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# RESPONSE OF LINEAR ELASTIC TRANSVERSE-ISOTROPIC MEDIA <br> TO BOREHOLE PRESSUREMETER LOADINGS 

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

To predict the ground shock from surface or aboveground nuclear detonation, research on the use of two-dimensional finite difference wave propagation codes that treat nonlinear hysteretic media is being conducted. To use these codes, the constitutive properties (stressstrain and strength) of the in situ earth media must be determined for fast loading rates in the unconsolidated-undrained state. Conventionally, this is done by obtaining undisturbed samples from the site and testing them in the laboratory. Inevitably, the in situ properties are altered to some extent by the sampling process. Hence, using in situ field tests that give some indication of the in situ constitutive properties is desirable.

The borehole pressuremeter (References l-3), which measures the increase in volume per unit length, ${ }^{l} \Delta V$, of a borehole under an increasing uniform internal pressure, $P_{0}$, is one of several tests that can be used to infer information about the in situ constitutive properties. ${ }^{2}$ This device has been used in the constitutive property investigation for several high-explosives (HE) tests (References 4-7) used as test cases to study the accuracy of and the necessary improvements in the ground shock prediction procedure.

In homogeneous isotropic linear elastic materials, the shear modulus $G$ can be directly determined from the borehole pressuremeter test:
${ }^{l}$ Symbols used in this report are listed and defined in the Notation (Appendix A).
2 As with any test, it has its own sources of error, the most prominent of these being volume measurement errors leading to alteration of the constitutive properties due to the drilling of the borehole.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{V_{0} P_{0}}{\Delta V} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the initial volume of a unit length of the borehole. However, real earth materials are often highly anisotropic. The interpretation of data from laboratory and/or field tests based on a mathematical constitutive relation that does not account for anisotropy may lead to erroneous conclusions.

The most common departure from a state of isotropy in an earth material is layering or stratification during its deposition. This is the case whether induced by natural causes, such as sedimentary deposits, or in the construction of fills where the earth materials are placed and compacted in horizontal lifts. For these conditions, although marked differences may be noted between the vertical and the horizontal directions, generally no direction preference will exist in the horizontal planes. Such a material is said to be transversely isotropic (References 8 and 9).

For the linear elastic transverse-isotropic material, five material constants are needed to completely describe material behavior (References 8 and 9). A technique for the determination of some or all of these five material constants in the field is of great importance to the material properties investigator. Such a technique (Reference l0) would give an early deduction of those sites that are strongly anisotropic and provide data for use in the fitting of a transverse-isotropic model (Reference 7) for the materials. It appears that a series of pressuremeter tests in boreholes inclined at several different angles to the axis of symmetry of the material will yield this information. Hence, there was a need to obtain an analytical solution for the inclined borehole pressuremeter problem. Only the special case of this problem for a transverse-isotropic material in which the axis of the test (i.e., the axis of a pressurized cylindrical cavity of infinite extent) is perpendicular to the plane of isotropy has been solved analytically (Reference 9).

The objective of this investigation is to develop a general closed form solution to the borehole pressuremeter problem where the axis of the borehole is inclined to the plane of isotropy of an elastic transverse-isotropic medium. Such a solution can be used to determine stresses and displacements in the medium in terms of the transverseisotropic properties of the material. It is possible, therefore, to deduce the transverse-isotropic properties of the materials in terms of the volume change of the borehole.
1.3 SCOPE

The problem geometry, boundary conditions, and constitutive and field equations are presented in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 contains the derivation of the general solution of the problem and a sample problem. Conclusions and recommendations are given in Chapter 4.

## PROBLEM GEOMETRY, BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, AND CONSTITUTIVE AND FIELD EQUATIONS

### 2.1 GENERAL

Because the properties of the material are directionally dependent, it is more convenient to obtain the solution of the problem in a Cartesian coordinate system first and then through a coordinate transformation to determine the stresses and the displacements in a cylindrical coordinate system. Therefore, three coordinate systems are needed. The first is a Cartesian coordinate $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ in which $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ is parallel to the plane of isotropy (Figure 2.1) and for which the constitutive equations for a linear elastic transverse-isotropic material are well known (References 8 and 9). The second coordinate system is also Cartesian, $x y z$, in which the solution of the borehole pressuremeter problem is obtained (Figure 2.1). Finally, because the problem is axisymmetric, it is convenient to transform the final results from the $x y z$ coordinate system to a cylindrical coordinate system, $r \theta z$ (Figure 2.1b).

### 2.2 PROBLEM GEOMETRY

The geometry of the problem is shown schematically in Figure 2.1. Figure 2.la shows a three-dimensional view of the problem with the relative position of the Cartesian coordinate systems $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ and xyz . Figure 2.lb shows a two-dimensional detailed view of the problem relative to both the cylindrical coordinates $r \theta z$ and the Cartesian coordinates $x y z$. The axis of symmetry of the material and the axis of symmetry of the cylindrical cavity are assumed to intersect at an angle, $\psi$ (Figure 2.la). Therefore, the intersection of the cylindrical cavity with the plane of isotropy forms an ellipse $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} D$ in the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ plane (Figures 2.la and 2.lb). The intersection of the cylindrical cavity with the r 0 - or $x y-p l a n e$ is, of course, a circle $A B C D$ (Figure 2.la). Furthermore, it is assumed that the radius of the cylindrical cavity is $b$ and
that its surface is under normal stress, $P_{0}$, which does not vary along the cavity.

### 2.3 CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS

Let the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ plane of an $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ coordinate system (Figure 2.la) be the plane of isotropy of the material. The constitutive equations for a linear elastic transverse-isotropic material (References 8 and 9) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{E} \sigma_{x^{\prime}}-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{y^{\prime}}-\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \sigma_{z^{\prime}} \\
& \varepsilon_{y^{\prime}}=-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{x^{\prime}}+\frac{l}{E} \sigma_{y^{\prime}}-\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \sigma_{z^{\prime}} \\
& \varepsilon_{z^{\prime}}=-\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{x^{\prime}}+\sigma_{y^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}} \sigma_{z^{\prime}} \\
& \varepsilon_{x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma_{x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}^{2 G^{\prime}}}{} \\
& \varepsilon_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}}{2 G^{\prime}} \\
& \varepsilon_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=\frac{\sigma_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}}{2 G}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x^{\prime}}, \sigma_{y^{\prime}}, \sigma_{z \prime}= & \text { total normal stress components parallel to } \\
& x^{\prime}-, y^{\prime}-, \text { and } z^{\prime}-\text { axes, respectively } \\
\sigma_{x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}, \sigma_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}, \sigma_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}= & \text { total shearing stress components in } x^{\prime} z^{\prime}-, \\
& y^{\prime} z^{\prime}-, \text { and } x^{\prime} y^{\prime}-\text { planes, respectively. } \\
\varepsilon_{x^{\prime}}, \varepsilon_{y^{\prime}}, \varepsilon_{z^{\prime}}= & \text { total normal strain components parallel to } \\
& x^{\prime}-, y^{\prime}-, \text { and } z^{\prime}-a x e s, \text { respectively } \\
\varepsilon_{x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}, \varepsilon_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}, ~} \varepsilon_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}=}= & \text { total shearing strain components in } x^{\prime} z^{\prime}-, \\
& y^{\prime} z^{\prime}-, \text { and } x^{\prime} y^{\prime}-\text { planes, respectively } \\
E= & \text { Young's modulus in the plane of isotropy } \\
E^{\prime}= & \text { Young's modulus in a plane normal to the } \\
& \text { plane of isotropy }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
v= & \text { Poisson's ratio that characterizes the trans- } \\
& \text { verse reduction in the plane of isotropy due } \\
& \text { to stress in the same plane }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The elastic properties that appear in Equations 2.1 depend on the direction of the axes of the chosen coordinate system. If the direction of the axes varies, then the elastic properties vary. Only in the case of an isotropic body the elastic properties are invariant in any orthogonal coordinate system. However, there are always unique relationships of the elastic properties in one coordinate system to the elastic properties in another coordinate system. These relationships could be derived through transformation formulas that transform one coordinate system into another. Therefore, the elastic properties that appear in Equations 2.1 for the coordinate system $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ could be transformed into the elastic properties for the coordinate system xyz (Figure 2.1) through transformation formulas.

### 2.4 TRANSFORMATION OF <br> THE ELASTIC PROPERTIES

Let $C_{i j}$ be the elastic properties for the coordinate system xyz and let $C_{i j}^{\prime}$, be the elastic properties for the coordinate system $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ (Figure 2.la). The position of the coordinate system xyz with respect to the coordinate system $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ is defined by Table 2.1 and the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=x^{\prime} \\
& y=y^{\prime} \cos \psi+z^{\prime} \sin \psi  \tag{2.2}\\
& z=-y^{\prime} \sin \psi+z^{\prime} \cos \psi
\end{align*}
$$

The transformation formulas that relate $C_{i j}$ to $C_{i j}$ are given in Reference 9 and can be written as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}=C_{m n}^{\prime} q_{m i} q_{n j} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $q_{i j}$ are defined in Table 2.2 where the first index, $i$, indicates the number of the row and the second index, $\mathcal{J}$, shows the number of the column. Thus, $q_{i j}$ denotes the element belonging to the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $j^{\text {th }}$ column; for example, $q_{11}=\delta_{1}^{2}, q_{43}=\eta_{3} \theta_{3}$, $q_{56}=\theta_{1} \delta_{2}+\theta_{2} \delta_{1}$, and so forth. The values of $\delta_{n}, \eta_{n}$ and $\theta_{n}$ ( $n=1,2,3$ ) are given in Table 2:1; the values of $C_{i j}^{\prime}$ can be obtained from Equations 2.1 and are given in Table 2.3; and the values of $C_{i f}$ obtained from Equation 2.3 are given in Table 2.4.

Having determined the value of the elastic properties $C_{i j}$, the general constitutive equation for a linear elastic transverse-isotropic material in an $x y z$ coordinate system may be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x}= & \frac{1}{E} \sigma_{x}-\left(\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \sin ^{2} \psi+\frac{\nu}{E} \cos ^{2} \psi\right) \sigma_{y} \\
& -\left(\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \cos ^{2} \psi+\frac{\nu}{E} \sin ^{2} \psi\right) \sigma_{z}+\left(\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}-\frac{\nu}{E}\right) \sin 2 \psi \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{y}= & -\left(\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \sin ^{2} \psi+\frac{\nu}{E} \cos ^{2} \psi\right) \sigma_{x}+\left[\frac{1}{E} \cos ^{4} \psi+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}} \sin ^{4} \psi\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi\right] \sigma_{y}+\left[-\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\left(\sin ^{4} \psi+\cos ^{4} \psi\right)\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.+\left(\frac{I}{E}+\frac{I}{E^{\prime}}-\frac{I}{G^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi\right] \sigma_{z}+\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{\nu^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi-\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos 2 \psi\right] \sin 2 \psi \sigma_{y z}
\end{align*}
$$

NOTE: Equations 2.4 are continued on following page

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{z}= & -\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}} \cos ^{2} \psi+\frac{\nu}{E} \sin ^{2} \psi\right) \sigma_{x}+\left[-\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\left(\sin ^{4} \psi+\cos ^{4} \psi\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi\right] \sigma_{y}+\left[\frac{1}{E} \sin ^{4} \psi+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}} \cos ^{4} \psi\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi\right] \sigma_{z}+\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi+\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos 2 \psi\right] \sin 2 \psi \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{y z}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}-\frac{v}{E}\right) \sin 2 \psi \sigma_{x}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi-\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos 2 \psi\right] \sin 2 \psi \sigma_{y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi-\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos 2 \psi\right] \sin ^{2} \psi \sigma_{z}+\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{x z}= & \frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos ^{2} \psi+\frac{1}{2 G} \sin ^{2} \psi \\
\varepsilon_{x y}= & \frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \sin ^{2} \psi+\frac{1}{2 G} \cos ^{2} \psi
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z}= & \text { total normal stress components parallel to } \\
& x-, y-\text {, and z-axes, respectively }
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{rl}
\sigma_{x z}, \sigma_{y z}, \sigma_{x y}= & \text { total shearing stress components in } x z-, y z-, \\
& \text { and . xy-planes, respectively }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

### 2.5 FIELD EQUATIONS

In the case of small displacements of a continuous body, the relationships between the components of strain and displacements (Reference 9) are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \varepsilon_{z}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\
& \varepsilon_{x z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right), \quad \varepsilon_{y z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \varepsilon_{x y}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$, $v$, and $w$ are the displacements in the $x-, y$, and $z-$ directions, respectively.

In the problem under consideration (Figure 2.1), the stresses and displacements are independent of $z$ and become functions of $x$ and $y$ alone. Therefore, Equations 2.5 can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{\partial v(x, y)}{\partial y}, \varepsilon_{z}=0 \\
& \varepsilon_{x z}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial w(x, y)}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{y z}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial w(x, y)}{\partial x}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \varepsilon_{x y}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v(x, y)}{\partial x}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Equations 2.6 leads to the compatibility equations that guarantee the body is continuous.

The stress components in a continuous body in equilibrium under the action of surface and body forces satisfy three differential equations of equilibrium. In the case under consideration, these equations take the following form:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{y}}{\partial y}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x z}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{y z}}{\partial y}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

### 2.6 REPRESENTATION OF

THE BOUNDARY CONDITION
Let $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ be the $x$ and $y$ components, respectively, of a distributed surface force per unit area; the boundary stress equations (Figure 2.2) can be written as (Reference 9):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{X}=\sigma_{x} \cos (n, x)+\sigma_{x y} \cos (n, y)+\sigma_{x z} \cos (n, z) \\
\bar{Y}=\sigma_{x y} \cos (n, x)+\sigma_{y} \cos (n, y)+\sigma_{y z} \cos (n, z)  \tag{2.8}\\
0=\sigma_{x z} \cos (n, x)+\sigma_{y z} \cos (n, y)+\sigma_{z} \cos (n, z)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the above equations, the following relationships exist:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\cos (n, x)=\frac{d y}{d s}  \tag{2.9}\\
\cos (n, y)=-\frac{d x}{d s} \\
\cos (n, z)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

### 2.7 STRESSES AND DISPLACEMENTS IN <br> CYLINDRICAL COORDINATE SYSTEMS

The relations between the stresses and the displacements in the Cartesian and cylindrical coordiante systems with the same z-axis (Figure 2.1) are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{x} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sigma_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta+2 \sigma_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{\theta}=\sigma_{x} \cdot \sin ^{2} \theta+\sigma_{y} \cos ^{2} \theta-2 \sigma_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{z}=\sigma_{z} \\
\sigma_{r \theta}=\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{x}\right) \cos \theta \sin \theta+\sigma_{x y}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
\sigma_{r z}=\sigma_{x z} \cos \theta+\sigma_{y z} \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{\theta z}=-\sigma_{x z} \sin \theta+\sigma_{y z} \cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{r}=u \cos \theta+v \sin \theta  \tag{2.11}\\
v_{\theta}=-u \sin \theta+v \cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{r}$ and $v_{\theta}$ are the radial and tangential displacements, respectively. Therefore, if the stresses and the displacements in the xyz coordinate system are known, the corresponding stresses and displacements in the $r \theta z$ coordinate system can easily be obtained.

Table 2.l. Direction cosines.

| - | $\frac{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{z^{\prime}}{c}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\delta_{1}=1$ | $n_{1}=0$ | $\theta_{1}=0$ |
| $y$ | $\delta_{2}=0$ | $n_{2}=\cos \psi$ | $\theta_{2}=\sin \psi$ |
| $z$ | $\delta_{3}=0$ | $n_{3}=-\sin \psi$ | $\theta_{3}=\cos \psi$ |

Table 2.2. Values of $q_{i f}$ in the formulas of
transformations (Equation 2.3).


Table 2.3. Value of $C_{i j}^{\prime}$ from Equation 2.1.

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{v}{E}$ | $-\frac{V^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | $-\frac{\nu}{E}$ | $\frac{1}{E}$ | $-\frac{V^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | $-\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}$ | $-\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{1}{E^{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{G^{1}}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{G^{1}}$ | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{G}$ |

Table 2.4. Value of $C_{i j}$ from Equation 2.3.


$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \quad \frac{1}{E} \cos ^{4} \psi+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}} \sin ^{4} \psi \quad-\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\left(\sin ^{4} \psi+\cos ^{4} \psi\right) \quad\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi\right.  \tag{0}\\
& +\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi+\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi \quad-\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2 G^{1}} \cos ^{2} \psi\right] \sin ^{2} \psi
\end{align*}
$$

0
$\begin{array}{cc}\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} & 3\end{array}$

$$
\frac{\sin ^{4} \psi}{E}+\frac{\cos ^{4} \psi}{E}
$$

$\left[\left(\frac{1}{E}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \sin ^{2} \psi\right.$
0
0

$$
\begin{aligned}
+\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \sin ^{2} \psi & -\left(\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{E^{\prime}}\right) \cos ^{2} \psi \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 G^{\prime}} \cos ^{2} \psi\right] \sin ^{2} \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

$4 \quad Y$

$$
\frac{1}{G^{1}}
$$

0
0

5

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\frac{\cos ^{2} \psi}{G^{\prime}} \psi+\frac{\sin ^{2} \psi}{G} & 0 \\
& \frac{\sin ^{2} \psi}{G^{\prime}}+\frac{\cos ^{2} \psi}{G}
\end{array}
$$

6
$\qquad$

a. THREE-DIMENSIONAL VIEW
b. TWO-DIMENSIONAL VIEW

Figure 2.1 Problem geometry.


Figure 2.2 Two-dimensional element from the boundary.

DERIVATION OF THE GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

### 3.1 GENERAL

The problem of the determination of stresses and displacements around an infinite cylindrical cavity can be formulated analytically by use of the equations presented in Chapter 2. The solution will be unique if it satisfies the equilibrium and compatibility equations and the boundary conditions (Reference ll). This is done below by expressing stresses and displacements as complex harmonic functions in a manner similar to that developed by Lekhnitskii (Reference 9). Recalling Equations 2.4,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x} & =C_{11} \sigma_{x}+C_{12} \sigma_{y}+C_{13} \sigma_{z}+C_{14} \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{y} & =C_{12} \sigma_{x}+C_{22} \sigma_{y}+C_{23} \sigma_{z}+C_{24} \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{z} & =C_{13} \sigma_{x}+C_{23} \sigma_{y}+C_{33} \sigma_{z}+C_{34} \sigma_{y z} \\
2 \varepsilon_{y z} & =C_{14} \sigma_{x}+C_{24} \sigma_{y}+C_{34} \sigma_{z}+C_{44} \sigma_{y z}  \tag{3.1}\\
2 \varepsilon_{x z} & =C_{55} \sigma_{x z} \\
2 \varepsilon_{x y} & =C_{66} \sigma_{x y}
\end{align*}
$$

where the values of $C_{i j}$ are given in Table 2.3. Since $\varepsilon_{z}=0$ (see Equations 2.6), the third equation of 3.1 leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z}=-\frac{1}{C_{33}}\left(C_{13} \sigma_{x}+C_{23} \sigma_{y}+C_{34} \sigma_{y z}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Equation 3.2 into Equations 3.1, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x} & =a_{11} \sigma_{x}+a_{12} \sigma_{y}+a_{14} \sigma_{y z} \\
\varepsilon_{y} & =a_{12} \sigma_{x}+a_{22} \sigma_{y}+a_{24} \sigma_{y z} \\
2 \varepsilon_{y z} & =a_{14} \sigma_{x}+a_{24} \sigma_{y}+a_{44} \sigma_{y z}  \tag{3.3}\\
2 \varepsilon_{x z} & =a_{55} \sigma_{x z} \\
2 \varepsilon_{x y} & =a_{66} \sigma_{x y}
\end{align*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}=c_{i j}-\frac{c_{i 3} c_{j 3}}{c_{33}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 3.3 can be written in terms of the displacements as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & =a_{11} \sigma_{x}+a_{12} \sigma_{y}+a_{14} \sigma_{y z} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & =a_{12} \sigma_{x}+a_{22} \sigma_{y}+a_{24} \sigma_{y z} \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} & =a_{14} \sigma_{x}+a_{24} \sigma_{y}+a_{44} \sigma_{y z}  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & =a_{55} \sigma_{x z} \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & =a_{66} \sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

### 3.2 STRESS FUNCTION

Equations 2.7 (the equilibrium equations) can be satisfied for a homogeneous medium by the introduction of the following stress functions (References 9, 12, and 13):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{y}=\frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial x^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{x y}=-\frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \sigma_{x z}=\frac{\partial Q(x, y)}{\partial y} \\
& \sigma_{y z}=-\frac{\partial Q(x, y)}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
$$

The compatibility equations can be satisfied by substitution of Equations 3.6 into Equations 3.5 and elimination of $u, v_{\text {, }}$, and $w$ by differentiation (Reference 13). Therefore, the following system of differential equations that the stress functions must satisfy can be easily obtained:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{4} P(x, y)+L_{3} Q(x, y)=0  \tag{3.7}\\
L_{3} P(x, y)+L_{2} Q(x, y)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $L_{2}, L_{3}$, and $L_{4}$ are differential operators of the second, third, and fourth orders, respectively, that have the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{2}=a_{44} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{55} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& L_{3}=-a_{24} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}-a_{14} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}  \tag{3.8}\\
& L_{4}=a_{22} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{4}}+\left(2 a_{12}+a_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+a_{11} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial y^{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

For the components of stresses and displacements around the cylindrical cavity to be continuous and single-valued functions of the coordinates $x y z$, the stress functions $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ must satisfy Equations 3.7 and the boundary conditions.

The general differential equations in terms of $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$, separately, can be obtained by application of the operator $L_{2}$ on the first equation of the system 3.7 and the operator $L_{3}$ on the second equation and subtraction of the results. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{4} L_{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right) P(x, y)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{4} L_{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right) Q(x, y)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 3.9 and 3.10 are sixth order differential equations where the operator of the sixth order $L_{4} L_{2}-L_{3}^{2}$ can be decomposed into six linear operators of the first order. Hence, Equations 3.9 and 3.10 can be represented in the following forms:
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
D_{6} D_{5} D_{4} D_{3} D_{2} D_{1} P(x, y)=0  \tag{3.11}\\
D_{6} D_{5} D_{4} D_{3} D_{2} D_{1} Q(x, y)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\mu_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(k=1,2, .6) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{k}$ represents the roots of the following algebraic equation that corresponds to the differential Equations 3.9 and 3.10:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4}(\mu) L_{2}(\mu)-L_{3}^{2}(\mu)=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equations 3.8, $L_{2}(\mu), L_{3}(\mu)$, and $L_{4}(\mu)$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{2}(\mu)=a_{55} \mu^{2}+a_{44} \\
& L_{3}(\mu)=-a_{14} \mu^{2}-a_{24}  \tag{3.14}\\
& L_{4}(\mu)=a_{11} \mu^{4}+\left(2 a_{12}+a_{66}\right) \mu^{2}+a_{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Three of the roots of Equation 3.13 are independent; the other three are their complex conjugates (Reference 9).

The integration of Equations 3.9 and 3.10, therefore, can be reduced through Equations 3.11 to the integration of six equations of the first order. The general integral is equal to functions of the arguments
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
z_{k}=x+\mu_{k} y  \tag{3.15}\\
\overline{z_{k}}=x+\bar{\mu}_{k} y
\end{array}\right\} \quad(k=1,2,3)
$$

and can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{k=3}\left[P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)+\overline{P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] \\
Q(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{k=3}\left[Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)+\overline{Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] \cdot \tag{3.16}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\bar{\mu}_{k}$ is the complex conjugate of $\mu_{k}, \bar{z}_{k}$ is the complex conjugate of $z_{k}$, and $\overline{P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}$ and $\overline{Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}$ are the complex conjugates of $P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$ and $Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$, respectively.

Since the functions $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ satisfy Equations 3.7 and 3.8, the following relations between $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ exist:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)=-\frac{L_{3}\left(\mu_{k}\right)}{L_{2}\left(\mu_{k}\right)} \frac{d P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{d z_{k}}+a_{k} z_{k}+b_{k} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)=-\frac{L_{4}\left(u_{k}\right)}{L_{3}\left(u_{k}\right)} \frac{d P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{d z_{k}}+A_{k} z_{k}+B_{k} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}, b_{k}, A_{k}$, and $B_{k}$ are arbitrary constants. Hence, the stress functions $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ (Equations 3.16) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
P(x, y)= & P_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{P_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}+P_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{P_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +P_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{P_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.19}\\
Q(x, y)= & \lambda_{1} P_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{1} P_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\lambda_{2} P_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{2} P_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}} P_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}} P_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)}+a_{k} z_{k}+b_{k} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{k}=-\frac{L_{3}\left(\mu_{k}\right)}{L_{2}\left(\mu_{k}\right)}, \quad(k=1,2) \\
& \dot{\lambda}_{3}=-\frac{L_{3}\left(\mu_{3}\right)}{I_{4}\left(\mu_{3}\right)} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=\frac{d P}{d z_{k}} \\
& P_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=\frac{d P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{d z_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the general solution to the borehole pressuremeter problem can be completely determined by determining the functions $P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$. But before this can be done, the stresses and the displacements have to be expressed as functions of $P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$.

### 3.3 COMPIEX REPRESENTATION OF STRESSES AND DISPLACEMENTS

Since the stresses are functions of the second derivative of $P(x, y)$ (Equations 3.6), and the displacements are functions of the first derivative of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ (Equations 3.5), it is more convenient to introduce the new functions of the complex variable $z_{k}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)=\frac{d P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{d z_{k}}=P_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)(k=1,2)  \tag{3.22}\\
\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}} \frac{d P_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}{d z_{3}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}} P_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

With the help of these functions, the expressions for the first and second derivatives of $P(x, y)$ and for the first derivatives of $Q(x, y)$ with respect to $x$ and $y$ may be written in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial P(x, y)}{\partial x}=\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}=\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.24}\\
& \frac{\partial P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{\partial y}=\frac{d P_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)}{d z_{k}} \frac{d z_{k}}{d y}=\mu_{k} P_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=\mu_{k} \phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial P(x, y)}{\partial y}=\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\mu_{3} \lambda_{3}{ }_{3}{ }_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.26}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial y^{2}}=\mu_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\mu_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\mu_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\mu_{3}^{2} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\mu_{3}^{2} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.27}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} P(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}=\mu_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\mu_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\mu_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\dot{3}}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.28}\\
& Q(x, y)=\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.29}\\
& \frac{\partial Q(x, y)}{\partial x}=\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\lambda_{2^{\phi}} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)}  \tag{3.30}\\
& \cdot \frac{\partial Q(x, y)}{\partial y}=\mu_{1} \lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\overline{\mu_{1} \lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}}+\lambda_{2}^{\mu_{2}}{ }^{\phi} \dot{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{\phi}{ }_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
& +\mu_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)+\overline{\mu_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Equations 3.2 and 3.6, and on the basis of Equations 3.23 through 3.31, the general expression for the components of stresses can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=2 \operatorname{Real}\left[\mu_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\mu_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\mu_{3}^{2} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{3.32}\\
& \sigma_{y}=2 \operatorname{Real}\left[\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{3.33}\\
& \sigma_{x y}=-2 \operatorname{Real}\left[\mu_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{3.34}\\
& \sigma_{x z}=2 \operatorname{Real}\left[\mu_{1} \lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\mu_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{3.35}\\
& \sigma_{y z}=-2 \operatorname{Real}\left[\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{3.36}\\
& \sigma_{z}=-\frac{1}{C_{33}}\left(C_{13} \sigma_{x}+C_{23} \sigma_{y}+C_{34} \sigma_{y z}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The displacements $u$, $v$, and $w$ can be obtained by the substitutimon of Equations 3.32 through 3.37 into Equations 3.5 and integration of the resulting equations. Thus:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=2 R e a l \sum_{k=1}^{k=2}\left(a_{11} \mu_{k}^{2}+a_{12}-\lambda_{k} a_{14}\right) \phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Real}\left\{\left[\lambda_{3}\left(a_{11} \mu_{3}^{2}+a_{12}\right)-a_{14}\right] \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.38}\\
& v=2 \operatorname{Real} \sum_{k=1}^{k=2}\left(a_{12} \mu_{k}+\frac{a_{22}}{\mu_{k}}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\mu_{k}} a_{24}\right) \phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& + \text { Real }\left\{\left[\lambda_{3}\left(a_{12} \mu_{3}+\frac{a_{22}}{\mu_{3}}\right)-\frac{a_{24}}{\mu_{3}}\right] \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.39}\\
& w=\operatorname{Real} \sum_{k=1}^{k=2}\left(a_{14^{\mu} k}+\frac{a_{24}}{\mu_{k}}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\mu_{k}} a_{44}\right) \phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& + \text { Real }\left\{\left[\lambda_{3}\left(a_{14} \mu_{3}+\frac{a_{24}}{\mu_{3}}\right)-\frac{a_{44}}{\mu_{3}}\right] \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right\} \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

The stresses and the displacements in cylindrical coordinate systems
can be obtained by substitution of Equations 3.32 through 3.40 into Equations 2.10 and 2.11.

It is clear from Equations 3.32 through 3.40 that $\phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$ is the only function needed for the determination of stresses and displacements. This function can be determined from the boundary conditions, as shown in the next section.
3.4 DETERMINATION OF

THE STRESS FUNCTION
The relationships between the stresses along the boundary and inside the region can be obtained by the combination of Equations 2.8 and Equations 3.32 through 3.37. Thus:
$\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}+\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\overline{\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\overline{\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}=\int_{0}^{S}-\bar{Y} d S \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)+\overline{\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)}+\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\overline{\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}=\int_{0}^{S} \overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{dS} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)}+\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)}+\phi_{3}\left(\mathrm{z}_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\overline{\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)}=c_{0} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is an arc length along the boundary and $C_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant.

The arguments, $z_{k}$, in the above functions can be written as (see Equation 3.15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}=\frac{r}{2}\left(1-i \mu_{k}\right) \exp (i \theta)+\frac{r}{2}\left(1+i \mu_{k}\right) \exp (-i \theta)(k=1,2,3) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=b$ at the boundary and $i$ is $a$ complex number (i.e., $i=0,1$ ).

The function $\phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$ in the above equations can be considered as functions of the parameter $\theta$ having period $2 \pi$ (References 9 and 13). Hence, Equations 3.41 through 3.43 satisfy Dirichlet conditions and can be expressed by the following two series:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Real}\left[\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{n} \frac{e^{-i n \theta}}{r^{n}} \cdot\right)  \tag{3.45}\\
& \operatorname{Real}\left[\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}{\overline{d_{n}}}_{n}^{r^{n}}\right)  \tag{3.46}\\
& \quad \text { Real }\left[\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Real}\left(C_{0}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

A comparison between Equations 3.41 through 3.43 and 3.45 through 3.47 leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{S}-\bar{Y} d S=b \int_{0}^{\theta}-\bar{Y} d \theta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{n} \frac{e^{i n \theta}}{b^{n}}+\bar{b}_{n} \frac{e^{-i n \theta}}{b^{n}}\right)  \tag{3.48}\\
& \int_{0}^{S} \bar{X} d S=b \int_{0}^{\theta} \bar{X} d \theta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(d_{n} \frac{e^{i n \theta}}{b^{n}}+\bar{d}_{n} \frac{e^{-i n \theta}}{b^{n}}\right) \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $b_{n}$ and $d_{n}$ can be obtained by use of the properties of the Fourier series (Reference 13) and the problem geometry defined in Section 2.2, which yield the following values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{b}_{1}=-\frac{P_{o} b^{2}}{2}, \quad \bar{d}_{1}=-\frac{P_{o} b^{2} i}{2}  \tag{3.50}\\
& b_{n}=d_{n}=0 \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of Equations 3.50 into Equations 3.45 through 3.47 leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Real }\left[\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Real}\left(-\frac{P_{o} b^{2}}{2 r} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}\right)  \tag{3.51}\\
& \text { Real }\left[\mu_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\mu_{3} \lambda_{3} \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Real}\left(\frac{-P_{0} b^{2} i}{2 r} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}\right) \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Real}\left[\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]=0 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

When Equations 3.51 through 3.53 are solved, this results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{3} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)+\left(1-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \exp (-i \theta)  \tag{3.54}\\
& \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}-1\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \exp (-i \theta)  \tag{3.55}\\
& \phi_{3}\left(z_{3}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{2} \lambda_{1}-\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \exp (-i \theta) \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative of the functions $\phi_{k}$ can be easily obtained from the above equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{3} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)+\left(1-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \\
\times\left(\frac{\sin \theta+i \cos \theta}{\sin \theta-\mu_{1} \cos \theta}\right) \tag{3.57}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}-1\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \\
\times\left(\frac{\sin \theta+i \cos \theta}{\sin \theta-\mu_{2} \cos \theta}\right) \\
\phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}\right)=\frac{P_{0} b^{2}}{2 r^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{2} \lambda_{1}-\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) i}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \\
\times\left(\frac{\sin \theta+i \cos \theta}{\sin \theta-\mu_{3} \cos \theta}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{array}
$$

The distribution of stresses can be determined from Equations 2.10, 3.32 through 3.37 and 3.57 through 3.59 , and the distribution of displacements can be determined from Equations 2.11, 3.38 through 3.40 , and 3.54 through 3.56. The computer program BOREHOLE was developed to solve numerically the above system of equations and to generate various plots of stress and displacement distributions around the cylindrical cavity. Examples of the distribution of stresses and displacements are given in Figures 3.1 through 3.8.

The volume change of a unit length along the generator of the borehole can be obtained from the radial displacement (Equation 3.38) at $r=b$, and $\theta=0$, and $\theta=\pi / 2$ :

$$
\frac{\Delta V}{V}=\frac{\pi[b+u(b, 0)]\left[b+u\left(b, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]-\pi b^{2}}{\pi b^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta V}{V}=\frac{u(b, 0)+u\left(b, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{b}+\frac{u(b, 0) u\left(b, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{b^{2}} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 3.60 is a function of the five material properties as well as the angle of inclination of the borehole, $\psi$ (Figure 2.1).

Therefore, the solution of Equation 3.60 for the material properties is not straightforward and requires an iterative scheme and a large computer program such as BOREHOLE. However, the solution is relatively simple if four material properties as well as the volume change are known.

In the following section, spatial stress and displacement distributions for a sample problem are investigated. The material properties used in this sample problem as well as the angle of inclination of the borehole are tabulated below.

| E | $E^{\prime}$ |  |  | G' | G |  | ro | ${ }^{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ksi | ksi | $v$ | $v^{\prime}$ |  | ksi | degree | inch | ksi |
| 7.8 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 30 | 1.5 | 1.0 |

### 3.5 SPATIAL STRESS <br> DISTRIBUTION FOR SAMPLE PROBLEM

Figure 3.1 shows a typical result of the radial and tangential stresses along the radius for $\theta=0$ degrees $^{1}$ (Figure 2.1) at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees. The solid line shows the radial stress while the dashed line shows the tangential stress.

Figure 3.2 shows a radial stress contour in dimensionless form, $\sigma_{r} / P_{o}$, at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees. It is clear from this figure that the radial stress attenuates to a value of $\sigma_{r} / P_{o}=0.25$ at $r=2 r_{0}$.

The distribution of tangential stress along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees is shown in Figure 3.3. . Figure 3.4 shows the distribution of radial and shear stresses along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees. It is interesting to note that the shear stress, $\sigma_{\theta z}$, along the boundary of the borehole is not zero but would be if the material were isotropic.

1
A table of factors for converting U. S. customary units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 2.

Figure 3.5 shows a typical result of the radial displacements along the radius of the borehole for $\theta=0$ and 90 degrees at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees. The solid line shows the radial displacement for $\theta=90$ degrees and the dashed line shows the radial displacement for $\theta=0$ degrees. Note that both the solid and the dashed lines would coincide if the material is isotropic.

Figure 3.6 shows a radial displacement contour in dimensionless form $u_{r} / u_{r}\left(r_{0}\right)$, at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees where $u_{r}$ is the radial displacement at $r$ and $\theta$, and $u_{r}\left(r_{0}\right)$ is the radial displacement along the boundary of the borehole. It is clear from Figures 3.5 and 3.6 that for this case approximately one half of the borehole volume change is due to strains in the material within one borehole-radius of the sidewall. It is also clear that three fourths of the borehole volume change is due to strains that occur at less than three radii from the borehole sidewall. Since the radius of a borehole pressuremeter test is typically 1.5 inches, only a very small volume of in situ material close to the borehole can significantly influence the test results.

The distribution of the radial and tangential displacements along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees is shown in Figure 3.7. As the figure shows, the borehole deforms to an elliptical shape under load.

Figure 3.8 shows a tangential displacement contour in dimensionless form $v_{\theta} / v_{\theta}\left(r_{0}\right)$ where $v_{\theta}$ is the tangential displacement at $r$ and $\theta$, and $\nabla_{\theta}\left(r_{0}\right)$ is the tangential displacement along the boundary of the borehole.


Figure 3.1 Distribution of radial and tangential stresses along the radius for $\theta=0$ degrees at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees.


Figure 3.2 Contour for radial stress at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees.


Figure 3.3 Distribution of tangential stress along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees.
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Figure 3.4 Distribution of radial and shear stresses along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees.


Figure 3.5 Distribution of radial displacement $u_{r}$ along the radius for $\theta=0$ and 90 degrees at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees.


Figure 3.6 Contour for radial displacement at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees.

## LEGEND



Figure 3.7 Distribution of radial and tangential displacements along the boundary of the borehole whose angle of inclination is 30 degrees.


Figure 3.8 Contour for tangential displacement at an angle of inclination of 30 degrees.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The solution presented herein can be used in the analysis of borehole pressuremeter test data to provide an appropriate set of linear elastic transverse-isotropic constitutive properties for a given medium and to provide an index of a specific site's degree of anisotropy. To du this, a series of pressuremeter tests in boreholes inclined at several different angles to the material's axis of symmetry have to be conducted.

It is recommended that this solution be used at a very low stress Level or whenever the material of interest is assumed to be linear elastic transverse-isotropic. For a highly nonlinear material, however, this solution gives effective constitutive properties.
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$\sigma_{\theta} \quad$ Tangential stress
$\sigma_{\theta z}$ Shear stress in $\theta z$ plane
$\psi \quad$ Angle of inclination of the borehole
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