

Ecological Effects of an Artifical Island, Rincon Island, Punta Gorda, California

by

G.F. Johnson and L.A. deWit

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 78-3 SEPTEMBER 1978



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

> Kingman Building Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060

Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

> National Technical Information Service ATTN: Operations Division 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151

Contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents. UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data		READ INSTRUCTIONS		
REPORT DOCUMENTATION		BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIO	N NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
MR 78-3				
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF AN ARTIFICIA				
RINCON ISLAND, PUNTA GORDA, CALIFO	JRNIA ·	Miscellaneous Report		
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)		
G.F. Johnson				
L.A. deWit		DACW 72-76-C-0011		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
Dames & Moore				
1100 Greendon Avenue		G31532		
Los Angeles, California 90024				
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army		12. REPORT DATE September 1978		
Coastal Engineering Research Cente	13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, Vi		100		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II differen		-		
		UNCLASSIFIED		
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)				
Approved for public release, dist	wibution unli	mited		
Approved for public release; dist:	ribución uni	mited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	······································			
		•		
		·		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	nd identify by block n	umber)		
Autificial island	34			
Artificial island Bottom sediment		croecosystem unta Gorda, California		
Ecological effects		incon Island		
	IX.			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	d identify by block n	imber)		
This study documents marine				
located approximately 0.8 kilomete	er orrsnore t	The island which use con		
Barbara, California, in a depth of	serve as a *	and use of the stand, which was con-		
structed between 1957 and 1958 to serve as a permanent platform for oil and gas production, is particularly suitable for ecological study. Habitat fea-				
tures associated with the armor rock and concrete tetrapods surrounding the				
island support a "microecosystem"	which differ	s in biotic composition from		
surrounding natural bottom areas.	STEER GILLO	(continued)		

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

2

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) ,

Major associations of macrobiota (organisms >1 millimeter in size) were distinguished on the basis of cooccurrences of conspicuously dominant organisms. Thirteen major associations, covering various parts of the island between the upper intertidal zone and shell debris or natural bottom at the foot of the rock revetments, were defined. The boundaries of each of the major associations and certain questionable or transition zones were mapped over the entire island. These associations were further characterized by extensive measurements of biomass and abundance of macrobiota occurring in quadrats placed according to a stratified random sampling scheme. Using these data, statistically based comparisons of biotic character were made between certain transition areas and definite associations. In some cases, questionable associations were lumped together.

A major part of the study was devoted to analysis of seasonal dynamics in biotic composition. Permanent transects extending from the high intertidal to natural bottom were established normal to each of the four cardinal sides of the island. All macrobiota were censused in duplicate 1-square meter quadrats along each transect during each of the four seasons. Data analysis indicated that many species exhibit significant variability in abundance from one season to the next.

Other studies included a gill net survey of fish fauna, mapping of mussel "talus" beds at the base of the island, and a survey of biota along a natural bottom transect between the island and shore.

In general, the findings indicate a rich and varied fauna and flora associated with the high-relief solid substrate of Rincon Island which differs substantially from the more depauperate natural bottom habitats in the area.

UNCLASSIFIED

PREFACE

The U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) conducts and sponsors research to provide definitive information on the ecological impacts of constructing coastal structures such as groins, jetties, breakwaters, and islands. Rincon Island, Punta Gorda, California, was the first major artificial island to be constructed with full ocean exposure. This report describes an 18-month study sponsored by CERC to examine ecological effects of construction of Rincon Island (CERC Contract No. DACW 72-76-C-0011).

The report was prepared by G.F. Johnson, Project Marine Ecologist, and L.A. deWit, Staff Marine Ecologist, with supervision provided by Dr. B.A. Wales, Principal-in-Charge; all of Dames & Moore, Consultants in the Environmental and Applied Earth Sciences, Los Angeles, California. Professor W.L. Brisby of Moorpark College, Moorpark, California, participated in the fieldwork and provided valuable consultation and review.

Special recognition is due to the following students of Professor Brisby, who were responsible for a major part of the field data acquisition: G. Wilson, D. Ospenson, D. Rasmussen, and R. Dawson. The authors gratefully acknowledge the interest in the project and valuable assistance provided by Dr. J. Siva, J. Hundley, C. Miller, and R. Carlson, all of Atlantic Richfield Corporation.

Marine Ecological Consultants, Inc. of Solana Beach, California, were subcontractors for taxonomic work. Dr. K.R. Critchlow of Dames & Moore assisted during two of the seasonal surveys of permanent transects. Dr. R.A. Park III, Professor of Geology and Ecosystem Analysis, Renssalaer Polytechnic Institute, directed an analysis of data using an R-mode cluster analysis computer program.

A.K. Hurme of the CERC Coastal Ecology Branch was the technical monitor for this contract under the general supervision of E.J. Pullen, Chief, Coastal Ecology Branch.

Comments on this publication are invited.

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 31 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.

JOHN H. COUSINS Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

CONTENTS

	Page CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)6
Ī	INTRODUCTION
II	PROJECT SETTING
III	PREVIOUS RELATED STUDIES81. General Studies of Artificial Habitat82. Previous Studies at Rincon Island11
IV	STUDY METHODS.121. General.122. Reconnaissance Dives133. Talus Bed Measurements134. Seasonal Survey of Permanent Transects135. Mapping of Major Species Associations.136. Quantitative Characterization of Species Associations.177. Natural Bottom Survey198. Gill Net Surveys20
V	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION201. General.202. Volume and Dimensions of Talus Beds.203. Analysis of Seasonal Data from Permanent Transects394. Distribution of Major Species Associations505. Quantitative Characteristics of Major Species
	Associations. 51 6. Gill Net Survey Results. 55 7. Natural Bottom Survey Results. 58
VI	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
	LITERATURE CITED
APPENDIX	
А	DETAILED METHODOLOGY
В	SUMMARY DATA, SURVEY OF PERMANENT SEASONAL TRANSECTS 74
С	R-MODE DENDROGRAMS AND BOUNDARIES OF PRELIMINARY SPECIES ASSOCIATIONS
D	SUMMARY DATA, QUANTITATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF MAJOR SPECIES ASSOCIATIONS
Е	OBSERVATIONS ALONG NATURAL BOTTOM TRANSECT
F	SIEVE ANALYSIS OF NATURAL BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES 103
G	GLOSSARY

4

CONTENTS--Continued

TABLES

1		ge 21
2	-	49
3		56
4	-	60
	FIGURES	
1	Aerial photograph of Rincon Island, spring 1977	9
2	Local bathymetry of Rincon Island	10
3	Locations of permanent seasonal transects, gill nets, natural bottom transect, and sediment grab sampling stations	14
4	Structrue of permanent seasonal transects	15
5	North-side talus bed and armor rock measurements	30
6	West-side talus bed and armor rock measurements	31
7	South-side talus bed and armor rock measurements	32
8	East-side talus bed and armor rock measurements	33
9	Major species associations, northwest quadrant	34
10	Major species associations, southwest quadrant	35
11	Major species associations, southeast quadrant	36
12	Major species associations, northeast quadrant	37
13	Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, north-side permanent transect	40
14	Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, west-side permanent transect	41
15	Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, south-side permanent transect	42
16	Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, east-side permanent transect	43
17	Vertical distribution for dominant biota, north side	44
18	Vertical distribution for dominant biota, west side	45
19	Vertical distribution for dominant biota, south side	46
20	Vertical distribution for dominant biota, east side	47
21	Dominant biota and substrate type along natural bottom transect.	59

5

N-

.

CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	by	To obtain
inches	25.4	millimeters
	2.54	centimeters
square inches	6.452	square centimeters
cubic inches	16.39	cubic centimeters
feet	30.48	centimeters
	0.3048	meters
square feet	0.0929	square meters
cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters
yards	0.9144	meters
square yards	0.836	square meters
cubic yards	0.7646	cubic meters
miles	1.6093	kilometers
square miles	259.0	hectares
knots	1.852	kilometers per hour
acres	0.4047	hectares
foot-pounds	1.3558	newton meters
millibars	1.0197×10^{-3}	kilograms per square centimeter
ounces	28.35	grams
pounds	453.6	grams
	0.4536	kilograms
ton, long	1.0160	metric tons
ton, short	0.9072	metric tons
degrees (angle)	0.01745	radians
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins ¹

¹To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: C = (5/9) (F - 32). To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: K = (5/9) (F - 32) + 273.15.

ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF AN ARTIFICIAL ISLAND

Rincon Island, Punta Gorda, California

by G.F. Johnson and L.A. deWit

I. INTRODUCTION

Several studies on the ecological effects of the addition of artificial substrate in a nearshore coastal marine environment have been conducted in the past. The California Department of Fish and Game, for example, has made detailed studies at oil platforms and in areas where artificial reefs composed of streetcars, old car bodies, concrete cubicles, and riprap have been established (Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert; 1964; Turner, Ebert, and Given, 1969).

In general, these studies conclude that the habitat features created by the addition of solid substrate are beneficial to the local ecosystem, especially in areas where such substrate is limited. In time, communities of organisms develop which usually support more species than the sedimentary habitat that existed before the addition of hard, high-relief substrate. The biomass of the encrusting flora and fauna is an important food source for species of recreational, commercial, or aesthetic value which would otherwise not populate the area. In addition, physical characteristics of the solid substratum, such as crevices and vertical relief in an otherwise featureless bottom, attract a variety of fishes.

The armor rock revetments of Rincon Island represent a significant addition of solid substratum to the local nearshore marine environment which has contributed to an enhancement in the richness of local marine communities (Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert, 1964; Brisby's Biota Appendix in Keith and Skjei, 1974). Although observations on Rincon Island's marine life have been made since these studies, no comprehensive delineation of major habitats nor detailed characterization of communities extant at any one time or on a seasonal basis has been done. This study was undertaken with the recognition that this information would be valuable in understanding the ecological consequences of artificial island construction. The objectives of the study were to:

- Delineate, map, and quantitatively characterize major species associations around Rincon Island, and compare these with the biota of the natural bottom between the island and shore;
- (b) document the morphology and volume of the beds of shell debris lying along the flanks of each of the four cardinal sides of the island;

- (c) establish permanent transects on each side of the island and survey major benthic organisms along these transects on a seasonal basis, documenting changes in biotic composition and habitat character; and
- (d) conduct a gill net survey of the fish on each side of the island; and
- (e) expand the existing species list of the area.

II. PROJECT SETTING

Rincon Island is located in the Santa Barbara Channel approximately midway between the cities of Santa Barbara and Ventura, California. The island is about 0.8 kilometer off Punta Gorda in about 14 meters of water, and is connected to the mainland by a causeway (Fig. 1). The extreme tidal range at the island is 3.05 meters. Mean sea level (MSL) lies 0.79 meter above mean lower low water (MLLW). The island covers about 0.026 square kilometer of ocean floor and the area above MLLW is approximately 0.013 square kilometer.

The island is constructed of rock revetments containing sandfill. It was constructed in stages between February 1957 and September 1958, using many types and gradations of quarry rock. The most exposed face (west side) is protected with 1,130 concrete tetrapods, each weighing about 31,000 kilograms. The general shape of the island and the local bathymetry are shown in Figure 2 (Dames & Moore, 1974). Bottom conditions vary uniformly throughout the area (Blume and Keith, 1959). The sediment consists of silty sand ranging into sandy silt with a thickness ranging from 4.3 to 7.6 meters. It overlies a geologically recent shale or "siltstone" formation. Average bottom slope is 3 percent.

Details of the construction and engineering considerations in the design of Rincon Island are summarized in Keith and Skjei (1974) and Blume and Keith (1959).

III. PREVIOUS RELATED STUDIES

1. General Studies of Artificial Reef Habitat.

1

The value of artificial structures for attracting marine fishes was the subject of many papers presented at an International Artificial Reef Conference, cosponsored by Texas A&M University, the Texas Coastal and Marine Council, and the National Marine Fisheries Service (Colunga and Stone, 1974). The fish-attracting properties of nearshore artificial reefs composed of tires, car bodies, and riprap on the gulf and Atlantic coasts have been documented by Buchanan (1972), Stone (1972, 1973); Stone, Buchanan, and Parker (1973); and Stone, Buchanan, and Steimle (1974). The latter investigators reported an increase in the fish-carrying capacity of an area 300 to 1,800 times that of the open bottom before reef construction.




Figure 1. Aerial photograph of Rincon Island, spring 1977.




Figure 2. Local bathymetry of Rincon Island (from Dames & Moore, 1974).

Studies of artificial substrate properties affecting fish attraction and ecological succession in southern California were reported by Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert (1964), Turner, Ebert, and Given (1969), and Fager (1971). Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert (1964) conducted visual surveys of biota in bottom areas before and after artificial reef establishment, noting that fishes were attracted within hours of reef construction. Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert (1964) also made ecological observations at a number of offshore oil installations, including Rincon Island. They concluded that these sites exhibited similar attractions for fish and, more generally, that "habitat changes brought about by establishing offshore oildrilling installations were generally beneficial to the flora and fauna."

Results of a 4-year study of various aspects of manmade reef ecosystems and optimal materials for reef construction, conducted by the California Department of Fish and Game, were published by Turner, Ebert, and Given (1969). Of four types of reef construction materials evaluated, quarry rock was judged optimal on the basis of practicalities of cost and handling, fish attraction (although concrete shelters were better in this regard), and minimal sediment disturbance. More than 200 invertebrate taxa were recorded during the study. Succession on the newly established reefs proceeded from an initial barnacle-hydroid phase, into a mollusk-polychaete assemblage, to an ascidian-sponge stage, and finally a stage characterized by the presence of abundant encrusting ectoprocts (moss animals). Aggregate anemones, gorgonians, and stony corals appeared in later stages. Approximately 5 years was required for successional change to cease on these artificial reefs.

2. Previous Studies of Rincon Island.

The California Department of Fish and Game biologists made an initial survey of Rincon Island in July 1958, 18 months after construction of the island began (Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert, 1964). They conducted 26 observational dives over the period, August 1958 to December 1960. Despite many fluctuations, possibly due to water clarity or incoming year classes of fishes, an overall upward trend in fish populations was observed. Toward the end of the survey period the biota of the island had the appearance of "a well-balanced animal community." Fifty-three species of fish belonging to 44 genera in 22 families were observed during this study. About 97 percent of the fish fauna belonged to the following groups: silverside (Atherinidae), surfperch (Embiotocidae), sea bass (Serranidae), damselfish (Pomocentridae), rockfish (Scorpaenidae), and halfmoon The biologists noted populations of large, active (Scorpidae). fishes in turbulent waters along the west (seaward) side of the island, sedentary forms such as sculpin (Cottidae), and rockfish occupying spaces among the rocks, and the young of many species (especially kelp bass (Paralabrax clathratus), blacksmith (Chromis

punctipinnis), and species of surfperch and rockfish) apparently using the kelp beds in the lee of the island as nursery grounds.

Approximately 54 months after island construction, the invertebrate fauna and algae were surveyed along a transect on the east (lee) side of the island by sampling a 0.09-square meter area at each 3.05-meter depth interval. This sampling was augmented with numerous diving observations. The results of the survey are summarized in Appendix H of Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert (1964). Relatively high densities and a pronounced vertical zonation in major taxonomic groups were apparent.

The work of the California Department of Fish and Game biologists provided an idea of the pattern of early colonization for Rincon Island. Brisby's Biota Appendix in Keith and Skjei (1974) provided valuable insight into the contrast between ecological conditions associated with the island and those of the natural bottom at the site of the island before its construction. Brisby knew the area before construction, and has had an arrangement with the Atlantic Richfield Company to use the island since its construction as a field station for educational purposes. His study methods involved use of scuba techniques, surface craft, mechanical collecting gear (including Peterson grabs, dredges, trawls, traps, and other fishing gear), and underwater photography. Brisby's conclusions provide a basic introduction to the island's ecology.

In summary, Brisby found that with construction of the island, the area developed from a biologically depauperate condition into a mature and balanced reef. Before construction, only 14 species of benthic fish were observed. After establishment of a "climax" community on the island, 298 species, representing all major marine phyla, were recorded. Ecological characteristics were somewhat different on each of the four sides of the island, owing to differences in degree of exposure to waves and currents. High water turbidity typified conditions on the landward side of the island. The seaward side was reported to be particularly rich in life. The other two sides were observed to provide an intermediate environment and each, because of differences in exposure, had a somewhat different ecology. "Talus slopes" of mollusk shells were observed along the bases of the three seaward sides.

IV. STUDY METHODS

1. General.

This study was divided into five major subtasks. Detailed information on specific methodologies is provided in Appendix A.

12

ì

2. Reconnaissance Dives.

The first subtask involved reconnaissance dives by two diver biologists to make a preliminary survey of major species associations around the island. A limited amount of randomly placed quadrat sampling was done to determine variability in densities of biota.

3. Talus Bed Measurements.

The second subtask was to calculate the volume of the mounds of mollusk shells and shell fragments at the base of the rock revetments around the island (shell "talus"). The dimensions of the talus beds were determined and volumes of shell debris in the beds along each of the four cardinal sides were estimated.

Dimensions of the shell talus beds were determined by the following method. Divers swam along each of the cardinal sides of the island, noting significant changes in the morphology of the talus bed (i.e., changes in slope or upper and lower margin). Where such changes occurred, the distance between the upper and lower margins was measured using a steel tape. Depths of the upper and lower margins were also recorded to ± 0.2 meter. Cross-sectional geometry of the talus bed at each measurement point was determined from the distance from the waterline, water depth, and slope of the rock revetment. These cross sections were plotted on base charts for each of the four cardinal sides. The volume of the accumulated shell material along each side of the island was then estimated. Boundaries of the talus beds were charted.

4. Seasonal Survey of Permanent Transects.

The third subtask was to survey permanent transects on the island to determine seasonal variability in densities of macrobiota. Transects, extending from the upper limit of the wave splash zone to the limit of the island's influence on the bottom, were established on the four cardinal sides of the island (Fig. 3). These transects were surveyed during each season for 1 year (see App. B for a summary of the data).

Heavy stakes of steel angle iron marked the upper and lower limits of each transect. A single stake was anchored in the armor rock above the splash zone on each side of the island, marking the upper limit of the transect. Three identical stakes were driven into the natural bottom sediment near the seaward margin of the talus bed, and were alined parallel to each side. The three stakes were connected with 0.6-centimeter-diameter polyethylene line and floats were attached to each stake to facilitate locating them during conditions of restricted visibility (Fig. 4).

A nylon line marked off in 1-meter increments, was used as the transect line. During each survey, one end of the transect line was






Figure 3. Locations of permanent seasonal transects, gill nets, natural bottom transect, and sediment grab sampling stations. (Depth contours in feet below MLLW.)



1.5-M STEEL STAKES DRIVEN INTO BOTTOM



attached to the upper (splash zone) marker stake and the other end was attached to the center stake on the bottom. This ensured examination of the same area on each side during the four seasonal surveys. Divers carrying 1-square meter quadrats, underwater clipboards, and plastic collecting bags swam the transect lines, recording data on densities of all species of macrobiota (in duplicate samples) at 1-meter increments.

Seasonal density values were recorded as percent of unit area covered for algae and encrusting colonial animals or as number per unit area for species for which individuals could be counted. Certain species (e.g., Serpulorbis squamigerus, the scaled worm shell) were recorded for both numbers of individuals and percent coverage. Species of uncertain identity were collected, making notation of the quadrat number from which they were collected, and later identified. Each transect was photographed using an underwater camera.

The marker stakes remained intact during the entire year of survey. They were located on each sampling trip except one on the north side of the island. Extreme water turbidity precluded attachment of the transect line to the bottom marker. In this case, the transect was repeated by placing the line on structures (including a submerged pipeline) recognized from previous surveys.

The same two diver biologists recorded the data on each seasonal survey with the exception of the north side during the summer (August 1976) and the west side during the winter (February 1977) surveys, when another diver was used. Heavy surf prevented collection of complete data on the west-side transect during the fall (November 1976) and winter (February-March 1977). Data were not collected in the upper zone during either of these two seasons.

All data were transcribed from the field sheets to data tables which listed densities of both plants and animals in each quadrat. Fifty-four of the more common species were analyzed for seasonal abundance. Details of the methods used in the analyses of the permanent transect data for significant seasonal differences in species densities are provided in Subsection 2 of Appendix A.

5. Mapping of Major Species Associations.

The fourth subtask was to chart the distribution of major species associations over all submerged parts of the island. A series of charts was prepared depicting the boundaries of major species associations and the spatial disposition of these associations, accurate to ± 0.2 meter in depth and ± 0.3 meter in horizontal distance from permanent reference points on the island. This phase of the work required identification of faunal and floral associations on the basis of substrate character and recurrent groups of species that were conspicuous by virtue of size, abundance, or biomass.

Initial identification of major species associations was based on subjective judgment developed during reconnaissance and permanent transect diving. These preliminary identifications were corroborated by computer analysis of the field data. An R-mode cluster analysis program (unweighted pair-group arithmetic average clustering method (UPGMA) as described by Sneath and Sokal, 1973) was used. Input data consisted of presence-absence designations for all species encountered in each 1-square meter quadrat from the east and north sides for the summer (August) and fall (November) seasonal surveys.

The program generates a matrix of similarity for all species. A CALCOMP plotter program was used to generate dendrograms showing the aggregate hierarchical classification among species (see App. C). On the basis of this information, 13 tentative species associations were identified.

Measurements were made to the boundaries of the various species associations from fixed reference points around the island. Depths (referenced to MLLW) and distances were recorded at transition zones or boundaries between associations. These measurements were taken along transects located at 10-meter intervals around the island (5meter intervals were used around the four corners of the island to assure adequate radial coverage). The starting point for each transect was the upper boundary of the barnacle-limpet zone. In plotting the data, boundaries of associations were extrapolated between transect lines to depict the distributions of the associations. Actual distances were plotted on a base chart of the island. Boundaries of the talus beds, measured during the fourth subtask, were also plotted on this chart. The actual distances were then trigonometrically rectified for plan view plotting according to the methodology in Appendix A,3.

Areas covered by each species association were determined by cutting out the associations on the base chart (before trigonometric rectification), weighing the pieces from each association on a Mettler analytical balance to a precision of ± 0.001 gram, and calculating the percent each association represents of the total area of the island bounded by the upper limit of the barnacle-limpet zone and the lower limit of rock on the bottom.

6. Quantitative Characterization of Species Associations.

The fifth subtask involved quantitative characterization of the species associations. Biomass and densities of macrobiota around the island were measured. Analysis of these data provided the rationale for separating or combining associations lying adjacent to one another or on different sides of the island. Densities and biomass of macrobiota within the associations were determined using randomly placed sample quadrats. Quadrats used in all associations except those in the upper intertidal were of 0.25square meter size. Duplicate 0.01-square meter quadrats were used in the upper zones. Numbers drawn from a random numbers table, equating to vertical and horizontal distances from permanent points on the island, were used in locating the sampling quadrats.

Divers measured the distances with an underwater steel tape and then, looking away from the bottom, released the quadrat about 1 meter above the bottom. This minimized sampling bias. If the quadrat came to lie in or over a crevice between rocks, it was released a second time.

The depth of the quadrat and time of sampling were recorded and the area within the quadrat was photographed. A record was made of the densities of each species within the quadrat (numbers or percent coverage). Large organisms less than 50 percent enclosed within the quadrat boundaries were not recorded. All detachable macrobiota were removed and placed in labeled plastic bags for subsequent biomass measurement. The contents of each collecting bag were wet-blotted and weighed on a triple-beam balance (precision approximately +0.2 gram). Wet weights were recorded for each species.

To develop biomass data on organisms that are permanently attached to the substrate, measured areas were scraped by a diver using a steel chisel and hammer. The removed fragments were collected, using a specially designed slurp gun, fitted with a collecting chamber lined with Nitex plankton netting of 333-micrometer mesh size. Contents of the collecting chamber were subsequently weighed as described above.

All raw data (numbers, percent coverage, and wet weight for each species) were tabulated for each quadrat. Tables were arranged in columnar form with species categories across the top and quadrat numbers along the left-hand margin. Quadrats were grouped according to the association and the sampling locations. Quadrats within transition zones and from apparently similar associations on different sides of the island were separated to facilitate testing against "type" association quadrats (those lying well within the boundaries of distinct associations). These quadrats were then either combined with or separated from type associations.

This method of tabulation permitted calculation of summary statistics for all species in each association which in turn facilitated intercomparison of the characteristics of these associations. The following summary statistics were calculated: Frequency (ratio of number of quadrats of occurrence to number of quadrats sampled in each group); mean abundance and 95-percent confidence limits for the mean abundance; and average weight per individual (or per 100-square

centimeter coverage for species whose densities were estimated as percent coverage).

Comparison of summary statistics on biomass and densities permitted separation of associations in a subjective manner for the intertidal associations (down to and including the macrophytic algae zone). However, this approach was too arbitrary when it came to identifying possible differences between similar associations on different sides of the island or between associations grading into one another on the same side. For these instances, a more rigorous statistical test was necessary. Application of parametric statistical tests requires that the data be normally distributed. This was not the case for most of the data collected during quantitative sampling. Also, it is unlikely that data transformation could be effectively used to normalize the data. The nonparametric Wilcoxon "t" test (Tate and Clelland, 1957) was applied to test differences between densities of selected dominant species within potentially similar associations and between dissimilar associations. An association on the north side, which is dominated by the encrusting coralline alga, Lithothamnium-Lithophyllum complex, was selected as the type association against which most other associations were tested.

7. Natural Bottom Survey.

In addition to the above subtasks, ecological conditions in nearby natural bottom habitats were investigated. This information was to aid in interpreting the ecological changes induced by the presence of the island.

The composition of the epibenthic macrobiota (plants and animals) on or just above the surface of the sediment or rock on the natural bottom between the island and shore was surveyed along a transect located away from the influence of the island and causeway (Fig. 3). The transect survey was completed in two segments. The first segment, over a depth of 13.7 meters MLLW near the island to a depth 6.1 meters MLLW toward shore, was surveyed by divers using Farallon underwater propulsion units. The second segment, extending from shore to the 6.1-meter MLLW depth, was surveyed by divers entering through the surf and swimming offshore. Triplicate sediment samples for infauna (animals inhabiting the sediments) were taken at the outer terminus of the transect at a 13.7-meter depth and at a point midway in the transect at a depth of 10.7 meters MLLW (Fig.3). The samples were collected by pushing 3.13-liter lidless coffee cans into the sediment and carefully sealing both ends of the cylinder with plastic caps. Samples for grain-size analysis were collected by pushing 0.2-liter jars 10 centimeters into the sediment. Infaunal samples were sieved through 1-millimeter sieve screens and preserved for later taxonomic analysis.

8. Gill Net Survey.

A gill net survey was conducted on 15 and 16 June 1977. A single multimesh nylon monofilament net, 30.5 meters long and 2.4 meters deep, was deployed obliquely along each cardinal side of the island (Fig. 3). The nets consisted of ten 3.05-meter-long panels with two panels each of 1.27-, 2.54-, 3.81-, 5.08-, and 6.35-centimeter bar mesh. Position of these panels in the net was random. When deployed, the nets extended from the intertidal zone of the island to the toe of the island revetment. The nets were fished for two periods: a daytime period of about 4 hours, and a day-night period ranging from a minimum of 17 hours (west side) to a maximum of 23.5 hours (east side).

Fishes caught in each net were removed and identified, and a record was made of the standard length (snout to distal end of caudal peduncle) for bony fishes and total length (snout to end of caudal fin) for sharks. Lengths were recorded to the nearest 0.5 centimeter. Numbers of individuals occurring in each mesh size were also recorded. Summary data tables were prepared listing numbers of individuals, mean length, and length range for each species captured on each side of the island.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. General.

A total of 330 species of macrobiota was identified during this study; 160 of these taxa had not been reported as occurring at Rincon Island. This addition to the number of species reported in Keith and Skjei (1974) brings the total species list to 458. Many additional species undoubtedly exist among the island's varied habitats. An updated master list of taxa of Rincon Island is given in Table 1.

2. Volume and Dimensions of Talus Beds.

Dimensions of the shell talus beds along each of the four cardinal sides are shown in perspective view in Figures 5 to 8 and in plan view in Figures 9 to 12. (The upper boundaries of the talus beds do not match precisely with the lower boundaries of the deepest associations in these figures for two reasons: First, talus bed measurements were taken at positions of change of the talus bed geometry, while associations were measured along fixed transects; second, the deepest association frequently extended into the talus bed on isolated rocks.) Approximate volumes of shell calculated from the measurement of talus bed dimensions are as follows:

West side:	1,450	cubic	meters
South side:	98	cubic	meters

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island.

		Occurrence during present stud				
Scientific name ¹	Common name	North	West	South	East	
ALGAE						
DIVISION CHLOROPHYTA	GREEN ALGAE					
Bryopsis corticulans ²						
Chaetomorpha aerea ²						
Cladophora sp.	Dendmanla fingens				х	
Codium fragile Derbesia marina	D ea dman's fingers	х		х		
cf. Enteromorpha sp.		А		х	x	
Ulva sp.	Sea lettuce	x	х	А	x	
Unid. green algae #1				х	••	
DIVISION CYANOPHYTA	BLUE-GREEN ALGAE					
cf. Phormidium sp.		х	Х	Х	х	
DIVISION PHAEOPHYTA	BROWN ALGAE					
Cystoseira osmundacea		х			х	
Desmarestia herbaceae ²						
Dictyota binghamiae		х	х	х	v	
D. flabellata 2			A	х	х	
Ectocarpus sp	Boothow keep lool					
Egregia menziesii (=laevigata) Giffondia manuloga	Feather-boa kelp	х	х			
Giffordia granulosa Halidrys dioica ²					x	
Macrocystis sp.	Giant kelp	v				
Petrospongium rugosum ²	Giant Kelp	х				
Pterygophora californica ²						
Ralfsia pacifica						
Taonia lennebackeriae					x	
Unid. brown alga #1					x	
Unid. brown alga #2					x	
Unid. brown alga #3					x	
Unid. juv. laminariales					x	
DIVISION RHODOPHYTA	RED ALGAE				л	
Antithamnion sp.					х	
Bossiella orbigniana		х	х	х		
Bossiella sp.						
Callithamnion sp. ²		•				
Callophyllis flabellulata					х	
Ceramium codicola						
cf. Ceramium sp.		х		Х	х	
Corallina officinalis		X	Х	х		
Cryptopleura cf. crispa						
Delesseria sp.					х	
Gelidium coulteri	•	?		x		
G. cf. robustum		х		х		
G. purpurascens		х				
G. cartilagineum		?	х		x	
G. sp. #1					х	
G. sp. #2 Gigartina canaliculata		х			x	
Gigartina canaliculata G. cf. exasperata			X	X	X	
G. sp.			х	X	х	
G. sp. G. spinosa armata				X		
G. sp. (juv.)		х		X		
Grateloupia doryphora (=abreviata)		.,		X	х	
Hildenbrandia prototypus ²		Х		х		
Laurencia pacifica			v			
Lithothamnium/Lithophyllum complex			X	v	v	
Lithothrix aspergillum		х	x	х	х	
Lomentaria hakodatensis					v	
Microcladia cf. coulteri					х	
Noopennethin 110 (millionathin 11-)		х			х	
Peyssonellia (Agaraniella) sp.		X		х	X	
J 5 2 .		A		л	л	

See footnotes at end of table.

у.

Table 1. Master species	: list	for	Rincon	IslandContinued.
-------------------------	--------	-----	--------	------------------

cientific name	Common name	North	West	ng present South	Eas
UVISION RHODOPHYTA (Continued)		<u></u>			
Platythamnion villosum P. sp.		x	х		х
Polysiphonia simplex				х	
P. cf. pacifica				х	
P. spp.					х
Porphyra perforata ²		х	х	х	x
Prionitis lanceolata Pterosiphonia dendroidea		л	л	А	л
Pterosiphonia sp.	1		х		х
Rhodoglossum affine		х	х	х	
Rhodymenia sp.			х	х	Х
R. californica		х			v
cf. ^R . sp. Schizymenia pacifica					х
Stenogramme interrupta		x	х	х	х
Tiffaniella snyderiae					X
Veleroa subulata/Murrayellopsis					
dawsonii complex		x	х	x	х
Unid. red alga #1		x		X	
Unid. red alga #2 Unid. filamentous red alga #1				x	
Unid. juvenile red alga				x	
Unid. filamentous red alga #2					х
Unid. "leafy" red alga					х
Unid. "tall" red alga					X
Unid. red alga #3					X X
Unid. red alga #4 Unid. red alga #5					x
Unid. "flat" red alga					x
Unid. red alga #6			х		
Unid. red alga #7			х		
Unid. coralline #1		х	v		
Unid. coralline #2 Unid. coralline #3			x		х
HYLUM PORIFERA	SPONGES				
Cliona celata californiana Geodia mesotriaenia ² a	Boring sponge	х	х	Х	х
Halichoclona gellindra ²	Geode sponge				
Haliclona ecbasis ²	Lavender sponge Lavender-blue encrust-				
	ing sponge				
Hymenamphiastra (=Nymeniacidon)	5 2 5				
cyanocrypta Numoniaciden unstan?	Blue leaf sponge	x	х		Х
Nymeniacidon ungodon ² H. sinapium	Little leaf sponge				
Leucetta losangelensis	Yellow leaf sponge		v	v	
Leucilla (=Rhabdodermella) nuttingi	Urn sponge		x	x x	х
Leuconia heathi ²	Thistle sponge			л	A
Leucosolenia sp. ²	Finger sponge				
Lissodendoryx noxiosa ²	Noxious sponge				
Spheciospongia confoederata Tedania toxicalis	Liver sponge		х		
Tethya aurantia ²	Sponge				
Verongia thiona	Orange puff-ball sponge Sulfur sponge				
Unid. "sulfur" sponge	-artur sponde		x x	x x	
Unid. red sponge #1			Δ	л	х
Unid. purple sponge #2		x			
Unid. orange sponge #3 Unid. yellow sponge #4		x			Х
		х			

See footnotes at end of table.

22

.

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island .-- Continued.

1		Occurre	nce duri	ng present	study
<u>Scientific name</u>	Common name	North	West	South	East
PHYLUM PORIFERA (Continued)					
Unid. grey sponge #5				х	
Unid. sponge #6		х			,
Unid. sponge #7			х		
Unid. "white" sponge			х	X	
PHYLUM CNIDARIA	ANEMONES, HYDROIDS, CORALS, GORGONIANS				
CLASS HYDROZOA	HYDROIDS				
Aglaophenia struthionides	Ostrich plume hydroid	х	х		х
Antennella avalonia			х		
Campanularia sp."	Campanulate hydrozoan				
cf. Eudendrium sp.					Х
<i>Obelia</i> sp. <i>Sertularia</i> cf. <i>furcata</i>			х		
cf. Plumularia sp.					
cf. P. lagenifera		х	х	Х	х
cf. Sertularia sp					х
Unid. green hydroid			х		
Unid. hydroid sp. #1			х		
Unid. hydroids			x		
···· · · · · · ·		х	х	х	х
CLASS ANTHOZOA	ANEMONES/CORALS				
Anthopleura xanthogrammica/					
A. elegantissima ³	Green anemone	х	х	х	х
Antropora tincta		х		х	
Astrangia lajollaensis	Colonial coral	х	Х	х	х
Balanophyllia elegans	Solitary orange coral	х		x	х
Cerianthiopsis sp. ²	Burrowing anemone				
Corynactis californica	Colonial red anemone	х	х	х	х
Eugorgia rubens ²	Purple sea fan				
cf. Epiactis prolifera	Prolific anemone			x	
Lophogorgia chilensis	Pink gorgonian	х	Х	х	х
Metridium sp. ²	Solitary anemone				
Muricea californica/	California/rust				
M. fruticosa ³	gorgonians	х	х	х	
cf. Pachycerianthus sp.	Tube anemone	Х		Х	
Paracyathus stearnsii	Solitary coral	Х	х	x	х
Renilla kollikeri ²	Sea pansy				
Stylatula elongata ²	Elongate sea pen				
Tealia sp.	Anemone	Х			
Unid. anemone #1			х	х	
Unid. white anemone #2			х		
Unid. burrowing anemone			х		
Unid. red cerianthid			х		
PHYLUM ANNELIDA	WORMS				
Chaetopterus variopedatus ²	Parchment tube worm	х			
cf. Chaetopterus sp.	Parchment tube worm				
Dexiospira spirillum					х
Diopatra ornata		х	х	х	x
Dodecaceria fewkesi		x	x	x	x
Eudistylia polymorpha ²	Feather-duster worm	x	x	X	x
Eudistylia sp. 2	Feather-duster worm	43	~	л	А
Eunereis longipes ²	Nereid worm				
Eupomatus gracilis		х			x
Halosydna tuberculifera	Scale worm	-			**
Halosyana cuberculliela	Dould work				

See footnotes at end of table.

÷

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island.--Continued.

	<u>Occ</u>	currence	e durir	ng prese	nt study
Scientific name ¹	Common name	North	West	South	East
PHYLUM ANNELIDA (continued)					
Nereis eakini ²	Nereid worm				
N. mediator ²	Nereid worm				
Paleonotus bellis ²	Chrysopetalid worm				
Salmacina tribranchiata	Colonial tube worm		х		
Serpula vermicularis ²	Serpulid worm				
Spirorbis eximius					х
Polyopthalmus pictus					
Unid. serpulids		х	х	х	
Unid. Syllidae	•				
PHYLUM ARTHROPODA	JOINT-LEGGED ANIMALS				
CLASS CRUSTACEA	CRUSTACEANS				
Alpheus clamator	Shrimp				
Ampithoe sp.	Amphipod				х
Balanus cariosus ²	Acorn barnacle				
B. crenatus ²	Acorn barnacle				
B. galeata					
B. glandula	Acorn barnacle	Х	Х	х	х
B. nubilus	Acorn barnacle		Х	х	х
B. pacificus	Acorn barnacle	Х	Х	х	х
B. tintinnabulum	Acorn barnacle		Х	х	
B. sp.	<i>l</i> corn barnacle				х
Cancer antennarius ²	Rock crab				
C. anthonyi ²	Yellow crab				
Cancer cf. productus	Rock crab	v			
Chthamalus fissus	Acorn barnacle	х	х	Х	х
Crangon dentipes ²	Pistol shrimp				
Erichthonius brasiliensis	Amphipod				х
Heptacarpus palpator	Shrimp Bad wash shrimp				
Hippolysmata californica ²	Red rock shrimp				
Hyale frequens	Amphipod		v		х
Jaeropsis dubia	Isopod Sheep crab	х	x x	х	
Loxorhynchus crispatus L. grandis ²	Sheep crab	л	•	А	
Membranobalanus orcutti	Barnacle				
Munna chromatocephala	Amphipod				
Pachycheles pubescens	Hermit crab	x			
Pachygrapsus crassipes	Striped shore crab	x	x	х	x
Paguristes turgidus ²	Hermit crab	A	21	л	А
P. ulreyi	Hermit crab	х	х	х	
Pagurus californiensis	Hermit crab		х		
Pandalus gurneyi ²	Shrimp				
Panulirus interruptus	-				
Petrolisthes cinctipes ²	Porcelain crab				
P. sp.	Porcelain crab				
Pollicipes polymerus	Gooseneck barnacle		х	х	
cf. Isocheles pilosus	Hermit crab		х		
Pugettia producta	Kelp crab				
P. sp. 2	Kelp crab				
Scyra acutifrons ²	Masking crab				
Spirontocaris brevirostris ²	Bent-back shrimp				
Tetraclita squamosa rubescens	Thatched barnacle	Х	Х	х	х
Unid. pagurids	Hermit crabs	x		Х	х
Unid. shrimp		х			
Unid. barnacles	•			х	х
PHYLUM MOLLUSCA	SNAILS, NUDIBRANCHES, CLAMS, OCTOPUSES				
CLASS GASTROPODA	SNAILS AND NUDIBRANCHES	3			
Acanthina spirata	Oyster drill		х		
Acanthodoris lutea	Nudibranch	х		х	х
Acmaea mitra	White-cap limpet	х			~

See footnotes at end of table.

•

ł

.

24

.

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island .-- Continued.

,		Occurrence during prese			nt study	
Scientific name ¹	Common name	North	West	South	East	
CLASS GASTROPODA (Continued)						
	Mask limpet			х	х	
A. persona ² Amphissa sp. ²	Amphissa					
Amphissa sp Anisodoris nobilis	Nudibranch	х		x	х	
Antiopella barbarensis	Nudibranch				х	
Aplysia californica	Sea hare	х				
Apiysia californica A. vaccaria	Sea hare					
Archidoris montereyensis	Light yellow sea slug					
Armina californica ²	Pansy sea slug					
Astraea undosa ²	Wavy turban snail					
Cadlina luteomarginata	Nudibranch	х				
Callistochiton crassicostatus	Chiton		,			
Calliostoma annulatum	Purple-ringed top shell	х				
C. canaliculatum	Channeled top shell	х	х	Х		
C. gloriosum	Glorious top-shell					
C. supragranosum ²	Granulose top-shell					
Ceratostoma nuttalli	Nuttall's hornmouth	х	х	x	х	
Collisella cf. conus	Limpet	х		х		
C. digitalis	Fingered limpet	Х	х	х	х	
C. cf. limatula	File limpet				х	
C. pelta ²	Shield limpet					
C. scabra	Rough limpet	х		х	х	
C. sp. #1	Limpet			х		
C. sp. #2 (ridges)	Limpet					
C. sp. #3	Limpet				х	
C. cf. strigatella	Limpet		Х			
Conus californicus	California cone	х	х		х	
Coryphella trilineata	Nudibranch					
Crepidula ^{cf.} aculeata	Spiny slipper shell				х	
-	Walf aligner shall	х				
Crepipatella lingulata	Half-slipper shell	x	х	х		
Cypraea spadicea Disulula candiogensis	Chestnut cowry		Λ		v	
Diaulula sandiegensis	Circle-spotted sea slug			x x	X	
Diodora aspera	Rough keyhole limpet	X	v		X	
Doriopsilla albopunctata (=Dendrodoris fulva)	Yellow sea slug	х	х	х	х	
Fissurella volcano	Volcano limpet					
Flabellinopsis iodinea	Purple sea slug	Х			х	
Haliotis corrugata ²	Pink abalone					
H. cracherodii ²	Black abalone					
H. fulgens ²	Green abalone					
H. rufescens	Red abalone	х				
Hermissenda crassicornis	Yellow-green sea slug		Х		Х	
Hypselodoris californiensis ²	Blue-orange sea slug					
Jaton festivus ²	Festive murex					
Kelletia kelletii	Kellet's whelk	Х	х	х	X	
Laila cockerelli ²	Orange-white sea slug					
Littorina planaxis ²	Eroded periwinkle					
L. scutulata ²	Checkered periwinkle					
L. sp.	Periwinkle			х		
Lottia gigantea	Owl limpet	х	х	х	Х	
Maxwellia gemma	Gem murex	х				
Megathura crenulata	Giant keyhole limpet	x	х	Х	х	
Mitrella carinata	Carinate dove shell				х	
Mitra idae	Ida's mitre		х	х		
Nassarius mendicus	Lean nassa			Х		
Navanax inermis	Nudibranch	х			. X	
Neosimnia sp. ²	Pink louse shell					
Norrisia norrisii ²	Smooth turban					

See footnotes at end of table.

¥

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island .-- Continued.

		Occurrence	durir	g prese	ent study
Scientific name ¹	Common name	North	West	South	East
CLASS GASTROPODA (Continued)					
0. poulsoni ²	Poulson's dwarf triton				
O. cf. barbarensis					
0. sp.	ar - 141		х		
Polycera tricolor Pteropurpura festiva	Nudibranch Festive murex	x x	x	x	
P. macroptera	Murex	л	л	Ā	x
Pterynotus trialatus ²	Three-winged murex				
Serpulorbis squamigerus	Scaled worm shell	х	х	х	х
Simnia (Neosimnia) vidleri	Vidler's simnia	х			х
Tegula aureotincta ²	Gilded tegula				
T. brunnea ²	Brown tegula				
T. funebralis	Black turban snail				
Triopha maculata Tritonia festiya	Nudibranch Nudibranch	х			
Unid. limpet #1	Nudiblanen	7		х	
Unid. limpet #2				x	
Unid. blue/white colid		х			
Unid. navanax-like eolid		x			
Unid. gastropod #1		х			
Unid. dorid #1		x			
Unid. chiton #1		X			
Unid. limpet #3 Unid. eolid #1		х			х
Unid. eolid #2			х		л
	·				
CLASS PELECYPODA	CLAMS AND SCALLOPS				
Anomia peruviana/	Pearly jingle/				
Pododesmus cepio 3	Abalone jingle	х	х	х	х
Bankia setacea Changia ang ha 2	Ship worm				
Chaceia ovoidea ² Chama pellucida	Wart-necked piddock				
Chlamys latiaurata ²	Agate chama		?		х
Gari californica ²	Kelp scallop				
Hiatella arctica	Sunset clam Nestling clam			v	v
Hinnites multirugosus	Rock scallop			х	X
Kellia laperousii				х	
Lima hemphilli ²	File shell				
Lithophaga plumula	Date mussel				
Mytilus californianus M. edulis	California mussel	х	х		
Nettastonnella rostrata ²	Bay mussel	х		х	х
Parapholas sp.	Beaked piddock Boring clam				
Pecten diegensis	San Diego scallop	Х	Х	х	
Penitella penita ²	Flap-tipped piddock				
Pseudochama exogyra	Reversed chama				
Semele rupicola ²	Rock dwelling semele				
Teredo diegensis ²	. Ship worm	• ` *			
Unid. pholads Unid. boring clam				Х	х
onid. boring clam				х	
CLASS CEPHALOPODA	OCTOPUSES AND SQUIDS				
Octopus bimaculoides	Two-spot octopus				
Octopus sp.		х	х		
CLASS POLYPLACOPHORA					
Mopalia muscosa ² Callistochiton crassicostatus					
Callistochilon crassicostatus					

See footnotes at end of table.

.

•

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island.--Continued.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	Occurrence during present study				
Scientific name 1	Common name	North	West	South	East	
PHYLUM ECTOPROCTA	MOSS ANIMALS					
Antropora tincta			х	х	х	
Bugula neritina		х	х	х	х	
Crisia occidentalis				х		
Diaperoecia californica		х	х		х	
Filicrisia franciscana		~~	••	X		
Lagenipora punctulata		х	х	х	Х	
Hippothoa hyalina						
Membranipora membranacea M. savarti ²						
M. tuberculata			х			
Phidolopora pacifica		х	x	х	x	
Rhyncozoon rostratum		x	x	x	x	
Scrupocellaria diegensis	•.	x	х	х	х	
Smittina sp.2						
Thalamorporella californica ²						
Unid. encrusting ectoprocts		х	х	х	х	
Unid. ectoproct #1			х		x	
Unid. yellow ectoproct			х		х	
PHYLUM ECHINODERMATA	SEASTARS, URCHINS, BRITTLE STARS, CUCUMBERS				•	
CLASS ASTEROIDEA	SEASTARS					
Astropecten armatus ²	Sand starfish					
Patiria miniata	Bat star	x.	х	Х	х	
Pisaster brevispinus	Pink seastar	x	х	х		
P. giganteus	Giant seastar	х	Х	Х	X	
P. ochraceus	Ochre seastar	х	х	х	x	
P. sp. (juv.)					х	
Solaster dawsoni ²	Sunburst starfish					
CLASS ECHINOIDEA	URCHINS					
Lytechinus pictus	Pale urchin	v		X		
Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	Red urchin	X	x x	X	X X	
S. purpuratus	Purple urchin	х	х	х	х	
CLASS OPHIUROIDEA	BRITTLE STARS		v			
Ophiopsilla californica	Duibble star		х			
Ophiopteris papillosa ² Ophiothrix spiculata	Brittle star	х	x			
Unid. ophiuroid		24		х	х	
CLASS HOLOTHUROIDEA	SEA CUCUMBERS					
Cucumaria sp. ²	Sea cucumber			, .		
Dermasterias imbricata ²	Leather star					
Eupentacta quinquesemita ²	Yellow sea cucumber					
Parastichopus californicus/ P. parvimensis ³		х	х	х	x	
Unid. holothuroid		x		x		
Unid. burrowing holothuroid		x	х			
onia. Burrowing horocharora						
PHYLUM CHORDATA	CHORDATES					
CLASS ASCIDIACEA	TUNICATES (Sea squirts)			х	
cf. Amaroucium californicum					А	
Aplidium californicum						
Boltenia villosa		Х		х	Х	
Chelyosoma productum ²	Simple sea squirt					
Cystodytes lobatus ² Didemnum carnulentum	Compound sea squirt		х			
	municate					
Pyura haustor	Tunicate					

.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island .-- Continued.

		Occurrence during present s				
ientific name ¹	Common name	North	West	South	East	
CLASS ASCIDIACEA (Continued)		•				
Styela gibbsii					x	
S. montereyensis		х	х	Х		
S. sp.			х			
Unid. white tunicate				х		
Unid. orange tunicate				х		
Unid. encrusting pink tunicate					х	
CLASS CHONDRICHTHYES	CARTILAGINOUS FISHES					
Cephaloscyllium ventriosum	Swell shark					
Cetorhinus maximus	Basking shark					
Heterodontus francisci ²	Horn shark					
Prionace glauca ²	Blue shark					
Rhinobatos productus ²	Shovelnose guitarfish					
Sphyrna zygaena ²	Smooth hammerhead shark					
Squalus acanthias	Spiny dogfish					
Triakis semifasciata ²	Leopard shark					
Urolophus halleri ²	Round stingray					
	DOWN BICKING					
CLASS OSTEICHTHYES	BONY FISHES					
Alloclinus holderi ²	Island kelpfish Barred surfperch					
Amphistichus argenteus A. koelzi ²	Calico surfperch					
A. ROELZI- Anisotremus davidsoni ²	Sargo					
Artedius lateralis ²	Smoothead sculpin					
Atherinops affinis	Topsmelt					
Atherinopsis californiensis	Jacksmelt					
Brachyistius frenatus	Kelp perch					
Cheilotrema saturnum	Black croaker					
Chromis punctipinnis	Blacksmith					
Citharichthys sordidus ²	Pacific sanddab					
Clinocottus globiceps	Mosshead sculpin					
Clupea harengus pallasi	Pacific herring					
Coryphopterus nicholsi	Blue-spot goby					
Cymatogaster aggregata ²	Shiner surfperch					
Cynoscion nobilis	White seabass					
Embiotoca jacksoni	Black perch					
E. lateralis	 Striped seaperch 		,			
Genyonemus lineatus	White croaker					
Gibbonsia metzi ²	Striped kelpfish					
G. montereyensis ²	Crevice kelpfish					
Girella nigricans	Opaleye					
Gymnothorax mordax ²	California moray					
Halichoeres semicinctus ²	Rock wrasse					
Heterostichus rostratus	Giant kelpfish					
Hyperprosopon argenteum	Walleye surfperch					
H. ellipticum ² Hypsoblennius gilberti ²	Silver surfperch Rockpool blenny					
Hypsoblemnus diiberti- Hypsurus caryi ²	Rainbow surfperch					
	Garibaldi					
Hypsypops rubicunda Leuresthes tenuis ²	California grunion					
Lynthrypnus dalli ²	Bluebanded goby					
Medialuna californiensis	Halfmoon					
Mola mola ²	Ocean sunfish					
Myliobatus californica ²	Bat ray					
Oncorhynchus kisutch ²	Coho salmon					
Ophiodon elongatus	Lingcod					
Oxyjulis californicus	Senorita					
Oxylebius pictus	Convict fish					
Paralabrax clathratus	Kelp bass					
P. maculato-fasciatus ²	Spotted sand bass					

See footnotes at end of table.

.

28

•


Table 1. Master species list for Rincon Island .-- Continued.


ientific name ¹	-	Occurrence during present study					
	Common name	North	West	South	East		
ASS OSTEICHTHYES (Continued)							
P. nebulifer	Barred sand bass						
Paralichthys californicus	California halibut						
Pimelometopon pulchrum	California sheephead						
Platichthys stellatus ²	Starry flounder						
Phanerodon furcatus	White seaperch						
Porichthys spp.	Midshipman						
Rathbunella hypoplecta	Smooth ronguil	х			х		
Rhacochilus toxotes	Rubberlip seaperch						
Rhacochilus vacca	Pile perch						
Sardinops sagax ²	Pacific sardine						
Scomber japonicus ²	Pacific mackerel						
Scomberomorus concolor ²	Monterey Spanish mackerel						
Scorpaena guttata	California scorpionfish						
Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	Cabezon	х					
Sebastes atrovirens	Kelp rockfish						
S. auriculatus	Brown rockfish	x					
S. cf. caurinus	Copper rockfish						
S. chlorostictus ²	Greenspotted rockfish						
S. elongatus ²	Greenstriped rockfish						
S. miniatus ²	Vermilion rockfish						
S. mystinus	Blue rockfish						
S. paucispinis ²	Bocaccio						
S. rastrelliger ²	Grass rockfish						
S. $rubrivingtus^2$	Flag rockfish						
S. serranoides	Olive rockfish						
S. serriceps ²	Treefish						
S. sp. #1							
S. sp. #2							
Seriphus politus	Queenfish						
Sphyraena argentea ²	Pacific barracuda						
Symphurus atricauda ²	California tonguefish						
Syngnathus californiensis ²	Kelp pipefish						
Thunnus alalunga ²	Albacore						
Trachurus symmetricus ²	Mack mackerel						
Unid. blenny				х			

¹Taxa without superscript were observed during this study.

ъ.

 2 Taxa reported by Carlisle, Turner, and Ebert (1969) or Brisby in Keith and Skjei (1974), but not observed during this study. 3 The two species were not differentiated during this study.








Figure 6. West-side talus bed and armor rock measurements, 15 October 1976.

Ы




Figure 7. South-side talus bed and armor rock measurements, 19 November 1976.




Figure 8. East-side talus bed and armor rock measurements, 15 October 1976.




Figure 9. Major species associations, northwest quadrant.




Figure 10. Major species associations, southwest quadrant.






Figure 11. Major species associations, southeast quadrant.





North side:		49 cubic met	cers
East side:	No	significant	accumulation.

Total: 1,597 cubic meters

These figures apply only to the talus beds shown in Figures 9 to 12. The talus beds extended around the southwest and northwest wings of the island and contained a large volume of shell debris. At the west edge of each of these wings, talus beds were of dimensions similar to those lying along the west side. The beds diminished markedly on the flanks of the southwest and northwest wings where they adjoin the south and north sides, respectively, of the island. No significant shell talus accumulations were observed around the base of either the northeast or the southeast wing.

The west-side talus beds, averaging 16.5 cubic meters per meter of lineal distance along the west revetment, were considerably more voluminous and extensive than the beds on the other sides. This is because the tetrapods on the west side supported a very heavy growth of mussels (Mytilus californianus) in the intertidal zone. Parts of this are sometimes removed by heavy surf, which is most pronounced on the west (seaward) side. Some of the detached mussels gravitate into quarry rock and tetrapod interstices, but many accumulate at the foot of the revetments.

West-side talus beds were composed almost entirely of mussel shells, many of which were of unusually large size for this species. Paine (1976) reported a specimen of M. californianus exceeding 26.6 centimeters in length from a subtidal mussel bed on Duncan Rock off Washington. The previous record was 25.1 centimeters, as reported by Chan (1973). A mussel measuring 25 centimeters has been reported at an offshore oil platform in southern California (Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, 1976). Although no measurements were taken on shells in the Rincon Island talus bed, many specimens apparently approaching this size were observed. Some shells of Pododesmus cepio were also present in the west-side talus area. The seaward boundary of the west-side talus bed (where it graded into natural sedimentary bottom) was very distinct and lacking in irregularities. The inner margin was somewhat irregular and interspersed with isolated rocks. Isolated pockets of talus existed above the upper margin of the main talus bed.

In contrast, the east side was nearly devoid of shell talus. Only one pocket of talus was observed, approximately 4 meters from the south boundary of the side. Small mounds of mussel shells were observed at the bases of causeway pilings. The east side is the most sheltered side, and appears to act as a deposition site for sediment carried to the rear of the island in turbulent eddies (Keith and Skjei, 1974). The middepth and deeper parts of the east-side revetments were always overlain by a veneer of fine sediment: the transition from rock revetment to sedimentary bottom is distinct, primarily because of a contrast in slope of the two substrate types.

The north- and south-side talus beds are intermediate in size between those of the west and east sides. The upper and lower margins are highly irregular on both the north and south sides. Some "fingers" of talus extend more than 3 meters up the north-side revetment, and an isolated shallow pocket of talus exists in a flat area about half way down the side near the location of the permanent transect. The sediment lying near the base of the island on both the north and south sides is inclined, possibly because it overlies a buried part of the talus bed. Many isolated rocks punctuate the natural bottom sediment, particularly along the north side. Shells of the bivalves, Pododesmus cepio (jingles), Hinnites multirugosus (rock scallop), and unidentified species form the bulk of the talus beds on the north and south sides. Some Mytilus talus exists near the west end of the north side which may have been carried around from the west side by currents. Biota frequently encountered in association with the talus beds include the tube worm, Diopatra ornata; the tube anemone, Pachycerianthus sp; the nudibranch, Dendrodoris fulva; the whelk, Kelletia kelletii; the bat star, Patiria miniata; and hermit crabs including Paguristes ulreyi and Isocheles pilosus.

3. Analysis of Seasonal Data from Permanent Transects.

An overview of the vertical distribution of tentatively discriminated major species associations, synthesized from data of the first two seasonal permanent transect surveys (summer and fall, 1976) is graphically represented for each side of the island in Figures 13 to 16. Figures 17 to 20 augment information provided in Figures 13 to 16 by illustrating the vertical distributions of selected dominant macrobiota over the permanent transects. A broad vertical pattern for *Patiria miniata* is apparent on all sides. Also noteworthy is the dominance of the *Lithothamnium-Lithophyllum* complex over the upper reaches of all but the east side. The east side also appears unique in that distributions of several species are much less restricted vertically than is the case on the other sides (e.g., the red algae, *Veleroa subulata-Murrayellopsis dawsonii* complex and the abundant ectoproct, *Lagenipora punctulata*).

A total of 250 taxa of macrobiota was identified during the four seasons of the permanent transect sampling program. These taxa are listed in Table 1 together with information on which side of the island each occurred. The species occurring in transects on all four sides of the island may be regarded as ubiquitous and generally the dominant macrobiota over the entire island. Many of the species listed in Table 1 undoubtedly occur on more sides of the island than indicated. An example is the giant kelp, *Macrocystis* sp. Kelp is most




Figure 13. Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, north-side permanent transect.




Figure 14. Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, west-side permanent transect.




Figure 15. Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, south-side permanent transect.






Figure 16. Seasonal overview of distribution of major species associations and substrate character, east-side permanent transect.




(1) Values below each column indicate maximum (upper value) and minimum (lower value) densities encountered in 1-square meter quadrats over those depths where each species occurred. Values below the (%) signs represent percent coverage for encrusting forms. The remaining values represent numerical densities.

Figure 17. Vertical distribution for dominant biota, north side.



(1) Values below each column indicate maximum (upper value) and minimum (lower value) densities encountered in 1-square meter quadrats over those depths where each species occurred. Values below the (%) signs represent percent coverage for encrusting forms. The remaining values represent numerical densities.


Figure 18. Vertical distribution for dominant biota, west side.



(1) Values below each column indicate maximum (upper value) and minimum (lower value) densities encountered in 1-square meter quadrats over those depths where each species occurred. Values below the (%) signs represent percent coverage for encrusting forms. The remaining values represent numerical densities.

Figure 19. Vertical distribution for dominant biota, south side.

ļ



(1) Values below each column indicate maximum (upper value) and minimum (lower value) densities encountered in 1-square meter quadrats over those depths where each species occurred. Values below the (%) signs represent percent coverage for encrusting forms. The remaining values represent numerical densities.

Figure 20. Vertical distribution for dominant biota, east side.

abundant on the south end of the west side of the island, but sparse in the central part, which is where the transect was located. This small kelp bed on the southwest wing of the island varied considerably in size during the course of the study. Heavy wave action and grazing by sea urchins may have offset normal seasonal growth. Also, many species, in addition to those listed in Table 1, have distributions that did not coincide with the permanent transects. Some of these were collected during quantitative characterization of major species associations using randomly placed quadrats. Others were found during reconnaissance dives.

The analysis of the permanent transect data for significant seasonal differences in species densities is summarized in Appendix B, Table B-1. Table 2 provides a summary of the permanent seasonal transect data. The table shows that a total of 37 of the 52 taxa (71 percent) examined exhibited significant variability in mean abundance in the transects, apparently due in most cases to seasonal changes in population densities. Twenty of these taxa were absent from the transects during one or more seasons. Seventeen taxa showed significant seasonal differences despite being present in the transects during all four seasons. Table 2 also indicates the side of the island and season of maximum abundance in the transects for each species.

Among echinoderms, the urchins (Strongylocentrotus franciscanus and S. purpuratus) and cucumbers (Parastichopus spp.) showed apparent seasonal differences, while none of the four starfish species examined were significantly variable. The results for motile species such as these must be interpreted with caution: seasonal differences may reflect changes in distribution rather than actual variations in abundance. All three ectoproct (moss animal) species examined, which collectively account for the bulk of ectoproct biomass on the island, showed seasonal variability. Gorgonians of genus Muricea varied seasonally; Lophogorgia chilensis did not. Among other coelenterates, significant differences were shown by the anemone, Corynactis californica, and the coral, Paracyathus stearnsii, but not by Anthopleura sp. or Astrangia lajollaensis. The two sponges examined showed seasonal differences. Most of the red algae species (Codes 22 to 45 in Table 2, and Table B-1) were seasonally variable, as was expected. The only exceptions were Laurencia pacifica, Prionitis lanceolata, and Rhodoglossum affine. Most red algae showed peak densities in spring and summer, as was the case with the green algae (Codes 1 to 6 in Table 2, and Table B-1) and generally with the browns (Codes 11 to 20). Conversely, the widely distributed bluegreen alga, Phormidium sp., was most abundant during the winter.

Table 2. Seasonal transect data summary.

Species			Seasonal	High De	ensity
Code	Species	Common Name	Variability ²	Side	Season
			,		
1	Enteromorpha sp.		(S)	East	Summer
2	Ulva sp.	Sea lettuçe	NS	East	Summer
6	Codium fragile	Deadman's fingers	(S)	South	Summer
11	Cystoseira osmundacea		(S)	North	Spring
12	Egregia menziesii		NS	West	Summer
16	Unid. juv. <i>laminariales</i>		(S)	East	Fall
20	Dictyota flabellata		(S)	West	Spring
22	Bossiella orbigniana		(S)	West	Spring
24	Corallina officinalis		S	South	Summer
25	Gelidium coulteri		(S)	South	Fall
26	G. robustum		(S)	North	Spring
29	Gigartina canaliculata		(S)	East	Winter
30	G. exasperata		(S)	West	Summer
34	Laurencia pacifica		NS	West	Winter
35	Lithothamnion- Lithophyllum complex		S	South	Spring
37	Peyssonellia sp.		(S)	South	Winter
39	Prionitis lanceolata		NS	North	Spring
40	Rhodoglossum affine		NS	North	Summer
41	Rhodymenia sp.		(S)	East	Spring
42	R. californica		(S)	North	Winter
44	Stenogramme sp.		(S)	North	Summer
45	S. interrupta		(S)	East	Summer
48	cf. Phormidium sp.		(S)	East	Winter
68	Cliona sp.	Boring sponge	S	West	Winter
89	Hymenamphiastra cyanocrypta	Blue leaf sponge	(S)	East	Summer
103	Anthopleura sp.	Anemone	NS	South	Spring
104	Astrangia lajollaensis	Colonial coral	NS	East	Spring
106	Corynactis californica	Colonial red anemone	S	West	Spring
108	Lophogorgia chilensis	Pink gorgonian	NS	East	Spring
109	Muricea spp.	Gorgonians	S	North	Winter
110	Paracyathus stearnsii	Solitary coral	S	East	Winter
128	Anomia peruviana Pododesmus cepio	Jingles	(S)	East	Spring
148	Doriopsilla albopunctata	Yellow sea slug	S	West	Summer
153	Kelletia kelletii	Kellet's whelk	(S)	West	Spring
155	Lottia gigantea	Owl limpet	NS	South	Summer
157	Megathura crenulata	Giant keyhole limpet	S	West	Summer
158	Mytilus californianus	California mussel	NS	North	
159	M. edulis	Bay mussel	(S)	North	-11
170	Serpulorbis squamigerus	Scaled worm shell	S	North	
185	Diopatra ornata	Worm	S	South	
186	Dodecaceria fewkesi	Worm	s	North	Summer
187	Eudistylia sp.	Feather-duster worm	NS	North	
200	Lagenipora punctulata	Moss animal	S	East	Fall
200	Phidalopora pacifica	Lace moss animal	S	East	Summer
202	Scrupocellaria diegensis	Moss animal	S	East	Fall
228	Parastichopus spp.	Sea cucumber	S	East	Spring
229	Patiria miniata	Bat star	NS	North	
229	Pisaster brevispinus	Pink seastar	NS	North	
231	P. giganteus	Giant seastar	NS	West	Winter
232	P. ochraceus	Ochre seastar	NS	East	Winter
232	Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	Red urchin	S	North	
242	S. purpuratus	Purple urchin	S	West	Fall

¹Species code referenced in Appendix B, Table B-1.

ŧ

2
(S) = Significant, based on absence during one or more seasons
S = Significant, despite presence during all seasons
NS = Not significant at the 95 percent confidence level

4. Distribution of Major Species Associations.

Dendograms resulting from the computer analysis are presented in Appendix C. The species groups identified by the computer generally agreed with the field observations. Clusters are particularly distinct for intertidal associations, as might be expected. On the basis of this exercise and first-hand field observations, the following 13 species associations (not including the shell talus beds) were tentatively identified and designated with generic names of conspicuously dominant species:

- a. Diopatra/cerianthid anemones
- b. Astrangia/gorgonians
- c. Lagenipora/Scrupocellaria
- d. Lithothamnium complex/Serpulorbis/Veleroa
- e. Macrophytic algae
- f. Mytilus/Pollicipes
- g. Barnacles/limpets
- h. Corynactis/Astrangia
- i. Lithothamnium complex/Serpulorbis/Dodecaceria/Veleroa
- j. Astrangia/Corynactis/Lophogorgia
- k. Tetraclita/Lithothamnium complex
- 1. Lithothamnium/Lagenipora/Veleroa
- m. Lophogorgia/Corynactis/Veleroa

The results of the fieldwork which entailed charting of the boundaries of these preliminary or tentatively identified associations relative to permanent features on the island are shown in Appendix C (Figs. C-3 to C-6). The scale on each of these charts may be used to determine plan view distances and actual (i.e., measured down the slope of each side) distances of all association boundaries from permanent features on the island. Permanent features include: navigational warning devices, surveyor triangulation points, and corners of concrete planter boxes used for landscaping the island.

Over most transects, boundaries between associations were distinct. Certain areas which appeared to have characteristics in common with adjacent associations are labeled "transition" zones in the charts. The intertidal associations 5, 6, 7, and 11 were particularly distinct. They contained species not found in other associations, their boundaries were sharply defined, and they were generally much narrower than the remaining (subtidal) associations. Associations 4 and 9, characterized by heavy coverages of *Lithothamnium* complex, accounted for the largest subtidal area of the island.

The east (protected) side differs in the general pattern of associations from the other three (more exposed) sides. Over most of the east side, sea cucumbers (*Parastichopus*), gorgonians (*Muricea*, *Lophogorgia*), stony corals (*Astrangia*, *Paracyathus*), and ectoprocts (*Lagenipora*, *Scrupocellaria*) occurred in abundance. These groups were

generally restricted to the deeper waters on the other three sides. On the east side, a layer of silt varying in thickness from a few millimeters to over a centimeter covered most rock surfaces up to the lower intertidal. This silt precludes growth of some encrusting organisms (especially *Lithothamnium* complex), while others (e.g., *Veleroa* complex) seem tolerant of it.

5. Quantitative Characteristics of Major Species Associations.

The following average biomass values were developed for common attached biota not amenable to routine quantitative removal from the substrate:

Dodecaceria fewkesi (animals only, no tubes): 465 grams per 0.25 square meter Lithothamnium complex: 783 grams per 0.25 square meter Serpulorbis squamigerus (animals only, no shells): 1.9 grams per individual Veleroa complex: 242 grams per 0.25 square meter Corynactis californica: 190 grams per 0.25 square meter

When the 250 quantitative quadrats were grouped according to the preliminary association in which the quadrat was placed and the side of the island sampled, 26 groups or "subareas" resulted (see App. D, Table D-1). The designation of each of the 26 subareas in Table D-1 corresponds to the numerical association designations in Figures C-3 to C-6. For example, the data in Table D-1 for south-side association 5, refer to the macrophytic algae association on the south side only. Data for this association in other areas of the island are found under correspondingly different designations.

For all species encountered in each of the 26 subareas, the following summary statistics are tabulated in Tables D1 and D-2: frequency of occurrence (ratio of occupied quadrats to total number of quadrats examined in the subarea; mean abundance per quadrat (numerical or percent coverage); 95-percent confidence limits for mean abundance; and average weight per individual (or per 100-square centimeter coverage for species with densities estimated as percent coverage). Multiplication of the value for mean density by the average weight value yields an estimate of biomass for any species in any Reliability of this estimate will be best for of the 26 groupings. common species whose densities are relatively uniform from one quadrat to the next, as indicated by relatively narrow confidence limits for the mean. Table D-3 contains information on areas covered by each of the 26 subareas which were subjected to statistical analysis.

The resulting biomass data are useful in characterizing and comparing the major species associations of Rincon Island. However, the data are of limited use beyond this for species whose weight is largely composed of nonliving material (e.g., clams, stony ectoprocts).

Species associations as determined by statistical differences within and between the 13 preliminary associations on each side of the island are shown in Figures 9 to 12. These associations may be compared with the preliminary species associations of Figures C-3 to C-6. Based upon statistical analysis, 4 of the 13 preliminary associations were combined with other associations, resulting in a total of 9 distinctly different major species associations. Areas covered by each of these final associations are given in Table D-3.

The quantitative characteristics of these major species associations are discussed below.

a. <u>Barnacle-Limpet Association</u>. This uppermost association (association A in Figs. 9 to 12) was relatively uniform in composition on all sides of the island. Dominant biota include acorn barnacles (Chthamalus fissus, Balanus glandula, and Tetraclita squamosa, in descending order of abundance) and limpets (Collisella digitalis, C. scabra, and Lottia gigantea).

The thatched barnacle, *Tetraclita squamosa*, was the species with the highest biomass in the aggregate samples. The only algae occurring in the samples from this zone were small amounts of *Enteromorpha* sp. and patches of *Ralfsia* sp.

b. Mytilus/Pollicipes Association. This association (association B in Figs. 9 to 12) is largely confined to a narrow band (about 2 meters wide) on the west side of the island. A small area of this association also exists on the southwest wing, but it was not sampled. The association is dominated in biomass by the California mussel (Mytilus californianus), which has an average biomass of 16.9 kilograms per square meter, and gooseneck barnacles (Pollicipes polymerus) which average 1.0 kilograms per square meter. A few limpets, striped shore crabs (Pachygrapsus crassipes), and acorn barnacles (Balanus spp.) are also found here. Small bay mussels (Mytilus edulis) were common below the surface layer of larger California mussels. Both species also occur in small numbers on the north and south sides, but only M. edulis was found on the east (most sheltered) side. Algae occurring in this association include Bossiella orbigniana and Lithothamnium complex. The Mytilus-Pollicipes association is higher in biomass per unit area than any other association on the island.

c. <u>Anthopleura spp. Association</u>. This association (association C in Figs. 9 to 12) is composed almost entirely of green anemones of the genus Anthopleura. Although Anthopleura spp. occur in large numbers in the macrophytic algae zone, their occurrence in large patches which could reasonably be labeled as a distinct association was limited to a few areas on the southeast and northeast "wings" of the island.

Macrophytic Algae Association. The macrophytic algae d. association (association D in Figs. 9 to 12), extends around the island in a continuous band except on the east side under the wharf. where light is presumably the limiting factor. Its composition is variable from side to side. Statistical comparisons between association D in various parts of the island and association E on the north side (the type Lithothamnium association) generally showed no significant differences for the three taxa selected as characteristic dominants for association E (Lithothamnium complex, Veleroa complex, and Dodecaceria fewkesi). The only exceptions were the south side, which had significantly less Veleroa and Dodecaceria than association E, and the southeast wing, which had significantly less Veleroa. Thus, it appears reasonable to consider association D as an extension of association E, overgrown by macrophytes to depths where physical conditions (including illumination) are favorable.

Lithothamnium dominates algal biomass on all sides of the island. The macrophytic algae zone on the south side is unusual in that Lithothamnium complex there is composed of much thicker and irregular patches than elsewhere on the island. The south side also supports the densest growths of a coralline alga (Corallina officinalis) and a green alga (Codium fragile). Other common species on the south side include feather boa kelp (Egregia menziesii), Gelidium robustum, and Gigartina canaliculata. The north side also supports substantial beds of Egregia. Other north-side macrophytic dominants include Prionitis lanceolata and Gelidium robustum. Cystoseira osmundacea and coralline algae are abundant in some areas of the north side. Quantitative data for the west side are of limited value in characterizing the macrophytic algae because none occurred in any of the ran-Qualitative observations and results of the dom west-side quadrats. seasonal surveys suggest that this zone is dominated by Egregia, Cystoseira, coralline algae, and Gigartina canaliculata. A bed of giant kelp (Macrocystis sp.) is located at the south end of the west side of the island. Judging from earlier air photos, however, the present kelp bed is small compared to the extensive beds that have existed in the past. Large numbers of sea urchins now exist on the island and may account for this phenomenon. It is possible that kelp and urchins alternate in cycles of abundance on the island. The inverse relationship between urchin and algae abundance has been discussed, for example, by North (1962).

e. Lithothamnium-Veleroa Association.

The Lithothamnium association (association E in Figs. 9 to 12) is characterized by high concentrations of Lithothamnium complex,

Veleroa complex, and Dodecaceria fewkesi. Macrophytic algae and deeper dominants such as Corunactis, Astrangia, gorgonians, and ectoprocts are scarce. An exception to this generalization is found on the north side, where a dense band of gorgonians (Muricea fruticosa and M. californica) exists (see Figs. 9 to 12). Dense growths of ectoprocts (mostly Lagenipora punctulata, Scrupocellaria diegensis, and Phidolopora pacifica) and Serpulorbis squamigerus are found at the bases of the gorgonians, apparently taking advantage of sheltered habitat conditions. A quadrat from the northeast wing Lithothamnium-Veleroa association (outside the dense Muricea band) produced the highest number of species (37) of all 250 quadrats Bat stars (Patiria miniata) and urchins are abundant over analyzed. the Lithothamnium-Veleroa association on all sides. The giant keyhole limpet (Megathura crenulata) is frequently encountered here, as are sea cucumbers (Parastichopus californicus and P. parvimensis). This association accounts for more subtidal areal coverage than all other associations combined and it is highly uniform in species composition around the island. Despite relatively intensive sampling, no statistically significant differences in biomass of the characteristic dominants (Lithothamnium, Veleroa, and Dodecaceria) were found between this association on the north side and similar associations elsewhere on the island (associations 4, 9, and 12 in Figs. C-3 to C-6 were found not significantly different from the north-side Lithothamnium-Veleroa association).

f. Veleroa-Lagenipora-Lophogorgia-Muricea Association.

In deeper areas of the Lithothamnium zone around the island, the upper parts of the rocks support species representative of that association, while ectoprocts abound on the side and undersurfaces. Deeper yet, the dominant taxa are distinctly different from those characteristic of the Lithothamnium association. Taxa commonly occurring in this area include Veleroa complex, solitary and colonial corals Paracyathus stearnsii, Balanophyllia elegans, and Astrangia lajollaensis), gorgonians Muricea spp. Lophogorgia chilensis), colonial anemones (Corynactis californica), ectoprocts (Scrupocellaria diegensis, Lagenipora punctulata, and Phidolopora pacifica) and the scaled worm shell gastropod, Serpulorbis During the phase of work involving charting of the major squamigerus. species associations, five associations were provisionally discriminated (2, 3, 8, 10, and 13 in Figs. C-3 to C-6) in this deeper area. Although this group of associations is distinctly different from the Lithothamnium association, there was no statistical reason on the basis of the data and observations to separate any of the five preliminary associations from one another. Accordingly, these deep associations are combined under the letter designation F in Figs. 9 to 12. A large "transition zone" on the west side was not significantly different from the Lithothamnium association; however, two smaller transition areas, one on the northwest wing and one on the southeast wing, were significantly different.

ł

g. Rhodymenia-Veleroa Association.

On the east side, an association exists which is significantly depauperate in *Lithothamnium* complex and significantly enriched (relative to adjacent *Lithothamnium* associations) in the red alga, *Rhodymenia* sp. This is the *Rhodymenia-Veleroa* association, labeled G in Figs. 9 to 12. High densities of *Veleroa* complex, ectoprocts, colonial anemones, corals, *Serpulorbis squamigerus*, and the densest growths of *Dodecaceria fewkesi* on the island are found here. Nudibranches, especially *Flabellinopsis iodinea*, are also common in this zone. The more fragile branching ectoprocts which occur in deeper water on all four sides of the island exist at shallow depths only on the east side, apparently because wave forces are much reduced relative to the other three more exposed sides.

h. Lithothamnium-Tetraclita Association.

Above the *Rhodymenia-Veleroa* association (association G) on the east side, an association composed almost entirely of *Lithothamnium* complex and the large thatched barnacle, *Tetraclita squamosa* occurs over extensive shallow subtidal and intertidal areas (association H in Figs. 9 to 12). Although the two species are found in association in other parts of the island's intertidal and shallow subtidal areas, these occurrences are very limited in extent.

i. Diopatra-Cerianthid Anemones Association.

Small pockets of shell talus, usually partially covered with silt, are commonly found in the deeper areas of association F. These areas are designated as association I in Figures 9 to 12, and they extend over the talus beds to the natural bottom. The tube worm, *Diopatra ornata*; tube anemones, *Pachycerianthus* spp.; bat stars, *Patiria miniata*, and nudibranches (*Dendrodoris fulva*) are very common in these associations.

6. Gill Net Survey Results.

Results of the gill net survey are summarized in Table 3. The nets yielded a total of 270 fishes of 23 species. Five taxa accounted for 61 percent of individuals captured. In decreasing order, they were: olive rockfish, Sebastes serranoides; midshipman, Porichthys spp.; walleye surfperch, Hyperprosopon argenteum; swell shark, Cephaloscyllium ventriosum; and white seaperch, Phanerodon furcatus. Four of these species (all except C. ventriosum) were captured on all four sides of the island. The highest number of individuals and species was captured on the east (most protected) side of the island. Average catch rates were highest during the day on the west side, lowest on the east side. However, for the gill net sets overlapping day and night periods, this pattern was reversed. The south and east sides had the greatest number (15) of species in common; the north and west sides were least similar in this respect.

	Side:		North							South					
	Time:		L L L	ay		Day-N	light		Day				Day-1	Night	
	Hours Fished			Hrs		23 F	Irs					21 Ers			
SPECIES	COMMON NAME	No.	Mean Length (cm)	Length Range (cm)	No.	Mean Length (cm)	Length Range (cm)	Total	No.	Mean Length (cm)	Length Range (cm)	No.	Mean Length (cm)	Length Range (cm)	Total
	CONTRACT NEED	<u></u>				(0117)									
SHARKS															
Cephaloscyllium ventriosum Squalus acanthias	Swell shark Spiny dogfish				9 1	62.6 88	53-72	9 1				9 1	63.3 93	58-85	9 1
TOADFISHES															
Porichthys spp.	Midshipman				23	24.3	15-31	23				6	19.2	18-20	6
ROCKFISHES															
Sebastes cf. caurinus S. mystinus S. serranoides S. auriculatus S. atrovirens	Copper rockfish Blue rockfish Olive rockfish Brown rockfish Kelp rockfish				9 1 1	24.3 19 21	17-31	9 1 1	1 1	18 18	18 18	3 26 1	14.5 20.9	13-17 17.5-27	3 27 1 1
S. sp. #1 S. sp. #2	Kelp rockfish Kelp rockfish											-			-
GREENLINGS	-														
Ophiodon elongatus	Lingcod											1	55		1
SCULPINS												•			
Scorpaenichthys marmoratus	Cabezon				2	17.5	14-21	2				1	27		1
SEABASSES															
Paralabrax clathratus	Kelp bass											3	26	16-32	3
CROAKERS							•								
Cheilotrema saturnum Genyonemus lineatus	Black croaker White croaker				1	24.5		l							
Seriphus politus	Queenfish				3	19.3	18.5-20.5	3				1	9		1
OPALEYES/BLACKSMITHS															
Girella nígricans Chromis punctipinnis	Opaleye Blacksmith				4 2	40.5 11	39-43 6-16	4 2				1	34		1
SURFPERCHES															
Embiotoca jacksoni Phanerodon furcatus	Black perch White seaperch	2	17.5	16-19	5 8	18.6 14.3	12-24 12-18	7 S	1		20 11		19 19	15-23 13-25	4 2 1
Rhacochilus vacca Rhacochilus toxotes Hyperprosopon argenteum	Pile perch Rubberlip surfperch Walleye surfperch				1	12		1	•				30.5 12.5	27-33 11-13.5	3
TOTAL NOS.		2			70			72	4			64			68
TOTAL SPP.		1			14			14	4			15			17
Average Catch/Hour (all species)		0.5	i		3.0	0		2.7	1.0)		з.	1		2.7

Table 3. Gill net catch per hour at Rincon Island.

-

. ----

.

•

Table 3.	Gill net catch per hour at Rincon IslandContinued.

illium ventriosum anthias spp. f. Caurinus s	Time: Hours Fishe <u>COMMON NAME</u> Swell shark Spiny dogfish Midshipman	No.	Da 4 Mean Length (cm)	Hrs Length	<u>No.</u>	Nean Length (cm)	Hrs Length	Total,		Day 4 H Mean Length (cm)		No.	Day-1 17 H Mean Length (cm)	Night Hrs Length Range (cm)	Total	GRAND TOTAL FOUR SIDES
anthias spp. f. Caurinus s	<u>COMMON NAME</u> Swell shark Spiny dogfish		Mean Length	Length Range		Nean Length (cm)	Length Range	Total,		Mean Length	Length Range		Mean Length	Length Range	<u>Total</u>	
anthias spp. f. Caurinus s	Swell shark Spiny dogfish	<u>No.</u>	Length	Range		Length (cm)	Range	<u>Total</u>		Length	Range		Length	Range	Total	
anthias spp. f. Caurinus s	Spiny dogfish				4			,								
anthias spp. f. Caurinus s	Spiny dogfish				4											
spp. f. Caurinus s	Midshipman					55.8	53-58	4								22 2
f. Caurinus s	Midshipman															
f. Caurinus s					4	23.8	18-26	4				11	18.8	17-21	11	44
s																
ides atus ens	Copper rockfish Blue rockfish Olive rockfish Brown rockfish Kelp rockfish Kelp rockfish Kelp rockfish	1	18	18	1 2 14 2 1	13.5 16.5 19.9 22.3 23 20	16-17.5 10.5-23.5 19-25.5	1 2 15 2 1	2 1	17 22	15-19	2 5	15 20.8	14-16 18-24	4 6	4 6 57 4 2 1 1
longatus	Lingcod				1	47		1								2
hthys marmoratus	Cabezon				1	31		1								4
clathratus	Kelp bass				2	42	41-43	2								5
a saturnum : lineatus plitus	Black croaker White croaker Queenfish				3 3	17 18.5	15.5-18.5 17.5-19.5	3 3				8 1	29.4 17	27-31	8 1	9 3 8
ACKSMITHS	~ .															
ricans ctipinnis	Opaleye Blacksmith				2 2	35 16	34-36 16	2 2	8		14-20	3	34.3	31-37	3 8	10 12
5																
iacksoni furcatus s vacca s toxotes xon argenteum	Black perch White seaperch Pile perch Rubberlip surfperch Walleye surfperch				3 5 2 4 17	17 14.7 20.5 26.1 14.2	15-19 11-18.5 17-24 23.5-29 10-17	3 5 2 4 17	1 2	11 2	11 21-25	2 3 1 1 2	20.5 16 21 31 16.5	20-21 13-21 31 16-17	3 1 3 2	17 18 4 10 23
NOS.					76			77	14			39			53	270
SPP.					20			20	5			11			12	23
e Catch/Hour					3.2			20							7 E	
A TIC ; iaf s	Saturnum lineatus itus CKSMITHS icans tipinnis cksoni urcatus vacca toxotes n argenteum OS. PP.	saturnum Black croaker lineatus White croaker itus Queenfish CKSMITHS icans Opaleye tipinnis Blacksmith cksoni Black perch wacca Pile perch toxotes Fubberlip surfperch n argenteum Walleye surfperch OS. PP. Catch/Hour Catch/Hour	saturnum Black croaker lineatus White croaker itus Queenfish CKSMITHS iccans Opaleye tipinnis Blacksmith cksoni Black perch wacca pile perch toxotes Rubberlip surfperch n argenteum Walleye surfperch OS. PP. Catch/Hour Cake	saturnum Black croaker lineatus White croaker itus Queenfish CKSMITHS iccans Opaleye tipinnis Blacksmith cksoni Black perch wacca Pile perch toxotes Rubberlip surfperch n argenteum Walleye surfperch OS. PP. Catch/Hour Catch/Hour	Saturnum Black croaker lineatus White croaker itus Queenfish CKSMITHS iccans Opaleye tipinnis Blacksmith cksoni Black perch wacca Pile perch toxotes Rubberlip sufferch n argenteum Walleye sufferch OS. PP. Catch/Hour	saturnum Black croaker lineatus White croaker 3 itus Queenfish 3 CKSMITHS 3 cksoni Blacksmith cksoni Black perch 2 urcatus White seaperch 3 vacca Pile perch 2 toxotes Rubberlip surfperch 4 n argenteum Walleye surfperch 17 OS. 76 PP. 20 Catch/Hour 3.2	saturnum Black croaker 3 17 lineatus White croaker 3 18.5 itus Queenfish 3 18.5 CKSMITHS	Saturnum Black croaker 3 17 15.5-18.5 lineatus White croaker 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 CKSMITHS 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 cksoni Blacksmith 2 35 34-36 cksoni Black perch 2 16 16 cksoni Black perch 3 17 15-19 urcatus White seaperch 5 14.7 11-18.5 vacca File perch 2 20.5 17-24 toxotes Rubberlip surfperch 4 26.1 23.5-29 n argenteum Walleye surfperch 17 14.2 10-17 OS. 76 PP. 20 20	Saturnum lineatus itusBlack croaker white croaker Queenfish31715.5-18.53318.517.5-19.5318.517.5-19.53CKSMITHSicans clansOpaleye Blacksmith23534-362cksoni urcatus white seaperch vacca Rubberlip surfperch n argenteum Walleye surfperch31715-193cksoni urcatus rotoxies Rubberlip surfperch n argenteum31715-1933chrome vacca rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies31715-1933chrome rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies31715-1933chrome rotoxies rotoxies31715-1933cost rotoxies rotoxies31715-1933cost rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies31715-193cost rotoxies rotoxies rotoxies31717-242cost rotoxies1714.210-1717cost rotoxies76772020cost rotoxies20202020	Saturnum Black croaker white croaker gueenfish 3 17 15.5-18.5 3 itus gueenfish 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 CKSMITHS	Saturnum lineatus itus QueenfishBlack croaker white croaker Queenfish31715.5-18.53318.517.5-19.5318.517.5-19.53CKSMITHSicans clans tipinnisOpaleye Blacksmith23534-362 22cksoni wrcatus white seaperch toxotes n argenteum walleye surfperch31715-19311105.76771420205504.14 fr1920514.714.7	saturnum lineatus itus Black croaker Queenfish 3 17 15.5-18.5 3 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 CKSMITHS 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 cicans Opaleye 2 35 34-36 2 tipionis Blacksmith 2 16 16 2 8 14-20 cksoni Black perch 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 vacca pile perch 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 vacca pile perch 2 20.5 17-24 2 2 21-25 n argenteum walleye surfperch 4 26.1 23.5-29 4 2 2 21-25 OS. 76 77 14 14.2 10-17 17 14 PP. 20 20 5 5 5 5 5	saturnum lineatus itus Black croaker white croaker gueenfish 3 17 15.5-18.5 3 1 CKSMITHS 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 1 1 cksoni urcatus white seaperch wacca ragenteum walleye surfperch 2 35 34-36 2 8 14-20 cksoni urcatus wacca n argenteum walleye surfperch 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 0S. 76 77 14 39 PP. 20 5 11 39	saturnum lineatus itus Black croaker Queenfish 3 17 15.5-18.5 3 1 17 CKSMITHS 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 1 17 CKSMITHS 2 35 34-36 2 2 8 14-20 3 34.3 cksoni Black perch urcatus 2 35 34-36 2 8 14-20 3 34.3 cksoni Black perch urcatus 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 20.5 cksoni Black perch urcatus 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 20.5 oxotes n argenteum White seaperch walleye surfperch 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 20.5 os. 7 14.7 17-18.5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 20.5 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 5 1 1 1 1<	saturnum lineatus itus Black croaker Queenfish 8 29.4 27-31 1 17 15.5-18.5 3 1 17 1 1 17 15.5-19.5 3 1 17 CKSMITHS 2 25 34-36 2 2 8 14-20 3 34.3 31-37 cksoni Black perch tipianis Black perch 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 20.5 20-21 cksoni Black perch tipianis 3 17 15-19 3 1 11 11 2 20.5 20-21 a 3 16 13-21 1 3 16 13-21 16 13-21 1 121 1 121 1 121 1	saturnum lineatus itus Black croaker White croaker Queenfish 3 17 15.5-18.5 3 1 1 17 1 CKSMITHS 3 18.5 17.5-19.5 3 1 17 1

.

.

,,

•

White croakers (Genyonemus lineatus) were captured only on the east side and an unidentified species of rockfish was captured only on the south side.

7. Natural Bottom Survey Results.

Figure 3 shows the location of the natural bottom transect and a sampling stations for sediment infauna. Dominant epibiota (organisms on the surface of rocks or sediments) and substrate type encountered along this transect are shown in Figure 21. In general, the deeper areas of the transect, which are representative of the natural bottom existing before the island was constructed, are predominantly sedimentary (sandy silt grading into silty sand in the shoreward direction). On the basis of diver observations, it may be stated that the biomass, numbers, and variety of epibiota encountered visually over natural bottom areas are much lower than that of epibiota oberved on the rock revetments of the island. Although rocky areas exist in the shallower parts of the transect, the biota they support was observed to be of lower abundance and variety than the biota occurring at corresponding depths on the island. The macrophytic algae band is broader over the transect than on the island; however, zonation in general is much less distinct over the natural bottom transect than over the island's revetments. A more detailed account of biota and habitat types observed along this transect is provided in Appendix E.

The results of analysis of the sedimentary infauna samples are summarized in Table 4 (data on grain-size distributions for the two sediments sampled are given in App. F). A total of 62 species was encountered in the six samples. Disregarding sample 4 (a part of which was lost), polychaetes accounted for 35 percent of the wet weight biomass and 50 percent of the taxa present in the samples taken collectively.

Diversity, as represented by Simpson's Index, was relatively uniform and high, averaging about 0.93 for the five complete samples. These high numbers reflect the relatively even distribution of individuals among the species present and the fact that the proportion of total individuals accounted for by any single species is small in these samples.

The biomass values, which averaged approximately 0.7 gram per sample, convert to approximately 14 grams per 0.25 square meter of sedimentary bottom. Even considering the added contribution of epifaunal biomass, the quantitative samples indicate that the biomass of natural bottom habitats is much lower overall than that of the rock revetments of the island (see Tables D-1 and D-2). Also, the number of species encountered during limited sampling of natural bottom areas is much less than recorded on the rock habitats of the island.




Figure 21. Dominant biota and substrate type along natural bottom transect. (Depth contours in feet below MLLW.)

	Table	4.	Biota	of	natural	bottom	sediment	samples		
Station No. Grab sample <u>Taxon</u>	replic: Depth	ate i (m):	No.	1 1 13		1 2 13.7	1 3 13.7	2 11 10.7	2 2 10.7'	2 3 10.7
PLATYHELMIN Unid. fla							1			
NEMERTINA Nemertear Nemertear Nemertear	1 sp. # 1 sp. #	2 3			2	1 1	1 1 1		3	1
MOLLUSCA Pelecypoda Axinopsid Thracia c Naculana	la seric surta ² sp. (ju	uv.)				2	2 1 1		1	
Macoma sp SIPUNCULIDA Unid. sig					1	1	1		1 · 2	1
ANNELIDA					-	-	-		2	-
Polychaeta Driloneri Lumbriner Sigambra	s falca is sp.		- 2		1 1 2	2 2	1			1
Haploscol Spiophane Tauberia	oplos : s miss.	mex: ione	icanus		2 1 6	5 1	2			
Axiothell Cossura c Unid. Pol	a sp. andida				2 3 1	2				
Tharyx sp Ampharete Notomastu	e labro	ps			8 1 1	2 1 3	2 1 3		l	1
Glycera s Loimia me Scoloplos	dusa	er			1 1		1		1	1
S. acmece Mediomast Protodorv	us cal. illea	ifo grad	cniens: cilis	is			1		3 1 2	1 2 2
Sthenelar Pista fas Glycera c Ancistros Nephthys Polydora	ciata apitata yllis caecoid	a hama				2 1 1	3 1 1 1 1	l		
Amaena oc Polycirru Prionospi Praxiella Diopatra Spiochaet	cidenta s perp. o nr. n affin ornata	lexu malı is j	ıs mgreni pacific				_		1 1 1 1 2	
Typosylli See for	s hyal	ina			ble.				1	

See footnotes at end of table.

i

•

Table 4. Biota of natural bottom sediment samples .-- Continued.

Station No. Grab sample replicate No.: <u>Taxon</u> Depth (m):	1 1 13.7	1 2 13.7	1 3 13.7	2 11 10.7'	2 2 10.7	2 3 10.7
ARTHROPODA CRUSTACEA AMPHIPODA Ampelisca cristata Paraphoxus obtusidiens Synchelidium sp. Monoculodes cf. hartmanae Aorides columbiae Metaphoxus frequens Paraphoxus heterocuspidatus	1			2 2 1 1	2 1 1	1
DECAPODA <i>Cancer</i> sp. (juv.) Pinnotheridae (juv.)	l		1		1	1
CUMACEA Diastylopsis tenuis Cyclaspis sp. ³ Hemilamprops californica Lamprops cf. carinata	1		1	6 2 2	1	3
OSTRACODA Asteropella sp.						1
ISOPODA Serolis carinata						1
ECHINODERMATA OPHIUROIDEA Amphiodia digitata A. sp. (juv.) Amphipholis squamata Amphiodia occidentalis		1 2	1 4			
HOLOTHUROIDEA Unid. holothurian					1	·
CHORDATA CEPHALOCHORDATA Branchiostoma californiens	е		1		5	
Total species	20	17	25	7	23	14
Total individuals	38	30	35	16	35	20
Simpson's index of diversity ⁴	0.94	0.92	0.95	0.79	0.94	0.91
Wet weight (gm) Polychaetes	0.42	0.19	0.19	0.01	0.22	0.18
Total	0.44	0.72	1.20	0.02	0.86	0.22

۰.

 $\frac{1}{A} \text{ part of this sample was lost}$ ²A hard bottom-type species.
³Undescribed species
⁴D = 1 - $\sum_{1=1}^{S} (p_1)^2$

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Rincon Island's rock revetments offer a diversity of habitat features for a great variety of marine species which do not occur in adjacent natural bottom areas. This study added 160 taxa of macrobiota to the master species list for the island, bringing the total to 458.

Extensive beds of mollusk shells lie at the bases of the three sides of the island most exposed to wave action. The bed on the west (seaward-facing) side is the most extensive; it is composed primarily of shells of the California mussel, *Mytilus californianus*. The volume of shell on the north and south sides combined are an order of magnitude less than on the west-side bed. Species other than mussels characterize these beds. Shell accumulations are lacking along the flanks of the east (landward) side.

Densities of 53 common taxa occurring in permanent transects on each of the four sides of the island were analyzed for seasonal variability. About three-fourths of these showed statistically significant variation. This was the case for most of the algae tested and generally for ectoprocts, sea urchins, and certain worms, coelenterates, and sponges.

Thirteen major species associations were provisionally identified on the basis of dominant biotic components. Detailed charts of the boundaries of these associations, referenced to permanent features on the island, were prepared from field measurements of depths and distances. Sharpness of the boundaries generally decreases with depth. In general, the associations are continuous horizontally around the island and grade into one another vertically.

Statistical analysis of species abundance and biomass data from each of the 13 preliminary major species associations provided a basis for final characterization of associations. Five of the preliminary 13 associations could not be differentiated statistically. Combination of these and addition of one association resulted in a total of nine distinctly different major species associations. An association dominated by acorn barnacle and limpet biomass encircles the island in the uppermost part of the intertidal. Below this on the west side lies a mussel-gooseneck barnacle association, which exceeds all other associations in biomass per unit area. Small pockets of an intertidal anemone association are found on the southeast wing. Starting at about the MLLW line and extending a few meters down the revetments, a macrophytic algae association is found on all but the east sides. Below this is a broad zone characterized by encrusting and filamentous algae and a species of polychaete worm. The deeper parts of the revetments are characterized by an association dominated by ectoprocts, colonial anemones, corals, and gorgonians. Talus beds

with high densities of tube worms and tube anemones separate the deep associations from natural bottom on all sides except the east side. Two associations are unique to the east side. The shallower of the two is composed almost entirely of large barnacles and encrusting algae. The deeper association has high densities of certain species of red algae.

Twenty-three species of fishes were captured in gill nets placed on all four sides of the island. Rockfish, surfperch, toadfish, and swell sharks dominated the catch. Nets on the west (most exposed) side yielded the highest catch (numbers and species) during daytime sets. The east-side nets had the highest catches in the combined day-night sets.

The biota along a transect over natural bottom from near the island to shore were considerably lower in abundance or density and in number of species relative to biota at corresponding depths on the island's revetments. This was especially the case for sedimentary bottom in deeper water where the island is situated. Samples of natural sediments were dominated by polychaete worms (35 percent of biomass and 50 percent of species), small crustaceans, clams, ribbon worms, and brittle stars.

The construction of Rincon Island has had a major beneficial effect on local ecological conditions. The quarry rock and tetrapod construction materials offer habitat features which are not found in a natural sedimentary bottom area. The solid substratum is colonized by a high diversity of encrusting and attached biota. Many of these are habitat-forming species in the sense that they provide shelter and food for additional species. High vertical relief and vast amounts of interstitial space attract many species of fishes which are seldom or never encountered over sedimentary bottom areas.

LITERATURE CITED

- BLUME, J.A., and KEITH, J.M., "Rincon Offshore Island and Open Causeway," Journal of the Waterways and Harbors Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 85, No. WW3, Sept. 1959, pp. 61-93.
- BUCHANAN, C.C., "A Comparison of Sport Fishing Statistics from Man-Made and Natural Habitats in the New York Bight," Coastal Plains Center for Marine Development Services, Seminar Series, No. 1, 1972, pp. 27-37.
- CARLISLE, J.G., Jr., TURNER, C.H., and EBERT, E.E., "Artificial Habitat in the Marine Environment," Fish Bulletin 124, The Resources Agency of California, Department of Fish and Game, Long Beach, California, 1964.
- CHAN, G.L., "Subtidal Mussel Beds in Baja California With a New Record Size for *Mytilus californianus*, *The Veliger*,", Vol. 16, 1973, pp. 239-240.
- COLUNGA, L., and STONE, R. eds., Proceedings of an International Conference on Artificial Reefs, Center for Marine Resources, Texas A & M University; National Marine Fisheries Service; and Texas Coastal and Marine Council, Houston, Tex., 1974.
- DAMES & MOORE, "A Study of the Performance of Certain Artificial Islands on the Pacific Coast of the United States," Report No. 2443-079-10, Los Angeles, Calif., 1974.
- FAGER, E.W., "Patterns in Development of a Marine Community," Limnology and Oceanography, Vol. 16, No. 2, Mar. 1971, pp. 241-253.
- KEITH, J.M., and SKJEI, "Engineering and Ecological Evaluation of Artificial-Island Design, Rincon Island, Punta Gorda, California," TM-43, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Mar. 1974.
- NORTH, W.J., "Ecology of the Rocky Nearshore Environment in Southern California and Possible Influences of Discharged Wastes," International Conference on Water Pollution Research, London, Sept. 1962, pp. 247-273.
- PAINE, R.T., "Biological Observations on a Subtidal Mytilus californianus Bed," The Veliger, Vol. 19, 1976, pp. 125-130.

- SNEATH, P.H.A., and SOKAL, R.R., Numerical Taxonomy: the Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification, Freeman & Company, San Francisco, 1973.
- SOKAL, R.R., and ROHLF, F.J., Biometry, the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research, Freeman & Company, San Francisco, 1969.
- SOOT-RYEN, T., "A Report on the Family Mytilidae (Pelecypoda)," Allen Hancock Pacific Expedition, Vol. 20, No. 1, University of Southern California Press, Los Angeles, Calif., 1955.
- SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATER RESEARCH PROJECT, 1976 Annual Report, El Segundo, Calif., 1976, pp. 179-186.
- STONE, R.B., "Artificial Reefs of Waste Material for Habitat Improvement," Marine Pollution Bulletin, Vol. 3, No. 2, Feb. 1972, pp. 27-28.
- STONE, R.B., "Artificial Reefs and Coastal Fishery Resources," Proceedings of the 10th Space Congress, Canaveral Council of Technical Societies, 1973, pp. 2-19.
- STONE, R.B., BUCHANAN, C.C., and PARKER, R.O., "Expansion and Evaluation of an Artificial Reef of Murrells Inlet, S.C.," Final Report, Coastal Plains Regional Commission, Washington, D.C., 1973, p. 55.
- STONE, R.B., BUCHANAN, C.C., and STEIMLE, F.W., Jr., "Scrap Tires as Artificial Reefs," Report SW-119, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., 1974.
- TATE, M.W., and CLELLAND, R.C., Nonparametric and Shortcut Statistics in the Social, Biological, and Medical Sciences, Interstate, Danville, Ill., 1957.
- TURNER, C.H., EBERT, E.E., and GIVEN, R.R., "Man-made Reef Ecology," Fish Bulletin 146, The Resources Agency of California, Department of Fish and Game, Long Beach, Calif., 1969.

APPENDIX A

DETAILED METHODOLOGY

1. Details of Talus Bed Measurement and Data Processing Methodology.


An initial dive was made to calibrate depth gages of all divers and to verify criteria for use in determining the inshore and offshore boundaries of the talus bed. The north side had an irregular fill base (where rock and talus meet), and a heavy sediment overburden downslope which made the talus boundary difficult to determine.

Using a steel tape, a metered line, and an underwater slate, one diver made the first measurement of the rock revetment, holding the free end of the 30.5-meter steel tape on an azimuth perpendicular to the cardinal side. When the diver reached the end of the rock revetment (beginning of the talus bed), the depth, distance, and time were recorded. Three divers then swam to the first diver's location. Measurements were taken on the cardinal sides between the points where the angle of the side changed direction (beginning of "wing" of the island). The first team of two divers measured the talus bed width (inner to outer margin) by having one diver hold the free end of a 50-meter line (marked) in meter intervals) while the sedond diver swam along the perpendicular azimuth to the outer edge of the talus bed. At this point the second diver recorded depth, time, and distance. The first diver was then signaled to join the second diver at the outer edge. The pair then measured the outer edge of the talus along the entire length of the side, using the method discussed below. A second team of two divers measured the talus along the inner edge.


Swimming along an azimuth parallel to the side, one diver deployed the steel tape along the inner or outer edge of the talus bed (the second diver held the free end of the tape and remained at the start point) until a change in depth (+0.15 meter) or direction (+10^o) was noted. At that point the first diver stopped, noted distance swum, depth, and time. The second diver was then signaled to swim to the first diver. From this point the first diver swam up the revetment to the waterline. At the waterline, the diver noted distance and time. He then returned to the bottom where the second diver was waiting. The width of the talus bed was measured from this point to the outer edge where again time, depth, and distance were recorded. The first diver returned to the second diver and repeated the process, moving along the cardinal side. The team on the outer edge used an identical method except that team measured the width of the talus bed from the outer to inner edge. Each time a talus width was measured, the corresponding distance up the revetment (waterline to inner edge of talus bed) was This method allowed multiple points of measurement and measured. allowed divers to observe changes at the outside and inside limits of the bed.

The following diagrams illustrate the methodology used for charting the talus beds.


(1) Line of measured distance (waterline to talus bed-revetment bor der) (d^1) and width of talus bed (d^2) was drawn on quadrangle paper (1 cm = 2.4m)




(2) The next line (distance between revetment measurement points) was then plotted in the form of circle with that distance (d^3) as the radius.




(3) The length of the second revetment measurement (d^4) was then plotted to where it intersected the circle. This gave the distance between measurements at the waterline (d^5) which could be converted for three-dimensional diagraming.




(4) The second talus bed length (d^6) was then plotted as shown



- (5) This methodology was continued along the entire side until a planar view of that side was constructed.
- (6) To show these data in three-dimensional diagram, the planar diagram was converted to a series of triangles using d¹ as the hypotenus of the revetment and d² as the hypotenus of the talus. Depths (height) were converted to MLLW by adding or subtracting the number of meters difference according to time (e.g., at 1330 hours, 15 October 1976 tide at Rincon Island (Ventura) was +0.76 meter; thus, 0.76 meter would be subtracted from the height of the "revetment triangle").



(7) The series of triangles was then placed in perspective by converting the distance between measurements (d^5) to a distance 0.71 times d^5 . The 0.71 conversion allowed a three-dimensional depiction of these triangles scaled to the total side of the island. $(0.71 = \sin \text{ of a right triangle} = 1/\sqrt{2})$



2. Permanent Transects Seasonal Data Analysis Methods.

The master species list for the seasonal surveys included 250 taxonomic categories (70 were marine algae and 180 were marine invertebrate taxa). From this master list 24 taxa of marine algae and 30 taxa of invertebrates were selected for special study of seasonal variability. Proportionately, more algal taxa were used than invertebrate taxa, because seasonal effects are often well pronounced among algae, especially reds (Rhodophyta). The only algal taxa omitted from the analysis were those of uncertain identity or which (a) occurred in low density, and (b) were found on only one side and during only one season. The number of invertebrate taxa selected for analysis was in part dictated by data-handling considerations. Even when unidentified taxa were eliminated, the amount of data remaining was formidable. Many of these taxa were observed at such low frequencies as to be of little value in any seasonal analysis. Either these species are uncommon on the island; the transects missed their centers of abundance; or, if they were seasonally abundant, their peaks in abundance did not overlap the sampling periods. Many taxa were observed only once (i.e., in only one quadrat). It is assumed that most if not all of the singular-occurrence taxa and most of the low-frequency taxa were generally uncommon on the island. Observations elsewhere on the island during other times of the year (i.e., during reconnaissance diving, measurement of boundaries of associations, and biomass measurements) tend to corroborate this. For these reasons, these rarely encountered taxa were excluded from the seasonal analysis.

For the 54 taxa selected for the seasonal effects analysis, additional analysis was necessary to maximize data utility. A bias factor existed if a particular species occurred over a limited part of a permanent transect, and its density was calculated by dividing total abundance by n, the total number of quadrat samples taken in the permanent transect. This provided a value for mean density over the entire island; however, this would be justified only for species ubiquitously distributed (i.e., over the entire length of the transect). The distribution of only one species, the starfish, Patiria miniata, approaches this (see Figs. 17 to 20). A better approach would be to divide total abundance by the number (n) of quadrats where the species may reasonably be expected to occur, and express mean density with reference to the parts of the island over which the species actually occurs (or those associations of which it is a member). Mean densities of each species may be more meaningfully compared to resolve seasonal differences using this approach. Briefly, the mechanics of this data processing operation involved scanning the raw data tables to bracket the upper and lower occurrence limits for each species and then logging onto computer keypunch forms the frequency of every density value observed (including zero density values for quadrats lacking a given species, but falling within its range of occurrence).

Before the data were subjected to parametric statistical analysis, it was necessary to perform data transformations to normalize the data. For species whose densities were recorded as percentage coverage, the values were transformed to angles through the use of the arcsine transformation (θ = arcsin $\sqrt{\rho}$, where ρ is a proportion). This transformation rendered a distribution of percentages or proportions more nearly normal by stretching out both tails of the distribution and compressing the middle values (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969). Numerical densities were subjected to the square root transformation. Because zero values were frequent in the data, the computer was programed to add 0.5 to all values before data transformation. The transformation was then of the form $\sqrt{Y} + \frac{1}{2}$ (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969).

The actual calculations of the means used all the raw data for variances to be calculated for each of the 54 taxa examined. Seasonal means (data for all four sides lumped) were first tested for significant differences by performing an F test (variance ratio test) to determine whether variances for two seasons under comparison were equal. If the F test was nonsignificant (variances probably equal), the following student's t test for differences between seasonal means was applied (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969):

7ł

$$t_{s} = \frac{(\overline{Y}_{1} - \overline{Y}_{2}) - (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{2}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}}}}$$

with $n_1 = n_2$ 2 df. When significant F ratios were found, indicating disparate variances, an approximate t test was used (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969):

$$t'_{s} = \frac{(\overline{Y}_{1} - \overline{Y}_{2}) \quad (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})}{\sqrt{\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{S_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}$$

Summary data for all 54 species selected for seasonal analysis are presented in Table B-1. For each species, this table presents transformed and untransformed means, standard deviations, transformed variances, transformed range data, and an indication of whether the F and t tests are significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

These values are tabulated for each of the four seasons with data combined for all four sides, and for each of the four sides with data combined for all four seasons. Side differences were not tested for significance.

Note that the values in Table B-1 of Appendix B for mean densities for each species refer to their abundance only over the parts of the island wherein the species may reasonably be expected to occur--not over the entire extent of the island revetments.

Because of the lack of data during two seasons for the west-side macrophytic algae, *Mytilus-Pollicipes*, and barnacle-limpet zones, special consideration was required for the species that occurred in these zones. These included most of the algae species and the following invertebrates: *Anthopleura* sp., *Lottia gigantea*, *Mytilus californianus*, and *Pisaster ochraceus*. For these species, means for seasons 1 and 4 were compared since data from seasons 2 and 3 were questionable. A rerun of the entire analysis for all these species resulted in changes from significant to nonsignificant (at the 95percent confidence level) for only four species: *Laurencia pacifica*, *Rhodoglossum affine*, *Lottia gigantea*, and *Pisaster ochraceus*. No species changed from nonsignificant to significant with the reanalysis.

3. <u>Methodology for Preparation of Figures 9 to 12 and Appendix C</u> Figures C-3 to C-6 (Boundaries of Major Associations).

ARCO Drawing No. CE-1-8, dated 3 March 1965, was used as a base chart for plotting field-acquired data on boundaries of species associations. Different tide levels were shown on the drawing for four different parts of the island; these levels corresponded to times when measurements were taken over the four parts of the island. Spot measurements taken between fixed reference points and the waterline (which was not at MLLW) at times of corresponding tidal heights agreed well with the distances represented on the drawing.

The first step was to adjust the waterline to MLLW. This was done by dividing the tidal height (e.g., +1.2 meters MLLW) by the tangent of the side-slope angle. The slope angle for each side was determined by averaging data obtained during the talus bed measurement phase of this project (see Figs. 5 to 8). The resulting MLLW line is as it would appear if observed directly from some altitude above the island. True distances measured down the slope of each side may be determined using the scale provided on each island sector chart (Figs. 9 to 12 and Figs. C-3 to C-6).

Next, distances measured from fixed reference points at the top edge of the island to the upper limit of the splash zone (barnaclelimpet association) were trigonometrically corrected for slope and plotted. The width of the zone bounded at the top by the barnaclelimpet line and at the bottom by the MLLW line (representing the main part of the intertidal zone) was uniform around the island, providing a positive check on accuracy of the waterline shown on the drawing. Only 2 of the 15 points showed discrepancies. One on the south side was off by about 1.2 meters, and the decision was made to redraw the MLLW line at this point to maintain width uniformity for the intertidal. The other, on the west side, was off by almost 6.1 meters (the measurement during this study indicated a shorter distance). This discrepancy may be due to movements of tetrapods in response to wave forces since the 1965 drawing (a semisubmerged tetrapod lies just seaward of the "first" waterline); or the difference may be a result of the manner in which the measuring tape was laid over the tetrapods (i.e., a greater distance would result if the tape were placed over the highest points on the tetrapods).

The top margin of the barnacle-limpet zone served as the reference point for all distance measurements taken during the association mapping phase of the project. Distances to association boundaries measured down the slope of each side of the island were multiplied by the sine of the average slope for each side. These corrected distances were plotted in Figures 9 to 12 and Figures C-3 to C-6.

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY DATA, SURVEY OF PERMANENT SEASONAL TRANSECTS

74

•

ì

Table B-1. Summary data: seasonal surveys of permanent transects.

				RANSFORM			UNTRANS					
SPECIES	CON SEASON	MAX 3	MIN ⁴	5**25	s.DEv.6	MEAN	S.DEV.	MEAN	, 7	<u>r</u> 8	<u>،</u> ۲	Species
1	1 1	.524	0.000	.003	.053	.005	2.525	.255	4ð.	-	(5) =	f.Enteromorpha sp.
i 1	1 2 1 3 1 4	0.000 0.000 0.000	94. 90. 42.									
1	1 5 1 6	0.000	u.000 0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	H8.			
î	1 7	.524	0.000	-002	.050	.005	0.000 ≤.384	.227	159°			
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	48.			
	COMBINED	•524	0.000	.001	.027	•001	1.293	.067	374.			
2	1 1 1 2	.464	0.000	.007	.084	.026	2.801	•735	98.	8	N S	Ulva sp.
2	1 3	.580	0.000	.004	.060	.005	J.128	.144	42.			
	1 4	.464	0.000	.00J	.051	.008	2.095	.250	42.			
2	15 16	.322 .071	0.000	.002 .000	.041 .007	+003 +001	1.103 .062	.165 .00H	48. 128.			
2	1 7	.580 0.000	0.000	.011 0.000	.103 0.000	.033 0.000	4.223 6.000	1.09R 0.000	112.			
	COMBINED	.580	u.000	.004	.061	.016	2.407					
	CONSTRUCT				*001	• • • • •	2,407	• JOH	376.			
6	1 1	.685	0.000	.109	.330	. 423	20.616	22.500	4.	-	(5)	Codium fragile
6	1 2	.464	0.000	.054 0.000	.232	.348 0.000	10.000 v.000	15.000 0.000	4. 8.			· · · ·
6	ī Ā	.685	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	U.000	0.000	з.			
6	1 5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.			
6 6	1 6 1 7	.685 0.000	0.000	.066	.257	.162 0.000	13.572 0.000	7.895	14.			
6	1 8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.			
	COMBINED	.685	0.000	.066	.257	•162	13,572	7.895	19.			
11	1 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	u.000	0.000	U.000	v.000	30.		(5)	Cystoseira osmundacea
11	1 2 1 3	.142 0.000	0.000	.001 0.000	000.0	.008 0.000	.3d6 0.000	.089 0.000	28. 28.			-,
11	1 4	,247	0.000	.002	.048	.009	1.177	.231				
11	1 5 1 6	.247	0.000	200. 000.0	.044 J.000	.009	1.051	.188 0.000	32.			
11 11	1 7	.142	0.000	.001	.023	.005	.302	.054	20.			
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	14.			
	COMBINED	.247	0.000	•001 ·	.028	-004	.597	.076	112.			
12	2 1 2 2	2.739 1.225	0.000	.230	.479 .098	.715	1.291	.300 .036	30. 28.	g	WS	Egrogia menziesii
12	2 3 2 4	1.225	.707	.010	.098	.7?5	.189	.036	26.			
					.102	151.	•194	.03A	26.			
12 12	2 5 2 6	1.225	.707 0.000	•037 •084	.191 .290	•78H •566	.369 U.000	.156 0.000	-32. 20.			
12 12	27	.707	•707 •707	•000 •295	.000	.707 .852	0.000 1.871	0.000 .500	45. 14.			
•-	COMBINED	2.739	0.000	.067	.259	.723		.107	112.			
16 16	1 1 1 2	0.000 .560	0.000	0.000	0.000 .104	0.000 .077	u.000 4.240	0.000 1.580	0. 56.		(5)	Unid. juvenile Leminariales
16 16	i 3 1 4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	U.000 U.000	0.000	0.			
							0.000					
16 16	1 5 1 6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.00U 0.00U	U.000	0.000 0.000	ů. Q.			
16 16	1 7	.580	0.000	.011	-104 U.000	.07/	4.240 0.000	1.580	56. 0.			
	COMBINED		0.000	.011	.104	.077	4.240	1.580	50.			
20	1 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	30.		(S)	Dictyota flabellata
20 20	1 2 1 3	.100 0.000	0.000	.000 0.000	.019 0.000	.004	.189 0.000	.035 0.000	2H. 26.			
20	i i	.464	0.000	.008	.091	.018	3.922	.749	20.			
20	1 5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	U.000	0.000	32.			
20 20	1 6	0.000 .100	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 .147	000.0	20. 46.			
20	1 8	.464	0.000	.015	•124	.033	5.345	1.429	14.			
	COMBINED	.464	0.000	.002	.045	.005	1.841	•188	112.			

See footnotes at end of table.

٩.

	,			RANSFORM			UNTRANS					
SPECIES	CON SIDE 2	MAX 3	MIN 4	5++> 5	s.DEv.6	MEAN	5.DEv.	MEAN	_م 7	<u></u> 8 <u>r</u>	9 ٤	Species
37 37 37 37	1 1 1 2, 1 3 1 4	.142 .071 .174 0.000	U.000 0.00U 0.000 U.000	001 000 001 0000	•025 •017 •025 0•000	.005 .004 .005 0.000	.342 .122 .354 v.000	005 031 066 000	104. 112. 105. 112.		(5)	Peyssonellia sp.
37 37 37 37 37	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 COMBINED	•142 •174 •071 •142 •174	0.000	.000 .001 .000 .000 .000	.021 .026 .010 .018	.007 .005 .001 .003 .003	.235 .385 .070 .204 .256	.045 .071 .010 .031 .040	48. 170. 192. 174. 438.			
39 39 39 39	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.322 .354 .464 .785	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.004 .002 .007 .015	.064 .049 .061 .121	.014 .007 .023 .033	1.877 1.664 2.954 0.706	•403 •231 •667 1•347	42. 40. 42.	5	NS	Prionitis lanceolata
39 39 39 39	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Consined	.785 .201 0.000 .322 .785	0.000	010. 002 0.000 002	.098 .045 0.000 .050 .055	020. 010. 010. 010. 010. 010.	4.779 .894 U.000 1.411 3.945	•19 •200 •0.000 •242 •680	154. 20. 230.			
40 40 40	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.524 .100 0.000 .322	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	220. 000.00 110.	.149 .050 0.000 .104	.053 .025 0.000 .049	6.575 .500 0.000 4.687	2.18A .250 0.000 1.214	16. 4. 16. 14.	NS	NS	Rhodoglo ssum affine
40 40 40	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.524 .100 0.000 0.000 .524	0.000	.015 .001 0.000 0.000 .010	• 121 • 024 • 0.000 • 0.000 • 0.000	.045 0.000 0.000 0.000	4.844 .736 0.000 0.000 	1.529 .056 0.000 0.000 1.019)#. 0. 0.			
41 41 41 41	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.322	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 .002 .003 .017	0.000 .049 .057 .129	0.000 .012 .013 .045	0.000 1.234 1.825 6.543	0.000 .245 .323 1.668	124. 106. 116.		(S)	Rhodymenia sp.
41 41 41 41	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 9 Combined	.785	0.000	000. 100. 500. 000.0	.080 .025 .091 0.000 	.014 .004 .027 0.000 .017	4.024 .516 4.141 0.000 	.589 .070 .820 0.000 .556	112. 128. 222. U.			
42 42 42 42	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	0.000	U.000 U.00U 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 .012 0.000	u.000 u.000 .107 u.000	0.000 0.000 .914 0.000	U.000 U.000 7.477 U.000	0.000 0.000 .743 0.000	124. 185. 115.		(S)	Rhodymenia californica
42 42 42 42	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.012 U.000 0.000 0.000 .000	•109 U.000 U.000 U.000 U.000	.015 0.000 0.000 0.000 	1.609 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.821 0.000 0.000 0.000	112. 12H. 222. 0.			
44 44 44	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.005 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	•073 0•000 0•000 0•000	840. 600.0 600.0	4./04 0.000 0.000 0.000	.454 U.000 U.U00 U.000	ен. 70. 72. 74.		(S)	<i>Stenogramme</i> ap.
44 44 44	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 9 Comuined	0.000		000 000 000 000 000 000 000	.084 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	010 0000 0000 0000	4.924 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.606 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	50. 124. 41. 514.			
45 45 45 45	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	.015 0.000 0.000 0.000	.124 0.000 0.000 0.000	.045 8.000 8.000 8.000 9.009	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.621 0.000 0.000 0.000	47. 78. 72. 74.		(5)	Stenogramma interrupta
45 45 45 45	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.322 (U.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 U.000	0.000 .001 .015 .004 .005	0.000 .031 .124 .044 .064	0.000 004 044 072 072	U.000 .900 4.650 4.203 	0.000 .044 1.504 .452 .455	4n. 12H. 45. 61. 3nd.			
48 48 48	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	0.000 0	U.000 U.000 0.000 J.000	U.000 0.000 .004 .002	U.000 0.000 .065 .041	0.000 0.000 .017 .005	0.009 U.000 2.464 1.131	0.000 0.000 .432 .171	113. 118. 118. 82.		(5)	cf. Phormidium mp.
48 48 48 48	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Commined	.322 0 .524 0 .100 0	U.000 U.000 U.000 U.000	.001 .002 .004 .000	.026 .041 .060 .911 .037	.005 .008 .008 .001	.373 1.035 2.697 .112 1.340	.069 .169 .337 .012 .151	102. 160. 49. 70.			

	. ETDE /		TRANSFORMED		UNTRANSFO			
SPECIES	CON SEASON		4 5++25 5.JEV.	6 MEAN		MEAN	<u>,</u> ⁷ <u>κ</u> δ <u>τ</u>	9 Species
68 68 68	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.174 0.000 .226 0.000 .398 0.000 .322 0.000	.001 .026 .002 .042 .005 .070 .004 .066	000. 100. 500. 500.	• 307 • 749 1• 700 1• 564	.144 14 .568 13	4. 5 S 99. 19.	Cliona sp.
68 68 68 68	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.226 U.000 .174 0.000 .322 0.000 .398 0.000 .398 0.000	.002 .039 .001 .036 .002 .050 .006 .080	.011 .010 .017 .037	+620 +550 1+072 2+042 1+2+6	.141 17 .271 15 .750 13	10. 	
89 89 89 89	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.226 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 .142 0.000	+003 +052 0+000 -0+000 0+000 -000 +001 +032	.015 0.000 0.000 .007	1.04× 0.000 0.000 447	u.000 -	tu. (S 'n. '4.) Hymenamphiastra Cyanocrypta
89 89 89 89	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.071 U.000 7.000 0.000 .226 0.000 .174 0.000 .226 0.000	.000 .013 0.000 0.000 .001 .038 .001 .037 .001 .03c	.002 0.000 .008 .008	•0AA 0+000 •772 •640 •607	0.000 .146 4 .136 2	42. 0. 	
103 103 103 103	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	3.937 .707 3.536 .707 4.528 .707 4.528 .707	+206 +454 +137 +371 +218 +467 +265 +515	. F05 .747 .795 . F15	1.876 1.391 2.231 2.447	•256 H	na. 5 NS No. No.	Anthopleurs sp.
103 103 103 103	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 COMBINED	1.225 .707 4.528 .707 1.561 .707 2.915 .707 4.528 .707	.010 .090 1.306 1.143 .019 .135 .094 .307 .207 .455	.725 1.263 .734 .777 	.187 5.422 .294 1.025 	2.364 4 .056 12 .197 6	n	
104 104 104 104	1 1 1 7 1 3 1 4	.464 0.000 .247 0.000 .322 0.000 .398 0.000	+005 +077 +003 +054 +005 +074 +003 +090	.026 .024 .037 .039	2.357 1.042 1.659 2.722	.345 14	M. SNS M. 4. U.	Astrangia lajollaensis
104 104 104 104	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 A Combined	.398 0.000 .464 0.000 .398 0.000 .226 0.000	.003 .059 .005 .089 .008 .096 .001 .025 .006 .076	.019 .037 .060 .003	1.567 2.755 2.312 .506 2.607	.891 14 1.144 14 .061 13		
106 106 106 106	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.886 0.000 .464 0.000 1.107 0.000 1.173 0.000	.030 .172 .013 .114 .036 .169 .044 .210	•117 •055 •127 •114	8.92A 4.005 10.705 12.552	1.530 5	₩0• 5 5 50• 72•	Corynactis californica
106 106 106 106	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 R Combined	.322 0.000 1.107 0.000 .785 0.000 1.173 0.000 	.005 .060 .029 .170 .014 .118 .062 .249	.025 .07H .084 .215	1.724 9.426 4.764 15.363 	2.967 14 2.066 16 9.077 1	-8. -6. -6. 	
108 108 108 108	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	2.345 .707 2.345 .707 2.550 .707 2.345 .707	•136 •365 •136 •369 •147 •384 •163 •404	.86d .845 .852 .896	.944 .978 1.074 1.056	.350 10 .371 -	96. NS NS 10. 17.	Lophogorgia chilensis
108 108 108 108	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 Combined	1.581 .707 2.345 .707 2.550 .707 0.000 0.000 2.550 .707	.011 .106 .091 .302 .216 .464 0.000 0.000 .144 .379	.725 .786 .968 0.000 .864	.225 .640 1.244 U.004 1.010	0.000.0 52 209.0 209.0	96. 94. 97. U.	
109 109 109 109	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	2.121 .707 2.739 .707 4.528 .707 3.937 .707	.200 .447 .348 .590 1.502 1.225 .722 .850	.975 1.105 1.450 1.140	1.125 1.604 5.678 3.670	1.059 3	14. 5 5 14. 14. 84.	Murices spp.
109 109 109 109	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 COMBINED	4.528 .707 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.528 .707	.705 .841 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 .000 6.000 .708 .841	1.168 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.168	3.622 0.000 0.000 0.800 3.522	0.000 0.000 0.000	86. U. U. U.	
110 110 110 110	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	1.871 .707 7.121 .707 7.121 .707 1.871 .707	.021 .146 .060 .245 .071 .267 .063 .252	.730 .793 .812 .804	.354 .5d7 .627 .555	·189 1/ •23} 1:	10. S S 16. 14.	Paracyathus stearnsii
110 110 110 110	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 Combined	2.121 .707 1.581 .707 2.121 .707 0.000 0.000 2.121 .707	.0A1 .284 .021 .144 .071 .266 0.000 U.U00 	.793 .737 .817 0.000	.743 .314 .614 U.000	.064 12 .234 cr 0.000	4H. 57. 0. 11.	

					RANSFORM			UNTRANS					
SPECIES		IDE/	2 MAX 3	MIN ⁴	s**? 5	S.DEV.6	MFAN	S.DEV.	MEAN	~ 7 	<u>r</u> 8	<u>.</u> و	Species
128	2	1	.707	.707	.000	• U U U • U 9 7	.707 .725	0.000 .187	0.000 .036	61. H4.		(5)	Anomia peruviana
128 128	2	2 3	1.225 1.225 2.739	.707	.010	•101 •245	.727	.195 .788	•039 •131	102.			Pododesmus cepio
128	2 2	5		.707	.011	•104	.724	.201	.042	119.			
128	2	6	1.225	.707	.000	•000 •184	•707 •738	0.000	U.000 .07H	52.			
128 128	2 2	7 P	2.739	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.			
	COM	HINED	2.734	.707	• U · 1	.143	•730	.414	• 253	337.			
148 148	2	1 2	2.121	.707	.06H	•261 •092	• 818 • 724	.54A .177	•237 •032	144.	s	S	Doriopeille albopunctate
148	2 2	3	1.071	707	.023	• 152 • 244	•734 •795	.346	•070 •191	186.			
148	2	5	1.871	.707	at0.	.190	.763	• 4 2 3	.114	136.			
148 148	2	67	1.581	.707	.015	•124 •163	•736 •752	•248 •343	.056	248.			
148	ž	8	2.121	.707	.074	.273	.#16	.651	.240	250.			
	C0M	BINED	2.151	.707	.041	.204	•770	•466	.134	754.			
153 153	2	1 2	1.871	.707 .707	.042	.205	•775 •707	.444 0.000	.143 0.000	154. 156.		(S)	Kelletia kelletii
153 153	2 2	3	1.871 2.121	.707	.014	•116 •227	.725	.270 .544	.040 .155	176. 145.			
153	2	5	1.871	.707	.030	.173	.748	.347	.090	1 14.			
153 153	2.	67	1.581	.707	.008 .027	.088	•71H •751	•194 •367	•045	132.			
153	2	8	2.121	.707	.035	.186	.755	.437	•105	24H.			
	COM	BINED	5.151	.707	•027	•164	•745	.377	•042	634.			
155	2	1	4.528	.707	1.038	1.019	1.201	4.673	1.960	50.	5	NS	Lottia gigantea
155 155	2 2	2 3	3.674 3.240	.707 .707	•519 •269	•720 •519	•937 •925	2.953 1.7JA	.875 .619	24.			
155	2	4	3.240	•707	.375	•615	•934	2.119	.750	48.			
155 155	2	5	3.082 4.183	.707 .707	.530 1.152	•728 1•073	1.185 1.242	2.423 4.628	1.421 2.295	3h. 44.			
155 155	2 2	7 8	1.225	•707 •707	.009	•097 •775	.725 .919	.187 3.939	•036 •923	56. 20.			
	Сом	BINED	4.528	.707	.577	.760	1.015	3.141	1.104	164.			
157	2	1	1.581	.707	.033	.180	.765	.375	•110	169.	s	8	Negathura crenulata
157	2	2	1.581	.707	.013	•112	•728 •734	.232	•043 •051	142.			
157	2	•	1.581	.707	.022	•150	•746	.311	.075	166.			
157 157	2	5	1.225	.707	.016	•127 •070	•740 •717	•246 •135	•064 •019	171.			
157 157	2	7 8	1.225	.707	.008 .056	•041 •530	.723 .806	•175 •505	.032 .205	222. 132.			
	COM	BINED	1,581	.707	.021	.144	.744	.294	.074	633.			
158 158	2 2	1 2	3.240	.707	.313 0.000	•560	· P61	2.105	•542	24.	s	NS	Nytilus
158 158	2	3	3.808 11.853	707	.437 4.790	0.000	•70/ •848	0.000 <.965	0.000 •636	2.			californianus
158	2	5	11.853	.707	2.860	2•189 1•691	1.180	21.439	5.500	26.			
158 158	2	67	1.871	.707	.117	•343 0•000	1.057 .A13	20.203 .883 0.000	3.417 .273 0.000	44. 22.			
158	2	8	.707	.707	0.000	0.000	0.000 .707	0.000	0.000	0. 4.			
	COM	BINED	11.853	.707	1.891	1.375	.965	16.354	2.297	74.			
159 159	2	1	3.240	.707	.267 0.000	•517 0•000	.813 0.000	∠.041 0.000	•417 0•000	24.		(S)	Nytilus edulis
159 159	2	3	14.509	.707	11.906	3.450 0.000	1.570	52.500 0.000	13.125	9. 16.			
159	2	5	14.509	.707	5.414	2.327	1.161	34.942	6.111	0. 70.			
159 159	2	6 7	.707	.707 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000	.707	U.000 U.U00	0.000	10. 4. U.			
159	2	ห่	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	<i>u</i> .			
	COM	BINED	14,509	.707	4.878	2.204	1+115	33.201	5.500	40.			

1

`

	SIDE (TRANSFORM			UNTHANSP		_		
SPECIES	CON 1 SIDE/	2 MAX 3 MIN	4 s**25	stv.6	NEAN	5.UEV.	MEAN	,7 	<u> </u>	Species
170 170 170 170	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	8.860 .707 8.972 .707 6.364 .707 10.025 .707	3.300	1.550 1.835 1.205 1.767	1.359 1.655 1.275 1.561	11.30× 13.603 0.441 13.645	3.739 7.545 2.580 5.105	203. 176. 176.	S S	Serpulorbis squamigerus
170 170 170 170	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 Combined	8.972 .701 8.860 .701 10.025 .701 6.205 .701 10.025 .701	1.427 2.532 1.202	2.152 1.195 1.591 1.096 1.613	2.187 1.058 1.521 1.169 1.467	10.356 9.157 12.038 6.048 11.679	2.886 2.040 4.335 2.061 	166. 226. 221. 132. 747.		
185 185 185 185	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	7.106 .707 9.925 .707 7.106 .707 7.106 .707	2.560	.995 1.602 1.405 1.235	1.076 1.522 1.301 1.219	6.062 12.621 9.499 8.130	1.642 4.358 3.111 2.500	165.]66.]66.	S S	Diopatra ornata '
185 185 185 185	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 Combined	7.106 .70 7.106 .70 0.000 0.00 9.925 .70 9.925 .70	1.628	1.293 1.276 0.000 1.365 1.334	1.308 1.479 0.000 1.199 1.280	7.773 7.535 0.000 10.491 9.402	2.864 3.295 0.000 2.794 2.913	11. 11. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.		
186 186 186 186	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.785 0.001 .535 0.001 .398 0.001 .685 0.001	007 007	.147 .082 .082 .131	.092 .030 .036 .085	6.576 2.883 2.347 4.944	2.788 .778 .775 2.311	143. 158. 118. 161.	S S	Dodecaceria fewkesi
186 186 186 186	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.685 0.00 .580 0.00 .785 0.00 .524 0.00 .785 0.00	0 .010 0 .018 0 .009	.132 .099 .133 .097 	.0×1 .050 .076 .045	5.211 3.600 5.547 3.532 4.721	2.262 1.154 2.198 1.049 1.735	166. 169. 164. 101. 600.		
187 187 187 187	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	1.871 .70 1.871 .70 1.581 .70 2.121 .70	7 .027 7 .045	.140 .220 .200	.781 .738 .777 .759	.425 .404 .485 .512	•115 •071 •152 •117	130. 126. 112. 128.	S NS	Eudistylia ep.
187 187 197 187	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 combined	2.121 .70 1.871 .70 1.225 .70 1.581 .70 2.121 .70	7 .035 7 .006 7 .022	.242 .194 .076 .149	.780 .754 .715 .748	.546 .457 .147 .304	.167 .106 .022 .082	148. 160. 46. 122. 440.		
200 200 200 200	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.886 0.00 1.173 0.00 .886 0.00 .735 0.00) •036 •024	•110 •189 •156 •113	•034 •097 •059 •043	5.511 11.568 8.252 5.092	1.160 3.47P 2.426 1.331	166. 162. 166. 160.	5 S	Lagenipora punctulata
200 200 200	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 A Combined	.464 0.00 .580 0.00 1.173 0.00 .464 0.00 1.173 0.00	.007	.071 .083 .215 .065	.025 .025 .117 .021	2.145 3.504 12.784 2.064 	.540 .694 5.011 .443 2.045	136. 140. 272. 132.		
201 201 201 201	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.322 0.000 .398 0.000 .142 0.000 .226 0.000	-002 -001	•064 •046 •034 •050	.027 .015 .013 .025	1.572 1.328 .403 .701	• 464 • 222 • 130 • 307	152. 133. 146. 132.	S S	Phidolopora pacifica
201 201 201 201	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 A Combined	.247 0.000 .398 0.000 .322 0.000 .142 0.000 .398 0.000	003 003 002	.039 .054 .056 .041	e (0. 910. 750. 910. 920.	.679 1.484 1.237 .516 1.130	.167 .314 .386 .203 .243	144. 164. 170. 74. 		
202 202 202 202	1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4	.322 0.000 1.107 0.000 .464 0.000 .464 0.000	.032	.065 .179 .075 .002	.020 .072 .026 .017	1.864 11.429 2.364 2.013	•453 2•951 •617 •400	162. 192. 158. 150.	s įs	Scrupocellaria diegensis
202 202 202 202	1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 Combined	.322 0.000 .464 0.000 1.107 0.000 .322 0.000 1.107 0.000	.003 .029 .004	.061 .055 .172 .064	020 017 067 021 032	1.561 1.720 10.422 1.723	.402 .314 2.753 .442 1.033	148. 140. 146. 74.		
228 228 228 228	2 1 2 2 2 3 2 4	2.550 .701 2.121 .701 3.536 .701 3.536 .701	.070	.421 .264 .542 .523	.996 .767 1.003 1.050	1.063 .653 1.704 1.663	• 468 • 189 • 798 • 675	1×4. 170. 1×3. 141.	S 5	Parastichopus spp.
228 229 228 228	2 5 2 6 2 7 2 8 COMBINED	2.345 .701 1.871 .701 3.536 .701 2.550 .701 3.536 .701	.045 .379 .071	• 385 • 213 • 616 • 267	.974 .7P6 1.177 .7R6 .958	.944 .401 1.970 .711	.595 .162 1.263 .159	168. 160. 217. 132.		

.

.

			т	RANSFORM	ED		UNTHANS	FORMED				
SPECIES	CON 1 SEASON 2	MAX 3	MIN 4		5.0EV.0	MEAN	S.DEV.	MLAN	_N 7	" 8	<u>t</u> 9	Species
SPECIES										£	-	Species
229	2 1	3.536	.707	.409	•639	1.315	2.104	1.638	301.	s	NS	Patiria minista
229	2 2	3.937	.707	.359	-599	1.354	1.974	1.692	265.			
229 229	23	4.301 3.808	•707 •707	•501 •429	•708 •655	1.368 1.336	2.447 2.223	1.471	294.			
229	2 5	4,301	.707	.551	.742	1.515	2.700	2.344	241.			
229	2 6	3,536	.707	•516	.718	1.454	2.488	2.129	263.			
229 229	2728	3.082 3.937	.707 .707	•297 •283	•545 •532	1.277	1.580	1+427	232. 374.			
,												
	COMBINED	4.301	.707	.425	•652	1.343	5*515	1.729	1140.			
230	2 1	1.225	.707	.011	•105	•730	.205	.044	206.	s	NS	Pisaster
230 230	2 2 2 3	1.225	.707	•004 •008	-061 -089	•714 •723	.117	•014 •030	216.			brevispinus
230	2 4	1.225	.707	.004	.063	•715	.122	•015	148. 148.			
230	2 5	1,225	.707	.011	.107	.730	.247	.045	274.			
230	2 6	1.225	.707	.004	.065	.715	.126	.016	e48.			
230	2 7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.			
230	28	1.225	.707	.005	.073	•717	.141	.020	346.			
	COMBINED	1.225	•707	.007	•08∠	• 720	.158	•026	н18.			
231	2 1	1.871	.707	.056	.236	•804	.520	.202	250.			Diseas-
231	2 2	2.121	.707	+049	.221	.798	.480	•185	254.	NS	NS	Pisaster giganteus
231 231	2 3	2.345 2.121	.707 .707	.063 .051	•252 •226	•814 •791	.501 .507	•226 •177	244.			
								• 1 7 7				
231 231	2526	1.871	.707 .707	.046 .038	•215 •196	.806 .742	•441 •400	•196 •149	148. 248.			
231	2 7	5.151	.707	.058	.240	.615	.52H	•216	272.			
231	28	2.345	.707	.067	•259	.807	.616	•218	376.			
	COMBINED	2.345	.707	.055	•234	•802	.522	•197	101+.			
232	2 1	1.225	.707	.008	•091	.723	.176	•032	120.			
232	2 2	1.225	.707	.012	•110	.731	213	.047	106.	S	NS	Pisaste: ochraceus
232 232	2 3 2 4	1.581	.707 .707	•037 •013	•192 •115	•774 •734	.401 .222	•135 •051	46. 117.			
				•015	•115	• 7.5 •		•031	11/•			
232 232	25 26	1,561	.707 .707	.022	•149 •131	•743 •742	.315 .252	•074 •06러	68. 133.			
232	27	1.581	.707	.026	.162	756	.330	.09A	102.			
232	28	1.225	.707	.007	.040	.7?2	.106	+S0.	142.			
	COMBINED	1.581	.707	.017	.130	.739	.261	.063	445.			
241	2 1	3.082	.707	.224	•473	.976	1.380	.076	244.	s	s	Strongylocentrotus
241	2 2	2.915	.707	.194	.440	.943	1.235	.543	288.	3	0	DEIONYGIOCENCIOLUS
241 241	2 3 2 4	3.536 3.082	.707 .707	.301 .308	•548 •555	1.010	1.767	• #32	364.			
						1.072	1.704	•956	203.			
241 241	25 26	3.391 2.550	.707 .707	.383 .202	•619 •449	1.167 1.005	1.981 1.188	1.243	242.			
241	2 7	5.151	.707	.096	.310	.A20	.740	•717 •329	276. 222.			
241	5 8	3.536	.707	.248	-498	•924	1.616	.602	304.			
	COMBINED	3.536	•707	+254	.504	. 996	1.530	•747	1094.			
242	2 1	6.285	.707	2.020	1.421	1 651		3 6		-	~	Chapman I can take t
242	2 2	5.788	• 707	1.719	1.311	1.551 1.933	0.357 0.035	3.914 4.950	167. 239.	S	5	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus
242 242	2 3	4.637 4.528	•707 •707	•576 •702	•759	1.195	2.908	1.500	164.			
					•838	1.209	3.354	1.650	148.			
242 242	2 5 2 6	3.391 5.431	.707 .707	•157 •984	• 346 • 942	.868	1.256	•410	178.			
242	2 7	5.148	.707	.482	•694	1.385	4.371 3.003	2.39A 1.131	216. 165.			
242	2 8	6.285	.707	1.978	1.405	2.580	1.944	H.126	149.			
	COMBINED	6.285	.707	1.382	1.176	1.510	5.810	3.159	758.			

.

See footnotes at end of table

•

ł

· .

~

 1_1 = Arcsin conversion used for data transformation 2 = Square root conversion used for data transformation 2 1 = summer (July 1976) 2 = fall (November 1976) 3 = winter (February 1977) 4 = spring (April 1977)5 = north side6 =south side 7 = east side8 = west side³Maximum value of density (transformed) ⁴Minimum value of density (transformed) ⁵Variance ⁶Standard deviation 7 N = number of quadrats examined over zone of occurrence 8 F = "F ratio" (ratio of variances) ^{9}t = "Student's t," the deviation of the estimated mean from that of the sample population S = Significant (95 percent confidence level) NS = Not significant (95 percent confidence level) (S) = Significant difference in means due to absence during at least

81

one season

APPENDIX C

R-MODE DENDROGRAMS AND BOUNDARIES OF PRELIMINARY (TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED) SPECIES ASSOCIATIONS

Note:

In Figures C-3 to C-6, each association is labeled with an alpha or numeric designation. The number refers to the preliminary identity applied to each association for purposes of field recognition and charting of the boundaries of each major species association (see Sec. IV,4). The letter represents the designation of the identity of the association after the completion of statistical analysis of quantitative compositional data as described in Section V,5.





Figure C-1. North-side R-mode dendrogram showing similarities in occurrence among species surveyed in a permanent transect (species with high frequencies of co-occurrence cluster at high similarity values) See Table C-1 for key to species codes.






Figure C-2. East-side R-mode dendrogram showing similarities in occurrence among species surveyed in a permanent transect (species with high frequencies of co-occurrence cluster at high similarity values). See Table C-1 for key to species code.




Figure C-2. East side R-mode dendrogram.--Continued.

1. Veleroa subulata 2. Codium fragile Gelidium cartilagineum 3. 4. Grateloupia abreviata 5. cf. Fucus sp. 6. Litho/Lithop. 7. Peyssonellia sp. 8. Stenogramme interupta 9. Corallina officinalis 10. Unid. fil green alga 11. Unid. fil red alga Unid. juv. red alga 12. Unid. bushy red alga 13. (cf. G. coulteri) 14. cf. Enteromorpha sp. 15. Prionitis lanceolata Unid. "brown scum" 16. Unid. red alga #1 (W) 17. 18. Unid. red alga #2 (W) 19. Unid. lobate red alga 20. Egregia laevigata 21. Unid. brown alga Unid. red alga #1 (E) 22. 23. Unid. red alga #2 (E) cf. Callophyllis Unid. red alga #3 (E) 24. 25. Unid. flat red alga (E) 26. cf. Agardhiella sp. cf. Ceramium sp. 27. Unid. red alga (N) 28. cf. Gelidium sp. 29. Gigartina spin**osa armata** 30. Unid. red alga (S) Unid. fil red alga (S) 31. 32. Unid."spindly gr-br alga" 33. Macrocystis sp. 34. Unid. green algal slime 35. 36. Unid. coraline alga (N) (cf. C. officinalis) 37. Rhodoglossum affine cf. Microcladia sp. (E) 38. 39. cf. Gigartina exasperata Unid. fil red alga (E) 40. Unid. leafy red (E) 41. Unid. small brown alga (E) 42. cf. Platythamnion sp. (W) 43. cf. Bossiella orbigniana 44. "Wiry" red alga (E) 45. "Spiny" red alga (E) 46. Unid. sponge (W) 47. Cliona sp. 48. Spheciospongia confoederata 49. Hymeniacidon cyanocrypta 50. Unid. purple sponge (N) 51. Unid. grey sponge (S) 52. "Sulfur sponge" (S) 53. Unid. sponge (N) 54. Rhabdodermella nuttingi 55. Unid. sponge (E) 56. cf. Verongia thiona 1 57. 58. Leucetta losangelensis

- 59. Astrangia lajollaensis
- 60.
- Paracyathus stearnsii Anthopleura cf. wanthogrammica 61.

62. Corvnactis californica 63. Lophogorgia chilensis 64. Unid. hydroid (S) Unid. anemone (S) #1 65. Unid. anemone #2 (S) 66. 67. Muricea fruticosa Unid. yellow hydroid (W) 68. cf. Sertularia sp. 69. 70. Balanophyllia elegans 71. Cerianthid anemones *Hydractinia* sp. Unid. "alternate" hydroid (E) 72. 73. 74. cf. Tealia sp. 75. Unid. hydroids (N) 76. Aglaophenia struthionides 77. cf. Eudendrium sp. 78. cf. Plumularia lagenifera 79. Pteropurpura festiva 80. cf. Dendrodoris fulva 81. Kelletia kelletii Calliostoma canaliculatun 82. 83. Mitra idae 84. Lottia gigantea 85. Collisella digitalis C. cf. strigatella 86. 87. C. scabra Conus californicus 88. Acanthina spirata 89. 90. Serpulorbis squamigerus Megathura crenulata 91. 92. Mytilus californianus 93. cf. Anisodoris nobilis 94. cf. Collisella limatula 95. Dialula sandiegensis Hermissenda crassicornis 96. 97. Navanax inermis Hinnites multirugosus 98. 99. Chama pellucida Unid. gastropod sp. #1 (N) 100. Pholads (cf. Parapholas calif.) 162. cf. Isocheles pilosus 101. 102. Unid. dorid (N) 103. Collisella cf. conus Cypraea spadicea 104. 105. Acmaea mitra 106. Pododesmus cepio 107. Ceratostoma nuttalli 108. Mytilus edulis 109. Diodora aspera 110. Nassarius mendicus Unid. black/yellow dorid (S) 111. Unid. nudibranch (S) 112. Unid. orange dorid (S) 113. Flabellinopsis iodinea 114. Crepipatella lingulata 115. 116. Maxwellia gemma 117. Octopus sp. 118. Aplysia californica 119. Unid. limpet (E) 120. cf. Anomia sp. Unid. white spot dorid (W) 121. 122. Unid. yellow doris (W)

Table C-1. Key to R-mode dendrograms (Figs. C-1 and C-2).


123. Unid. orange cerata eolid (W)
124. Unid. boring clam (S) 125. Calliostoma annulatum 126. Unid snail (N) 127. Diopatra ornata
128. Unid. serpulids (W) 129. Dodecaceria fewkesi 130. cf. Eudistylia sp. 131. cf. Chaetopterus sp 132. Unid. cf. sabellid (N) 133. Unid. serpulid (E) 134. Salmacina tribranchiata 135. Lagenipora punctulata Scrupocellaria diegensis
 Phidolopora pacifica 138. Unid yellow ectoproct (W) 139. Encrusting ectoprocts 140. Unid. "brain coral" ectoproct 141. Antropora tincta Diaperoecia californica 142. 143. Bugula neritina 144. Unid. ectoprocts (E) 145. Membranipora tuberculata 146. Balanus pacificus 147. B. tintinnabulum 148. B. nubilus 149. Tetraclita squamosa rubescens 150. Chthamalus fissus 151. Pollicipes polymerus 152. Balanus glandula 153. cf. Paguristes ulreyi 154. Unid. pagurids (W) Loxorhynchus crispatus
 156. Pachygrapsus crassipes 157. Unid. pagurid (N) 158. Unid. shrimp (N) 159. Unid. barnacles 160. Unid. small barnacle (E) 161. Unid. pagurid (E) 163. Patiria miniata 164. Pisaster brevispinus 165. P. giganteus 166. P. ochraceus 167. Parastichopus sp. #1 (short knob-like projections) 168. P. sp. #2 (long black-tipped projections) 169. Strongylocentrotus
170. S. purpuratus
171. cf. Ophiopsilla californica
172. bolothuroid (N) Strongylocentrotus franciscanus 173. Unid ophiuroid (S) 174. Ophiothrix spiculata 175. Unid ophuroid (E) 176. Lytechinus sp. 177. 178. Boltenia villosa Unid. tunicate (W) 179. Styela montereyensis cf. Amaroucium sp. (E) 180. Unid. organisms 181. Ocenebra foveolata 182. Not sampled 183. Unid, coraline (E)


87

184.

185.

Collisella spp. (E)








Figure C-4. Preliminary and final special associations, southwest quadrant.




Figure C-5. Preliminary and final special associations, southeast quadrant.

,




Figure C-6. Preliminary and final special associations, northeast quadrant.

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY DATA, QUANTITATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF MAJOR SPECIES ASSOCIATIONS

Note: In order to calculate biomass values per unit area (0.25 square meter or, in the case of associations 6 and 7, 0.01 square meter), multiply values for average weight (average weight per individual specimen) by values for x (mean abundance per unit area). See Section 5 for average weight values applicable to Dodecaceria fewkesi, Lithothamnium complex, Serpulorbis squamigerus, Veleroa complex, and Corynactis californica.

	·····	11						Comm	on specie	es in uppe	r interti	dal asso	iations						
Subarea number (corresponding with number in Table D-2)	Subareas for statistical comparisons	Statistical parameters	Balanus Glandula	Chthamalus fissus	Tetraclita squàmosa	Pollicipes polymerus	Collisolla digitalis	Collisella scabra	Collisella strigetella	Collisella sp.	Lottia gigantea	Mytilus edulis	Mytilus californianus	Lithothamnium complex ⁵	Ralfsia pacifica 5	Enteromorpha 5 sp.	Bossiella orbigniana 5	Pachygrapsus crassipes	
	Association #7 (Barnacle-Limpet)	$\begin{array}{c} f^1\\ \bar{x}^2\\ 95 & c1 \overline{x}^3\\ avg \ wt^4 \end{array}$	24/28 4.32 +1.82 0.65	21/28 23.18 +10.95 0.03	11/28 2.25 +1.42 5.8	1/28 0.04 +0.07 2	8/28 2.46 +2.84 0.33	8/28 0.57 +0.48 0.4		2/28 0.07 <u>+0.10</u>	4/28 0.14 +0.14 13.1	1/28 0.36 +0.73		2/28 0.71 1.15 	2/28 0.43 ±0.62	2/28 0.46 <u>+</u> 0.68 			
	Association #6 (Mytilus-Pollicipes)	f X 95% clX avg wt	3/6 12.50 +29.33 0.2	0	1/6 0.17 +0.43 1	5/6 20.00 +22.57 0.51	2/6 0.50 +0.89 2.13	D	1/6 1.17 +3.02 0.44		1/6 0.17 +0.43 lost	3/6 2.00 +2.41 0.75	2/6 16.33 +26.97 10.37	2/6 2.83 +5.20			2/6 4.5 +10.65 0.25	1/6 0.17 +0.43 1	
ſ		{ }	~ ~ ~	·:	[Com	ion specie	es in low	er intert	idal and s	subtidal	associati	ons	·	·			·
			Lithothamnium5	Veleroa complex 5	Bossiella orbigniana 5	Gelídium robustum 5	Gelidium coulteri 5	Corallina Officinalis ⁵	Gigartina canaliculata ⁵	Gigartina spinosa 5	Prionítis lanceolata 5	Egregia menziesii5	Cystoseira osmundacoa 5	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	Patiria miniata	Dodecaceria ⁶	Pisaster ochraceus	Serpulorbis squamigerus 5	Anthopleura elegantissima 5
1	Association #5 (Macrophytic Algae) South Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	8/10 75.00 +28.85	2/10 9.7 <u>+</u> 21.44	1/10 9.5 <u>+</u> 21.48	2/10 7.05 +15.82 25.03	3/10 2.50 +4.46 2.75	5/10 20.00 <u>+29.24</u> 3.63	2/10 4.50 +6.84 5.71	1/10 	$\frac{1}{10}$ 0.5 $\frac{+1.13}{5}$	1/10 1.00 +2.26 47.9		2/10 0.9 +1.59 69.22	1/10 0.10 ± 2.23 51	o			4/10 11.1 +21.89 0.33
2	Association #5 North Side	f X 95% cl X avg wt	8/10 · 76.50 <u>+</u> 29.39	8/10 62.50 +24.73	0	4/10 5.05 +6.93 11.38	1/10 2.00 +4.53 2.55	1/10 1.50 +3.39 0.47	0	1/10 0.3 +68.95 0.67	2/10 9.5 +19.10 8.67	3/10 3.5 ± 5.61 20.2	1/10 0.2 <u>+</u> 0.45 2.75	1/10 0.10 +23.32 97	4/10 0.60 +0.60 92	2/10 1.0 +1.65 	0	2/10 . 1.7 +2.57	0
3	Association #5 West Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	4/5 74.00 +53.81	5/5 45.00 <u>+</u> 35.10 	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	5/5 4.0 +2.92 40.35	2/5 0.40 <u>+7.76</u> 92.5	4/5 3.60 <u>+</u> 3.24 	0	1/5 0.2 <u>+0.32</u> 	0
4	Association #5 Southwest Wing	f ¥ 95% c1¥ avg wt	4/4 19.25 +34.87 	3/4 62.50 +69.15	1/4 0.4 <u>+1.42</u> 	4/4 10.5 +15.66 11.43	0	C	0	o	1/4 15.00 +47.70 127.12	0	C	1/4 0.25 +0.80 197.0	2/4 0.75 +1.53 72.0	2/4 1.0 <u>+</u> 1.83 	1/4 0.25 +3.70 931.0	0	$ \begin{array}{r} 1/4 \\ 0.13 \\ +0.40 \\ \overline{2.0} \end{array} $
5	Association #5 Northeast Wing	f x 95% clx avg wt	8/8, 76.25 +32.15	5/8 36.25 +26.72	4/8 29 +33.32 4.56	3/8 10.63 +17.33 3.32	1/8 7.5 +17.69 0.4	1/8 1.25 +2.95 0.41	1/8 	o	1/8 1.63 <u>+</u> 2.08 <u>+</u> 2.08	0	2/8 0.75 +1.46 1.33	3/8 1.38 +2.31 95.3	1/8 0.38 +0.88 69.7	5/8 2.5 <u>+</u> 2.09 	1/8 0.13 +0.29 250.0	3/8 10.00 17.31	2/8 1.0
6	Association #5 Northeast Wing #5 East Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	5/6 72.50 <u>+</u> 42.80	3/6 29.17 <u>+</u> 44.67 	o	0	2/6 13.33 +21.85 0.35	2/6 5.67 +12.72 1.88	$\frac{1/6}{1.67}$ $\frac{+4.32}{3.2}$	1/6 1.67 <u>+4.32</u> 0.87	1/6 0.83 +2.16 15.6	0	0	1/6 0.17 +0.43 1.24	1/6 0.33 +0.87 90.0	1/6 0.5 +1.82 1.82	2/6 0.5 +0.61 332.0	o	1/6 0.33 +0.87 0.5
7	Association #5 Southeast Wing South Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	2/3 35.00 +140.06	0	0	2/3 2.33 +5.7 2.66	2/3 3.33 +10.34 5.61	0	$\frac{1/3}{1.0}$ $\frac{+4.3}{1.03}$	1/3 0.33 +1.44 7.9	0	1/3 0.33 <u>+</u> 1.44 122.0	0	2/3 7.0 +28.01 3.29	0	2/3 1.17 <u>+4</u> 	° .	0	3/3 85.00 +24.86 0.22

Table D-1. Summary data on numerical abundance and biomass of biota in major species associations (see Figs. C-3 to C-6).

See footnotes at the end of table.

.

.

1		1				s	Ś												
			Lithothamnium complex ⁵	Dodecaceria fewkesi ⁶	Veleroa complex ⁵	Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	Serpulorbis squamigerus ⁵	Parastichopus spp.	Muricea spp.5	Parapholas sp.	Patiria miniata	Filamentous green alga 5,7	Laurencia pacifica ⁵	Corynactis californica ⁵	Astrangia 5 lajollaensis 5	Doriopsilla albopunctata	Phidolopora pacifica5	Pisaster giganteus
8	Association #9 North Side (Lithothamnion-Lithophyllum complex/Veleroa subulata- Murrayelopsis dawsoni complêx)	f1 ³ 2 95% c1x ³ avg wt ⁴	20/20 74.0 +6.13	9/20 5.45 +6.16 	17/20 35.15 <u>+</u> 2.38	3/20 0.20 <u>+0.24</u> 68.3	8/20 0.50 +0.36 379.6	3/20 6.90 11.17	6/20 0.45 <u>+0.39</u> 220.2	3/20 0.15 +0.21 727.0	5/20 1.55 <u>+</u> 1.57 	6/20 0.85 +0.82 73.1	7/20 3.90 +3.60	4/20 1.00 +0.96 1.16				1/20 0.10 +0.21 0.25	1/20 0.05 +0.10 1078.7
9	Association #9 South Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	10.11 75.45 +25.15	5.11 1.00 <u>+</u> 0.67 	9.11 29.91 +20.59 	5.11 2.36 <u>+</u> 1.91 	1.11 0.09 <u>+</u> 0.20	o	1.11 0.09 <u>+</u> 0.2	0	o	5.11 .0.55 +0.46	0	1.11 1.23 <u>+</u> 1.76 	1.11 2.73 <u>+6.08</u> 				
10	Association #9 West Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	10/10 71.00 +21.64	1/10 0.20 <u>+</u> 0.45 	9/10 50.5 <u>+</u> 23.69 	5/10 2 <u>+</u> 1.72 	2/10 0.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	0	o	o	0	4/10 0.4 +0.37 67.63	1/10 10 +22.61	1/10 0.2 +0.45 2.25	8/10 12.9 +13.42 	1/10 0.05 <u>+</u> 0.11 	0	1/10 0.7 <u>+</u> 1.58 	0
11	Association #9-3 Transition West Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	5/5 71.00 +43.08	0	5/5 64 <u>+</u> 37.85 	1/5 0.2 ±0.56 22.0	2/5 1 +1.75 335.2	0 0.2	1/5 +0.56 174.0	0	0 0.4	2/5 +0.68 84.5	0	0 0.6	1/5 7.4 +1.66 	2/5 0.6 +16.12	1/5 0.6 <u>+</u> 1.66 1.57	2/5 0.3 <u>+</u> 0.56 	2/5 0.4 +0.68 768.5
12	Association #9 Southeast Wing East Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	2/2 100.00 0	o	2/2 90 0 	0	0	0	1/2 0.5 +0.88 375.0	0	o	1/2 0.5 +0.88 81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Association #9 Southeast Wing South Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	5/5 87.00 +20.39	3/5 0.9 <u>+</u> 1.28 	5/5 58 <u>+</u> 16.18 	o	0	o	1/5 0.2 +0.56 513.0	0		3/5 0.8 +1.04 89.5		0	O	2/5 4 +6.8	ο.	1/4 0.5 +1.24 	
14	Association #9 Southwest Wing	f x 95% clx avg wt	4/4 31.88 <u>+</u> 64.79	1/4 0.75 +2.39 	2/4 40 <u>+</u> 77.89 	1/4 0.75 +2.39 69.0	1/4 0.25 <u>+</u> 0.8 490.0	1/4 1.50 +6.03	1/4 0.25 +0.8	0		3/4 1 +1.3 52.5		0	3/4 7.25 <u>+</u> 10.49 	1/4 0.25 <u>+</u> 0.80	2/4 0.5 +0.92 0.5	2/4 4 . +11.69 3.67	
15	Association #9 Northeast Wing North Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	9/10 75.30 <u>+</u> 28.56 	7/10 5/4 <u>+4.24</u> 	7/10 33 +24.62 	4/10 0.6 +0.6 62.33	2/10 0.2 +0.3 347.5	4/10 9 <u>+</u> 12.77	0	3/10 4.5 +20.35 35.87		4/10 0.5 0.51 76.4		2/10 4.5 +8.99 0.8	1/10 0.2 <u>+</u> 0.45 	0	1/10 0.10 <u>+</u> 0.23	2/10 2.05 +4.51 3.65	
16	Association #9-8 Transition Northeast Wing North Side	f x 95% clx avg wt	C	0	1/1 80.0 0 	0	0	1/1 5 0 	o	0		1/1 1 0 96		C	1/1 6.0 0 	o	0	1/1 10 0 3.3	
17	Association #9 Northwest Wing	f x 95% clx avg wt	2/3 40.00 +131.54	0	3/3 40 <u>+62.4</u> 	1/3 0.33 <u>+</u> 1.44 35.0	0	1/3 5.67 <u>+</u> 24.38	0	0		2/3 1 63.09 53.67		1/3 0.17 <u>+</u> 0.71 	2/3 10.17 +42.7 	1/3 0.33 <u>+</u> 1.44 	0	1/3 0.67 <u>+</u> 2.86 	

Table D-1. Summary data on numerical abundance and biomass of biota in major species associations.--Continued.

.....

-

1

T

т

Т

Т

See footnotes at the end of table.

94

.

			Veleroa complex ⁵	Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	Serpulorbis squamigerus 5	Phidalopora pacifica 5	Lophogorgia chilensis 5	Astrangia lajollaensis ⁵	Patiria miniata	Corynactis _c californica ⁻	Lagenipora punctulata ⁵	Rhodymenia cf. californica ⁵	Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	Parastichopus spp.	Balanus pacificus	Phormidium sp.5	Muricea spp. ⁵	Bugula neritina 5	Plumularia sp.5
18	Association #3 West Side (Lagenipora- Scrupocellaria)	fl R2 95% cl%3 avg wt4	1/5 12.0 <u>+</u> 33.87 	2/5 1.4 +2.42 	4/5 2.40 <u>+</u> 2.57	1/5 0.10 +0.27		1/5 5.0 <u>+</u> 13.88 	4/5 1.0 +0.88 89.4	3/5 24 <u>+</u> 41.02	1/5 0.1 <u>+</u> 0.27 		1/5 0.20 <u>+0.56</u> 356.0	2/5 0.40 +0.68 186.0	3/5 3.8 <u>+6.23</u> 0.08	2/5 12.0 <u>+</u> 20.39 		o	8
19	Association #3 Northwest Wing	f X 95% clx avg wt	2/5 22 <u>+</u> 54.38	2/5 0.8 +1.37 1.6	2/5 0.60 <u>+</u> 1.10	1/5 0.2 <u>+0.34</u>		2/5 4.0 <u>+</u> 8.09 	2/5 0.60 <u>+</u> 1.10 95.33	2/5 16.60 <u>+</u> 44.02	0		1/5 0.20 +0.56 280.0	o	1/5 0.20 +0.56	0		1/5 0.10 +0.27 	
20	Association #10 SE Wing South Side (Astrangia, Corynactis, Lophogorgia) -	f X 95% clX avg wt	4/5 49 <u>+</u> 58.94	3/5 8.2 +22.07 2.68	1/5 1.20 <u>+</u> 3.09	2/5 0.5 <u>+1.08</u> 	1/5 0.6 <u>+</u> 1.66 	1/5 1.0 +2.78 	1/5 0.60 <u>+</u> 1.66 72.67	1/5 8.0 +22.20	3/5 0.6 <u>+</u> 1.02 	1/5 0.10 <u>+0.27</u> 	1/5 0.20 +0.56 252.0	0		1/5 0.10 +0.27	1/5 4 +11.10 41.60	1/5 7.0 +19.42	
21	Association #12 East Side (Lithothamnion- Logenipora,Veleroa)	f x 95% clx avg wt	4/4 63.75 <u>+</u> 30.00 	1/4 0.13 <u>+</u> 0.40	2/4 1.50 <u>+</u> 3.78	0	0	2/4 8.75 +18.78	2/4 0.75 +0.10 104.0	1/4 6.25 <u>+</u> 19.88 	1/4 1.0 <u>+</u> 3.18 	3/4 5.13 +12.75 	0	1/4 0.25 <u>+</u> 0.80 215.0		0	0	0	
22	Association #13 East Side (Lophogorgia, Corynactis, Veleroa)	f x 95% clx avg wt	1/1 75 00 	1/1 1 00 	1/1 35 00	1/1 5 00 3.2	1/1 30 00 10.57	0	1/1 1 00 113.0	1/1 4 00 	1/1 10 00 24.1	1/1 1 00 	0	1/1 1 00 148.0		0	0	0	
23	Association #12-13 Transition East Side	f x 95% c1x avg wt	3/6 30 <u>+46.33</u> 	0	1/6 1.00 <u>+</u> 2.59 	2/6 0.17 +0.27	0	0	4/6 1.25 +0.53 101.4	1/6 0.67 <u>+</u> 1.78	2/6 10.83 +21.26 10.38	6/6 51.17 <u>+</u> 40.05 	ο	2/6 0.33 +0.55 313.5		0	0	0	
24	Association #12-13 Transition Southeast Wing East Side	f X 95% clX avg wt	6/7 56 <u>+</u> 45.22 	2/7 0.57 +1.04 3	5/7 16.29 <u>+</u> 19.60 	3/7 1.21 +1.85 4.67	2/7 6.43 +10.91 7.87		3/7 0.43 +0.49 94.5	2/7 2.86 <u>+</u> 4.51	3/7 1.86 ± 2.23 6.6			1/7 0.14 <u>+</u> 0.35 95.0					2/7 2.14 +3.63

Table D-1. Summary data on numerical abundance and biomass of biota in major species associations .-- Continued.

¹Frequency (ratio of number of quadrats of occurrence to total number of quadrats examined)

²Mean abundance per 0.25-square meter area (Note: For associations 6 and 7 only, this value represents mean abundance per 0.01-square meter area)

³Ninety-five percent confidence limits for mean abundance

Average wet weight biomass per individual specimen in grams

5 Mean abundance expressed as percent coverage of 0.25-square meter quadrat, rather than as counts

⁶Mean abundance expressed as $(cm^2)/(6.45)=(in^2)$ of coverage

Presumably, the DERBESIA MARINA predominate with other green algae present

Subarea	Species in preliminary	St	atistica	al parame 95%	eters	Subarea	Species in preliminary	Sta	tistica	l parame	ters
number*	major species associations	_f'	<u> </u>	3	avg wt	number	major species associations	f	x	95% clx	avg wt
1	S. Association #5 (Macrophytic)					4	SW Wing Association #5				
	Ceramium sp.5	1/10	0.30	+0.68			Bugula neritina ⁵	1/4	1.25	<u>+</u> 3.98	2.6
	Lithothrix aspergillum ⁵	1/10	0.05	+0.11			Corynactis californica ⁵	2/4	7.5	$\frac{1}{+}$ 13.77	
	Parastichopus spp.	1/10	0.10	+0.23	125.0		Gigartina exasperata ⁵	1/4	1.25	+3.98	59.8
	Rhodoglossum affine ⁵	1/10	0.20	+0.45	3	1	Lagenipora5	1/4	0.13	+0.4	
	Schizymenia pacifica ⁵	1/10		Ξ.			Leucetta losangelensis ⁵	3/4	0.38	+0.4	
	Unid. alga ⁵	1/10	0.05	+0.11			Megathura crenulata	1/4	0.25	+0.8	284.0
	Unid. green alga ⁵	1/10	0.20	+0.45			Pterosiphonia5	3/4	0.75	+2.39	
		_,					Rhodoglossum affine ⁵	1/4	0.25	+0.8	
2	N. Association #5						Scrupocellaria ⁵	1/4	0.13	+0.4	
-	Aglaophenia struthionides 5	1/10	0.5	+1.13	5.4		Styela montereyensis	2/4	0.5	+0.92	19.60
	Balanus sp.	1/10	0.40	+0.49			cf. Pugettia sp.	1/4	0.25	+0.8	17.0
	Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	4/10	0.40	+0.89		1	Unid. barnacles	2/4	3.25	+7.5	
	cf. Stenogramme ⁵	1/10	0.20	+0.45	5.05		Unid. fine red alga ⁵	1/4	3.75	+11.93	0.67
	Strongylocentrotus franciscanus	1/10	0.10	+0.23	404.0		Unid. flat red ⁵	1/4	10	+31.8	
	Unid. alga ⁵	2/10	1.5	+2.42	0.7		Unid. hydroids	1/4	0.5	+1.59	
	Ulva sp.5	3/10	0.40	+0.67			Unid. orange sponge ⁵	1/4	0.13	+0.4	
	Unid. coralline alga ⁵	1/10	0.05	+0.11						-	
	Unid. flat red ⁵	2/10	1.0	+1.51	10.5	5	NE Wing Association #5 (N Side)				
	Unid. tunicate ⁵	1/10	0.10	+0.23			Bugula neritina ⁵	1/8	0.25	+0.58	
	unia. Canicace	1/10	0.10	10.25		1	Eudistylia	1/8	0.13	+0.29	-~
3	W. Association #5	•					Laurencia pacífica ⁵	1/8	3.13	+7.27	
Ũ	Balanus pacificus	1/5	2.0	+5.55			Megathura crenulata	1/8	0.13	+0.29	421.0
	cf. Cliona ⁵	2/5	2.0	$\frac{+}{+1.1}$			Rhodoglossum affine ⁵	1/8	0.25	+0.58	
		3/5	0.4 4.6	$\frac{+1.1}{+7.68}$			fil. green alga5	3/8	1.13	+1.49	
	Corynactis californica ⁵	3/5	4.6	$\frac{+7.68}{+0.56}$	2		Unid. coralline alga ⁵	1/8	3.13	+7.27	
	Fissurella volcano 5	1/5	0.20	+1.66	2		Unid. green alga ⁵	1/8	0.25	+0.58	
	Laurencia pacifica ⁵	1/5		$\frac{+1.66}{+0.56}$	482.0			•	•	-	
	Megathura crenulata		0.20		482.0	6	NE Wing Association #5 (E Side)				
	Parastichopus spp.	1/5	0.20	+0.56			Tetraclita squamosa5	1/6	8.33	+21.41	
	Pisaster giganteus	1/5	0.20	+0.56	623.0		Ulva sp5	1/6	0.08	+0.21	
	Unid. anemones	1/5	1.40	+3.88			fil. green alga ⁵	2/6	13.5	+25.61	
	Unid. flat red 5	1/5	0.40	$\frac{1}{+1.1}$			Unid. flat green 5	1/6	0.33	+0.86	
	Unid. nudibranch	1/5	0.40	<u>+</u> 1.1]	Unid. fil. brown alga ⁵	1/6		+40.68	3.01
	fil. green alga ⁵	3/5	11.67	+19.72						-	
	Unid. barnacles	2/5	1.80	+3.76							
	Unid. fil. red 5	5/10	0.10	+0.27							
	Unid. hydroids ^{>}	1/5	0.60	<u>+</u> 1.66		1					

Table D-2. Summary numerical and biomass data for less common species (see Table D-1 for common species) occurring in preliminary major species associations (see Figs. C-3 to C-6).

.

.

			1						
j			95%					95%	
	f_	x	clx	avg wt		f	x	clx	avg wt
7 CP Winn Description HE (0, 011)				الشيعت ا	9 S. Association #9 4 (Litho.)				
7 SE Wing Association #5 (S. Side)					Anthopleura xanthogrammica ⁵	0.01			
Balanus sp.	1/3	0.67	+2.86		Balanus sp.	2/11	1.91	+4.04	
Cryptopleura sp. ⁵	3/3	1.67	+1.44	2.06		1/11	0.27	+0.6	
Hymeniacidon sinapium ⁵	1/3	0.33	+1.44	6.0	Chama pellucida	1/11	0.09	+0.2	1.6
Mytilus californianus	2/3	5.33	+20.85	0.14	Chaetopterus variopedatus	1/11	0.73	+1.62	3.25
Ulva sp.5	2/3	1.17	+4.0		Corallina officinalis ⁵	1/11	1.81	+4.05	3.05
Unid. coralline ⁵	3/3	5.67	+9.42	1.0	Diaperoecia californica ⁵	1/11	.0.45	<u>+</u> 1.01	9.2
Unid. flat fil. brown alga ⁵	1/3	0.33	+1.44	8.8	Gelidium robustum ⁵	1/11	1.96	$\frac{1}{+2.13}$	6.29
Unid. flat green ⁵	1/3	0.17	+0.72	((G. coulteri ⁵	1/11	0.91	+2.03	6.6
Unid. polychaete	2/3	0.67	+1.44		Lucetta losangelensis5	1/11	1.23	+1.76	1.2
Unid. flat red5	1/3	1.67	+7.18	5.6	Microcladia sp.5	1/11	1.23	+1.76	 ·
Unid. fine red ⁵	1/3	0.67	+2.86		Pyura haustor (tunicate)	1/11	0.18	+0.4	0.3
1			-		Ulva sp.5	2/11	0.41	+0.81	0.09
8 N. Association #9 (Lith)					Unid. fish (goby or?)	2/11	0.18	+0.27	
Balanus sp.	1/20	0.15	+0.31		Unid. hydroid5	1/11	0.18	+0.4	
Calliostoma gloriosum	1/20	0.05	+0.1	3.2	fil. brown alga5	1/11	1.23	+1.76	
Chama pellucida	1/20	0.10	+0.21	16.0	Unid. fil. red5	2/11	1.91	+4.04	
Cliona sp. ⁵	1/20	0.10	+0.21		Unid. flat red5	2/11	0.32	+0.6	
Conus californicus	1/20	0.05	+0.1	0.3				-	
Crepipatella lingulata	4/20	0.2	+0.19	0.8	10 W. Association #9 (Litho)	1			
Dendrodoris fulva	1/20	0.10	=0.21		Brown sponge5	1/10	0.05	+0.11	
Diopatra ornata	1/20	0.10	+0.1		Chama pellucida	1/10	0.6	+1.36	3.33
Eudistylia sp.	1/20	0.15	$\frac{1}{+0.31}$]	Cliona celata californiana ⁵	1/10	1.0	+2.26	19.3
Gelidium sp.5	1/20	0.15	+1.05		Doriopsilla albopunctata	2/10	0.2	+0.3	1.15
Hinnites multirugosus	1/20	0.05	+0.1	14.5	Hermissenda crassicornis	1/10	0.1	+0.23	
Lophogorgia chilensis ⁵	1/20	0.05	$\frac{+0.1}{+0.1}$	20.8	Lagenipora punctulata5	1/10	1.20	+1.39	
Pecten diegensis	1/20	0.05	+0.1	20.8	Leucetta losangelensis5	2/10	0.35	+0.67	0.93
Pseudochama exogyra	1/20	0.05	$\frac{+0.1}{+0.1}$	9.4	Salmacina tribranchiata5	1/10	0.7	+1.58	3
Pteropurpura festiva	-,		+0.17		Scrupocellaria diegensis5	1/10	1.8	$\frac{11.50}{+1.61}$	
	3/20	0.15		2.83	Spheciospongia confoederata5	1/10	1.5	+3.39	15.0
Pteropurpura sp.	1/20	0.25	+0.52		Unid. ectoproct5	1/10	0.8	+1.81	1.38
Rhodymenia 5	2/20	1.25	+2.09		Unid. flat red alga5	3/10	2.15	+4.49	
Salmacina tribranchiata ⁵	1/20	0.05	+0.1		Unid. hydroids ⁵	1/10	1.0	+2.26	
Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	2/20	1.25	+2.09		Unid. red alga5	2/10	1.6	+3.38	2.8
Styela	1/20	0.05	+0.1		fil. brown alga ⁵	1/10	3.1	+4.58	2.0
Ulva sp.5	1/20	0.18	+0.31		Unid. barnacles	1/10	0.2	+4.58 +0.45	
Unid. coralline ⁵	2/20	0.13	<u>+</u> 0.22	0.2	Unid. sponge5	1/10	0.2	+0.45	
Unid. green alga ⁵	1/20	0.25	<u>+0.52</u>			1/10	1.5	+3.39]
Unid. flat red ⁵	2/20	0.15	+0.23	1 (Unid. fil red alga ⁵	11/10	1.2	+3.39	
Unid. sponge ⁵	1/20	0.10	+0.21						l
Unid. white sponge ⁵	1/20	0.05	+0.1			J		J	ļ
Unid. porcellanid crab	1/20	0.05	+0.1	2.5					1
Unid. ophiuroids	1/20	0.10	+0.21						
Unid. shrimp	1/20	0.10	+0.21			· ·			

Table D-2. Summary numerical and biomass data for less common species occurring in preliminary major species associations.--continued.

97

			95%			1		95%	
	f	x	clx	avg wt	×	f	x	clx	avg wt
11 W. Association 3 9 Transition					15 Northeast Wing (North Side)				
cf. Balanus pacificus	2/5	8.6	+14.93		Balanus pacificus	1/10	0.2	+0.45	
Chaetopterus sp.	1/5	2.0	+5.55	7.0	Cupraea spadicea	1/10	0.3	+0.68	24.3
cf. Cliona ⁵	1/5	2.0	+5.55		Hinnites multiruqosus	1/10	0.10	+0.23	20.0
Diaperoecia californica ⁵	2/5	1.4	+1.72	4.6	Lagenipora punctulata ⁵	1/10	1.5	+3.39	5.2
Eudistylia sp.	1/5	0.2	+0.56		Octopus bimaculoides	1/10	0.1	+0.23	70.0
Lagenipora punctulata ⁵	1/5	3	+8.33	17.87	Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	1/10	1.0	+2.26	13.6
Ocenebra foveolata	1/5	0.2	± 0.33 ± 0.56	1.0	Simnia vidleri	1/10	0.1	+0.23	
	1 ⁷ 1		+0.56	2.4		1 '	1.0	+2.26	2.3
Pteropurpura festiva	1/5	0.2			Tedania toxicalis (sponge) ⁵	1/10	0.4	$\frac{+2.20}{+0.9}$	
Salmacina tribranchiata	1/5	2.0	+5.55	3.2	Unid. nudibranch	1/10	0.4	+0.9 +0.11	
Scrupocellaria diegensis'	3/5	5.2	+10.47	0.54	Unid. hydroids ⁵	1/10			
Unid. flat red alga5	2/5	8.6	+21.84		Unid. green alga ⁵	1/10	0.5	<u>+</u> 1.13	
Unid hydroids ⁵	2/5	2.0	<u>+</u> 3.51			1 /1 0			
Unid red alga ⁵	1/5	0.1	+0.27		Other species present at frequency				
Unid sponge ⁵	1/5	0.1	+0.27		Chama pellucida Doriopsil				
	1 1				Didemnum sp. Ceratosto				
12 Southeast Wing (East Side)					Alpheus clamator Ocenebra				
Unid. green alga sp. ⁵	1/2	2.0	+12.51		Loxorhynchus sp. Halosydna		ulifer		
Unid. green alga sp. #2 ⁵	1/2	2.0	+12.51		Bugula neritina Syllidae				
Unid. fil red alga5	1/2	10.0	+127.46	2	Ulva sp. Salmacina				
			-		Crisia occidentalis Heptacar				
13 Southeast Wing (Litho Assn) (S. Side)					Hiatella arctica Erichthor			s	
Lagenipora punctulata ⁵	1/5	0.1	+0.27		Chama pellucida Ophiothri	x spicu	lata		
Unid. anemone	2/5	1.4	+2.42		Lithophaga plumula				
Unid. ectoproct ⁵	1/5	0.1	+0.27						
Unid. fil red alga $\#1^5$	1/4	0.5	+1.59	0.05	16 Northwest Wing (Transition)				
Unid. fil red alga $#25$	1/4	0.25	+0.4	0.1	(Probably Association 8)				
oniu. III icu aiga "-	-, -		-		Bugula neritina ⁵	1/1	1	0	
14 Southwest Wing (Litho/Corynactis)					Diaperoecia californica ⁵	1/3	0.17	+0.72	
Diaperoecia californica ⁵	1/4	0.13	+0.8		Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	1/3	1.67	<u>+</u> 7.18	3.6
Lagenipora punctulata ⁵	1/4	2.5	+7.95	2.3	Ulva sp.5	1/1	0.5	0	
Loxorhynchus crispatus	$\frac{1}{4}$ 1/4	0.25	+0.4	2.5	Unid. flat red (cf. Polysiphonia) ⁵	1/3	13.33	+57.39	
Leucetta losangelensis ⁵	1/4 1/4	0.25	+1.59		Unid. hydroids5	1/3	0.33	+1.44	
Pachycheles pubescens	1/4 $1/4$	0.3	+0.4	0.2	Unid. phoronids 5	1/1	0.5	ō	-
	1/4 1/4	0.25	$\frac{+0.4}{+0.4}$	183.0	Unid. sponge 5	1/1	0.5	0]
Parastichopus spp.			+0.4 +3.18	183.0	Unid. orange tunicate ⁵	1/1	0.5	ō	
Pterosiphonia dendroidea ⁵	1/4	1.0		0.67		1			
Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	2/4	4.0	+11.69	****	17 Northeast Wing (North Side)	l			l
Unid. nudibranch	1/4	0.25	+0.4	0.5	8-9 Transition				
Unid. hydroids ⁵	2/4	1.5	+2.75		Balanus pacificus	1/1	1	0	
Unid. green alga ⁵	1/4	0.13	+0.8		Diopatra tubes	1/1	3	0	
Unid. barnacles	2/4	10.75	+26.39		Scrupocellaria diegensis ⁵	1 '	10		2.8
Unid. yellow sponge ⁵	1/4	2.5	<u>+</u> 7.95			1/1			
Unid. fil red alga #1 ⁵	1/4	0.5	+1.59		Unid. flat red (cf. Polysiphonia) ⁵	1/1	4	0	
Unid. barnacles	2/4	2.0	<u>+</u> 3.90		Unid. hydroids 5	1/1	3	0	
			-		Unid. yellow sponge ⁵	1/1	4.0	0	

Table D-2.	Summary numerical and biomass data for less common species occurring in preliminary
	major species associationscontinued.

.

See footnotes at end of table.

86

. ---

-

Table D-2.	Summary numerical and biomass data for less common species occurring
	in tentative major species associationsContinued.

	f	īx	95% <u>c1x</u>	<u>avg w</u> t			<u> </u>	95% 	avg wt
18 W. Association #3 (Lagenipora-scrupod	cellaria	,			21 Continued			1	1
Callistochiton	1/5	0.2	+0.56	2.1	E. Association #12				
Coryphella trilineata (nud)	1/5	0.2	+0.56		cf. Gelidium sp.5	1/4	0.13	+0.4	
cf. Didemnum carnulentum	1/5	0.1	+0.27		Hermissenda crassicornis	1/4	0.5	+1.59	
Doriopsilla albopunctata	2/5	0.80	+1.37	1.8	Navanax inermis	1/4	0.25	+0.8	2.5
Lithothamnium complex 5	5/5	43.0	+33.87		Paracyathus stearnsii	1/4	0.25	+0.8	
Leucetta losangelensis ⁵	1/5	0.10	+0.27		cf. Ulva sp.5	2/4	12	+35.06	
cf. Pododesmus cepio	1/5	0.2	+0.56	186.0	Unid. phoronids ⁵	3/4	0.38	+0.4	
Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	1/5	12.0	+33.3	113.0	Unid. hydroid 5	1/4	0.13	+0.4	1
Unid. flat red alga 5	2/5	0.7	+1.61		Unid: Nydroid D	1/1	0.13	1	
Unid. hydroids 5	1/5	0.1	+0.27		22 E. Association #13	1			
Unid. gastropod	1/5	0.2	¥0.56			1/1	1	0	4.0
Unid. crab cf. C. productus	1/5	0.2	+0.56	12.0	Antiopella barbarensis	1/1	5	0	1.9
Unide Orab Cit of production	-, -				Diaperoecia californica ⁵	1/1	5	ň	3.0
19 NW Wing Association #3					Paracyathus stearnsii		0.5	ő	1.5
Balanus nubilus	1/5	1.0	+1.66		Salmacina tribranchiata ⁵	1/1	0.5	0	1.5
Peyssonellia sp.5	1/5	0.8	+2.22		Unid. hydroid5	1/1		-	1.5
Leucilla nuttingi ⁵	1/5	0.6	+1.66	0.27	Unid. ophiuroids	1/1	30	0	
Lithothamnium ⁵	1/5	0.10	+0.27		Unid. red sponge ⁵	1/1	0.5	0	
Leucetta losangelensis5	1/5	1.0	$\frac{1}{+2.78}$	1.12	Unid. snail	1/1	1	0	0.5
Triopha maculata	1/5	0.2	+0.56						
Unid flat red alga ⁵	1/5	6.0	+16.66		23 E. Association 12-13				1
Unid flat red alga-	1/3	0.0	±10.00		Anthopleura elegantissima ⁵	1/6	0.33	+0.86	
					Cystoseira osmundacea ⁵	1/6	0.17	+0.43	
20SE Wing Association #10	1/5	0.10	10 27		Diaperoecia californica ⁵	1/6	0.08	+0.21	
Antropora tincta ⁵		1.0	+0.27 +2.78	3.8	Dodecaceria fewkesi6	2/6	0.67	+1.27	
Aplidium californicum	1/5 1/5		+2.78	3.0	Doriopsilla albopunctata	1/6	0.17	+0.46	1.5
Eudistylia	1/5		+0.27		Hinnites multirugosus	1/6	0.17	+0.43	5.0
Leucetta losangelensis ⁵		0.10	+0.27	6.0	Lithothamnium complex ⁵	1/6	0.08	+0.21	
Mitra idae	1/5	0.20	+0.56	1.5	Ocenebra foveolata	1/6	0.17	+0.43	
Petrolisthes sp.	1/5	0.2		1.5	cf. Ocenebra barbarensis	1/6	0.17	+0.43	0.2
Pteropurpura festiva	1/5	0.20	+0.56	1.0	Parapholas sp.	1/6	0.17	+0.43	
Unid. ectoproct5	1/5	0.10	+0.37		Parachyathus stearnsii	2/6	0.5	+0.88	1.0
Unid. holothuroid	1/5	0.10	+0.27		cf. Ulva sp.5	3/6	10.17	+22.87	0.08
Unid. pagurid crab	1/5	0.20	<u>+</u> 0.56	9.0	Unid. phoronids ⁵	1/6	0.08	+0.21	
Unid polyclad	1/5	0.20	+0.56		Unid. hydroid ⁵	1/6	0.83	+1.4	
Unid. phoronids ⁵	2/5	0.2	<u>+</u> 0.34		Unid. ophiuroids	1/6	2.5	+6.42	
					Unid. flat brown ⁵	1/6	0.08	+0.21	
21 E. Association #12								-	1
Balanus tintinnabulum	1/4	0.25	+0.8	1.0	24 East Side Assocation 12-13				1
Dodecaceria fewkesi ⁶	1/4	0.5	+1.59		Southeast Wind (East Side)			1	1
Flabellinopsis iodinea	1/4	0.25	+0.8		Kelletia kelletii	1/7	0.14	+0.35	15.0
-			-		Unid. red sponge ⁵	1/7	0.07	+0.18	
					Unid. flat red alga ⁵	3/7	0.79	+1.13	

* Subarea number corresponds with number in Table D-1.

¹Frequency (ratio of number of quadrats of occurrence to total number of quadrats examined)

 $^2_{\rm Mean}$ abundance per 0.25-square meter area (Note: For associations 6 and 7 only, this value represents mean abundance per 0.01-square meter area)

³Ninety-five percent confidence limits for mean abundance

⁴Average wet weight biomass per individual specimen in grams

⁵Mean abunance expressed as percent coverage of 0.25-square meter quadrat, rather than as counts

 6 Mean abundance expressed as $(cm^{2})/(6.45)=(in^{2})$ of coverage

Table D-3. Areal coverages of major species associations (areal coverages are expressed as percent of total island area between the upper limit of the barnacle-limpet zone and the lower limit of revetment rock on the bottom).

Provisional Species Associations (numerical designations for associations on various sides of the island correspond to those designations in Table D-1 and Figs. C-3 to C-6).

Table D-1 Subarea	Percent Coverage	Table D-1 Subarea	Percent coverage
Upper Intertida Association #7 (Barnacle-Limpe Association #6	.1	11 12 13 14	2.15 3.57 3.80 4.80
(Mytilus-Pollic Lower Intertida 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3.62 1.22 1.85 1.78 2.36 2.66 1.02 1.40 1.69 0.66
10 Remaining islan	12.40 d area not quantitat ssociations (see Fig	Tota1	31.36 100.00 (15,560 m ²)
Association Designation	33001401013 (0008		Percent Coverage
A Barna B Mytil C Antho D Macro E Litho F Veler G Rhody H Litho	acle-limpet us-Pollicipes opleura spp. ophytic algae othamnium complex coa-Lagenipora-Lophog gmenia-Veleroa othamnium-Tetraclita atra-cerianthid anemo	ones	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.70\\ 1.28\\ 0.10\\ 7.38\\ 53.47\\ 29.1\\ 1.02\\ 0.61\\ 0.34^{1}\\ \end{array} $
		Total	100.00 (15,560 m ²)

¹Present as small isolated pockets on the lower parts of association F and, on the north side, association E.

APPENDIX E

OBSERVATIONS ALONG NATURAL BOTTOM TRANSECT

The following is a discussion of substrate and biotic composition of the first segment of the transect (13.7- to 6.1-meter depth).

Over the depth range 13.7 to 11.3 meters, the substrate is silt with some shell fragments. The sediment is very soft and similar to that existing at the base of the east side of the island. The dominant biota are sea pens (Stylatula elongata), bat stars (Patiria miniata), whelks (Kelletia kelletii), and cerianthid anemones. On a few isolated rocks (maximum vertical relief 0.25 meter) stony corals (Astrangia lajollaensis) were present and the tectibranch, Navanax inermis, was observed.

At about 10.7 meters the substrate is more sandy with many shell fragments. Isolated smooth boulders (1- to 2-meter diameter) are present with the evidence that they are intermittently covered with sand (no epiphytic algae present). *Diopatra* spp. are common to abundant in patches of up to about 100 individuals. *Kelletia, Patiria,* and *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* are present. Vertical pipes (about 1 meter high) were observed with cf. *Metridium* sp. attached. *Diaulula sandiegensis, Corynactis california, Cancer* sp., cf. *Stylatula,* and cerianthid anemones were present. Also at this depth, gorgonians (*Muricea* spp. and *Lophogorgia chilensis*) appear on isolated rocks, with *Muricea* common to locally abundant.

From 10.7 to 9.1 meters, smooth boulders, as described above, dominate the substrate. However, these boulders are more heavily encrusted with Astrangia, Veleroa, and Lithothamnium complex. Around the rock bases, where some sand is present, Diopatra ornata occur. The midshipman (Porichthys spp.), juvenile olive rockfish (Sebastes serranoides) and sanddabs (Citharichthys sp.) are also present. Lithothamnium coverage ranges up to 15 to 20 percent of exposed rock areas. Also present on vertical pipes and rocks are sponges (Leucetta losangelensis), Metridium, and Strongylocentrotus franciscanus. Strongylocentrotus purpuratus was also observed along these depths, but this species was not abundant. Cypraea spadicea, Tethya aurantia, Pisaster brevispinus, P. giganteus, and Dermasterias imbricata were also present to common on the solid substrate.

From 7.6 to 6.1 meters the substrate changes from smooth boulders to solid shale bedrock with isolated boulders and sand patches. Pholad bivalves, starfish, and urchins dominate the macrobiota. Some red alga (Veleroa complex and Lithothamnium) are present; also juvenile red algae was observed attached to the rock. The next segment of the transect, extending from a depth of about 4.6 meters to shore, is predominantly sand and largely depauperate in macrobiota (visibility was very poor during the two occasions this area was examined). From this point shoreward, scattered rocks (30- to 60-centimeter diameter) were commonly encountered. Acorn barnacles were abundant on these rocks, and coverages of *Lithothamnium* complex and the tunicate, *Styela montereyensis* average about 15 and 45 percent, respectively. Other organisms present to common in this nearshore zone include starfish (*Patiria miniata* and *Pisaster ochraceus*), feather boa kelp (*Egregia menziesii*), hydroids and tunicates. Tunicates are especially abundant (60 to 70 percent coverage) between depths of 4.3 to 3.7 meters.

In general, the deeper parts of this transect are predominantly silt. Where rocks occur, they are comparable to the deeper areas of the east-side permanent transect (i.e., very little epibiota, and much silt). Farther inshore along the natural bottom transect, less silt and more sand are present. The rocks, which are smoother than in deeper water, resemble deeper rocks on the north side of the island in that much Astrangia lajollaensis is present but differs in that ectoprocts are for the most part missing.

APPENDIX F

SIEVE ANALYSIS OF NATURAL BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES




Figure F-1. Seive analysis results from natural bottom sediments (station locations shown on Fig. 18).

,

Megh. (Reys	ised No	Sheet No. w. 1950)		Li	er,iq Dat	te and No.	500 SAMA 45'	
\$ \$ \$84-2 \$ \$84+\$	84 16 16 16 Mg-N S/o	$\frac{25}{\binom{1}{2}} = 1$ $\frac{\binom{1}{2}}{\binom{1}{2}} = 1$ $\frac{\binom{1}{2}}{\binom{1}{2}} = 5$ $\frac{\binom{1}{2}}{\binom{1}{2}} = 5$		<u>65</u>	Anal		D. AUBBE/	Date 27 Aug 7)
SAMPI Color		RIPTION			Size	2	·	
Sorti	ing					ndness		
Compo	sition							
Size Range	Dish No.	Wt. Dish Sample	Wt. of Dish	Wt. of Sample	% of Tot21 Wt.	Cum %	Notes	
Before Sieving	F	118.820	40.625	78.125		100%0		
-2 to $-1\frac{1}{2}$		34.550	34.278	.272		0,3	SHELL FRAG	2
$-1\frac{1}{2}$ to -1		34.653		.375		0.5	/1 4	
-1 to $-\frac{1}{2}$		34.769		.491		0.6	11 11	
$-\frac{1}{2}$ to 0		34.892		1614		0.8	l, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,	
0 to ½		35.169		.891		1.1		
1/2 to 1	i	36.089		1.81/		2.3		
1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$		38.215		3,937		5.0		
$1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2		42,83 Z		8.55A		10.9		
2 to $2\frac{1}{2}$		49.901		15.623		20.0	,	
$2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3		58.585		24.307		31.1		
3 to $3\frac{1}{2}$		69.169		34.891	[44.7		
$3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4		81.691		47.413		60.7	·	
A-412-13		91.026		56.748		72.6		

Table F-1. Sieve analysis, natural bottom sediments, Sample 1 (Sample location shown on Figure 18.).

44±¢

٩.

Mech.		(SIS Sheet No SV. 1950)	. 3	, L	ere da Da ocality	te and No.	580 35	Sarioli 1	E. # 2507
\$ \$ \$84-9 \$84+9		$\frac{\frac{9}{62}}{\frac{3}{62}} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{\frac{3}{62}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sigma_{\beta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi$ $\sigma_{\beta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi$ $S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi$	3 167 79 48					_Date 29/14677
SAMPI Color	E DESC	¢β − 0 RIPTION	<u>م</u> - م	10	Siz	e			
Sorti	ing					ndness			
Compo	sition	2					<u> </u>		
Size Range ø	Dish No.	Wt. Dish Sample	Wt. of Dish	Wt. of Sample	% of Total Wt.	Cum %		Notes	
Before Sieving	8	148.930	40.695	108.285	**********	100%			
		[
	~ 	 						<u></u>	
	. <u></u>								
-2 to $-1\frac{1}{2}$		34.527	34.278	.249		0.2	SHELL	FRA65	
$-1\frac{1}{2}$ to -1		34.917		.639		0.6	11	(*1)	
-1 to $-\frac{1}{2}$		36.11		1.833		1.7	1 L	11	
$-\frac{1}{2}$ to 0		39.031		4.753		4.4		ti	
0 to $\frac{1}{2}$		46.957		12.679		11.7			
1 to 1		56.272		31.994		29.5			
1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$		100.922		66.644		61.5			
$1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2		126,101		91.823		84.8			
2 to $2\frac{1}{2}$		134,991		10 0.713		93.0			
$2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3		138.027		103.749		95.8			
3 to 3½		139.09.3		104.815		96.8			
3½ to 4		139.462		105.189		97.1			
< 14B		139.810		105.532		97.5			

Table F-2. Sieve analysis, natural bottom sediments, Sample 2 (Location shown on Figure 18.).

ì

ì

GLOSSARY

- armor rock Heavy rock, usually weighing 500 pounds or more, used to protect a coastal structure or shore from heavy wave attack.
- associations In ecology, a subunit of community organization identified by its major organisms.
- azimuth In this case, the arc of the horizon measured in degrees, clockwise from north to the point toward which the diver is swimming.
- bathymetry The measurement of depths of water in oceans, seas, and lakes, also information derived from such measurements.
- benthic Pertaining to the subaquatic bottom.
- biomass The amount of living material in a unit area for a unit time.
- biota The living part of a system; flora and fauna.
- caudal peduncle The constricted part of a fish immediately ahead of the tail fin.
- climatic community a community that is in equilibrium with the general climate.
- climax The final stage in community succession.
- complex An assemblage of interconnected or interacting parts.
- dendogram The type of diagram commonly referred to as a "family tree" designed to show postulated relationships between taxa.

- depauperation Falling short of usual development or size.
- ecosystem The living organisms and the nonliving environment interacting in a given area.
- ectoprocts A bryozoan (moss animal) of the group Ectoprocta.
- epibiota Life forms attached to or living upon surfaces.
- F test A method used to test the hypothesis that the means in several classes statistically are similar.
- genus A unit of biological classification (taxa) which includes one or several species that share certain fundamental characteristics, supposedly by common evolutionary descent.
- gill net A single-webbed net
 with meshes sized to catch in
 the gills of the fish being
 sought.
- infauna The animals that live in the bottom sediment.
- intertidal zone The zone bounded by the high and low water extremes of the tide.
- macrobiota Large forms of life
 visible to the naked eye.
- macrophytic Refers to large aquatic plants, e.g., kelps.
- nonparametric test A statistical test that is not concerned with the specific parameters, but rather with the distribution of the variates. Also referred to as distribution free. See parameter.

- parameter A parameter is a measurable characteristic of a population. The mean is an example of a parameter.
- quadrat A plot usually square but occasionally rectangular or circular, in which the organisms are intensely examined and one or several of which form the basis for assessing the entire population of the area.
- revetment A facing of stone, concrete, etc., built to protect a scarp, embankment, or a shore structure against erosion by wave actions or currents.
- riprap A layer, facing, or protective mound of stones randomly placed to prevent erosion, scour, or sloughing of a structure or embankment. Also, the stone so used.
- Simpson's Index An index of the proportions and numbers of species and individuals in a community used to measure the diversity.
- species A group of individuals
 having common attributes and
 designated by a common name.
- splash zone The zone immediately
 landward of the mean high water
 level affected by the wave
 spray.
- substrate The base on which an organism lives.
- subtidal Below mean low water
 (lower low on the Pacific coast
 of the United States).

succession - In ecology, an orderly process of community development and changes with time which result from interactions between species and environment. taxa - A taxanomic group or entity
 such as genus or species in a
 formal system of scientific
 nomenclature.

- tetrapod A massive concrete shape for wave protection consisting of a central body and four equal-length limbs radiating out at equal angles from the central body. The tetrapods at Rincon Island weigh between 19.5 and 38.0 tons each.
- transect A line (or belt) through a community along which the important characteristics of the individuals of the species being studied are observed and noted; sampling along a transect may be plotless or refer to specific plots located along a line.
- turbidity An optical condition of water resulting from suspended matter; water is turbid when its load of suspended materials is conspicuous.
- Wilcoxon "t" test A nonparametric test used to statistically determine whether the ranked differences between measurements came from the same or different populations.

Johnson, G. F.	Johnson, G. F.
Ecological effects of an artificial island, Rincon Island, Punta	Ecological effects of an artificial island, Rincon Island, Punta
Gorda, California / by G. F. Johnson and L. A. deWit Ft. Belvoir,	Gorda, California / by G. F. Johnson and L. A. deWit Ft. Belvoir,
Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. :	Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. :
available from National Technical Information Service, 1978.	available from National Technical Information Service, 1978.
106 p. : ill. (Miscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering	106 p. : ill. (Miscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center ; no. 78-3) (Contract - U.S. Coastal Engineering	Research Center ; no. 78-3) (Contract - U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center ; DACW72-76-C-0011)	Research Center ; DACW72-76-C-0011)
Bibliography : p. 64.	Bibliography : p. 64.
This study documents marine ecological conditions at Rincon Island,	This study documents marine ecological conditions at Rincon Island,
located approximately 0.8 kilometer offshore between Ventura and Santa	located approximately 0.8 kilometer offshore between Ventura and Santa
Barbara, California. The island was constructed between 1957 and 1958	Barbara, California. The island was constructed between 1957 and 1958
to serve as a permanent platform for oil and gas production.	to serve as a permanent platform for oil and gas production.
1. Artificial islands. 2. Ecological effects. 3. Rincon Island,	1. Artificial islands. 2. Ecological effects. 3. Rincon Island,
Calif. 4. Punta Gorda, Calif. I. Title. II. deWit, L. A., joint	Calif. 4. Punta Gorda, Calif. I. Title. II. deWit, L. A., joint
author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center.	author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center.
Miscellaneous report no. 78-3. IV. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering	Miscellaneous report no. 78-3. IV. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center. Contract DACW72-76-C-0011.	Research Center. Contract DACW72-76-C-0011.
TC203 .U581mr no. 78-3 627	TC203 .U58Jmr no. 78-3 627
Johnson, G. F.	Johnson, G. F.
Ecological effects of an artificial island, Rincon Island, Punta	Ecological effects of an artificial island, Rincon Island, Punta
Gorda, California / by G. F. Johnson and L. A. deWit Ft. Belvoir,	Gorda, California / by G. F. Johnson and L. A. deWit Ft. Belvoir,
Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. :	Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. :
available from National Technical Information Service, 1978.	available from National Technical Information Service, 1978.
106 p. : ill. (Miscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering	106 p. : ill. (Miscellaneous report - U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center ; no. 78-3) (Contract - U.S. Coastal Engineering	Research Center ; no. 78-3) (Contract - U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center ; DACW72-76-C-0011)	Research Center ; DACW72-76-C-0011)
Bibliography : p. 64.	Bibliography : p. 64.
This study documents marine ecological conditions at Rincon Island,	This study documents marine ecological conditions at Rincon Island,
located approximately 0.8 kilometer offshore between Ventura and Santa	located approximately 0.8 kilometer offshore between Ventura and Santa
Barbara, California. The island was constructed between 1957 and 1958	Barbara, California. The island was constructed between 1957 and 1958
to serve as a permanent platform for oil and gas production.	to serve as a permanent platform for oil and gas production.
1. Artificial islands. 2. Ecological effects. 3. Rincon Island,	1. Artificial islands. 2. Ecological effects. 3. Rincon Island,
Calif. 4. Punta Gorda, Calif. I. Title. II. deWit, L. A., joint	Calif. 4. Punta Gorda, Calif. I. Title. II. deWit, L. A., joint
author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center.	author. III. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center.
Miscellaneous report no. 78-3. IV. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering	Miacellaneous report no. 78-3. IV. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering
Research Center. Contract DACW72-76-C-0011.	Research Center. Contract DACW72-76-C-0011.
TC203 .U581mr no. 78-3 627	TC203 .US81mr no. 78-3 627

*

