40.0	2.0
		Mean Percent Composition	5.1	1.5	0.3	4.6	1.6

Table 4 (continued)

* Top and bottom fractions were combined and the surface sampling area was 10 cm².
** No sample was collected.
*** Not a mean, since only one replicate was collected in October.

Table 5

Mean Numbers and Percent Compositions for Nematoda and Harpacticoida

Collected in the Meiofauna Samples 22 April through 17 June 1975*

Station	Taxon	Statistic	<u>22 Apr</u>	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17</u> Jun
Al	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Percent	18.0	70.3	122.7	43.3
	•	Composition	93.7	90.7	95.8	88.0
•	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	0.3	2.3	3.7	2.3
		Composition	1.6	3.0	2.9	4.7
A2	Nematoda	Mean Number	**	151.0	214.3	178.4
		Composition	* *	84.6	76.7	83.7
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	* *	16.0	13.6	25.0
		Composition	* *	9.0	11.9	11.7
A3	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Percent	83.7	175.4	67.3	108.6
		Composition	98.8	85.8	96.8.	76.4
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	0.7	12.7	1.3	26.0
		Composition	0.8	6.2	1.9	18.1

Table 5 (continued)

Station	Taxon	Statistic	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
A5	Nematoda	Mean Number	**	484.7	53.6	210.3
		Mean Percent Composition	**	93.1	86.3	86.7
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	* *	12.7	6.3	27.3
		Mean Percent Composition	**	2.4	10.1	11.3
A6	Nematoda	Mean Number	**	61.5	35.3	180.7
.*		Mean Percent Composition	* *	91.1	93.1	80.1
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	* *	3.5	1.4	31.3
		Mean Percent Composition	* *	5.2	3.7	13.9
						. *
A7	Nematoda	Mean Number	15.3	24.7	20.3	234.0
		Composition	96.2	87.0	44.5	79.6
• • • • •	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	0.3	1.7	17.7	34.3
		Mean Percent Composition	1.9	5.9	38.8	11.7

Table 5 (continued)

- .

				· · ·		
Station	Taxon	Statistic	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
A9	Nematoda	Mean Number	* *	30.7	16.0	126.7
	an a	Composition	* *	91.4	86.0	64.5
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	* *	1.0	1.0	49.0
		Composition	**	3.0	3.8	2.0
A10	Nematoda	Mean Number	**	180.6	47.5	142.0
		Composition	* *	93.5	92.5	82.2
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	* *	1.9	2.0	10.4
		Composition	* *	1.0	4.1	6.0
						•
All	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Percent	52.3	151.3	445.3	93.6
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Composition	98.5	90.1	84.6	63.7
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	0	3.0	65.6	19.8
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		Composition	0	1.8	12.5	13.5

Station	Taxon	Statistic	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
A13	Nematoda	Mean Number	* *	10.7	**	236.3
		Mean Percent Composition	**	92.2	* * *	70.2
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	**	0.3	* *	70.0
	-	Mean Percent Composition	* *	2.5	**	19.9
		· · · ·				· · · · ·
A14	Nematoda	Mean Number	27.0	18.0	58.7	244.7
	•	Mean Percent Composition	93.4	84.9	87.2	60.0
· •	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	0.3	2.3	4.0	98.6
		Mean Percent Composition	1.0	10.8	5.9	24.2
						· · ·
EB2	Nematoda	Mean Number	45.4	125.3	73.3	181.0
		Mean Percent Composition	89.9	76.6	78.6	86.6
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number	3.3	16.0	15.0	10.7
		Mean Percent Composition	6.5	9.8	16.1	5.1

Table 5 (continued)

· · ·
Table 5 (concluded)

Station	Taxon	Statistic	<u>22 Apr</u>	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	17 Jun
EB11	Nematoda	Mean Number Mean Bercent	22.7	17.3	13.4	34.7
		Composition	93.4	86.1	100.0	63.0
	Harpacticoida	Mean Number Mean Percent	0.2	1.3	0	6.0
		Composition	2.9	6.5	0	10.9

* Top and bottom fractions were combined and the surface sampling area was 10 $\,{\rm cm}^2$.

** No sample was collected.

Table 6

Correlation Coefficients for Nematoda and Harpacticoida Percent Compositions

Sediment Type Mud Sand Date 29-31 Oct 0.195 -0.759** 6 Dec 0.156 -0.924** 21 Jan -0.783** -0.608** 20 Feb -0.980** -0.888** 1 Apr -0.462* -0.774** * * * -0.682* 22 Apr *** -0.734** 12 May *** -0.891** 29 May 17 Jun -0.919** ***

at Mud and Sand Stations

Significance at p <0.05.

** Significance at p <0.01.

*** No sand stations were sampled after 1 April 1975.

	•		4) APIII	1373		
Sediment (Station	Type No.)	Grab Type & Replicate No.	No. Species (taxa)	No. of Individuals	Dry-Weight Biomass, g	Depth of Penetration of Sediment, cm	Sediment Volume, L
Mud (EB	32)	SM - 1 SM - 2 SM - 3 SM - 4 SM - 5	5 14 10 2 17	24 37 50 10 22	0.0589 0.0395 0.0553 0.0798 1.3006	11.4 8.9 11.4 11.4 14.0	9.0 7.0 9.0 9.0 11.5
	•	<u>∓</u> ± S.D.	9.6 <u>+</u> 6.2	28.6 <u>+</u> 15.3	0.3068 <u>+</u> 0.5557	11.4 <u>+</u> 1.8	9.1 <u>+</u> 1.6
х. 1 - С		BC - 1 BC - 2 BC - 3 BC - 4 BC - 5	10 22 22 15 19	34 54 43 72 66	0.0369 64.2111 0.3957 0.3773 1.0356	22.9 16.5 22.9 26.7 28.0	21.5 12.0 19.0 24.0 25.0
		<u>x</u> <u>+</u> s.d.	17.6 <u>+</u> 5.1	53.8 <u>+</u> 15.7	13.2111 <u>+</u> 28.5115	23.4 + 4.5	20.3 <u>+</u> 5.2
		t - test resu	lts 2.23	2.57*	-1.01	5.56**	4.61**
Sand (E	B 10)	SM - 1 SM - 2 SM - 3	57 69 55	2193 2950 3298	45.4234 31.2454 41.2206	8.3 6.4 7.0	6.0 4.0 4.5
	• • •	$\overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm \mathbf{S.D.}$	60.3 <u>+</u> 7.6	2814 <u>+</u> 565	39.2965 <u>+</u> 7.2822	7.2 <u>+</u> 1.0	4.8 ± 1.0
		BC - 1 BC - 2 BC - 3	55 56 49	2921 2022 1092	5.6920 22.8783 24.7588	10.2 10.2 7.6	9.0 10.5 9.5
		$\overline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{s.p.}$	53.3 <u>+</u> 3.8	2012 <u>+</u> 915	17.7764 <u>+</u> 10.5075	9.3 <u>+</u> 1.5	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.8
	• •	t - test i	results 1.43	1.29	2.92*	-2.03	-6.48**
					······		

Comparison o	of_	Smith-McIntyre	Grab	Sampler	and	Box	Corer	at	Eatons	Neck	Macrofauna
				9 Anri	1 19	75					

* Significance at p <0.05.
** Significance at p <0.01.</pre>

Table 8

	Sampler &	Box Corer - Meiofaun	a
Sediment type	Grab	No. Species (taxa)	No. Individuals
Mud	SM-1 SM-2 SM-3 SM-4 SM-5	4 9 10 2 7	77 203 91 29 149
	<u>x</u> <u>+</u> S.D.	6.40 <u>+</u> 3.36	109.80 <u>+</u> 67.41
	BC-1 BC-2 BC-3 BC-4 BC-5	5 4 9 9 10	33 55 765 456 690
	<u>▼</u> + S.D.	7.40 <u>+</u> 2.70	399.80 <u>+</u> 344.30
	t-value	t = 0.51	t = -1.84
Sand	SM-1 SM-2 SM-3 SM-4 SM-5	12 8 12 8 11	794 815 886 600 1,246
	<u>x</u> <u>+</u> S.D.	10.20 <u>+</u> 2.04	868.80 <u>+</u> 236.28
	BC-1 BC-2 BC-3 BC-4 BC-5	11 9 9 10 11	636 381 617 540 755
	x <u>+</u> s.D.	10.00 <u>+</u> 1.00	585.80 <u>+</u> 137.97
	t-value	0.19	2.30*

Comparison of Smith-McIntyre Grab

* Significant at 0.05 level.

Table 9

Checklist of Macroinvertebrate Species

Collected at Eatons Neck

		Species
Porifera	а .	Number
Demospongiae		-
Halichondria bowerbanki Burton		1
Haliclona oculata (Linnaeus)		2
<u>Microciona</u> prolifera (Ellis and Solander))	. 3
Prosuberites epiphytum (Lamarck)		4
Cnidaria		
Hydrozoa		
<u>Bougainvillia carolinensis</u> (McCrady)	÷ *	5
Bougainvillia sp.		6
Calycella syringa (Linnaeus)		7
Campanularia angulata (Hincks)		8
Campanularia sp.		9
Campanularidae sp.		10
Clava sp.	· ·	11
Clytia coronata (Clarke)		12
Clytia cylindrica Agassiz	1. A. M.	13
Clytia longicyatha (Allman)		14
Clytia sp.		15
Corvnidae sp.		16
Dicorvne conferta (Alder)		17
Eudendrium carneum Clarke		18
Eudendrium rameum (Pallas)	·	19
Eudendrium sp.		20
Halecium minutum Broch		21
Halecium sp		22
Hydrallmania falcata (Linnaeus)	1997 - 19	23
Obelia commissuralis McCrady		24
Obelia flabellata (Hincks)		25
Obelia longissima (Pallas)		26
Obelia sp.		27
Opercularella lacerata (Johnston)		28
Opercularella numila Clark		29
Pennaria tiarella (Avres)		30
Podocorvne carnea Sars		31
Sertularella sp		32
Sp. upidentified		32
Thuiaria argentea (Tinnaeug)		34
Thutatta atychicea (IIIInacus)		35
Thuraria ionenicus (Erris and Solander)		35
THUTALIA SIMITIS		27
inutatia sp.		31

Table 9 (continued)		
Table 9 (concluded)		
	2	
	Specie	<u> </u>
	Number	
Hydrozoa (continued)		-
Tubularia sp.	38	
Tubularidae sp.	39	
Anthozoa	10	
Actinothoe modesta (Verrill)	40	
Actinounce sp. Astrangia danae Agassiz	41	
Athenaria sp.	43	
Ceriantheopsis americanus (Verrill)	44	
Metridium senile (Linnaeus)	45	
Sp. unidentified	46	
Stomphia coccinea Mueller	47	
Thenaria sp.	48	
Sp. unidentified	49	
Anopla		
Carinoma sp.	50	•
Cerebratulus lacteus (Leidy)	51	
<u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>luridus</u> Verrill	52	
<u>Cerebratulus</u> sp.	53	
Tubulanus pellucidus (Coe)	55	
Enopla		
Amphiporus bioculatus McIntosh	56	
Amphiporus caecus Verrill	57	
ematoda	FO	
sp. unidentified	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
Barentsia sp.	59	
haetognatha		
Sp. unidentified	60	
ctoprocta	na serie de la companya de la compan La companya de la comp	
Aeverrillia armata (Verrill)	61	
Aeverriilla sp.	63	
Alcyonidium verrilli Osburn	64	
Bowerbankia gracilis Leidy	65	
Bowerbankia sp.	66	
Bugula sp.	67	
Callopora aurita (Hincks)	68	
Crisia oburnoa (Linnacus)	69 70	
Cryptosula pallasiana (Moll)	70 71	
Electra monostachys (Busk)	72	
Electra sp.	73	
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

.

	······································	Species Number
Ectoprocta (continued)		
Eucratea sp.	· · · · · ·	74
Hippoporina americana (Verrill)	алар (1997) Алар (1997)	75
Hippoporina porosa (Verrill)	· · ·	76
Hippoporina sp.		77
Hippothoa hyalina (Linnaeus)	1	78
Lichenopora sp.		79
Membranipora sp.		80
Membranipora tenuis Desor		81
Microporella sp.	· • · · ·	82
Schizoporella sp.		83
Schizoporella unicornis (Johnston)		84
Sp. unidentified	•	85
Mollusca		
Gastropoda	· · · ·	
Acmaea testudinalis (Mueller)		86
Aeolidacea sp.		87
Colus caelatus (Verrill and Smith)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	88
Colus sp.	•	89
Corvphella verrucosa Sars		90
Cratena aurantia Alder and Hancock		91
Crepidula fornicata (Linnaeus)		92
Crepidula plana Say		93
Cuthona concinna Alder and Hancock	·	94
Diastoma alternatum (Say)	· · · · ·	95
Doridella obscura (Verrill)		96
Enitonium humphrougii Kieper		97
Epitonium numphieysii kienei		98
Lupitia borog (Say)		99
Lunatia Heros (Say)	•	100
Mitrolla lunata Say		101
Michella lunaca bay		102
Nagapring Wiboy Say		102
Nassallus videx bay		104
Nucibranchia sp.		105
Odostomia bisuturalis (Say)		105
Odostomia seminuda (Say)		107
Retusa obtusa Montagu		107
Sp. unidentified		100
Turbonilla interrupta (Totten)		109
Turbonilla sp.		UTT LLC
Urosalpinx cinereus Say		
Bivalvia	and a second	
Abra lioica Dall		112
Anadara transversa Say		113

	Species
Dimelaria (restinued)	Number
Bivalvia (continued)	ארר
Astarte undata Gould	
Bamea truncata Say	110
Cyclocardita borealis (Conrad)	TT0
Ensis directus Conrad	11/
<u>Gemma gemma Totten</u>	118
Lyonsia hyalina Conrad	119
<u>Macoma balthica</u> Linnaeus	120
Macoma tenta Say	121
Mercenaria mercenaria Linnaeus	122
Mulinia lateralis Say	123
Musculus niger Gray	124
Mytilus edulis Linnaeus	125
Nucula proxima Sav	126
Nuculana messanensis Seguensa	127
Pandora gouldiana Dall	128
Petricola pholadiformis Lamarck	129
Pitar morrhuana Gould	130
Poromya granulata Nyst and Westendorp	131
Siliqua costata Sav	132
Solomua volum Sav	133
Solonidao sn	13/
Solon winidia Sou	135
Solen Villuis Say	126
Molling Solidissima Dilwyn	127
Veldie limetule Com	120
Yoldia <u>limatula</u> Say	T20
Annelida	
Polycnaeta	1.00
Ampharete arctica Malmgren	139
Ampharetidae sp.	140
Amphitrite affinis Malmgren	141
<u>Aricidea cerruti</u> Laubier	142
Asabellides oculata Webster	143
Autolytus cornutus Agassiz	144
Autolytus sp.	145
Axiothella catenata	146
Capitella capitata (Fabricius)	147
Chaetozone setosa Malmgren	148
Cirratulidae sp.	149
Cirriformia grandis Verrill	150
Cirrophorus lyriformis (Annenkova)	151
Clymenella torquata (Leidy)	152
Cossura longogirrata Webster and Benedict	152
Dionatra cuprea (Bogg)	151
Dervillos en	155
DOTATTEG Sh.	100

	Species Number
Polychaeta (continued)	
Drilonereis magna Webster and Benedict	156
Eteone longa (Fabricius)	157
Eumida sanguinea (Oersted)	158
Eusyllis lamelligera Marion and Bobretzky	159
Eucyllic cn	160
Exogone vorugera (Clanarede)	161
Elobolligoro offinia Cora	162
Chusens amoni and Loi du	102
Glycera americana Leidy	103
<u>Glycera</u> <u>dibranchiata</u> Enlers	164
<u>Glycera</u> sp.	165
Harmothoe imbricata (Linnaeus)	166
Harmothoe sp.	167
Hesionidae sp.	168
Heteromastus sp.	169
Hydroides dianthus Verrill	170
Hypaniola gravi Pettibone	171
Lepidonotus squamatus (Linnaeus)	172
Lepidonotus sublevis Verrill	173
Loimia sp.	174
Lumbrinereis brevipes (McIntosh)	175
Lumbrinereis fragilis (Mueller)	176
Lumbringroig tonuig (Verrill)	177
Lumbrinereis cenuis (verrir)	178
Maldano gargi Malmaron	170
Maldane Sarsi Malmgren	100
Maldanidae sp.	101
Maldanopsis elongata (Verrill)	100
Mediomastus ambiseta (Hartman)	182
<u>Microphthalmus</u> sczelkowii Mecznikow	183
Microphthalmus sp.	184
Nephtys incisa Malmgren	185
Nephtys sp.	186
Nereis arenaceodonta Moore	187
Nereis gravi Pettibone	188
Nereis succinea (Frey and Leuckart)	189
Nereis virens Sars	190
Nereis sp.	191
Nicomache lumbricalis Fabricius	192
Notomastus luridus Verrill	193
Notomastus sp	194
Adonatogullia fulgurana Clanarada	105
Ouonocosyllis luigulans clapalede	106
Devenue i lie les terris delle Chiefe	107
Paranaitis Kosteriensis (Maimgren)	100
Pectinaria gouldii (Verrill)	198
Pherusa affinis (Leidy)	ТЭЭ

	Species
Polychaeta (continued)	Number
Pherusa arenosa (Webster)	200
Pholoe minuta (Fabricius)	200
Phyllodoce arenae Webster	201
Phyllodocidae sp	202
Pilargidae sp	203
Podarke obsoura Verrill	204
Poludora guadrilohata Jagohi	205
Polydora Wobstori Hartmann	200
Polygordius triestinus Woltereck	207
Polypoidius cirescinus wordereck	200
Potamilla noglogta (Sarg)	209
Potamilla neglecca (Sais)	210
Protodoruillos korforstoini (MaIntosh)	212
Protodorvillea Refferscenti (Metheosh)	212
Sabolla migrophthalma Vorrill	213
Sabellaria co	214
Sabellaria Vulgaria Verrill	215
Saperiaria vulgaris veririr Saplibrogma inflatum Pathko	210
Scalopleg armiger (Mueller)	217
Scolopios analysi (Muerier)	210
Scolopios sp.	219
Sigambra ch	220
Sigambra tontagulata Troaduoll	221
Spie getege Verrill	222
Spioghaotoptorug ogulatug Webstor	223
Spionidae an	224
Spioniude Sp.	225
Stauronoroig gaogua (Webster and Benedict)	220
Standheiers Caecus (Webster and Benedict)	227
Stopolaig pigta Vorrill	220
Stroblognio bonodigti Wohgtor	229
Streptosullig arongo Webster and Benedict	230
Sullis gracilis Grube	231
Sullidae co	232
Terebellidee en	233
Trochochaetidae sp.	234
Oligoghaeta	233
Sp unidentified	236
Sipungula	250
Spuncura Spuncura	227
Arthropoda	231
Prenogonida	
Anonlodactulus narruus Ciltar	220
Anopiodactylus patiolatus (Vraman)	230
Anopiouactyius periotatus (Kroyer)	239

	Species Number
Pycnogonida (continued)	· · ·
Anoplodactylus sp.	240
Sp. unidentified	241
Acarina	
Halacaridae sp.	242
Cephalocarida	
Hutchinsoniella macracantha Sanders	243
Ostracoda	
Neonesidea sp.	244
Parasterope pollex Kornicker	245
Sarsiella ozotothrix Kornicker and Bowen	246
Sarsiella zostericola Cushman	247
Copepoda	
Harpacticoida sp.	248
Calanoida sp.	249
Cvclopoida sp.	250
Acartia clausi Giesbrecht	251
Acartia tonsa Giesbrecht	252
Labidocera aestiva Wheeler	253
Pseudocalanus minutus (Krøver)	254
Sp. unidentified	255
Temora longicornis (Mueller)	256
Cirripedia	
Balanus amphitrite niveus Darwin	257
Balanus sp.	258
Sp. unidentified (cyprid)	259
Isopoda	
Edotea montosa (Stimpson)	260
Edotea triloba (Say)	261
Limnoria lignorum (Rathke)	262
Ptilanthura tenuis Harger	263
Amphipoda	1. J.
Aeginina longicornis (Kroyer)	264
Aeginina sp.	265
Acanthohaustorius shoemakeri Bousfield	266
Ampelisca abdita Mills	267
Ampelisca vadorum Mills	268
Ampelisca sp.	269
Caprella sp.	270
Corophium tuberculatum Shoemaker	271
Corophium volutator (Pallas)	272
Corophium sp.	273
Erichthonius brasiliensis (Dana)	274
Gammarus mucronatus Say	275
	1 A

	Species Number
Amphipoda (continued)	· · ·
Halirages fulvocinctus (Sars)	276
Harpinia propingua Sars	277
Jassa falcata (Montagu)	278
Lembos smithi (Holmes)	279
Leptocheirus pinguis (Stimpson)	280
Luconacia incerta Mayer	281
Orchomonella pinguis (Boeck)	282
Paracaprella tenuis Mayer	283
Parametopella cupris (Holmes)	284
Paraphoyug gpinogug Holmog	285
Phoyogophalug halballi (Krowar)	205
Cr. unidentifi	200
Sp. unidentified	207
Stenopleustes gracills (Holmes)	200
Stenotnoe minuta Holmes	289
Unclota irrorata Say	290
Tanaldacea	201
Leptognatha caeca (Harger)	291
Mysidacea	-
Heteromysis formosa Smith	292
Neomysis americana (Smith)	293
Sp. unidentified	294
Cumacea	· · ·
<u>Diastylis quadrispinosa</u> Sars	295
Oxyurostylis smithi Calman	296
Sp. unidentified	297
Decapoda	
Cancer irroratus Say	298
Cancer sp.	299
Carcinus maenas (Linnaeus)	300
Crangon septemspinosa Say	301
Euprognatha rastellifera Stimpson	302
Eurypanopeus depressus (Smith)	303
Libinia dubia Milne-Edwards	304
Libinia emarginata Leach	305
Neopanope texana savi (Stimpson)	306
Pagurus longicarpus Say	307
Pagurus polligaris Say	308
Pagurus politicalis bay	300
Edyurus sp. Dalaomonotog gulgarig (Sau)	310
Palia mutica Una and Chang	5T0
reila mutica Hay and Shore	212
Panopeus nerbsti Milne-Edwards	312
Pinnixa chaetopterana Stimpson	313
Pinnixa sayana Stimpson	314

Table 9 (concluded)

	Species Number
Decapoda (continued)	
Pinnotheres maculatus Say	315
Pinnotheres ostreum Say	316
Thalassinidea sp.	317
Upogebia affinis (Say)	318
Xanthidae sp.	319
Insecta	
Collembola sp.	320
Echinodermata	
Asteroidea	
Asterias forbesi (Desor)	321
Henricia sanguinolenta (Mueller)	322
Echinoidea	
Arbacia punctulata (Lamarck)	323
Holothuroidea	
Pentamera pulcherrima (Ayres)	324

Table 10

Dominant Benthic Species Present at Eatons Neck

Species	Geographic and Bathymetric Range	Sediment Preference	Reproduction	Feeding Type	References	
Cnidaria Anthozoa <u>Ceriantheopsis</u> <u>americanus</u>	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, 1-21 m	mud	Asexual reproduction and sexual reproduction protandrous herma- phrodite with pelagic larvae	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971), Abbott (1974)	
Rhynchocoela Anopla <u>Cerebratulus</u> lacteus	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, littoral	mud	Separate sexes, external fertilization with planktonic larvae	Predatory carnivore	Barnes (1968), Gosner (1971)	
Tubulanus pellucidus	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, 1-20 m	silty-sands	Separate sexes, external fertilization with planktonic larvae	Predatory carnivore	Gosner (1971)	
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Crepidula</u> fornicata	Bay of Fundy to Cape Hatteras, 1-27 m	rock or shell	Protandric hermaphrodite	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971)	
Crepidula plana	Bay of Fundy to Cape Hatteras, shallow	rock or shell	Protandric hermaphrodite	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971)	
Nassarius trivittatus	Labrador to Cape Hatteras, 0-82 m	sand	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Scavenger	Gosner (1971), Abbott (1974)	
Bivalvia Astarte undata	Bay of Fundy to Cape Hatteras, 1-45 m	sand	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971), Abbott (1974)	
Lyonsia hyalina	Labrador to N. Fla., 1-31 m	sand	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Suspension feeder	Sanders (1956), Gosner (1971)	

[·] Table 10 (continued)

Species	Geographic and Bathymetric Range	Sediment Preference	Reproduction	Feeding Type	References
Bivalvia (continued) <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u>	Maine to N. Florida and Texas, shallow	silt-clay 20-50 percent	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Suspension feeder	Sanders (1956), Abbott (1974)
<u>Pitar</u> morrhuana	Gulf of St. Lawrence to N. Carolina, 4-33 m	sand	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971), Abbott (1974)
<u>Tellina</u> agilis	Bay of Fundy to Cape Hatteras, 1-45 m	sand	Separate sexes, external fertilization	Suspension feeder	Gosner (1971), Abbott (1974)
Annelida Polychaeta Aricidea cerruti	Labrador to Cape Hatteras, 2-1940 m	sandy mud	Spawns during July in Mass.	Deposit feeder	Pettibone (1963)
Axiothella catenta	Long Island Sound	silty sand	Eggs are incubated in cocoons attached to burrow, entrance, long development, benthic larvae	Detritus feeder	Day (1967), Gosner (1971)
<u>Cirriformia</u> grandis	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, littoral to 42 m	sand	Sexual, external fertilization trochophore larvae	Selective deposit feeder	Day (1967), Gosner (1971)
<u>Glycera</u> americana	Mass. to Argentina, 0-300 m	Prefers mud, but occurs in sand	Spawns after 3 yrs, form swimming epitokes, and dies spawning in May	Deposit feeder	Sanders (1956), Pettibone (1963)
<u>Harmothoe</u> <u>imbricata</u>	Labrador to L.I. Sound, littoral to 225 m	Epifaunal under stones- algae	Female carries eggs & early trochophores under elytra, long planktonic stage		Smith (1964), Gosner (1971)

,

		Table 10 (conting	ued)		
Species	Geographic and Bathymetric Range	Sediment Preference	Reproduction	Feeding Type	References
Polychaeta (continued) <u>Mediomastus</u> ambiseta	Mass. to L.I. Sound, Intertidal to shelf depths	Sandy mud to muddy sand	?	Deposit feeder	Hobson (1971)
Nephtys incisa	Greenland to Virginia, 0-1720 m	Prefers mud, but occurs in sand	Spawns in Long Island Sound year round with a peak in early spring & late summer	Deposit feeder	Sanders (1956 Carey (1962), Pettibone (19
Pherusa affinis	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, Littoral	mud	?	Deposit feeder	Day (1967), Gosner (1971)
Polygordius triestinus	Delaware Bay to Long Island Sound	sand	?	Deposit feeder	Serafy and D'Agostino (
rthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> macracantha	Buzzard's Bay to L.I. Sound, 8-30 m	mud	Eggs carried in ovisac and hatch as metanauplius fol- lowed by benthic, 18 larval stages, hermaphroditic	Deposit feeder	Sanders (195 Sanders (196 Gosner (1971
Ostracoda <u>Sarsiella</u> zostericola	Mass., Maine, Texas, and California, 0-11 m	mud & sand	Separate sexes, internal fertilization, carries eggs, instar larvae	? Predatory carnivore	Kornicker (1967)
Amphipoda Ampelisca abdita	Central Maine to Gulf of Mexico, low intertidal to 60 m	silty sand	Two generations - a short summer April & May & long over winter appears in Sept Oct.	Detritus feeder	Sanders (195 Bousfield (1
<u>A.</u> vadorum	Gulf of St. Lawrence to N. Fla., low intertidal - 70 m	sand	Two generations - a short summer April & May & long over winter appears in Sept Oct.	Detritus feeder	Sanders (195 Bousfield (1

Table 10 (concluded)

Species	Geographic and Bathymetric Range	Sediment Preference	Reproduction	Feeding Type	References	
Amphipoda (continued) Parametopella cypris	Vineyard Sound - N. Fla., sub-tidal to 10 m	Epifaunal on hydroids, ectoprocts, and sponges	One generation ovigerous, May to October, several broods	Filter feeder	Bousfield (1973)	
Phoxocephalus holbolli	Labrador to L.I., 91 m	fine sand, muddy sand, eel grass	One generation, male ovigerous, FebJune	Detritus feeder	Bousfield (1973)	
Decapoda <u>Neopanope</u> <u>texana</u> sayi	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, 0-79 m	mud	Separate sexes, internal fertilization, several planktonic larval stages	Omnivore	Barnes (1968) Gosner (1971)	
Pagurus longicarpus	Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras, Inter- tidal to 52 m	epifaunal	Separate sexes	Omnivore	Gosner (1971)	

Table 11

Checklist of Meioinvertebrate Species

Collected at Eatons Neck

Cnidaria. Platyhyhelminthes Turbellaria Nemertea Rotifera Kinorhyncha Echinoderes sp. Pycnophyes frequens (Blake) Trachydemus mainensis (Blake) Nematoda Mollusca Gastropoda Doridella obscura (Verrill) Sp. unidentified (egg case) Pelecypoda Anadara transversa (Say) Tellina agilis Stimpson Annelida Polychaeta Ampharetidae sp. Ancistrosylis sp. Brania clavata (Claparede) Capitellidae sp. Cirriformia grandis Verrill Cossura longocirrata Webster and Benedict Dodecaceria sp. Eumida fusigera Hypaniola grayi (Malgrem) Maladanidae sp. Mediomastus ambiseta (Hartman) Microphthalmus sp. Nerillidae sp. Phyllodocidae sp. Pilargidae sp. Polycirrus sp. Polygordius triestinus Woltereck Protodorvillea gaspeensis (Pettibone) Spionidae sp. Syllidae sp. Syllides setosa Verrill Terebellidae sp. Polychaete larva (Type #1)

Table 11 (concluded)

Polychaeta (continued) Polychaeta larva (Type #2) Polychaeta larva (Type #3) Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified Arthropoda Acarina Halacaridae sp. Cephalocarida Hutchinsoniella macracantha Sanders Amphipoda Parametopella cypris (Holmes) Cladocera Podon sp. Ostracoda Actinocythereis gomillionensis (Howe & Ellis) Cytheromorpha sp. Hulingsina americana (Cushman) Loxoconcha granulata Sars Loxoconcha sperata Williams Neocytherideis sp. Neonesidea sp. Sarsiella ozotothrix Kornicker and Bowen Sarsiella zostericola Cushman Schlerochilus contortus (Norman) Semicytherura nigrescens (Baird) Copepoda Harpacticoida sp. Sp. unidentified (nauplii) Cirrepedia Sp. unidentified (nauplii)

Unidentified larva



APPENDIX A': Results of Sediment Analyses, Eatons Neck Disposal Site

							Percent of Coarse Fraction, mm				
Transect	Depth		Percent	t of To	tal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
29-31 October 1974											
EB1	36.2	mud	4.3	45.4	50.3	19.7	1.2	10.4	47.2	25.2	24.5
EB2	32.9	mud	6.8	45.9	47.2	1.0	3.6	7.4	17.9	33.8	36.2
EB3	21.8	mud	7.7	50.2	42.1	0	1.7	4.2	4.5	12.4	77.3
EB4	31.5	sandy mud	35.8	32.5	31.7	11.3	3.1	8.8	24.6	34.9	17.4
EB5	21.6	mud	14.0	30.4	53.3	2.7	6.3	8.9	15.9	27.8	38.4
EB6	21.7	sandy mud	46.6	26.8	26.7	4.3	5.1	13.0	20.7	34.5	14.4
EB7	44.4	muddy grav. sand	90.3	3.6	6.1	28.5	11.5	22.5	30.8	5.9	0.9
EB8	24.3	muddy grav. sand	78.9	6.1	15.0	36.1	9.5	12.1	29.0	12.4	1.0
EB9	21.4	muddy grav. sand	83.9	7.9	8.2	14.4	14.4	14.4	28.4	21.1	7.4
EB10	20.0	muddy grav. sand	86.3	6.5	7.3	20.1	7.0	11.6	36.4	23.6	1.3
EB11	26.6	mud	2.5	41.2	56.4	.0	2.5	18.7	38.9	12.3	27.6
EX1	24.1	muđ	16.8	41.6	41.6	9.6	4.0	11.1	21.6	23.5	30.3
EX2	32.0	muđ	17.8	32.3	49.9	3.4	0.9	2.3	12.6	26.3	54.6
EX3	25.3	mud	2.5	44.5	53.0	6.4	6.3	8.6	14.9	22.5	41.2
EX4	28.3	sandy grav. mud	33.3	26.9	39.7	37.5	7.1	10.0	17.5	19.1	8.9
EX5	25.6	sandy mud	21.8	35.6	42.6	17.6	6.0	14.6	24.1	21.3	16.4
EX6	21.6	mud	7.9	42.3	49.8	0	1.6	1.5	2.9	12.0	82.0
EX7	37.8	sandy mud	28.8	30.5	40.7	4.1	1.0	4.5	23.1	38.9	28.3
EX8	26.2	sandy mud	47.3	26.0	26.7	12.6	5.3	10.5	23.2	31.3	17.2
EX9	21.8	mud	3.6	42.4	54.0	28.2	0.7	3.2	3.4	11.4	53.0
EX10	43.3	mud	1.8 .	30.6	67.6	0	3.4	16.9	13.5	19.6	46.6
EX11	32.8	mud	9.8	42.1	48.1	5.4	4.7	12.7	26.4	28.0	22.8
EX12	22.9	mud	3.4	45.2	51.4	4.3	4.5	9.6	7.7	15.2	58.7
EX13	22.9	mud	7.7	38.9	53.4	0.5	1.3	3.4	9.7	26.9	58.1
EX14	34.4	muddy sand	61.5	15.2	23.3	10.3	6.8	15.2	29.2	26.1	12.3

Table Al Sediment Analysis, Eatons Neck Disposal Site

	· .						Perce	nt of Coa	rse Frac	tion, mm	
Transect	Depth	•	Percent	t of To	tal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
•			29-3	l Octob	er 1974	(contin	ued)				
EX15	25.6	mud	5.0	42.8	52.2	22.7	12.4	14.9	6.8	7.4	35.9
EX16	31.7	sandy mud	29.5	35.6	34.9	4.7	6.1	14.9	28.8	28.2	17.4
EX17	21.2	mud	7.3	31.8	61.0	3.2	3.8	8.8	9.7	22.2	52.3
EX18	21.6	muddy grav. sand	85.8	5.4	8.9	28.7	13.0	11.7	27.2	18.2	1.2
EX19	25.0	gravelly sand	90.4	2.1	7.4	11.3	10.9	2.5	47.2	25.6	2.5
EX20	27.7	muddy sand	76.3	7.7	16.0	4.0	4.2	13.7	38.6	33.3	6.2
EX21	29.6	muddy sand	77.1	10.2	12.7	6.7	12.5	32.2	14.2	30.9	3.4
EX22	27.1	muddy sand	85.4	4.8	9.8	7.2	6.1	15.2	53.7	16.6	1.2
EX23	27.4	muddy sand	72.2	8.1	19.7	3.4	3.1	9.7	57.6	23.6	2.6
EX24	28.0	muddy sand	78.8	7.6	13.6	3.5	5.0	16.3	66.3	7.6	· 1.3
EX25	30.0	muddy sand	56.8	16.6	26.6	2.6	5.1	23.4	62.7	5.6	0.7
				6 De	cember	1974				•	
EB1	36.2	mud	10.8	40.9	48.3	0	0.4	1.3	7.5	37 4	53 5
EB2	32.9	sandy mud	30.0	30.8	39.2	13.3	2.2	2.0	12.0	31.9	38.7
EB3	21.8	mud	8.6	44.6	46.8	0.6	2.0	7.6	11.1	16.6	62.0
EB4	31.5	sandy mud	26.7	33.6	39.6	0.3	0.7	2.1	15.2	51.4	30.3
EB5	21.6	mud	10.6	49.7	39.7	12.9	1.8	4.0	2.2	30.5	30.7
EB6	21.7	muddy sand	70.0	14.2	15.8	2.3	3.5	11.6	40.6	33.1	8.8
EB7	44.4	muddy grav. sand	86.7	5.7	7.6	27.2	17.7	22.0	22.1	9.4	1.7
EB8	24.3	muddy grav. sand	90.0	3.8	6.1	14.6	9.7	14.9	35.5	23.6	1.8
EB9	21.4	muddy sand	74.4	12.1	13.4	1.9	5.5	19.9	42.8	20.8	9.1
EB10	20.0	muddy grav. sand	93.1	1.5	5.3	31.4	2.6	5.6	30.3	29.0	1.3
EB11	26.6	mud	3.6	42.3	54.0	0.4	0.4	1.3	10.4	41.3	46.3
EB12	23.9	mud	2.4	44.0	53.6	7.6	7.1	6.3	8.7	14.7	55.5

Table Al (Continued)

			• *				Perce	ent of Coa	rse Fract	ion, mm	
Mwangogt	Donth		Percer	nt of To	otal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
Station	Bange	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
beacton	1.011.90		<u> </u>			· · · ·					
				21 Ja	anuary	1975					
FB1	36.9	sandv mud	20.7	36.6	42.7	1.1	1.6	6.6	23.0	38.3	29.5
EB2	32.3	mud	2.1	50.9	47.0	0	1.5	8.5	22.1	27.2	40.8
EB3	22.5	mud	7.7	44.9	47.4	4.2	4.3	3.8	5.8	17.8	64.3
EB4	21.0	muddy sand	64.8	17.4	17.8	4.6	5.1	13.5	44.8	25.1	7.0
EB5	21.3	mud	19.4	29.4	51.3	15.6	3.1	5.3	18.8	46.2	11.0
EB6	21.9	mud	18.7	49.6	31.8	8.1	4.3	8.6	16.9	38.2	23.9
EB7	45.1	muddy sand	61.3	16.1	22.7	1.4	0.6	4.6	28.2	51.9	13.4
EB8	25.0	sand	95.4	1.4	3.2	9.4	9.7	20.7	42.0	16.8	1.3
EB9	21.9	mud	19.1	36.1	44.9	6.9	7.3	19.7	37.5	17.9	10.6
EB10	15.8	grav. sand	95.4	1.9	2.7	37.2	15.3	15.3	25.6	6.2	0.5
EBII	28.3	sandy mud	21.5	34.7	43.8	26.9	1.7	4.6	10.6	31.6	24.5
EB12	25.0	mud	12.1	39.6	48.3	0	2.2	9.6	34.4	28.8	29.0
				20 Fe	bruary	1975					
		•		26.0	FF C	E4 2	n o 1	4 5	10 5	15.5	12.4
EB1	38.1	mud	1/.8	26.9	55.0	54.3	2.0	4.5	10.5	37 7	20.8
EB2	36.5	mud	15.2	29.2	55.5	12.9	2.0	10 6	15 3	22 4	47.3
EB3	21.3	mud	14./	24.8	60.5	1.4	2.9	10.0	26.2	46.1	15.4
EB4	29.9	sandy mud	21.4	18.1	50.5	4.9	2.1	19.2	20.2	32.3	11.6
EB5	20.7	muddy sand	53./	14.1	32.2	9.7	0.5	20.0	27.5	48 1	23.3
EB6	22.9	sandy mud	37.3	32.3	30.4	24.2	151	11 5	22.0	13.5	2.3
EB7	45.1	muddy grav. sand	/1.1	0.3	22.0	34.3	14 2	22 5	20.8	7 6	1.0
EB8	23.5	muddy grav. sand	93.1	2.7	4.2	33.7	11 0	22.5	20.0	111	2.8
EB9	24.4	grav. sand	92.0	2.9	5.1	21.2	TT 8	23.5	29.0		
EB10	19.5					NU SAM	г <u>г</u> с ——		17 1	44 9	30.8
EB11	27.4	sandy mud	28.7	19.8	51.5	1.4	. T•2	10 0	21 9	22 6	37.8
EB12	25.0	mud	1.7	25.8	12.5	2.4	5.0	16.6	41.7	22.0	57.0

Table Al (Continued)

			Percent of Coarse Fraction, mm								
Transect	Depth		Percer	nt of Te	otal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
				1 A	pril 19	75					
EB1	35.0	mud	13.6	31.3	55.1	8.4	3.2	8.5	21.9	39.5	18.6
EB2	32.0	mud	9.6	51.3	39.1	0.8	1.2	3.0	7.7	14.9	72.5
EB3	21.3	mud	7.7	44.9	47.4	2.9	2.9	5.0	5.3	11.0	72.9
EB4	33.5	mud	12.8	38.5	48.7	0.0	0.2	1.4	29.0	43.6	25.8
EB5	21.0	mud	5.2	42.8	52.0	0.7	0.2	2.7	11.3	26.0	59.1
EB6	21.3	muddy sand	72.2	12.4	15.4	1.3	1.7	6.9	28.3	48.8	12.9
EB7	45.1	muddy grav. sand	74.9	10.5	14.6	27.7	12.5	13.6	25.0	17.7	3.4
EB8	25.6	muddy sand	53.0	19.4	27.6	9.2	4.5	10.3	35.2	34.6	6.2
EB9	24.4	sandy mud	42.4	26.1	31.5	2.3	0.5	2.4	9.8	53.9	31.1
EB10	18.3	grav. sand	92.0	3.2	4.8	16.0	5.6	17.8	44.2	15.1	1.3
EB11	27.7	mud	6.3	42.5	51.2	5.0	0.7	3.0	7.6	41.4	42.3
EB12	25.0	mud	18.6	36.4	44.9	9.1	3.6	9.5	31.1	26.8	19.8
				22-23	April	1975					
Al	18.3	mud	3.8	45.9	46.6	1.4	5.1	10.0	5.1	11.3	67.2
A2	18.3	mud	10.3	47.3	42.5	9.3	4.1	6.8	14.0	39.7	26.1
A3	18.3	mud	6.6	44.7	48.7	2.0	0.8	4.0	15.6	21.9	55.8
A4	18.9	mud	10.0	43.1	46.9	0.0	1.9	6.6	12.7	20.8	58.0
A5	18.9	mud	17.3	38.5	44.2	0.0	0.5	1.9	7.5	54.0	36.2
A6	20.7	mud	5.0	45.6	49.2	8.2	2.8	5.0	4.4	8.3	71.4
A7	20.7	mud	10.9	43.8	45.3	7.6	7.6	23.4	11.2	19.1	31.2
A8	21.3	mud	4.3	46.5	49.2	0.0	0.9	1.9	4.1	11.8	81.3
20	19 2	mud	5 8	44 7	49 5	18.1	7.6	13.5	9.8	12.8	38.2
A 10	18 3	mud	9.0	44.2	46.0	5.4	2.4	8.1	7.5	15.9	60.7
211	18 3	mid	7 3	45 2	47.5	2.5	7.8	6.3	4.2	10.2	69.0
A12	10.5	sandy mud	48 5	25 2	26 3	18 4	6.7	7.2	15.0	28.0	24.7
n12	19.0	Sanay muu	-0.0	£ J • £	20.0	TO . 4	0.1			20.0	

Table Al (Continued)

Democrate of Websile					Percent of Coarse Fraction, mm						
Transect	Depth		Percei	nt of To	otal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
<u>Station</u>	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
	22-23 April 1975 (continued)										
A13	19.8	mud	5.6	44.7	49.7	0.7	2.5	4.6	9.5	19.1	63.7
A14 A15	21.9	mud	3.0	47.0 41.8	50.0 45.6	9.7	2.3	6.2 5.5	8.3 16.3	14.0 24.8	59.4 47.8
EB2	24.4	mud	7.2	43.5	49.2	1.8	1.0	3.2	7.5	21.0	65.5
EB11	25.6	mud	2.1	41.6	56.3	0.0	0.2	2.0	3.4	18.5	75.9
12 May 1975											
Al	22.3	mud	3.2	23.2	73.5	0.0	0.9	1.5	3.9	11.3	82.3
A2	20.7	mud	8.1	46.4	46.9	0.0	0.9	3.3	8.4	19.4	68.0
A3	20.7	mud	6.2	49.1	44.7	1.4	4.2	9.3	9.8	18.7	56.6
A4	21.3	mud	9.9	42.4	47.7	13.0	1.0	2.3	10.7	22.8	50.2
AD	22.3	mud	15.3	41.4	43.3	8.2	3.6	9.3	22.1	19.1	37.7
A0 >7	21.3	mud	8.9	45.7	45.4	14.0	1.5	1.7	1.6	9.4	71.8
A/ 20	20.7	mua	6.8	43.2	50.0	4.0	0.4	1.4	3.0	13.8	77.4
A8 20	21.3	mud	11.9	40.9	47.2	1.1	3.8	11.4	15.4	18.3	50.1
A9	22.3	mud	2.8	45.1	52.1	0.0	0.2	1.5	5.2	18.2	74.8
ALU	21.3	mud	10.7	44.1	45.2	0.7	2.2	10.3	10.3	16.1	60.3
ALL	21.0	mud	7.7	44.2	48.1	4.2	1.5	3.0	4.3	1.1	75.7
AIZ	21.0	mud	2.4	63.1	34.6	2.3	4.1	3.4	5.7	12.0	72.5
AI3	20.7	mud	2.8	46.9	50.2	0.0	0.4	2.1	4.2	14.3	79.0
AI4	20.7	mud	3.2	47.8	49.0	6.3	2.8	7.4	7.2	12.6	63.6
ALS	22.6	mud	3.3	45.5	51.3	0.0	0.2	2.2	7.1	19.0	71.5
EB2	28.0	mud	0.8	53.7	45.5	9.1	8.3	10.1	15.9	19.6	37.1
EBII	29.3	sandy mud	44.2	27.3	28.5	0.9	1.3	6.9	35.7	44.7	10.6

Table Al (Continued)

						Percent of Coarse Fraction, mm							
Transect	Depth		Percer	nt of T	<u>otal</u>		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -		
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	<u>Clay</u>	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063		
				29	May 19	75	1 5 . 11.						
EB2	29.3	mud	6.9	50.8	42.3	21.5	6.5	7.0	12.0	28.0	25.0		
EB11	25.3	mud	3.6	44.4	52.0	0	0.4	1.2	2.3	32.6	63.5		
Al	21.9	mud	5.0	43.9	51.1	7.2	3.5	6.0	4.0	8.3	71.0		
A2	20.8	mud	7.6	41.6	50.8	1.6	4.6	2.8	8.0	17.5	65.4		
A3	20.6	mud	9.3	42.6	48.1	1.4	1.1	3.3	12.5	25.7	56.0		
A4	21.0	mud	9.9	42.1	48.0	10.9	3.4	10.9	7.1	14.8	52.9		
A5	22.1	mud	9.8	46.2	44.0		1.7	11.1	20.0	15.9	51.5		
A6	21.9	mud	4.8	46.8	48.4	3.9	2.5	3.0	2.9	10.4	77.3		
A7	21.3	mud	7.1	44.0	48.9	27.0	3.5	12.3	5.4	8.3	43.5		
A8	22.0	mud	4.2	42.8	53.0	32.5	1.0	2.6	3.1	8.7	52.0		
A9	22.9	mud	4.0	30.7	65.5	0	0.5	2.9	9.1	30.3	57.1		
A10	21.4	mud	11.3	43.8	44.9	10.ľ	2.0	4 2	10.7	16.9	56.0		
A11	21.3	mud	8.5	43.9	47.6	32.5	0.6	1.0	1.8	6.9	57.1		
A12	22.6	mud	10.4	43.0	46.6	17.1	2 4	10 6	5 6	~ 9 6	54 7		
A13	21.2	NO S	AMPLE	10.0	10.0			10.0	5.0	3.0	54.7		
A14	20.4	mud	2.7	33.4	63.9	28	51	14 2	7 5	13 2	57 2		
A15	22.7	mud	5.9	45.8	48.7	0.2	0.3	2.0	5.5	29.0	63.0		
				17	June 19	75							
EB2	28.9	bum	11 8	47 7	41 2	2 9	18	4 4	19 /	46 5	24 9		
EB11	25.9	mud	15.9	36.8	47.3	0.3	0.6	3.9	16.5	44.2	34.5		
л 1	21 0	mu.d	4.2	44 0	F0 0	4 2	0.1	2 0	2 6		77 7		
73	21.9	muu	4.2	44.8	50.9	4.3		2.8	3.0	12.0	11.1		
AZ NO	21.0	mua	2.1	42.0	52.3	1./	1.4	4.0	5.0	12.9	/5.0		
AJ ·	21.0	mua	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.7	3.9	T0.3	10.4	1/.5	57.3		
A4	21.0	mud	11.2	42.9	45.9	4.2	0.8	5,9	23.7	15.8	49.6		

Table Al (Continued)

							Perce	nt of Coa	rse Fract:	ion, mm	
Mmongoat	Donth		Percer	nt of To	otal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125 -
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	Silt	Clay	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
			17	June 19	975 (coi	ntinued)					
זר	22.0	mud	7 0	44.8	48.2	10.4	1.0	2.3	10.4	18.3	57.6
AD .	22.0	mud	5.3	43.2	51.5	4.1	1.9	7.3	5.0	14.2	67.5
AO N7	22.5	mud	3.8	42.9	53.3	25.9	7.8	13.7	4.4	6.5	41.7
A/ >>0	22.0	mud	16.1	39.7	44.2	9.0	7.9	14.3	17.0	18.2	33.4
A0 30	22.0	mud	4.6	44.6	50.8	17.8	9.0	13.0	4.4	9.0	46.8
A9 310	24.0	mud	14.0	24.9	61.1	0.8	1.3	2.8	14.1	19.0	62.0
	21.5	mud	8.6	48.6	42.7	4.0	1.8	5.0	3.9	11.8	73.5
ATT ATT	21.0	mud	3.8	50.5	45.6	3.3	1.5	2.2	3.0	7.1	82.8
A12	20.0	mud	4 3	44 7	51.0	8.6	1.1	1.4	4.8	18.8	65.2
ALS ···	22.3	mud	17 8	42 1	40 1	48.1	5.7	7.3	10.0	11.7	17.3
A14 A15	20.0 22 8	mud	3.7	44.3	52.0	5.5	2.3	8.1	16.6	14.4	53.0

Table Al (Concluded)

A8

				_			Perce	nt of Coa	rse Fract	ion, mm	
Transect	Depth		Perce	nt of To	otal		2.00 -	1.00 -	0.50 -	0.25 -	0.125
Station	Range	Sediment Type	Coarse	<u>Silt</u>	<u>Clay</u>	>2.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.125	0.063
				9 Aj	pril 19	75					
EB2-lsm	32.0	mud	6.0	51.9	42.2	4.7	2.8	3.6	13.3	35.2	40.4
EB2-2sm	32.0	mud	6.0	50.0	44.0	1.3	0.9	5.6	16.6	38.0	37.6
EB2-3sm	32.0	mud	11.5	50.3	38.3	3.2	1.3	4.0	16.9	40.7	33.9
EB2-4sm	32.0	mud	9.0	54.0	37.0	0.6	2.8	4.0	11.2	24.5	56.9
EB2-5sm	32.0	mud	6.0	55.6	38.4	3.0	1.4	2.6	10.7	26.6	55.7
EB10-lsm	18.3	gravelly sand	85.3	6.9	7.8	13.4	6.8	14.3	43.7	20.5	1.3
EB10-2sm	18.3	gravelly sand	93.2	1.6	5.3	24.2	7.1	14.9	36.7	16.1	0.9
EB10-3sm	18.3	sand	89.6	3.9	6.5	6.6	5.4	17.1	47.9	21.9	1.1
EB10-4sm	18.3	sand	89.8	4.5	5.7	7.9	7.3	16.6	45.6	21.4	1.2
EB10-5sm	18.3	gravelly sand	89.2	4.3	6.4	16.5	6.6	16.0	41.7	18.2	1.0
EB2-1Box	32.0	mud	13.4	46.6	39.9	16.4	6.0	12.5	20.6	21.1	23.4
EB2-2Box	32.0	mud	19.8	45.5	34.6	14.7	3.9	6.3	18.6	44.3	12.3
EB2-3Box	32.0	mud	12.8	49.7	37.5	2.7	1.6	4.3	19.7	42.2	29.8
EB2-4Box	32.0	mud	7.1	53.8	39.1	5.1	2.7	2.0	6.1	15.2	68.9
EB2-5Box	32.0	mud	6.4	48.9	44.6	1.0	1.8	5.9	16.5	35.8	38.9
EB10-1Box	18.3	gravelly sand	91.3	3.6	5.1	22.6	8.3	19.0	36.1	13.0	0.9
EB10-2Box	18.3	sand	83.3	6.2	10.5	11,3	8.4	20.3	41.4	17.4	1.2
EB10-3Box	18.3	gravelly sand	88.5	4.7	6.7	15.1	6.3	15.9	41.8	19.6	1.3
EB10-4Box	18.3	gravelly sand	89.2	4.5	6.3	27.7	6.6	12.8	35.3	16.7	1.0
EB10-5Box	18.3	gravelly sand	89.2	4.2	6.6	19.2	8.7	2.7	46.7	21.4	1.3

	1	Table A	2		
Sediment	Analysis,	Eatons	Neck	Disposal	Site

Grab and Box Core Comparison

A9



APPENDIX B': Mean Number of Macrofaunal Invertebrates Collected by the Smith-McIntyre Bottom Grab

Phylum Class or Order	Month								
Species	29-31	0ct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	<u>20 Feb</u>	<u>l</u> Apr			
Cnidaria Hydrozoa Campanularia sp. Thuiaria argentea Thuiaria similis Thuiaria sp. Calycella syringa Campanularidae sp.	*		*	* * *	* *	* * *			
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u> Nematoda			4.0	0.7 3.3	4.0	0.3			

Experimental Station EB1

* Present, not quantified. **October value not a mean because no replicates taken.

Experimental Station EB1 (continued)

Phylum Class or Order				Month		
Species	29-31	Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Ectoprocta						·
Alcyonidium verrilli				*	*	
Bowerbankia gracilis				•	* .	*
Callopora aurita			*	*	*	*
Crisia eburnea				•	* *	
<u>Membranipora</u> tenuis	*		*	*	*	*
Membranipora sp.			*			÷.,
<u>Microporella</u> ciliata			-		*	
Microporella sp.	*		-			
Schizoporella unicornis			*			
Mollusca						
Gastropoda						
Crepidula fornicata				4.7		
Crepidula plana	10		2.7	•		0.3
Doridella obscura				4.0		
Nassarius trivittatus						0.3
						•
Bivalvia					•	•
Anadara transversa					0.7	•
<u>Mulinia lateralis</u>			0.7		6.7	
Pandora gouldiana	2					
Tellina agilis				0.7	0.7	

Experimental Station EB1 (continued)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Annolida			· · ·		
Dolughaota					
Polychaeta		. *	· · ·	07	
Aricidea <u>cerruti</u>	C ·			0.7	
Autorytus cornutus	6	. 1	· · · ·	•	
Axiotnella <u>Catenata</u>	66				
Cossura longocirrata	8		· ·		
<u>Eusyllis</u> sp.			0.7		
<u>Glycera</u> <u>americana</u>			0.7	0.7	
Lepidonotus squamatus		·	0.7		· .
<u>Mediomastus</u> ambiseta	246	8.7	12.7		
<u>Nephtys incisa</u>	18	6.7	4.7	8.0	7.0
<u>Nereis grayi</u>	· .		1.3		
<u>Pherusa</u> <u>affinis</u>				1.3	
<u>Polygordius</u> triestinus			1.3		
<u>Potamilla neglecta</u>	2				
<u>Sabellaria</u> vulgaris				6.0	0.7
Nereis arenaceodonta					0.3
Owenia fusiformis					0.7
Potamilla reniformis			. · · · ·	1	0.3
Oligochaeta					•
sp. unidentified	208	2.7	0.7	0.7	
			· · ·		
Arthropoda					
Cenhalocarida					

ephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>

0.7

В4

Experimental Station EB1 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		· · ·	Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Ostracoda	• •	• •	e .		. .
Sarsiella zostericola	•	0.7			0.3
Copepoda <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic)				0.7	
Amphipoda Ampelisca abdita Erichthonius brasiliensis	18	2.0	0.7	0.7	
Lembos smithi Luconacia incerta Paracaprella tenuis	6 6	2.0	2.0		•
Parametopella cypris Corophium tuberculatum Stenopleustes gracilis		11.3	2.7	3.3	1.7 0.3 0.3
Unciola irrorata	· · ·			. · ·	0.7

6

Decapoda <u>Neopanope</u> <u>texana</u> <u>sayi</u> Xanthidae sp.

0.7

2.0

Experimental Station EB2

Phylum Class or Order		*	Month	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Cnidaria Hydrozoa <u>Campanularia angulata</u> <u>Campanularia sp.</u> <u>Clytia longicyatha</u> <u>Thuiaria argentea</u> <u>Thuiaria similis</u> Campanularidae sp. <u>Obelia sp.</u> <u>Podocoryne carnea</u> Tubularidae sp.		* * *		* * *	* * * * * * *
Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus		0.7	• •	0.7	0.3
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u> <u>Cerebratulus</u> sp.		1.3	1.3	0.7	0.3
Micrura sp. Tubulanus pellucidus	28	0.7 1.3		3.3	0.3
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	2	2.7	0.7		

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

В6
Experimen	tal Stati	.on EB2 (continued)

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr	
					·····	
Ectoprocta					· •	
Callopora aurita		*			*	
Mombranina punctata		*		*	*	
Migroporolla cenuis		*				
Schizoporella unicornis		*		•		
Bowerbankia gracilis					*	
Mollusca						
Gastropoda						
<u>Crepidula</u> <u>fornicata</u>		2.7				
<u>Crepidula</u> <u>plana</u>	·	4.7		0.7		
	• · · ·					
BIVALVIA Mulinia latoralia		07		7 2	1 0	
Nucula provima		0.7		1.5	1.0	
Nucura proxima					0.5	
Annelida						
Polychaeta						
Ampharete arctica	4				0.3	
Asabellides oculata	6					
Autolytus sp.	2	0.7	· · · · ·			
Cirratulidae sp.	2					
<u>Clymenella</u> <u>torquata</u>	2					
Cossura longocirrata	4	0.7		1 2		
Flabelligera attinis				د.⊥		

В7

Phylum			Month	• .	<i></i>
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
<u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> Pherusa affinis	222 8	32.7 3.3 0.7	13.3 3.3	6.0	2.7
Phyllodoce arenae Polygordius triestinus Sigambra tentaculata	2	0.7	0.7	0./	
<u>Streblospio benedicti</u> <u>Glycera americana</u> Sabellaria vulgaris	8				0.3 3.0
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	44	7.3			
Arthropoda Copepoda Calanoida sp. <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic)		,		0.7	0.3 1.3
Amphipoda <u>Corophium</u> <u>tuberculatum</u> <u>Erichthonius</u> <u>brasiliensis</u> <u>Paracaprella</u> <u>tenuis</u> <u>Parametopella</u> <u>cypris</u> <u>Ungiola</u> irrorata	2	3.3 3.3 0.7	•	3.0	0.3 0.3 0.3

Experimental Station EB2 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	l Apr
Decapoda <u>Cancer irroratus</u> <u>Pagurus longicarpus</u> <u>Panopeus herbsti</u> <u>Pagurus pollicaris</u>		0.7 0.7 0.7			0.3

Expe	riment	al S:	tat	ion	EB3

Phylum Class on Orden			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Cnidaria	·				
Anthozoa Metridium senile		0.7	• • •		
Nemertea		1 2			,
Amphiporus <u>caecus</u> Carinoma sp.		0.7	0.7	0.7	
<u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>luridus</u> Tubulanus <u>pellucidus</u>	4	1.3 2.0	1.3	1.3	0.7
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		0.7	•	0.7	3.7
Ectoprocta		*		•	•
Membranipora tenuis		*			
Mollusca				n na seanna ann an seanna a Tha ann an seanna ann an sea	
Gastropoda <u>Crepidula fornicata</u> <u>Retusa obtusa</u>		1.3 0.7		an a	

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Experimental beation and (construct)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Bivalvia <u>Lyonsia hyalina</u> <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> <u>Petricola pholadiformis</u> <u>Pitar morrhuana</u> <u>Tellina agilis</u> <u>Yoldia limatula</u>		$ \begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 100.7 \\ 2.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 12.0 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ \end{array} $	29.3 0.7	6.0 2.0	1.7 4.7
Annelida		•	•		
Polychaeta	C		2 2		· · · ·
Nephtys incisa	6	0.3	3.3		
Aricidea cerruti		0.7			
Axiothella catenata		12.7			
<u>Cirratulus</u> grandis <u>Glycera</u> americana		1.3		0.7	0.7
Nephtys incisa		4.0	07	1.3	0.7
Polygoralus triestinus Pherusa affinis Microphthalamus sczelkowii		0.0	5.7	0.7	0.3

Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified

1.3

Experimental Station EB3 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		-
Species	<u>29-31 Oct**</u>	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l</u> Apr
Arthropoda Cephalocarida				•	
Hutchinsoniella macracantha		6.7		5.3	· ·
Ostracoda Sarsiella amorigana		2 0			
Daisiella americana		2.0			
Copepoda				-	
<u>Temora</u> <u>longicornis</u> (pelagic)					1.7
Amphipoda					
Parametopella cypris		1.3			
Unciola irrorata		0.7			
Ampelisca vadorum	•		1.3		1
Decapoda		*,			
Cancer irroratus		0.7			
Pagurus longicarpus		0.7			
Pinnixa sayana		0.7		1. 1. 1. 1.	
		• • •			

Experimental Station EB4

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	l Apr	
Cnidaria						
Hydrozoa						
Campanularia sp.	*	*				
Dicoryne conferta		*				
Hydrallmania falcata	,	*				
<u>Thuiaria argentea</u>		*				
<u>Thuiaria</u> <u>similis</u>		*		*		
Antnozoa Matridium ganila	o					
Metriaram senire	O					
Nemertea						
Cerebratulus sp.		0.7				
Tubulanus pellucidus	28					
Nematoda						
Sp. unidentified		6.0				
Fatomacto	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Bowerbankia gradilia		*		×		
Membranipora tenuis	*	*	· . ·	•	· · · · ·	
Membranipora tenuis	*	*		•	a a i	

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum Class or Order			Month	L	
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Mollusca Gastropoda					
<u>Crepidula</u> fornicata <u>Crepidula</u> plana Retusa obtusa	6 102	0.7			
Nassarius trivittatus				· · · ·	0.3
Bivalvia Lyonsia hyalina Mulinia lateralis Tellina agilis		0.7 2.0 0.7	4.7	n an	1.7
Nucula proxima		0.1			2.3
Annelida			-	tan An an	
Polychaeta <u>Aricidea</u> cerruti <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> <u>Eteone longa</u> Eumida sanguinea	8 14 2	0.7			0.3
Hydroides dianthus Lepidonotus sublevis Mediomastus ambiseta	2 2 2394	6.0	0.7		E 3
Pectinaria gouldii Polydora quadrilobata Polygordius triestinus	18 62 10 4	1.3	2.0		5.5

Experimental	Station	EB4	(continued)

Phylum Class or Order	Month						
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>		
<u>Streptosyllis arenae</u> Glycera americana	12	4			0.7		
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	160	2.0					
Arthropoda Acarina Sp. unidentified	•			0.7			
HutchinsoniellamacracanthaOstracodaSarsiellaSarsiellaZostericolaParasteropepollex	42	0.7		. ^{. :} _	0.3		
Copepoda <u>Acartia tonsa</u> (pelagic) <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic) <u>Acartia clausi</u>		an San ang ang ang San ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	en e		0.3 5.7 0.3		
Cirripedia <u>Balanus</u> sp. Unidentified cyprid	2				0.3		

Experimental Station EB4 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Amphipoda					
Ampelisca sp.	2				
Luconacia incerta	2	••••			
Paracaprella tenuis				1.3	
Parametopella cypris	14				
Isopoda					
<u>Edotea</u> <u>montosa</u>		0.7	<i>,1</i>		
Mysidiacea					
Heteromysis formosa	8				
Cumacea				· · ·	
Diastylus quadrispinosa	4				
Deganada					
Neopanope texana savi	2				
Pinnixa sayana		0.7			
			· · · ·	1	

Experimental Station EB5

Phylum Class or Order		Month				
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr	
Cnidaria Hydrozoa <u>Campanularia</u> sp. <u>Podocoryne carnea</u> Thuiaria argentea			* * *		*	
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	2		5.3	0.7 0.7	0.3	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	•		8.7			
Ectoprocta <u>Electra</u> sp. <u>Lichenopora</u> sp. <u>Membranipora</u> tenuis <u>sp.</u>			* * * *			
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Crepidula</u> fornicata		• •	4.0	an Ali		

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Doridella <u>obscura</u> Nassarius <u>trivittatus</u>			2.0 1.3			
Bivalvia Lyonsia hyalina Mulinia lateralis Musculus niger Nuculana messanensis Pitar morrhuana Nucula proxima Spisula solidissima Tellina agilis Yoldia limatula	2 2	0.7 22.0	2.0 21.3 0.7 1.3 3.3 0.7 1.3 0.7	16.6	4.0 0.3	
Annelida						
Aricidea cerruti <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> <u>Pectinaria gouldii</u> <u>Pherusa affinis</u> <u>Polydora websteri</u> <u>Polygordius triestinus</u> <u>Protodorvillea sp.</u>	2 2 40 114 2	3.3 0.6	4.6 4.6 1.3 0.6	2.0	3.0 0.3	
Polydora websteri Polygordius triestinus Protodorvillea sp. Sabellaria vulgaris	4 114 2 2		~			

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Eusyllis lamelligera Maldanopsis elongata Microphthalamus sczelkowii Trochochaedidae sp.				•	0.3 0.3 0.3 *	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	10	1.3			,	
Arthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>		0.6	0.6		0.7	
Copepoda <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic) Harpacticoida sp.	4		0.6	1.3	0.7	
Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola					1.0	
Amphipoda Ampelisca vadorum Luconacia incerta		• •	2.0		0.3	
Parametopella cypris Phoxocephalus holbolli			2.6	0.6	0.7	

Experimental Station EB5 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order	Month						
Species	29-31 Oct**	<u>6 Dec 21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>			
Cumacea Sp. unidentified	•	0.6					
Decapoda Paqurus longicarpus				0.3			

Experimental Station EB6

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	l Apr
Cnidaria	с. А.				
Hydrozoa					
<u>Campanularia</u> sp.	*				
<u>Clytia</u> <u>coronata</u>		*			
Thuiaria sp.		+		*	
Thularia argentea		^		,	*
Sp. unidentified		*			^
Anthozoa					÷ _
Cerlantheopsis americanus					0.3
Nemertea					
Amphiporus caecus		0.7			
Carinoma tremephorus		2.0			
Tubulanus pellucidus	32	7.3	2.0	4.0	1.0
Cerebratulus sp.		0.7			
Sp. unidentified		2.0			0.2
Cerebraturus racteus					0.3
Nematoda					• • •
Sp. unidentified	110	6			

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since one replicate was collected.

			r		·····
Class or Order			Month	<i></i>	
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Ectoprocta			•		
Membranipora tenuis Alcyonidium verrilli	*	*	*		* *
Mollusca		• •			
Gastropoda					
<u>Crepidula</u> <u>fornicata</u> Nassarius <u>trivittatus</u>	8	4.7	0.7		0.3
Bivalvia					
Lyonsia hyalina Mulinia lateralis		0.7 0.7	0.7	0.7 0.7	0.3
Nucula proxima			4.0		
Pitar morrhuana		2.7		07	0.7
Tellina agilis		5.3	ار	0.7	
Astarte undatum	1 · ·				0.3
Annelida			• • • •	· .	
Polychaeta	1 N N N N N	· •· · · ·	•	2	and the second sec
Aricidea <u>cerruti</u>	Δ .	47			
Cirratulidae sp	4	· · · · · · · · ·	•	· · · · ·	
Clymenella torguata	2				
Cossura longocirrata	6				
Eteone longa		1.3			

			· ·		
Phylum Class or Order			Month	•	
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Glycera americana	2	0.7	1.3		1.7
Lumbrinereis brevipes		2.7			1.7
Maldanopsis elongata	2				8.7
Mediomastus ambiseta	26	22.0			
Nephtys incisa	2	4.7	2.7	6.0	6.3
Pectinaria gouldii	2	1.3			
Pherusa affinis			2.7	1.3	1.3
Polygordius triestinus	12	13.3		3.3	
Scalibregma inflatum		0.7	,		0.7
Spiochaetopterus oculata	2				
<u>Sabellaria</u> vulgaris	1.			0.7	1.3
		· ·			
Oligochaeta					
Sp. unidentified	10	5.3			
Arthropoda					
Cephalocarida	10	10 7	40.0		0 7
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	40	18.7	42.0	1.3	9.7
Ostrassada	· · ·	· · · ·			
Ostracoda Sargiolla gogtorigola		6 0			
Saisiella Zoscelicola		0.0			۰ ·
Conenoda					
Temora longicornis (nelagic)			\$1	07	03
Temora Tongreorning (beragie)				V•/	0.5

Experimental Station EB6 (concluded)

Phylum			Month	• .	
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Cyclopoida sp.				2.0	н - сан
Cirripedia Balanus amphitrite niveus Balanus sp.	12	1.3 18.0			7.0
Amphipoda Ampelisca abdita Ampelisca vadorum Corophium sp.	8 2	3.3		0 7	
Paracaprella tenuis Parametopella cypris Phoxocephalus holbolli Unciola irrorata		0.7 1.3 1.3	а. А		
Mysidacea Neomysis americana					0.3
Decapoda <u>Pinnixa</u> <u>sayana</u> <u>Pagurus</u> <u>longicarpus</u> <u>Upogebia</u> <u>affinis</u>		0.7		··· ·· ··	1.0 0.3 0.3

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Cnidaria						
Hvdrozoa						
Calycella syringa					*	
Campanularia sp.		*				
Campanularidae sp.					*	
Halecium sp.		*		*	_	
<u>Obelia commissuralis</u>					*	
Obelia flabellata					*	
Ubella sp.	*	*		*	*	
Thuiaria similis		*		*	*	
		1				
Anthozoa						
Actinothoe modesta			6	0.7		
Sp. unidentified				0.7		
Ceriantheopsis americanus		0.7		0.7		
N						
Nemertea		13				
Amphiporus caecus		2.7				
Carinoma sp.		13.3	:		2.3	

Experimental Station EB7

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum	, ,	•	Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
<u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u> <u>Cerebratulus</u> sp.		0.7	0.7	0.7	
<u>Micrura</u> sp. Tubulanus pellucidus	8	0.7 7.3		4.7	4.3
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		1602.0		562.0	27.7
Entoprocta Barentsia sp.				*	*
Ectoprocta			• *		
Aeverrillia sp.				*	
Alcyonidium verrilli				*	*
Bowerbankia sp.	λ.		1	*	*
Bugula sp.			*	4	ت ۲
Callopora aurita		*		*	~
Cryptosula pallasiana	7	ж. т	· . · · ·	*	*
Electra monostachys	*	*		*	*
Membranipora tenuis				*	
Microporella Ciliata	e Service and the service of the ser	*			ан 1997 - Ал
Schizoporella unicornis		* *			
Cribrilina punctata	ang				*
Hippoporina sp.				N	*

				·	
Phylum Class or Order		. 1	Month		· .
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Mollusca					•
Gastropoda					
Crepidula fornicata		48.7	1.3	14.7	10.3
Crepidula plana	2	6.0		5.3	1.3
Doridella obscura	-			0.7	
Nassarius trivittatus	2	6.7		4.0	17.3
Sp. unidentified				0.7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Bivalvia					
Anadara transversa		2.7		2.0	
Astarte undata				0.7	
Gemma gemma		0.7			
Lyonsia hyalina		4.0			
Macoma tenta		2.7			
Mulinia lateralis		0.7		2.7	
Musculus niger		0.7		0.7	
Nucula proxima			16.7		
Petricola pholadiformis				0.7	
Pitar morrhuana		0.7		2.7	1.0
Poromya granulata			1	1.3	
Spisula solidissima		2.0			
Tellina agilis	16	66.0	0.7	34.7	8.0
Yoldia limatula			1.3		

Annelida Polychaeta <u>Amphitrite</u> affinis

0.7

Phylum Class or Order			Month	•	
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	. 21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Aricidea corruti	224	10 0		1 2	1 2
Asabellides oculata	224	10.0		τ.5	т. Э
Axiothella catenata	· ·	53		13	
Cirriformia grandis		18.7		1.3	
Cirratulidae sp.	20	20.7		1.5	
Cirrophorus lyriformis	2				
Clymenella torguata	2				
Dorvillea sp.		2.0			
Glycera americana		2.7		2.0	3.3
Hesionidae sp.	.2				
Heteromastus sp.	r	3.3			
Hypaniola grayi		2.0			
Lepidonotus squamatus		0.7		2.7	
Lumbrinereis brevipes		10.0	2.7	5.3	1.3
Mediomastus ambiseta	36	366.0			6.7
Nephtys incisa		1.3	3.3	6.0	3.3
Notomastus sp.				1.3	
Pherusa affinis		3.3		2.7	1.0
Polygordius triestinus	952	52.7			2.7
Potamilla reniformis				2.7	0.3
Protodorvillea sp.	24	4.0		·	
Sabellaria vulgaris				0.7	0.7
Scoloplos sp.		· · ·	• .	38.0	
Sthenelais boa	2				0.3
Sthenelais picta		3.3			
Chaetozone setosa			•		2.7

2

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Diopatra cuprea Drilonereis magna Eusyllis lamelligera Nephtys sp. Nereis virens Protodorvillea kerfersteini Syllidae sp.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0.3 0.7 1.0 2.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	734	358.7		38.0	7.7	
Arthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>		0.7	0.7			
Ostracoda Sarsiella americana		23.3	0.7	12.7	4.3	
Copepoda Calanoida sp. <u>Acartia tonsa</u> (pelagic) <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic) Harpacticoida sp.		2.7	· · · · ·	5.3 0.7	0.3 2.0 0.3	
Amphipoda Stenopleustes gracilis					1.7	

B29

Phylum	Month						
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	l Apr		
Acanthohaustorius shoemakeri	2						
Aeginina longicornis				3.3	1.3		
Ampelisca abdita		2.0		- -			
Ampelisca vadorum		2.7		2.7	1.0		
Halirages fulvogingtus		1.3			0.3		
Luconacia incerta		0.7		1.3	0.3		
Parametopella cypris		2.0		3.3	1.7		
Phoxocephalus holbolli		4.7		0.7			
Unciola irrorata		1.3		0.7	0.7		
Corophium sp.					0.3		
Paracaprella tenuis					0.5		
Decapoda							
Cancer irroratus		2.7	Ņ	0.7	0.3		
Neopanope texana sayi		4.0	• .				
Pagurus longicarpus		4.0		2.7	9.3		
Pagurus sp.		07	07	1.3			
Pinnotheres morulatus	• •	0.7	0.7	0.7			
Thalassinidae sp.	a de la composición d	0.7					
Xanthidae sp.	н				2.0		

Experimental Station EB7 (concluded)

Experimental Station EB8

Phylum	······				
Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Porifera					
Haliclona oculata				*	
Microciona prolifera	*				•
Cnidaria					
Hydrozoa					
Bougainvillia carolinensis			*		
Calvcella svringa				*	*
Campanularia sp.		*		•	
Clytia cylindrica			*		
<u>Clytia longicyantha</u>				*	•
Eudendrium carneum				*	
Eudendrium rameum				*	
Campanularidae sp.					*
Clava sp.					*
Halecium sp.	× .	*	*	*	*
Halecium minutum		*			
Obelia commissuralis				*	
Obelia sp.					*
Opercularella lacerata		•		*	· · ·
Podocorvne carnea				*	
Thuiaria argentea	*	*	*	*	*

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

				· · · · ·	
Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
<u>Thuiaria similis</u> <u>Thuiaria</u> sp. Tubularidae sp.		*	*	* *	*
Anthozoa <u>Actinothoe modesta</u> <u>Astrangia danae</u> <u>Metridium senile</u> Sp. unidentified				0.7 * 0.7 1.7	
Nemertea <u>Amphiporus bioculatus</u> <u>Carinoma</u> sp. Sp. unidentified			0.7 0.7 2.0		
Tubulanus pellucidus	52	5.3	2.7		1.3
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	6	230.7	297.3	236.3	78.3
Entoprocta Barentsia sp.			1		
Ectoprocta Aeverrillia armata	an a	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Alcyonidium sp. Alcyonidium verrilli	*	*	*	*	* *

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Poworbankia gradilic	. *		*	*	*
Bowerbankia Sp				*	*
Callopora aurita		*	*	*	*
Cribrilina punctata				*	*
Electra sp.		*			
Electra monostachys			*		
Hippoporina sp.	•		*		*
Membranipora tenuis	*	*	*	*	*
Microporella ciliata				*	× · ·
Microporella sp.	*		*		
Schizoporella unicornis	*				*
Sp. unidentified	*			<u>1</u> . •	· · ·
Mollusca					
Gastropoda					
Colus caelatus				0.3	
Crepidula fornicata	36	9.3	28.7	16.3	
Crepidula plana				8.7	0.7
Diastoma alternatum		1.3			
Doridella obscura	 A second sec second second sec	an Ara	1.3	0.7	
<u>Mitrella</u> <u>lunata</u>	en e	1.3	4.7	1.3	· ·
<u>Nassarius</u> <u>trivittatus</u>	6	9.3	0.7	0.3	1.7
Turbonilla sp.	a a ser a		0.7	and the state of	

1.3

Experimental Station EB8 (continued)

Bivalvia <u>Abra</u> <u>lioica</u>

Phylum	Month					
Class or Order	20 21 00+**	6 Dog	21 Tam	20 Fob	1 700	
Species	<u>29-31 OCt**</u>	6 Dec	ZI Jan	<u>20 reb</u>	I API	
		1 2	12 2	37		
Anadara transversa	20	±.J	15.3	2.7	03	
Astarte undata	30	5.3	12.2	2.1	0.5	
Cyclocardia borealis		2.0	2 0	0 2		
Gemma gemma			2.0	10.3	0 2	
Lyonsia hyalina		4.0	6.0	12.0	0.3	
Macoma tenta		0.7			0 7	
Mulinia lateralis	2	0.7		0.7	0./	
Musculus niger	2	0.7	5.3			
Pandora gouldiana			2.0			
Petricola pholadiformis	,	3.3	4.7	4.3		
Pitar morrhuana	6	5.3	1.3	1.0	1.3	
Spisula solidissima			0.7			
Tellina agilis	8	91.3	53.3	16.0	4.0	
Annelida						
Polychaeta						
Ampharete arctica	16			1.3		
Amphitrite affinis		0.7	0.7	1.0		
Aricidia cerruti	1074	8.0	51.3	1.3		
Asabellides oculata	14		•• • • • •			
Autolytus sp.	2		alan Aran aran ara			
Axiothella catenata	2	9.3	8.7	0.7		
Chaetozone setosa	-			2.7		
Cirratulidae sp	32			,		
Cirriformia grandis	52	5.3	8.0	8.7		
Cirrophorus Juriformis	2			- • •		

Phylum		<u></u>	Month		
Class or Order	20 21 0 -+ + +	6 Dog	21 Ton	20 Ech	1 700
Species	29-31 OCt**	6 Dec	ZI Jan	20 Feb	<u>I API</u>
Clymenella torquata	24				
Drilonereis magna			4.0		1.0
Dorvillea sp.		0.7			
Eteone longa	2	2.0			
Exogone verugera	• ,	1.3			
Glycera americana	8	3.3	8.7	0.7	0.7
Heteromastus sp.		3.3			
Hypaniola gravi		2.7		1.0	
Lepidonotus squamatus	4		1.3	1.7	
Lepidonotus sublevis	6				
Lumbrinereis brevipes		11.3	2.7	5.3	1.7
Lumbrinereis fragilis	18				
Maldane sarsi	. 6				
Mediomastus ambiseta	446	27.3	26.7		
Microphthalmus sczelkowii	14				
Nephtys incisa		0.7	0.7		6.7
Nereis grayi	•		ъ.	0.3	
Nereis sp.	e succession e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0 .7		· · · · ·	
Nereis succina		0.7			
Notomastus sp.			0.7		
Odontosyllis fulgurans		na attack in a saa	the second second second		
Owenia fusiformis	2				
Pectinaria gouldii	2	3.3			
Pherusa affinis	1 2 1 1 1	1.3			0.7
Phyllodoce arenae	2	2.7	2.0		
Podarke obscura	20				

Phylum		1	lonth		•
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Polygordius triestinus Potamilla neglecta	3506	276.0	964.7	140.7	
Potamilla reniformis Protodorvillea sp.	150	13.3	11.3	0.3	
Protodorvillea kerfersteini Sabellaria vulgaris	10		07	• •	0.7
Scolopios armiger Scolopios sp. Spiochaetopterus oculata	2 2		0.7	 	
Spiophanes bombyx Sthenelais picta		2.0	0.7	0.7	
<u>Streblospio benedicti</u> Streptosyllis arenae	2 2	1997) 1997 - Angeland Angeland 1997 - Angeland Angeland		•••••	
Oligochaeta	70.9	72 0	67		
Sp. unidentilied	120	72.0	0./	: • • • •	
Cephalocarida Hutchinsoniella macracantha		a ang karang karang Karang karang k			4.0
Ostracoda Sarsiella americana Parasterope pollex		38.7	17.3	22.7	27.7

Phylum Class on Order			Month		
Class or Order	20 21 0 -+ **	(Dec		20 Ech	1 7 2 2
	<u>29-31 000""</u>	6 Dec		20 FED	<u>I API</u>
Copepoda <u>Acartia clausi</u> (pelagic) <u>Temora longicornis</u> (pelagic) Sp. unidentified (pelagic) Cyclopoida sp.	· · ·	5.3	С. – Е - 	0.3 1.0	0.7 4.0 1.0
Harpacticoida sp.			0.7		0.3
Amphipoda <u>Aeginella</u> sp. <u>Ampelisca</u> <u>abdita</u> <u>Ampelisca</u> <u>vadorum</u> Caprella sp.	4 4 2	63.3 618.7	12.7 252.0	3.0 309.0	0.7
Corophium tuberculatum Corophium volutator Corophium sp.	2 4 4	2.0		0.3	
Erichthonius brasiliensis Gammarus mucronatus Lembos smithi	2		2.7 2.7		1.3
Leptocheirus pinquis Luconacia incerta Paracaprella tenuis		14.0	18.0 1.3	0.7 6.3 4.3	3.3
Parametopella cypris Phoxocephalus holbolli Stenopleustes gracilis		31.3 1.3	62.0 15.3 5.3	7.7 5.3	4.7 0.3
Unciola irrorata	and a second s Second second s	28.7	23.3	1.7	0.3

Phylum			Month		
Class or Order Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Tanaidacea Leptognatha caeca				0.3	
Isopoda <u>Edotea</u> <u>triloba</u> Limnoria lignorum	2		0.7	•	
Mysidacea Heteromysis formosa	44	5.3			
Decapoda <u>Carcinus maenus</u> Cancer irroratus	12				0.7
Euprognatha rastellifera Neopanope texana sayi Pagurus longicarpus Pagurus pollicaris	2	4.0 2.7 1.3	1.3 1.3 0.7	0.3 5.0 0.3	4.7
Panopeus herbsti Pinnixa sayana	· 2	4.7		0.3	
Yinnixa sp. Xanthidae sp.	An		1.3	1.3	0.3
Echinodermata	and the second				

Cucumaria pulcherima

B38

2.0

Exper	imen	tal	Stat	ion	EB9

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr	
Porifera <u>Haliclona</u> <u>oculata</u>					*	
Cnidaria Hydrozoa						
Campanularia sp. Halecium sp.	*	X	*	*		
Thuiaria argentea Thuiaria similis	*		*	*.	*	
Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus					0.3	
Nemertea						
Carinoma sp. Cerebratulus lacteus Tubulanus pellucidus	4 30	2.0	0.7 0.7 2.0	0.7	0.3	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	106	0.7	3.3	94.0		

)

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum	Month				
Class or Order	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Species	<u>25 51 000</u>	0 100			
Ectoprocta Alcyonidium verrilli Alcyonidium sp.			*	* *	
<u>Callopora aurita</u> <u>Membranipora tenuis</u>	*	*	*	*	
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Crepidula</u> <u>fornicata</u> Nassarius trivittatus	2 2	2.0	1.3		
Bivalvia <u>Abra lioica</u> <u>Anadara transversa</u> <u>Astarte undata</u> <u>Cyclocardia borealis</u> <u>Gemma gemma</u> <u>Lyonsia hyalina</u> <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> <u>Musculus niger</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> <u>Detuicela nbaladiformis</u>	8	0.7 2.7 3.3 0.7 2.0 0.7	0.7	0.7 0.7	0.3
Pitar morrhuana Tellina agilis Voldia limatula	54	12.7	0.7	1.3 3.3 0.7	

Phylum						
Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	<u>6 Dec</u>	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Annelida						
Polychaeta	:					
Aricidea cerruti	12	1.3				
Axiothella catenata	. 8			4.0		
Eteone longa	4					
Glycera americana	4					
Hypaniola grayi				1.3		
Lumbrinereis brevipes				1.3		
Mediomastus ambiseta	28	4.7	6.0			
Nephtys incisa	2 ⁺		4.7	3.3	1.3	
Pectinaria gouldii				0.7		
Pherusa affinis			0.7	0.7	1.3	
Phyllodocidae sp.	2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u>Polydora websteri</u>			0.7			
Polygordius triestinus			0.7	15.3		
<u>Potamilla</u> <u>reniformis</u>	,			1.3		
<u>Scalibregma</u> inflatum	2			0.7		
<u>Spio setosa</u>	2					
<u>Sthenelais picta</u>		0.7			1997 (P. 1997)	
					a de la companya de	
Oligochaeta						
Sp. unidentified	42	4.0	-			
Arthropoda	· .					
Cephalocarida						
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	116	13.3	3.3			

Phylum	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Ostracoda Sarsiella americana	6			4.7		
Amphipoda <u>Ampelisca abdita</u> <u>Ampelisca vadorum</u> <u>Erichthonius brasiliensis</u> <u>Luconacia incerta</u> <u>Parametopella cypris</u> <u>Phoxocephalus holbolli</u>	2 4 2	0.7	0.7	0.7 0.7 0.7	0.3	
Decapoda Pinnixa sayana	6	0.7				
Phylum Class on Orden			Month			
--------------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------	--------	--------------	
Class or Order			01 7			
Species	<u>29-31 Oct**</u>	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Porifera				-		
Haliclona oculata			•	•	*	
Cnidaria						
Hydrozoa						
Campanularia sp.	*		*			
Clytia cylindrica			*			
Clytia longicyantha				*		
Halecium sp.	*	*	*	*	*	
Obelia commissuralis				*		
Opercularella lacerata				*	*	
Podocorvne carnea			*	*	*	
Sertularella sp.		*				
Thuiaria argentea	*	*		*	*	
Thuiaria lonchitis				*	·	
Thuiaria similis			*	*	*	
Calvcella svringa					*	
Campanularidae sp.		· ·			*	
Clytia sp.			*		*	
Hydralmania falcata					*	
Obelia flabellata				•	*	
Obelia longissima					*	
Obelia sp.					*	

* Present, but not quantified. **October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum Class on Order	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· .	Month		
Species		29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
<u>Opercularella</u> pumila Tubularidae sp.			н с 1. с. с. с. с. 1. с. с.			*
Anthozoa Astrangia <u>danae</u> Metridium <u>senile</u>			*	0.7	*	* 0.3
Nemertea Amphiporus bioculatus Carinoma sp. Cerebratulus lacteus		4		0.7	1.3	· .
<u>Cerebratulus luridus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u> Sp. unidentified		2	2.7	0.7 2.0	2.7	1.7
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		578	48.0	238.7	344.0	254.0
Entoprocta Barentsia sp.	-	a de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d La construcción de la construcción d			****	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Ectoprocta <u>Aeverrillia</u> armata				*		*
Alcyonidium verrilli Bowerbankia sp.	· · · ·		*	• • • • • •	*	*

Phylum Class on Orden			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>
Bowerbankia gracilis	*		*	*	
Bugula sp	,			*	*
Callopora aurita	*	*	*	*	*
Cribrilina punctata				*	*
Electra monostachys	*	*	*		
Hippoporina sp			*		*
Membranipora tenuis	*	*	*	*	*
Membranipora sp		*			
Microporella sp	*	*	*		
Microporella ciliata				*	
Schizoporella unicornis	*	*		*	
Hippoporina porosa					*
Mollusca					
Gastropoda					
					0.7
Colus caelatus	· · · · ·				0.3
Acmaea testudinalis		0.7			
Crepidula fornicata	88	245.3	102.0	10.7	44.7
Crepidula plana	104	1.3	4.0	16.7	24.0
Doridella obscura			0.7	0.7	
Mitrella lunata	4		8.7		0.3
Nassarius trivittatus	2		6.0	0.7	1.0
Turbonilla interrupta	-		0.7		
Urosalpinx cinereus			0.7		0.3
Nudibranchia sp.			•••		0.3

Phylum	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr	
Bivalvia						
Anadara transversa	4	0.7	3.3		23.0	
Astarte undata	6	14.0	17.3	2.7	3.7	
Gemma gemma				0.7		
Lyonsia hyalina	6	1.3	2.0	1.3	4.7	
Macoma balthica		0.7				
Mulinia lateralis	4		0.7	2.7		
Musculus niger	2	0.7	1.3		1.0	
Nucula proxima	2		0.7		0.3	
Pandora gouldiana			1.3	0.7	2.0	
Petricola pholadiformis	4	1.3	4.7	0.7	1.7	
Pitar morrhuana	2	2.7	2.0	2.7	4.3	
Siliqua costata					0.3	
Solemya viridis			0.7			
Spisula solidissima	4	1.3	2.7			
Tellina agilis	114	18.0	44.7	27.3	41.0	
Annelida						
Polychaeta						
Capitella capitata					0.7	
Ampharetidae sp.			.•		0.3	
Ampharete arctica					1.7	
Amphitrite affinis			0.7	2.0		
Aricidea cerruti	326	18.0	34.0	2.0	2.3	
Autolytus fasciatus	4		0.0	27		
Axiothella catenata			8.0	2.1		

Phylum			Month		
Class or Order					
Species	<u>29-31 Oct**</u>	<u>6 Dec</u>	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	<u>l Apr</u>
Cirriformia grandis Dorvillea sp.	16	2.0	14.0	8.0	
Drilonereis magna Eumida sanguinea Eusyllis lamelligera	4	0.7	1.3		1.7
Exogone verugera		2.0			
<u>Glycera americana</u> <u>Harmothoe imbricata</u> Heteromastus sp.	4	1.3 1.3 1.3	1.3	1.3	3.3
Hypaniola grayi Lepidonotus squamatus	2	2.0	2.7 0.7		1.7
Lumbrinereis brevipes Mediomastus ambiseta	212	- 9.3	1.3 20.0		0.7
<u>Nephtys incisa</u> Owenia fusiformis			0.7		3.3 0.7
<u>Pectinaria gouldii</u> <u>Pherusa affinis</u>	2	0.7		0.7	
<u>Pholoe minuta</u> <u>Phyllodoce arenae</u>	i san in an in i	0.7	3.3	se a constante	1.0
Polydora websteri Polygordius triestinus	2212	633.0	409.3	4.7 990.7	660.7
Protodorvillea sp. Protodorvillea kerfersteini	18		2.0	1.3	3.7
<u>Scoloplos</u> sp. Sigalion arenicola				0.7	

Phylum Class or Order	Month					
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l</u> Apr	
Spionidae sp. <u>Sthenelais</u> <u>boa</u> <u>Sthenelais</u> <u>picta</u> <u>Chaetozone</u> <u>setosa</u> <u>Cossura</u> <u>longocirrata</u>		0.7	1.3	1.3	1.3 5.3 0.3	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	24	64.7	6.0	63.3		
Arthropoda Acarina Halacaridae sp.	2			0.7	1.0	
Cephalocarida Hutchinsoniella macracantha		0.7				
Ostracoda <u>Sarsiella</u> <u>americana</u> Sarsiella <u>ozotothrix</u>	16	5.3	2.0	1.3	2.0	
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.	14	0.7	2.0	1.3	4.0	
Cirripedia <u>Balanus amphitrite niveus</u>		29.3				

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr
Balanus sp. Sp. unidentified (cyprid)	2	10.7	2.7		0.3
Amphipoda				, .	
Ampelisca abdita	•	6.7	2.0	2.7	
Ampelisca vadorum	308	70.0	45.3	18.7	89.3
Corophium tuberculatum		1.3	0.7		0.3
<u>Corophium</u> sp.		0.7			
Erichthonius brasiliensis	4	5.3			1.7
Hallrages Iulvocinctus	C	16./	F 2	1 2	• •
Paragaprella tenuig	1	1.3	5.3	1.3	2.3
Parametopella cypris	44	36.7	6.0	13	48 7
Paraphoxus spinosus	••	0.7	0.7	1.5	2.0
Phoxocephalus holbolli	210	74.7	24.7	9.3	24.7
Stenopleustes gracilis			3.3	0.7	7.3
Unciola irrorata	6	2.0	1.3		1.0
Stenothoe minuta			-		0.7
Isopoda					
Ptilanthura tenuis		0.7			
Mysidacea			•		
Heteromysis formosa	16				
Cumacea					
Oxyurostylis smithi	2				

Month					
29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
		2.0	0.7		
	<u>.</u>	li i			
		, 1 I			
2					
	0.7	· · · ·			
12		2.0	2.0	0.3	
	0.7	1.3		1.7	
2	0.7	1.3			
			1.3		
	2.0			2.7	
т. 1 ж		· ·		0.3	
	29-31 Oct** 2 12 2	2 2 12 2 0.7 2 0.7 2.0	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Arbacia punctulata

0.7

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Class or Order	Month						
Species	29-31 Oct**	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>		
Cnidaria Hydrozoa <u>Halecium</u> sp. <u>Clava</u> sp. <u>Thuiaria</u> argentea		*			* *		
Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus		0.7			1.0		
Nemertea Tubulanus pellucidus		0.7		·	0.3		
Nematoda Sp. unidentified			0.7	2.0	0.3		
Ectoprocta <u>Callopora aurita</u> <u>Membranipora tenuis</u>			* *				
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Crepidula</u> <u>fornicata</u> Nassarius trivittatus			0.7 0.7				

* Present, but not quantified.** October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Experimental Station EB11 (concluded)

Phylum		·.	Month		
Species	29-31 Oct**	* <u>6 Dec</u>	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	l Apr
Bivalvia			0.7	2 7	0.7
Nucula proxima			0.7	2.1	0.7
Annelida					
Polychaeta		0 7	· ·		
Mediomastus ambiseta	2	0.7	0.7		
Nephtys incisa	6	3.3	0.7		0.3
Pherusa affinis			0.7	0.7	1.0
Polygordius triestinus		0.7	0.7		
Sigambra tentaculata	2		1.0		
Spionidae sp.			*		· · ·
Stauronereis caecus		1.3			
Oligochaeta					
Sp. unidentified		0.7		0.7	
Arthropoda		`			
Copepoda					
Harpacticoida sp.		2.0			
Amphipoda	. C				
Ampelisca vadorum		· ·			0.3
Phoxocephalus holbolli					0.3
Parametopella cypris			: •		0.3

Control Station EB12*

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>l Apr</u>	
Cnidaria Anthozoa			· ·		
Actinothoe modesta			0.7		
Nemertea <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u> <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u>	0.7			0.3	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		0.7			
Mollusca Bivalvia <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> Nucula provima		07	0.7	1.3	
Yoldia limatula		0.7	0.7	0.3	
Annelida			4		
Polychaeta Cossura longocirrata	1.3	0.7			
Mediomastus ambiseta	0.7	0.7			
<u>Nephtys</u> <u>incisa</u> Pherusa <u>affinis</u>	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.7	

* No sample taken in October.

B5 3

Control Station EB12 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order				
Species	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	l Apr

Polygordius triestinus	2.0	2.7		
Stauronereis caecus	0.7			
			a	
Oligochaeta				
Sp. unidentified			2.0	
Arthropoda				
Amphipoda				
Parametopella cypris			0.7	03
Tarametoperra Cypris			V • /	0.0

2

Phylum Class or Order				
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
Cnidaria Hydrozoa Thuiaria argentea		*		
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	1.0		1.0 0.3	0.7 0.3
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius trivittatus</u>			1.3	
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> <u>Pitar morrhuana</u> <u>Yoldia limatula</u>	0.3	2.7	37.0 2.7 0.3 0.7	8.0 1.7
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Nephtys</u> incisa	0.3	1.3	3.3	4.0
Arthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>		n an	0.3	γ

*Present, but not quantified.

Experimental Station Al (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		Mc	onth	•
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.		0.3		
Amphipoda Phoxocephalus holbolli	0.3			
Decapoda <u>Pinnixa</u> chaetopterana				0.3

Phylum		M	onth	
Class or Order	22 722	10 Morr	20 Mort	17 7.112
Species	ZZ APP	<u>IZ May</u>	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>
Cnidaria			•	
Hydrozoa				
Calvcella svringa	*			
Campanularia sp.	*			
Campanularidae sp.	*			
Halecium sp.	*			
Thuiaria argentea	*			
Thuiaria similis	*			
Tubularidae sp.	*			
• •				
Nemertea				
Cerebratulus lacteus	1.0	0.7	3.0	1.0
Tubulanus pellucidus	1.3		0.7	
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
Nassarius trivittatus	0.7			0.3
			N.	
Bivalvia			÷	•
Lysonsia hyalina	•		0.3	0.7
Mulinia lateralis	4.3	15.0	123.3	133.7
Nucula proxima	0.3	0.7	8.0	13.3
Pitar morrhuana				0.7
Yoldia limatula		a superior and the second		0.7
		and the second second		

*Present, but not quantified.

B57

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Aricidea</u> sp. <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> <u>Glycera americana</u> <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> <u>Pherusa affinis</u>	3.0	1.3	1.7 0.3 6.3	0.3 1.0 0.3 1.7 10.3 0.3	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	0.3	· · · · · ·	1.7		
Arthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u> Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.		1.0	0.3	1.0 0.3	
Amphipoda <u>Parametopella</u> <u>cypris</u> <u>Stenopleustes</u> <u>gracilis</u> Ostracoda	3.3	0.3	in an		

Sarsiella zostericola

B58

0.3

Experimental Station A2 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		Мс	onth	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u> 17 Jun</u>
Decapoda Pagurus longicarpus	0.3		••	
Insecta Hemipteran		· .		0.3

ζ

Phylum Class or Order		Mon	th	
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jur
Cnidaria Anthozoa		• •		
Actinothoe modesta	0.3			
Hydrozoa Podocoryne carnea	•		*	• •
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	0.3	2.0 	1.0	0.7
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus		0.3	0.3	0.7
Bivalvia Lyonsia hyalina Mulinia lateralis Nucula proxima Pitar morrhuana Yoldia limatula	0.3 15.0 1.0	36.0 0.3 0.3	70.7 3.3	0.3 50.7 6.3 0.3 0.7
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Mediomastus</u> ambiseta				2.3
*Present, but not quantified.		······································		

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	<u>22 Apr</u>	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Nephtys incisa Pherusa affinis	1.0 0.3	2.3	6.3	7.7	
Arthropoda Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola			•	0.3	
Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> macracantha	0.3				
Decapoda <u>Crangon</u> <u>septemspinosa</u> (post larva stage VI)		·	•	0.3	

Experimental Station A3 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		Mor	ith	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Cnidaria				
Hydrozoa	. .		· .	· · ·
Campanularia sp.	* *	.4.		
Opercularella pumila	*	*		
Thuiaria argentea		*		
Tubularidae sp.		*		
Anthono				
Ceriantheonsis americanus	0 3			
	0.5			
Nemertea				
Cerebratulus lacteus	0.3		1.0	0.3
Tubulanus pellucidus		1.3	0.7	0.7
sp. unidentified		0.3		
Ectoprocta				
<u>Alcyonidium</u> verrilli	*	*		
<u>Callopora</u> <u>aurita</u>	*	*	and and a second se	
Membranipora topuis	*	*		
Hendranipora <u>tenuis</u>	**	~		
Mollusca		a an	and the second	Marina di Santa di S
Gastropoda				
Crepidula fornicata	0.7			

B62

*Present, but not quantified.

Month	
.2 May 29	9 May 17 Ju
13.0 1.7 0.3	1.7 3.7 0.3 0.3
7.0 8 0.3 0.3	0.3 88.0 293.7 1.7 17.3 0.3 1.0 0.3
0.3 0.3 4.7 1.7 0.3	0.3 5.3 5.0 0.3
0.3	
	0.3

Experimental Station A4 (continued)

Phylum				<u></u>
Class or Order		Mon	th	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Cephalocarida				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	0.3		0.3	0.1
Ostracoda				
Neonesidea sp.	0.3	1.0		• •
<u>Sarsiella</u> zostericola				0.3
Copepoda				
Harpacticoida sp.	c	1.7		•
Amphipoda				
Lembos smithi	,	0.3		
Parametopella cypris	0.7	2.3		
Mysidacea				
Heteromysis formosa		1.0		
Dogonoda				
Cancer irroratus	33	1 3		
Cancer irroratus (zoea I)	5.5	19.7		• • • •
Neopanope texana savi		1.7		
Pagurus longicarpus	0.3	0.3		
Panopeus herbstii	0.3			

Experimental Station A4 (concluded)

Insecta Hemipteran

0.3

Species22 Apr12 May29 May17 JurCnidaria Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus Thuiaria sp.0.30.3Nemertea Cerebratulus lacteus Tubulanus pellucidus1.01.00.722 Apr12 May29 May17 Jur	hylum Class or Order	Month				
Cnidaria Anthozoa <u>Ceriantheopsis americanus</u> <u>Thuiaria sp.</u> Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u> 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus Thuiaria sp.0.30.30.3Nemertea Cerebratulus lacteus Tubulanus pellucidus1.01.00.72.02.0	nidaria	·				
Ceriantheopsis Thuiaria sp.americanus 0.30.3Nemertea Cerebratulus lacteus Tubulanus pellucidus1.01.00.72.02.02.0	Anthozoa					
Thuiaria sp.0.3NemerteaCerebratulus lacteus1.01.00.7Tubulanus pellucidus0.72.02.0	<u>Ceriantheopsis</u> <u>americanus</u>	1		0.3		
NemerteaCerebratulus lacteus1.01.00.7Tubulanus pellucidus0.72.02.0	Thuiaria sp.				0.3	
Cerebratulus lacteus1.01.01.00.7Tubulanus pellucidus0.72.02.0	emertea					
Tubulanus pellucidus 0.7 2.0 2.0	<u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u>	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	
	<u>Tubulanus</u> pellucidus	0.7		2.0	2.0	
Mollusca	ollusca		·		· · ·	
Bivalvia	Bivalvia					
<u>Mulinia lateralis</u> 14.3 24.7 25.7 93.7	<u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u>	14.3	24.7	25.7	93.7	
Nucula proxima 1.3 0.7 18.3	Nucula proxima		1.3	0.7	18.3	
Pitar morrhuana 0.7	Pitar morrhuana		0.7			
Yoldia limatula 0.3	Yoldia limatula		X		0.3	
Annelida	nnelida					
Polychaeta	Polychaeta					
<u>Cossura longocirrata</u> 0.3	<u>Cossura</u> <u>longocirrata</u>			· · · ·	0.3	
Glycera americana 0.3	<u>Glycera</u> <u>americana</u>	· · · ·		0.3		
Mediomastus ambiseta 1.0	Mediomastus ambiseta	A · H ·	· • •		1.0	
$\frac{\text{Nephtys incisa}}{\text{Discuss}} = \frac{0.7}{2.3} = \frac{1.7}{5.7}$	Nephtys incisa	0.7	2.3	1.7	5.7	
Pherusa affinis 0.3 0.3	Pherusa affinis	0.3		0.3	2 2	
Pherusa arenosa 2.3	Pilangidae an	0.2			2.3	
Polygordius triestinus 0.3	Polygordius triestinus	0.3				

Experimental Station A5 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		Mon	th	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>
Arthropoda Cephalocarida				
<u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>	0.7	0.7		
	e			
	,			
		n an		
	ж. ч	х с. 	ана аралан ар Аралан аралан	

Experimental	Station	A6

Phylum Class or Order		Mon	th	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u> 17 Jun</u>
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> Tubulanus pellucidus	0.7	2.3 0.3	1.0	0.3
Nematoda			•	
Sp. unidentified	0.3	· ·		•
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus		0.3	0.3	
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> Yoldia <u>limatula</u>	0.7	56.0 0.3	100.0 5.0 0.7	123.3 16.7
Annelida Polychaeta Mediomastus ambiseta	1.0	· · · · · ·	27	0.7
<u>Nepntys</u> <u>incisa</u> Arthropoda Cephalocarida <u>Hutchinsoniella</u> <u>macracantha</u>	1.0	2.3	2.1	1.7

Phylum Ouler	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus</u> <u>pellucidus</u>	0.3 1.0	1.7 0.3	1.3	0.3
Mollusca			- 	
Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus <u>Retusa</u> obtusa		0.3 0.3	0.3	
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u>	8.3	24.7 0.7	132.7 6.0	217.7 34.3 0 3
Yoldia limatula	0.3		0.3	0.7
Annelida				. 7.3
Polychaeta Cossura longocirrata	~	03		5.7
Mediomastus ambiseta	· · · · · ·	0.5	· · ·	10.3
Nephtys incisa	1.0	2.3	4.3	11.7
<u>Nephtys</u> sp. Pherusa affinis	0.3		0.3	
Oligochaeta		03		2.0

Experimental Station A7 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order Species	Month			
	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Arthropoda Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola				1.0

Exper	imen	tal	Stat	ion:	A8

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Cnidaria Hydrozoa <u>Thuiaria</u> <u>argentea</u> <u>Thuiaria</u> <u>similis</u>		*	*	
Nemertea Cerebratulus lacteus Tubulanus pellucidus	0.3	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	
Sipunculida Sp. unidentified	¢		0.3	•
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus	0.3		0.3	0.3
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> <u>Pitar morrhuana</u>	3.3	15.7	98.7 3.7	606.7 25.3 1.3
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Cossura</u> <u>longocirrata</u>			2.3	s

*Present, but not quantified.

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
<u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> Nephtys incisa	1.0	1.7	5.3	0.3 6.7
Arthropoda Decapoda <u>Crangon</u> <u>septemspinosa</u> Crangon <u>septemspinosa</u>			0.3	0.3
(post-larvae Stage VI) Pinnixa sayana Unidentified Zoea		0.3	0.3	

Experimental Station A8 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.7
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus		0.3	0.7	2.3
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u>	29.7	7.3	44.7 2.3	480.3 29.3
Yoldia limatula	0.3		1.2	0.7
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> <u>Glycera americana</u> <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u>				1.0 0.3 6.7
<u>Nephtys</u> incisa Pherusa affinis	3.3	0.3	1.7	12.3
Arthropoda Ostracoda			1	e sa e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

÷ .

Neonesidea sp.

0.3

Phylum Class or Order		Mon	th	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17</u> Jun
Decapoda				· ·
<u>Cancer</u> irroratus (Zoea I)		1.7		
Crangon septemspinosa (post-larva Stage TV)				0.3
Pinnixa chaetopterana		0.3		
Echinodermata				
Asterias forbesi	0.3			

1.

Phylum Class or Order		Мо	nth	
Species	22 A	pr <u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
Cnidaria				
Hydrozoa <u>Thuiaria</u> sp.		*		
Anthozoa				
Athenaria sp. Stomphia coccinea			0.3	0.3
Nemertea		an a	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	· · · · ·
<u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> Tubulanus pellucidus	· 1.	0 1.3 3.0	1.0	2.3
Mollusca Bivalvia				х
Lyonsia hyalina	ų - ×	0.3		0.3
Mulinia lateralis	1.	7 3.7	33.0	29.0
Yoldia limatula	0.	3 0.3	3.0	0.3
Annelida				· · · ·
Polychaeta				•
Glycera americana	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
Mediomastus ambiseta	0.	J		0.3

* Present, but not quantified.

Phylum Class or Order	Care - Hand - Care	Mor	ith	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nephtys incisa Pherusa affinis	2.7	3.3 1.0	4.7	7.0
Sigambra sp.				0.3
Arthropoda Cephalocarida				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	6.0		7.7	0.7
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.	·		0.3	
Decapoda <u>Pinnixa</u> chaetopterana				0.3

Experimental Station Al0 (concluded)

Phylum	Month			
Class of Order	22 7			
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	17 Jun
Cnidaria Hydrozoa Padocorvne carnea	•••		*	
Thuiaria sp.		*		•
Anthozoa	-			
Athenaria sp.			0.3	
Nemertea				
Tubulanus pellucidus	0.7	1.0	1.7	1.0 0.3
Sipunculida				
Sp. unidentified	0.3			·
Mollusca				ین بر بر می
Gastropoda				
Nassarius trivittatus			2.7	0.3
Bivalvia	• • • • •			100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100
Mulinia lateralis		3.0	197.0	65.7
Nucula proxima	Provide States and Sta	0.3	19.0	6.7
Pitar morrhuana		-	0.3	
Yoldia limatula			0.3	

* Present, but not quantified.

			·			
r Order		Month				
es	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	1		

Experimental Station All (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17</u> Jun
Annelida Polychaeta Cossura longocirrata				0.3
<u>Glycera</u> <u>americana</u> <u>Mediomastus</u> <u>ambiseta</u> Nephtys incisa	2.0	3.7	0.3	0.7 0.7 3.7
Arthropoda Cephalocarida Hutchinsoniella macracantha		0.3		0.7
Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola		u 	0.3	
Mysidacea <u>Neomysis</u> <u>americana</u>	0.7	0.3		

Phylum	Month				
Class or Order					
Species	<u>22 Apr</u>	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Cnidaria	ан Айластар (1997)	1		• • • • • •	
Anthozoa					
Athenaria sp.			0.3		
Ceriantheopsis americanus			0.3		
			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
Nemertea					
<u>Cerebratulus</u> lacteus	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.7	
Tubulanus pellucidus	1.0	0.3			
Mollusca	ç 12				
Gastropoda					
<u>Nassarius trivittatus</u>			•	0.7	
		•			
Bivalvia					
<u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u>		4.3	4.3	47.0	
Nucula proxima	´ 1.7	0.7	4.0	15.0	
Pitar morrhuana	1.0				
<u>Yoldia limatula</u>			0.3	0.3	
				•	
Annelida	· · · · · · · · ·		· · ·		
Polychaeta					
<u>Glycera</u> sp.	n n da ar an ar	0.3			
<u>Glycera</u> americana				0.3	
Maldanidae sp.	0.7	·	•		
<u>Maldanopsis</u> elongata	0.3	1			
Mediomastus ambiseta				0.3	
Phylum Class or Order	Month				
---	--------	---------------	---------------	--------	--
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	17 Jun	
<u>Nephtys</u> <u>incisa</u> Pherusa <u>affinis</u>	2.3	2.3	3.3 0.3	3.3	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	0.7				
Arthropoda Cephalocarida	- -				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	0.7				
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp	0 7				
Deceneda	•••				
<u>Crangon</u> <u>septemspinosa</u> (post-larva, Stage VI)				0.3	

Experimental Station Al2 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May*	17 Jun	
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u>	0.3	0.7		0.3	
Mollusca Bivalvia <u>Mulinia lateralis</u> Nucula proxima	1.7	0.3	• · · · ·	46.0 16.7	
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Aricidea cerruti</u> <u>Glycera americana</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> <u>Pectinaria gouldii</u>	0.7 0.3 0.7	1.0		0.3 9.7 0.3	
Arthropoda Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola			5. • •	0.3	
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.		0.3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Insecta Diptera				0.3	

Experimental Station A13

* No sample.

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun	
Cnidaria Hydrozoa <u>Calycella syringa</u> Campanularidae sp. Opercularella pumila	* * *	· · · · · ·			
Thuiaria <u>similis</u>	*		e ^{tr} age	н 1. – - 1. – -	
Anthozoa Metridium senile		بر ا		0.3	
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	0.7 0.3	0.3	ал. А	0.3	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		· .		0.3	
Ectoprocta Alcyonidium verrilli Callopora aurita Cribrilina punctata Electra sp.		ی بر بر ایر بر ایر بر ایر ایر		* * *	
Memoranipora tenuis	··· .	· .		7	

Experimental Station Al4

* Present, but not quantified.

Experimental Station Al4 (continued)

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Crepidula</u> <u>plana</u> <u>Doridella</u> <u>obscura</u> Nassarius trivittatus				1.7 0.7
				4./
Bivalvia Lyonsia hyalina Mulinia lateralis Nucula proxima	6.0 0.3	2.7 0.3	11.3	14.3
<u>Pitar morrhuana</u> <u>Yoldia limatula</u>		•	0.3	0.3
Annelida				
Polychaeta Lepidimetria commensalis Mediomastus ambiseta Nephtys incisa Pherusa arenosa	1.3	0.7	2.0	2.0 3.0 9.3 3.3
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified				0.3
Arthropoda				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha			0.3	0.3

Experimental Station Al4 (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
Ostracoda Sarsiella zostericola	-			0.3
Mysidacea <u>Neomysis</u> americana		0.3		
Decapoda <u>Cancer irroratus</u> (Zoea I) <u>Cancer irroratus</u> (Zoea IV) <u>Neopanope texana sayi</u>		0.3		0.7
Insecta Collembola sp. Chelicerata sp.		0.3	0.3	

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun	
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus</u> <u>lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	1.3	0.7	0.3	1.0 1.7	
Mollusca Bivalvia Mulipia latoralia	1 2	1 0		000 5	
Nucula proxima Yoldia limatula	<pre>< 1.3</pre>	0.3	36.0	299.7 25.3 1.0	
Annelida Polychaeta			•		
<u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> Pherusa affinis	1.0 0.3	1.0	5.0	3.7 10.3	
Arthropoda Cephalocarida					
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	3.7				
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.	0.3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· • • • • • • • • •	etanga ang aka aka aka aka aka	
Mysidacea Neomysis americana		1.3	:		

Experimental Station A15

Experimental Station EB2

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	l2 May	29 May	17 Jun	
Cnidaria Hydrozoa Campanularidae sp. <u>Thuiaria argentea</u> <u>Thuiaria similis</u>		* * *	* *	*	
Anthozoa <u>Ceriantheopsis</u> americanus <u>Metridium senile</u>		0.3		1.3 20.3	
Nemertea <u>Cerebratulus lacteus</u> <u>Tubulanus pellucidus</u>	0.7	0.3 1.3	0.3 2.3	0.3	
Ectoprocta <u>Barentsia</u> sp. <u>Callopora</u> aurita <u>Membranipora</u> tenuis	*	*		*	
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus		0.7		0.3	

* Present, but not quantified.

Experimental Station EB2 (continued)

Phylum	Month			
Class or Order Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>
Bivalvia <u>Lyonsia</u> <u>hyalina</u> <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> <u>Nucula</u> proxima <u>Pitar</u> morrhuana <u>Yoldia</u> limatula		1.0 0.3 0.7	2.3	0.3 1.0 0.7
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Cirriformia grandis</u> <u>Cossura longocirrata</u> <u>Glycera americana</u> Lepidemetria commensalis	¢ ,		· · · · · · · · ·	2.0 0.3 0.3 2.0
Maldanidae sp. <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> <u>Nephtys incisa</u> <u>Nereis succinea</u> Nichomache lumbricalis	3.7	2.3	4.0 0.3	19.0 7.0 0.3
Pectinaria gouldii Pherusa arenosa Potamilla neglecta Potamilla reniformis Sabellaria vulgaris				0.3 3.7 1.0 1.3 0.7 0.7
<u>Sigambra</u> sp. Syllis gracilis		0.7		0.3

Ĵø.

Experimental	Station	EB2	(concluded)
		the second se	

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u> 17 Jun</u>
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified		0.3	1.7	0.3
Arthropoda Cephalocarida			1. 1.	
Hutchinsoniella macracantha		0.3		
Harpacticoida sp.		•		0.3
Amphipoda <u>Paracaprella tenuis</u> <u>Aeginina longicornis</u> <u>Luconacia incerta</u>	07	1.0 0.7		0.3
Decapoda Xanthidae sp.	0.7	0.5		0.7
Insecta Dipteran Hemipteran Hymenopteran	•		0.3	0.3

• *

Experimental Station EB11

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17</u> Jun
Cnidaria Hydrozoa				
<u>Thuiaria</u> argentea Thuiaria <u>similis</u>		*		*
Anthozoa Ceriantheopsis americanus	0.3	0.7		0.3
Mollusca Gastropoda <u>Nassarius</u> trivittatus		0.3		
Bivalvia <u>Mulinia</u> <u>lateralis</u> <u>Nucula proxima</u> <u>Yoldia limatula</u>		0.3 0.7	0.3 2.7 0.3	0.3
Annelida Polychaeta <u>Aricidea cerruti</u> Maldanidae sp.		1.3 0.3	1 2	· · · ·
Pherusa affinis Polydora websteri	0.3	0.3	T•3	• I •U

* Present, but not quantified.

Phylum Class or Order		Month			
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun	
Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified		0.3			
Arthropoda					
Insecta Hemipteran		·	0.3		

Experimental Station EB11 (concluded)



APPENDIX C':

MEAN NUMBER OF MACROFAUNAL INVERTEBRATES COLLECTED BY AN EPIBENTHIC SLED, REPLICATES 1, 2, AND 3 Experimental Station EB3

Phylum				
Class or Order			Month	
Species		<u>21 Dec</u>	<u>27-28 Feb</u>	<u>13 May</u>
Cnidaria		•.		
Hydrozoa				
Campanularia sp.		•	*	
Hydrallmania falcata		* .	· _	
Obelia flabellata			*	
Obelia sp.			*	
Podocoryne carnea			*	*
Thuiaria argentea			*	
Thuiaria similis			*	
Anthozoa	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -			· · · · · ·
Metridium senile	1 a a	0.7	0.3	
Entoprocta				
Barentsia sp.			*	
Ectoprocta				
Aeverrillia armata			*	
Alcvonidium verrilli		• • • •	*	
Bowerbankia sp			*	
Bugula sp.	ſ		*	· · ·
			· · ·	
Viollusca		۱ ۱		
Gastropoda				
Coryprella verrucosa		۰.		1.7
Cratena aurantia		• • • · ·	0.7	
Crepidula fornicata		,	0.7	
Crepidula plana			3.7	0.3
Cutnona concinna			1.3	
Cylichna alba		1.3		
Epitonium humphreysii	-		0.3	
Lunatia heros		03	0.0	0.2

* Present, not quantified.

C2

Phylum		Month	
Class or Order	01 Dec		12 May
Species	21 Dec	<u>27-28 Feb</u>	15 May
Lunatia triseriata		13.3	2.0
Mitrella lunata			0.3
Nassarius trivittatus	1,477.3	9,924.0	3,279.3
Retusa obtusa	1.0	31.0	89.7
Bivalvia			
Lvonsia hvalina	0.3	56.3	3.3
Mulinia lateralis	11,134.0	41,144.3	34,491.0
Nucula proxima	370.7	453.0	6,669.7
Pandora gouldiana	3.0	46.7	36.3
Petricola pholadiformis			3.7
Pitar morrhuana		2.3	14.0
Tellina agilis		2.7	0.3
Yoldia limatula	8.7	85.7	36.0
Annelida			
Polychaeta	•		
Glvcera dibranchiata			0.3
Glycera americana	0.3		
Hypaniola gravi	•		0.
Nephtys incisa	14.0	51.7	31.
Pectinaria gouldii	1.0	6.1	1.0
Pherusa affinis			0.3
Arthropoda			
Pvcnogonida			
Anoplodactylus petiolatus		0.7	0.
Anoplodoctvlus sp.		0.3	
Acarina			
Sp. unidentified			0.
Isopoda		and the second	
Edotea triloba		1.7	
Edotea montosa	•		0.

Experimental Station EB3 (continued)

nylum			
Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	<u>13 May</u>
Amphipoda			
Aeginina longicornis		0.3	
Ampelisca vadorum	0.3	0.7	1.0
Mysidacea			
Mysidacea sp.		17.3	
Neomysis americana	1.0	216.3	1,072.3
Decapoda			
Cancer irroratus	1.7	2.0	2.0
Crangon septemspinosa	201.3	165.0	82.3
Libinia dubia		0.3	
Neopanope texana savi	1.0		· · · · · ·
Pagurus Iongicarpus	3.0	140.0	49.7
Pagurus sp.		7.1	
Paleomonetes vulgaris	03		
Pelia mutica	0.0		0.4
Pinniya sayana	0.7	47	0.0
Venthidee en	0.7	4.7	
Aantinuae sp.		0.7	
hinodermata	Z		
Asteroidea			
Actorias forbesi	0.3	1.0	

Experimental Station EB3 (concluded)

Experimental Station EB4

Phylum		Month	
Class of Urder	21 Dec	27.28 Feb	13 May
Species	ZT Dec	27-20 1 00	<u></u>
Porifera	2		
Haliclona oculata	*	*	*
Cnidaria			
Hydrozoa			
Bougainvilla carolinensis	*		*
Calcella syringa		*	*
Campanularia sp.		*	*
Eudendrium sp.	· ·		*
Halecium sp.	*	*	
Hydrallmania falcata		*	
Obelia commissuralis		*	
Obelia flabellata		*	*
Obelia sp.		_	*
Opercularella pumila		*	
Pennaria tiarella		*	*
Podocoryne carnea			
Thuiaria argentea	*	*	*
Thuiaria similis		*	*
Thuiaria sp.		_	•
Tubularidae sp.		*	
Anthozoa		- -	*
Astrangia danae	*	*	
Metridium senile	49.7	20.7	3.3
Nematoda			
Sp. unidentified			0.7
Ectoprocta			
Aeverrillia armata	- A	*	
Alcyonidium verrilli	*	*	
	×.		

* Present, not quantified.

Experimental Station EB4 (continued)

Phylum		×		• ,
Class or Order			Month	
Species	-	<u>21 Dec</u>	<u>27-28 Feb</u>	<u>13 May</u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,
<i>Bowerbankia</i> sp.		· *	*	*
Bugula sp.		· · ·		*
Callopora aurita			*	*
Cribrilina punctata			*	*
Electra monostachys			*	
Lichenopora sp.		*		
Membranipora tenuis		*	*	*
Microporella ciliata			*	
Microporella sp.		*		
Schizoporella unicornis		. *	*	*
Mollusca))		· ·	
Gastropoda				
			0.7	
Corvobella venucosa		•	0.7	43
Crenidula fornicata		58.7	· 31 3	4.0
Crepidula nonicata		521 7	40.3	0.3
Cuthons constinue		551.7	49.5	0.5
			0.7	
Epitonium numpreysi		FO	0.7	
Lunatia neros	-	5.0	0.7	0.2
		20.2	0.7	. 0.3
Wittella lunata		30.3	4.0	1 170 7
Nassarius trivittatus		0,935.0	1,150.7	1,1/9./
Odostomia bisuturalis		5.3		
Daostomia soninuda		1.3		0.7
Retusa obtusa		55.0		0.7
Turbonilla interrupta		3.0		
iurbonilla sp.		0.3	07	
Urosalpinx cinera	1.500 a. 1 2	2.7	U./ .	a and a second
Bivalvia		· · · · · · · · ·		
Anadara transvera		68.3	12.7	1.0
Astarte undata		0.3		0.7

00

 ζ_{n}

· ·			
Experimental	Station	EB4	(continued)
	, <i>t</i>		

	Experimental	Station EB4	(continue	ed)	
an a				•	
Phylum					
Class or Order				Month	· · · ·
Species		21 Dec		27-28 Feb	13 May
*	· ·	,		1	
Barnea truncata	•	0.3			
Gemma gemma		1.3			:
Lyonsia hyalina		155.7		8.0	7.0
Mercenaria mercenaria		0.3			
Mulinia lateralis		40,787.3		844.7	5,584.7
Musculus niger		0.3			0.3
Mytilus edulis		0.3			
Nucula proxima		521.7		16.0	476.0
Pandora gouldiana		41.7		4.7	12.3
Petricola pholadiformis		86.7		2.7	1.0
Pitar morrhuana		35.7		21.3	169.0
Tellina agilis		21.3		6.7	3.3
Yoldia limatula		49.7		14.7	9.7
Annelida					
Polychaeta					10 C
Amphitrite affinis		2.7			
Autolvtus sp.					0.3
Axiothella catenata				2.7	
Chaetozone setosa		41.3		•	
Cirriformis grandis		5.3			· · .
Hypaniola grayi					1.0
Hvdroides dianthus					0.3
Lepidametria commensalis					1.0
Lepidonotus squamatus		[•] 7.7		2.7	
Lumbrineris tenuis				·	0.3
Maldanidae sp.					0.7
Mediomastus ambiseta				•	1.0
Nephtys incisa		107.3		14.0	17.3
Nereis arenaceodonata		118.7			
Nereis sp.		•	с х		0.3
Notomastus sp.		2.7			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

C7

Phylum		4	-
Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	<u>13 May</u>
Pectinaria gouldii	10.0	6.0	1.7
Phyllodoce arenae	5.3	•	0.3
Polynoidae sp.		0.7	
Potamilla reniformis	25.0	4.0	1.0
Sabella microphthalma	10.7	1.3	1.0
Sabellaria vulgaris	83.7	20.7	2.3
Sabellaria sp.			0.3
Arthropoda			۰.
Pycnogonida			
Sp. unidentified			0.3
Cirrepedia			
Balanus amphitrite niveus		A	0.3
Balanus sp.	·75.7	138.7	1.0
Isopoda			
Edotea triloba		0.7	
Edotea montosa			0.3
Amphipoda			
Ampelisca vadorum	21.3	18.7	0.3
Corophium tuberculatum			0.3
Erichthonius brasiliensis	··	4.7	-
Luconacia incenta	,	9.3	
Parametopella cypris			0.3
Unciola irrorata		6.7	0.3
Mysidacea			
Heteromysis formosa		2.7	
Mysidacea sp.		9.3	
Neomysis americana	1.3	14.7	102.0
Decapoda			
Cancer irroratus	1.3	1.3	
Crangon septemspinosa	204.0	50.0	22.0
Crangon sp. (Zoea I)	-		22.7

Experimental Station EB4 (continued)

Experimental	Station	EB4	(concluded)
	1		

.

Dhylum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	13 May
Eurypanopeus depressus	3.0		
Libinia dubia	0.3	1.3	0.3
Neopanope texana sayi	37.7	4.7	1.0
Pagurus longicarpus	317.0	154.0	13.7
Pagurus pollicaris	0.7		
Pagurus sp.		2.7	
Pinnixa chaetopterana	1.7		
Pinnixa sayana	1.7	0.7	
Pinnixa sp.	0.3	0.7	0.3
Pinnotherus osterum	1.3		
Xanthidae sp.	16.3	5.3	

Experimental Station EB9

Class or Order			Month	
Species		21 Dec	27-28 Feb	13 May
Porifera				
Haliclona oculata			*	*
Cnidaria				
Hydrozoa				
Bougainvilla carolinensis		*		
Calycella syringa	•		и. 1	*
Campanularia sp.			*	*
Halecium sp.		*		
Hydrallmania falcata		*		
Obelia sp.			*	
Podocorvne carnea			*	*
Thuiaria argentea	<i>.</i>	*	* ,	*
Thuiaria similis		· · · · ·		*
Thuiaria sp.			*	*
Tubularidae sp.				*
Anthozoa				•
Astrangia danae		·	-	
Metridium senile		73		- 10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7.0		1.0
Entoprocta				
<i>Barentsia</i> sp.	• 2		•	· * .3
Entoprosta	•			· · · · ·
				*
Acventila di llata		*	*	*
Powerbankie greeilie		*	~	× -
DUWERDANKIA GRACIIIS		, ™∺ k. N ¥ * *	and the second	
Dugula sp.		*	.	*
Callopora aurita		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	* •	• *
Uning the scheme line	4 ,	.	•	*
mpotnoa hyalina		*		

			·
Phylum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	<u>27-28</u> Feb	<u>13 May</u>
Hippoporina porosa			*
Hippoporina sp.		-	*
Lichenopora sp.	*		
Membranipora tenuis	*	*	*
Microporella sp.	*		
Mallusas	1. A.		
Costronodo	· · · ·		
Gastropoda			
Coryphena verrucosa	00.0		41.0
Crepidula tornicata	30.0	·	7.7
Crepidula plana	30.0	0.7	75.7
Doridella obscura			0.7
Eupleura caudata			0.3
Lunatia heros	3.0		
Lunatia triseriata		2.0	1.0
Mitrella lunata	94.0	2.0	10.0
Nassarius trivittatus	11,856.7	1,767.0	1,145.3
Retusa obtusa			1.3
Turbonilla interrupta	1.0		1.1
Bivalvia	n de la construcción de la constru	·	· .
Anadara transversa	0.7		0.3
Astarte undata	12.7		۰.,
Lyonsia hyalina	26.3	0.7	10.3
Mulinia lateralis	688.7	462.7	541.7
Musculus niger	0.3		1.0
Nucula proxima	417.3	1.081.7	6.849.3
Pandora gouldiana	10.3	7.3	e ser e s
Petricola pholadiformis			2.0
Pitar morrhuana	77.0	5.0	
Tellina agilis	160.7	16.0	16.0
Yoldia limatula	36.7	104.0	11.3
	· · · ·		

Experimental Station EB9 (continued)

Experimental	Station	EB9	(continued)
--------------	---------	-----	-------------

Phylum Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	13 May
Annelida			
Polychaeta			
Ampharetidae sp.	· ·		1.3
Axiothella catenata	0.3		
Chaetozone setosa			1.0
Hypaniola grayi			0.3
Lepidemetria commensalis			2.7
Lepidonotus squamatus	1.7		
Maldanidae sp.			2.7
Maldane sarsi			3.3
Nephtys Incisa Nereis prepagedonata	14.7	53.7	21.0
Nereis succinco	0.7		
Nereis virens			0.3
Nichomache, lumbricalis			0.3
Pectinaria gouldii	33		1.7
Pherusa arenosa	0.0		2.0
Polydora websteri	0.3	1.3	0.0
Potamilla reniformis	1.3		14.3
Sabellaria vulgaris	31.3		1.0
Authropeda		ſ	
Cononada			
Temora longicornic			
Temora Tongicornis			1.3
Cirrepedia			
Balanus amphitrite niveus	0.3		1.3
Balanus sp.	20.3	10.3	75.3
Amphipoda			
Aeginina Iongicornis	and the second		1 O
Ampelisca vadorum	1.7		0.3
Ampelisca vadorum	1.7		0

Phylum Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	<u>13 May</u>
Stenopleustes gracilis			0.3
Unciola irrorata		x	. 0.3
Mysidacea			
Heteromysis formosa		5.3	
Mysidacea sp.		16.3	
Neomysis americana		91.0	310.3
Decapoda		1 - 1	
Cancer irroratus	8.3	2.7	0.7
Crangon septemspinosa	323.7	96.7	109.7
Libinia emarginata	0.7		
Neopanope texana sayi	9.0		4.0
Pagurus longicarpus	348.7	115.7	20.0
Pagurus pollicaris	1.3		
Pagurus sp.		1.3	
Panopeus herbesti	0.3		0.3
Pinnixa chaetopterana	0.7		
Pinnixa sayana	1.3		
<i>Pinnixa</i> sp.	0.3		
Xanthidae sp.	0.7	0.7	
Echinodermata			
Asteroidea			
Asterias forbesi	10 C	~	1.0
Henricia sanguinolenta	0.7		
Chaetognatha			
Sp. unidentified		and a second	1.0

Experimental Station EB9 (Concluded)

.

Experimental Station EB11

. · ·

	·			
Phylum				
Class or Order			Month	
Species	_	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	<u>13 May</u>
Cnidaris				* a
Hydrozoa			÷	
Podocorvne carnea			· *	*
Thuiaria argentea		*	• *	
Thuiaria so			* '	* *
Anthozoa				
Sp. unidentified			0.3	
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
Crepidula plana		0.3		
Lunatia heros	2	0.7		1.0
Lunatia triserata			0.7	0.3
Nassarius trivittatus		3,515.7	1,089.3	1,466.0
Bivalvia			-	
Lyonsia hyalina		1.3		0.3
Mulinia lateralis		89.3	7.3	31.7
Nucula proxima		1,201.0	651.0	2,983.0
Pandora gouldiana		5.3	2.7	2.0
Pitar morrhuana		8.0	1.0	2.7
Tellina agilis	1. A.	4.7	1.0	0.3
Yoldia limatula		12.3	9.7	7.0
Annelida				
Polychaeta			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Nephtys incisa		108.7	71.0	25.0
Pherusa arenosa				0.3
Arthropoda				• · · · · ·
Pycnogonida	-			
Anophodadylus parvus			· · · · · · · ·	0.3
1.				

* Present, not quantified.

C14

Phylum			
Class or Order		Month	
Species	21 Dec	27-28 Feb	<u>13</u> May
Amphipada			
Ampmpoua Accining langicarnia		0.2	0.7
Areginina Tongiconnis		0.3	0.7
Ampensca vauorum Orehomonollo, pinguio		0.7	0.7
Unciola importo		0.7	
Chiclola Inforata			
Sp. unidentified			0.3
		0.3	
Heteromysis formosa	0.7	0.3	105 7
Neomysis americana	8.7	2.7	135.7
Sp. unidentified		0.3	
Decapoda			
Cancer irroratus	0.3	0.3	1.3
Crangon septemspinosa	103.0	2.0	10.3
Pagurus Iongicarpus	32.0	8.0	6.0
Pinnixa pollicaris	0.3		
Pinnixa chaetopterana	0.3		
Pinnixa sayana	0.7		
Pinnixa sp.			0.3
Xanthidae sp.	0.7		
Echinodermata			
Asteroidea			
Asterias forbesi	12.0	2.0	5.7
Chaetognatha			
Sp. unidentified	•		4.7

Experimental Station EB11 (concluded)

(

Σ Σ .

APPENDIX D': MEAN NUMBER OF MEIOFAUNAL INVERTEBRATES, REPLICATES 1, 2, AND 3

ہ

Experimental Station EB3 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order				Month	. <u> </u>	
Species		29-31 Oct*	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>1 Apr</u>
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria						
Sp. unidentified						2.0
Nemertea		,	,			
Sp. unidentified		21.0				
Kinorhyncha	· .					
Echinoderes sp. Bychophyce, frequenc, (long)				0.3		0.3
Trachydemus mainensis			0.7	1.3	0.3	0.3
Trachydemus mainensis (Iarva)	r			0.3		
Sp. unidentified	2		0.3			
Nematoda	•		3		×	
Sp. unidentified		387.0	182.7	330.3	263.3	171.7
Mollusca						
Gastropoda Sp. unidentified (eqg. case)					·	0.7
Pelecypoda						0.7
Sp. unidentified		1.0		0.3	0.3	
Annelida						
Polychaeta						
Capitellidae sp.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.3	1.0	. 0.7	0.2
Cossura longocirrata Mediomastus ambiseta		1.0	0.3	1.0	2.7	0.3
Pilgaridae sp.				2.0	0.7	2.0
Polygordius triestinus		2.0	· · ·	•		
Sp. unidentified		4.0	1.7	1.3	0.3	0.3

* October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

D2

Experimental Station EB3 (Upper 5 cm) (concluded)

Phylum	.					
Class or Order	. –			Month		
Species		29-31 Oct	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>1 Apr</u>
Oligochaete						
Sp. unidentified		17.0	0.3	1.0	0.3	
Arthropoda				<i></i>		
Acarina						
Halacaridae sp.		6.0				0.6
Ostracoda						0.6
Cytheromorpha sp.		1			0.3	0.3
Loxoconcha granulata				•		0.3
Sp. unidentified		3.0			0.3	0.3
Copepoda			,		1	
Harpacticoida sp. unidentified		93.0	6.7	6.0	12.0	8.0
Sp. unidentified (Nauplii)		4.0			0.3	0.7
Sp. unidentified			0.3	4	5.0	
Unidentified larva		4.0	0.3	0.3	1.7	0.7

Experimental Station EB3 (Below 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct*	6 Dec	21 Jan	20 Feb	<u>1 Apr</u>
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified	36.0	3.0	33.7	25.3	3.7
Annelida				/	
Polychaeta					
Cossura longocirrata			0.3		1.0
Mediomastus ambieseta			0.3		0.7
Arthropoda					
Acarina					
Halacaridae sp.					0.3
Ostracoda					
Sp. unidentified	2.0				
Loxoconcha granulata	Ļ		0.3		
Copepoda			· · ·		
Harpacticoida sp.	2.0	÷	1.0	•	
Unidentified larva					0.3

* October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Phylum	······································		Month		
Class or Urder	20.21 Oct*	6 Dec		20 Eab	1 Apr
	29-31 001		<u>21 Jan</u>	20 1 60	<u>1 Apr</u>
Platyhelmintha					
Turbellaria					
Sp. unidentified					2.3
Kinorhyncha					
Pycnophyes frequens					0.7
Pycnophyes frequens (larva)		4			0.7
Trachydemus mainensis					1.3
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified		15.3	53.0	42.3	199.7
Annelida					
Polychaeta			<i>.</i>		
Cossura longocirrata		0.3	0.3	0.3	1.0
Hypaniola grayi	*				0.3
Mediomastus ambiseta		0.3	0.3	0.3	1.3
Polygordius triestinus		0.3			
Maldanidae sp.					0.3
<i>Pilgaridae</i> sp.					0.3
Sp. unidentified		0.3	1.7		0.7
Oligochaeta					
Sp. unidentified		0.7		0.3	
Arthropoda					
Acraina	N				
Halacaridae sp.					0.3
Ostracoda					
Cytheromorpha sp.			0.3	0.3	0.3
Sarsiella ozotothrix					0.3
	• • •				м

Experimental Station EB4 (Upper 5 cm)

<u>,</u>

\$

* No sample taken in October.

Experimental	Station	EB4	(Upper	5	cm)	(conclude	d)
--------------	---------	-----	--------	---	-----	-----------	----

Phylum Class or Order			Month		
Species	29-31 Oct	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	1 Apr
Copepoda Harpacticoida sp.			1.7	1.3	36.7
Sp. unidentified (nauplii) Sp. unidentified		÷ .			4.3 0.6

Phylum Class or Order	•			Month	· · ·	
Species		29-31 Oct*	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	1 Apr
Nematoda						
Sp. unidentified			1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3
Annelida						
Polychaeta						
Sp. unidentified					0.3	
Arthropoda					к 1	
Acarina						
Halacaridae sp.						0.3
Ostracoda						
Schlerochilus contortus			0.3			
Copepoda						
Harpacticoida sp.			0.3			0.3

Experimental Station EB4 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken in October.

.

Experimental Station EB9 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum					
Class or Order		Month			
Species	<u>29-31 Oct*</u>	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	<u>1 Apr</u>
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria					
Sp. unidentified	26.0	7.3	0.3	2.3	
Nemertea					
Sp. unidentified	1.0	1.3			
Kinorhyncha					
Pycnophyes frequens	1.0		0.3	1.3	
Pycnophyes frequens (larva)	2.0	0.3		1.3	
Trachydemus mainensis	10.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	
Trachydemus mainensis (larva)	6.0			0.3	
Sp. unidentified	2.0				
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified	2214.0	527.7	315.7	704.7	108.3
Mollusca			-		
Gastropoda					
Sp. unidentified (egg case)			0.7	2.7	
Pelecypoda	· · · ·			, <i>1</i>	
Sp. unidentified	8.0	0.3		0.3	
Annelida					
Polychaeta					
Cirratulidae sp.	1.0			-	
Cirriformia grandis	1.0				
Cossura longocirrata	1.0	0.3		0.3	
Dodecaceria sp.	1.0	sin Transition	e de la companya de		•
Hvpaniola gravi	1.0				
Mediomastus ambiseta	14.0	1.3	0.7	2.3	
Polycirrus sp.	1.0				

?

* October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.
Experimental Station EB9 (Upper 5 cm) (concluded)

Phylum Class or Order		. <u>.</u>	Month		
Species	29-31 Oct	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	1 Apr
		03			
Polygoralus triestinus	1.0	0.5			
Protodorvillea gaspeensis	1.0	0.2			
Maldanidae sp.		0.3	- -		
Pilgaridae sp.		0.3			
Spionidae sp.	1.0				
<i>Terebellidae</i> sp.	2.0		0.7	0.0	
Sp. unidentified	14.0	2.7	0.7	2.0	
Oligochaeta					
Sp. unidentified	25.0	5.7	0.3	4.7	
Arthropoda					
Cephalocarida	· · · ·				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha	1.0				
Acarina					
Halacaridae sp.	3.0	1.7		0.7	0.3
Ostraçoda		1. A. C. C.	<i>x</i>		
Cytheromorpha sp.	2.0	0.3			0.3
Loxoconcha granulata		0.3			0.3
Loxoconcha sperata				0.3	
Sp. unidentified	9.0			1.7	
Sarcialla zostaricola	30				
Samiouthora nigresens	0.0			0.3	
Capanada				0.0	
	134.0	0.0	13	40.0	17
Parpacticolda sp.	100	5.0	1.5	40.0 1 0	03
Sp. unidentified (naupili)	10.0	2.0		23	0.5
Sp. unidentified		2.0		2.0	
Unidentified larva	5.0	0.3	• • •	۲ ۱۰۰۰ مو	•

D9

Experimental Station EB9 (Below 5 cm)

Phylum	······································				
Class or Order			Month		
Species	<u>29-31 Oct*</u>	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	20 Feb	<u>1 Apr</u>
Platyhelminthes		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Turbellaria					
Sp. unidentified	1.0	0.7	0.3		0.3
Kinorhyncha		· ·			
Trachydemus mainensis	1.0	x.			,
Trachydemus mainensis (larva)	1.0				
Sp. unidentified	2.0				· · ·
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified	346.0	42.3	119.7	100.7	13.3
Mollusca	j · · ·				
Gastropoda					
Sp. unidentified (egg case)		• .		0.7	
Pelecypoda		•			
Sp. unidentified	2.0				
Annelida				÷ 2	
Polychaeta		i.			
Dodecaceria sp.	1.Ó				
Malanidae sp. unidentified		0.3			
Mediomastus ambiseta	4.0			0.3	
Polygordius triestinus	·		·		
Sp. unidentified	1.0	0.3			0.3
Oligochaeta					
Sp. unidentified	3.0				
Arthropoda					
Acarina				· · ·	
Halacaridae sp.		0.3		0.7	•

۲ .

* October value is not a mean since only one replicate was collected.

Experimental Station EB9 (Below 5 cm) (concluded)

Phylum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·····	
Class or Order				Month		
Species	•	29-31 Oct	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	1 Apr
Cephalocarida		1				
Hutchinsoniella macracantha		4.0				
Ostracoda						
Sp. unidentified		2.0	0.3			
Cytheromorpha sp.			0.3			
Schlerochilus contortus						0.3
Copepoda						
Sp. unidentified			0.7	0.3		
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)		1.0				
Harpacticoida sp.		22.0	0.3			0.3
Unidentified larva		1.0				

 \mathbf{i}

Control Station EB11 (Upper 5 cm)

* <u>6 Dec</u>	Month 21 Jan	20 Feb	
	21 0011		1 Apr.
0.3		0.3	<u>.</u>
		0.3	
37.7	51.3	157.0	17.3
		0.3	
			0.3
•			
0.3	0.3		
	0.3	2.7	0.7
		· · ·	• • • • •
	0.3 37.7 0.3	0.3 37.7 51.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

* No sample taken in October.

٢

					1
Phylum					
Class or Urder			Wonth		
Species	<u>29-31 Oct*</u>	6 Dec	<u>21 Jan</u>	<u>20 Feb</u>	<u>1 Apr</u>
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified		1.3	2.7	3.0	7.3
Annelida					
Polychaeta					
Mediomastus ambiseta			0.3		
Arthropoda					
Acarina				· ·	
Halacaridae sp.		0.3			
Ostracoda					
Sp. unidentified			0.3		
Copepoda					
Harpacticoida sp.		0.3			
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)					0.7
Cirripedia					
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)					0.3

Experimental Station EB11 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken in October.

Phylum		Λ.	lonth	
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	17 Jun
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified	0.3			
Kinorhyncha Sp. unidentified		0.3	,	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	15.7	69.0	116.7	43.3
Mollusca Gastropoda Sp. unidentified (egg case)		0.3	0.3	
Annelida Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata Mediomastus ambiseta		0.7 2.0	0.3	0.3 0.7 0.3
<i>Pilargidae</i> sp. Sp. unidentified (larva type #1) Oligochaeta	3	0.3	• .	0.0
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Arthropoda Acarina				,
Halacaridae sp.				
Copepoda	· • •		· · · · ·	13
Sp. Unidentified	03	23	2.7	2.3
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)	0.3	0.7		0.3 0.7
Unidentified larva	0.3		0.7	

Experimental Station A1 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum ,			``	
Class or Order		Mor	nth	
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda				• •
Sp. unidentified	2.3	1.3	6.0	
Arthropoda				
Ostracoda				
Loxoconcha granulata		0.3		
Copepoda				
Harpacticoida sp.			1.0	
Unidentified larva			0.3	•

Experimental Station A1 (Below 5 cm)

Experimental Station Az (Opper 5	Experimental	Station	A2	(Upper	·5 cr
----------------------------------	--------------	---------	----	--------	-------

Phylum Class or Order		nth		
Species	22 Apr*	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	<u>17 Jun</u>
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria			•	
Sp. unidentified		0.3	ť	
Kinorhyncha				
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Trachydemus mainensis (larvae)			0.3	
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		149.3	214.0	171.7
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified (egg case)		1.0	2.7	1.7
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata			0.7	0.3
Mediomastus ambiseta		2.3	0.7	1.0
Arthropoda	с 1			
Ostracoda				
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Copepoda				
Harpacticoida sp.		16.0	33.3	24.7
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)		4.3	0.7	1.7
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Unidentified larva	1. 1	3.3	28.7	3.0
			,	

* No sample taken.

Phylum				
Class or Order		Мо	nth	
Species	<u>22 Apr*</u>	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		1.7	0.3	6.7
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Mediomastus ambiseta				0.7
Arthropoda				
Acarina				
<i>Halacaridae</i> sp.		0.3		0.3
Copepoda				•
Harpacticoida sp.			0.3	0.3

Experimental Station A2 (Below 5 cm)

D17

.

1

Experimental Station A3 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order		. Λ	lonth	-
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Kinorhyncha			•	
Trachydemus mainensis		0.3		0.7
Trachydemus mainensis (larvae)		0.3		
Nematoda				•
Sp. unidentified	55.0	173.7	63.0	106.3
Mollusca Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Annelida				4 - 2
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata				0.3
Mediomastus ambiseta	·	4.3		1.7
Oligochaeta	1			0.3
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Arthropoda				
Acarina		,	,	
Halacaridae sp.				0.3
Ostracoda	<i>t</i>			
Loxoconcha granulata	· · · ·		a de la companya de la	0.3
Harnacticoida sp		127	۲ ۱0	25.7
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)	an a	5.0	1.0	0.3
Unidentified larva		4.0	0.3	3.7

Phylum				•
Class or Order		Mor	1th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Species	<u>22 Apr</u>	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Kinorhyncha				
Sp. unidentified	0.3			
<i>Trachydemus mainensis</i> (larvae)			0.3	
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified	28.7	1.7	4.3	2.3
Mollusca			1 a.	
Gastropoda Sp. unidentified (egg case)		0.3		
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Mediomastus ambiseta		0.7	0.3	
Arthropoda				
Acarina				
Halacaridae sp.		0.3		
Ostracoda				
Loxoconcha granulata				0.3
		0.0	•	
Sp. unidentified	07	0.3	0.2	
riarpacticolda sp.	0.7		0.3	

Experimental Station A3 (Below 5 cm)

.

17 lup
<u>y 17 Juli</u>
0.3
209.3
0.3
1.0
0.3
67 0
27.0
0.3
2.3
3)37

Experimental Station A5 (Upper 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

Phylum	······································			
Class or Order		Mor	nth	
Species	22 Apr*	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		10.0	1.3	1.0
Arthropoda				6
Acarina				
Halacaridae sp.				0.3
Copepoda				
Harpacticoida sp.			0.3	0.3
Unidentified larva		0.3		

Experimental Station A5 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

D21

Experimental Station A6 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum					
Class or Order	s or Order				
Species	<u>22 Apr*</u>	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Kinorhyncha					
Sp. unidentified		•		0.3	
Trachydemus mainensis			0.3		
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified		61.0	35.0	176.0	
Mollusca					
Gastropoda					
Sp. unidentified (egg case)				2.0	
Annelida					
Polychaeta					
Cossura longocirrata			0.3	1.0	
Mediomastus ambiseta		0.5		2.3	
Arthropoda					
Acarina					
Halacaridae sp.	4			0.7	
Ostracoda			•		
Loxoconcha granulata		0.5			
Copeda	v				
Sp. unidentified				0.3	
Harpacticoida sp.		3.5	0.7	31.0	
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)				0.7	
Unidentified larva	,	1.5	0.3	6.0	
		,	У		

* No sample taken.

D22

Phylum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Class or Order		nth		
Species	22 Apr*	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		0.5	0.3	4.7
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Mediomastus ambiseta			0.3	
Arthropoda				•
Ostracoda				
Loxoconcha granulata			0.3	
Copepoda				
Harpacticoida sp.			0.7	0.3
Unidentified larva				0.3
			+	

i.

γi

Experimental Station A6 (Below 5 cm)

.

Experimental Station A7 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Kinorhyncha		•		
Trachydemus mainensis				0.3
<i>Trachydemus mainensis</i> (larvae)	0.3			
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified	14.0	20.0	18.0	232.7
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified (egg case)				4.0
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata		1.3	0.3	0.7
Mediomastus ambiseta		0.7	2.3	0.7
Arthropoda				
Acarina				
<i>Halacaridae</i> sp.		J	0.3	
Ostracoda		•		0.2
Sp. unidentified	·			0.3
Sn_unidentified		•	0.7	
Harpacticoida sp.	0.3	1.7	ري 17.7	34.3
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)			2.3	1.0
Unidentified larva			1.7	17.3

Phylum Class or Order		Mor	nth	
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	1.3	4.7	2.3	1.3
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata			0.3	
Mediomastus ambiseta				0.3
Arthropoda				
Unidentified larva				0.7

Experimental Station A7 (Below 5 cm)

Phylum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Class or Order	Month			
Species	22 Apr*	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17</u> Jun
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified	•	0.3		0.3
Kinorhyncha		·		
Pycnophyes frequens				0.3
Pycnophyes frequens (larvae)		0.3		
Trachydemus mainensis				1.0
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		28.7	15.3	124.0
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified (egg case)				0.7
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata				1.0
Mediomastus ambiseta				2.0
Pilargidae sp.				0.3
Oligochaeta	v			
Sp. unidentified			0.3	
Arthropoda				·
Acarina				
Halacaridae sp.			0.3	0.3
Cephalocarida	2 • • • • •	and the second second	· · · · · · · ·	
Hutchinsoniella macracantha (juv.)		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		0.7
Ostracoda			• • • · · ·	
Sp. unidentified			0.3	

Experimental Station A9 (Upper 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

D26

Phylum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	
Class or Order		Мо	nth	
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	29 May	17 Jun
Copepoda				
Sp. unidentified				
Harpacticoida sp.		1.0	1.0	47.0
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)		1.0	0.7	2.0
Unidentified larva		0.3		12.0

,

Experimental Station A9 (Upper 5 cm) (concluded)

3

V

.

Phylum Class or Order		Mor 12 Mari	nth	17
Species	22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	÷	2.0	0.7	2.7
Arthropoda Copepoda				2.0
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)	-			0.3

Experimental Station A9 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

Phylum Class or Order		Mo	nth	-
Species	22 Apr*	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	· · · ·	
Platyhelminthes				
lurbellaria	·			
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Rotifera				
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified		175.3	43.3	140.3
Mollusca			~	·• ·
Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified		0.7		0.3
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata				0.7
Mediomastus ambiseta		1.0	0.3	1.0
Arthropoda				
Acarina				
Halacaridae sp.				1.0
Ostracoda				
Cytheromorpha sp.		0.3		
Loxoconcha granulata				0.7
Loxoconcha sperata				0.3
Copepoda				~ -
Sp. unidentified			.	3.7
Harpacticoida sp.		2.0	2.0	9.7
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)		6./	0.3	5.3
Unidentified larva		1.3	1.0	7.0

Experimental Station A10 (Upper 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

D29

Phylum Class or Order		Мо	nth	
Species	22 Apr*	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda Sp. unidentified		5.3	1.3	1.7
Arthropoda				
Copepoda <i>Harpacticoida</i> sp. Unidentified larva		0.3		0.7

Experimental Station A10 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

Phylum Class or Order		Ma		
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	/	
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified			0.3	0.3
Kinorhyncha				
Trachydemus mainensis	0.3			
Trachydemus mainensis (larvae)			0.3	0.3
Pycnophyes frequens			0.3	
Nematoda			1. 4	
Sp. unidentified	47.0	145.3	442.3	107.7
Mollusso				
Gastropoda	,		1	11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11
Sp unidentified (eqn case)		1.0		0.7
op. undentified (egg case)		1.0		0.7
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Cossura longocirrata		1.0	0.7	
Mediomastus ambiseta		1.7	3.0	1.0
Arthropoda				
Acarina		· •		
Halacaridae sp.	0.3			1.0
Cephalocarida	· · ·		, `	
Hutchinsoniella macracantha (juv.)				0.7
Ostracoda		- · ·		
Cytheromorpha sp.	19		0.3	
Loxoconcha granulata			0.3	•
Copepoda			04.0	00.0
Harpacticoida sp.		2.7	64.3	23.3
Sp. unidentified (naupili)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8.0	2.3	1.0
Univentitied larva		1.3	1.3	8.0

Experimental Station A11 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun	
Sp. unidentified	5.3	6.0	3.0	2.3	
Mollusca					
Gastropoda					
Sp. unidentified (egg case)		0.3			
Annelida		•			
Polychaeta					
Mediomastus ambiseta		0.3			
Arthropoda					
Acarina					
Halacaridae sp.				0,3	
Ostracoda					
Sp. unidentified			· .	0.3	
Copepoda					
Harpacticoida sp.		0.3	1.3		
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)	0.3		0.0		
Unidentified larva			0.3		

Experimental Station A13 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum Classic Contra		Mon	+h	
Class or Urder	22 Apr*	12 May	20 May*	17 lun
Species	ZZ Apr	12 IVIAY	29 Way_	17 301
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria				
Sp. unidentified	,			0.3
Kinorhyncha				
Trachydemus mainensis (larvae)				0.3
Nematoda		-		
Sp. unidentified		10.0		230.0
Mollusca				
Gastropoda				
So. unidentified (egg case)				2.7
Annelida		v		
Polychaeta		×		
Cossura longocirrata				0.3
Mediomastus ambiseta				1.0
Pilgaridae sp.				0.3
Arthropoda	1			
Acarina				
Halacaridae sp.				0.3
Cephalocarida	. *			
Hutchinsoniella macracantha (juv.)				1.0
Copepoda				
Sp. unidentified		0.3		
Harpacticoida sp.		0.3		64.3
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)		0.3		5.7
Unidentified larva				21.0

* No sample taken.

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr*	12 May	29 May*	17 Jun	
Nematoda					
Sp. unidentified		0.7		6.3	
Mollusca		5			
Gastropoda	•				
Sp. unidentified (egg case)		 -		0.3	
Arthropoda					
Copepoda				· · ·	
Sp. unidentified				0.3	
Harpacticoida sp.				2.7	

Experimental Station A13 (Below 5 cm)

* No sample taken.

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	17 Jun	
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria Sp. unidentified				0.7	
Kinorhyncha Pycnophes frequens Pycnophes frequens (larva) Trachydemus mainensis				0.3 0.3 0.3	
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	24.7	17.3	57.7	181.0	
Mollusca Gastropoda Sp. unidentified (egg case)		0.3	1.0	9.3	
Annelida Polychaeta <i>Cossura longocirrata Mediomastus ambiseta</i> Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	0.3 .	0.3	0.7	0.7 1.7 0.7	
Arthropoda Acarina <i>Halacaridae</i> sp. Ostracoda <i>Loxoconcha granulata</i>		-	•	0.7	
Copepoda Sp. unidentified <i>Harpacticoida</i> sp. Sp. unidentified (nauplii) Unidentified larva	0.3 0.3	2.0 0.3	0.3 3.7 0.3 2.3	2.0 75.3 10.7 15.0	

Experimental Station A14 (Upper 5 cm)

Month				
22 Apr	12 May	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>	
	•		1.0	
			0.3	
2.3	0.7	1.0	63.7	
			0.3	
	0.3	0.3	23.3	
			11.0	
			9.3	
	<u>22 Apr</u> 2.3	<u>Mor</u> <u>22 Apr</u> <u>12 May</u> 2.3 0.7 0.3	Month 22 Apr 12 May 29 May 2.3 0.7 1.0 0.3 0.3	

Experimental Station A14 (Below 5 cm)

Phylum Class or Order	Month				
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>	
Kinorhyncha Sp. unidentified <i>Trachydemus mainensis</i> <i>Pycnophyes frequens</i> (larvae)	0.3	0.7	0.3		
Nematoda Sp. unidentified	41.7	123.0	73.0	175.0	
Mollusca Gastropoda Sp. unidentified (egg case)	ς	1.7		3.3	
Annelida Polychaeta Sp. unidentified <i>Cossura longocirrata Mediomastus ambiseta Polychaeta larva</i> (type #1) Oligochaeta Sp. unidentified	1.3	0.7 0.3 3.0 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	
Arthropoda Acarina <i>Halacaridae</i> sp. Ostracoda Sp. unidentified <i>Cytheromorpha</i> sp.	2 2 2	0.3		1.0 0.3	
Copepoda Sp. unidentified <i>Harpacticoida</i> sp. Sp. unidentified (nauplii) Unidentified larva	3.0	5.0 16.0 5.0 5.0	0.7 14.7 2.0 1.0	1.3 10.7 1.7 9.0	

Control Station EB2 (Upper 5 cm)

D37

Marrak				
22 Apr	12 May	29 May	17 Jun	
	1 - ¹	$F^* = \epsilon$: 1	
0.3				
3.7	2.3	0.3	6.0	
0.3				
•	0.3	•		
<u>t</u> - 4				
			0.7	
0.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.3		
	22 Apr 0.3 3.7 0.3 0.3	Mor 22 Apr 12 May 0.3 3.7 3.7 2.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	Month 22 Apr 12 May 29 May 0.3 .3 .3.7 2.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 .3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	

•

Control Station EB2 (Below 5 cm)

Phylum				
Class or Order		Mon	ith	
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda				
Sp. unidentified	22.0	16.0	11.7	31.0
Mollusca Gastropoda				
Sp. unidentified (egg case)		0.3		0.3
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Ancistrosyllis sp.		0.3		
Cossura longocirrata		0,3		
Mediomastus ambiseta		·		0.3
Arthropoda		•		1
Ostracoda				
Sp. unidentified				0.3
Cytheromorpha sp.	-	0.3		
Loxoconcha granulata				0.3
Loxoconcha sperata				0.3
Copepoda	ı			1
Sp. unidentified	0.3			0.3
Harpacticoida sp.	0.7	1.3		5.7
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)	0.3			3.0
Unidentified larva	0.3			4.7

1

Control Station EB11 (Upper 5 cm)

Phylum				
Class or Order		nth		
Species	22 Apr	<u>12 May</u>	<u>29 May</u>	<u>17 Jun</u>
Nematoda		-		
Sp. unidentified	0.7	1.3	1.7	3.7
Mollusca		•		
Sp. unidentified (egg case)	;			0.3
Arthropoda				
Acarina				
<i>Halacaridae</i> sp.				0.3
Ostracoda				1. Sec. 19
Loxoconcha granulata				0.7
Loxoconcha sperata				0.3
Copepoda				4
Sp. unidentified		0.3		0.3
Harpacticoida sp.				0.3
Sp. unidentified (nauplii)				1.0
Unidentified larvae				2.0

Control Station EB11 (Below 5 cm)

In accordance with letter from DAEN-RDC. DAEN-ASI dated 22 July 1977, Subject: Facsimile Catalog Cards for Laboratory Technical Publications, a facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Serafy, D Keith

Aquatic disposal field investigations, Eatons Neck disposal site, Long Island Sound; Appendix C: Predisposal baseline conditions of benthic assemblages / by D. Keith Serafy, David J. Hartzband, Marcia Bowen, New York Ocean Science Laboratory, Montauk, New York. Vicksburg, Miss. : U. S. Waterways Experiment Station ; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1977.

56, 1821 p. : ill. ; 27 cm. (Technical report - U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ; D-77-6, Appendix C)

Prepared for Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army, Washington, D. C., under Contract No. DACW51-75-C-0016 (DMRP Work Unit No. 1A06C)

Literature cited: p.49-56.

1. Benthos. 2. Disposal areas. 3. Dredged material. 4. Eatons Neck disposal site. 5. Field investigations. 6. Marine animals. 7. Waste disposal sites. I. Bowen, Marcia, joint author. II. Hartzband, David J., joint author.

(Continued on next card)

Serafy, D Keith Aquatic disposal field investigations, Eatons Neck disposal site, Long Island Sound; Appendix C ... 1977. (Card 2)

III. New York Ocean Science Laboratory. IV. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. V. Series: United States. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. Technical report; D-77-6, Appendix C. TA7.W34 no.D-77-6 Appendix C

