**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Engineer Research and
Development Center

Sustainability Product Properties in Building Information Models

Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.

September 2012

11 East Adams Street, Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60603

Prepared under Cooperative Research and Development Agreement CRADA-07-CERL-02
under the supervision of

E. William East, Project Manager (CEERD-CF-N)

*Construction Engineering Research Laboratory
US Army Engineer Research and Development Center
2902 Newmark Drive
Champaign, IL 61822*

Sustainability Product Properties in Building Information Models

Kristine K. Fallon, Robert A. Feldman, Julia Greenberger, and Gregory R. Williams

Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.

11 East Adams Street, Suite 1100

Chicago, IL 60603

Holly J. Genc, Lourdes M. Gonzalez, and Josh Greenfield

Primera Engineers, Ltd.

100 South Wacker Drive, Suite 700

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Final report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for US Army Corps of Engineers
Washington, DC 20314-1000

Under CRADA-07-CERL-02

Monitored by Construction Engineering Research Laboratory
US Army Engineer Research and Development Center
2902 Newmark Drive, Champaign, IL 61822

Abstract

There are currently several competing efforts to define and measure sustainability. To date, no single concept of facility sustainability is widely accepted as being definitive because the term is often loosely used to define the particular environmental and social goals of any given sustainability proponent. This work looks beyond an abstract definition of facility sustainability and proposes a set of product properties that can be measured to represent the natural resources consumed to produce and operate facility assets.

Development of this set of sustainability properties included a review of prominent tools and systems for assessing facility sustainability, including contributions by industry experts. The project also included analysis of how sustainability product properties may be integrated with Building Information Modeling (BIM) technology to improve US Army facilities. The report includes sustainability properties for 56 building elements, which have been incorporated into building property templates for use in BIM models.

DISCLAIMER: The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

Contents

Abstract.....	ii
List of Figures and Tables	vi
Preface.....	viii
Introduction	9
1 Guiding Principles for High Performance and Sustainable Buildings.....	12
1.1 Overview.....	12
1.2 Employ integrated design principles	13
1.2.1 Integrated design	13
1.2.2 Commissioning.....	14
1.3 Sustainable sites	14
1.3.1 Select appropriate sites.....	15
1.3.2 Control erosion, sedimentation, and water quality	15
1.3.3 Provide alternative transportation	16
1.3.4 Minimize site and habitat disturbance	17
1.3.5 Manage Storm Water Runoff	17
1.3.6 Reduce heat islands	19
1.4 Protect and conserve water	19
1.4.1 Building water conservation.....	20
1.4.2 Irrigation and landscaping.....	21
1.4.3 Water recycling and reuse.....	21
1.5 Optimize energy performance	21
1.5.1 Energy efficiency.....	23
1.5.2 Onsite renewable energy.....	23
1.5.3 Measurement and verification	24
1.5.4 Benchmarking.....	24
1.6 Reduce environmental impact of materials.....	24
1.6.1 Recycled content.....	24
1.6.2 Bio-based content.....	25
1.6.3 Construction Waste.....	25
1.6.4 Ozone-depleting compounds.....	25
1.7 Enhance indoor environmental quality	25
1.7.1 Ventilation and thermal comfort	26
1.7.2 Moisture control	26
1.7.3 Daylighting.....	26
1.7.4 Low-emitting materials	27
1.7.5 Protect indoor air quality during construction	27
1.7.6 Smoke-free environment	27
2 Survey of Available Tools	35

2.1	Overview.....	35
2.2	Rating systems	37
2.2.1	Leadership in Environmental and Energy Design (LEED).....	37
2.2.2	Green Globes.....	40
2.2.3	Energy Star®.....	42
2.2.4	Green Guide for Health Care and LEED for Healthcare.....	44
2.2.5	PassivHaus Standard.....	46
2.3	Compliance programs	48
2.3.1	eQUEST®.....	48
2.3.2	EnergyPlus.....	49
2.3.3	Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability (BEES)	49
2.3.4	Pharos and GreenSpec.....	51
2.4	Comparison of the rating systems.....	51
2.4.1	Building types covered.....	51
2.4.2	Categories of measurement.....	52
2.4.3	Levels of certification.....	53
2.4.4	Certification process	53
2.5	Tool capabilities required for support of Guiding Principles	53
2.5.1	eQUEST inputs.....	58
2.5.2	EnergyPlus inputs	59
2.5.3	BEES inputs.....	63
2.5.4	Pharos inputs	63
3	Sustainability Tool Capability Gaps	64
3.1	Overview.....	64
3.2	Building product properties data	64
3.3	Life-cycle assessment	65
3.4	Life-cycle cost analysis.....	66
3.5	Methodology for LCCA tools	66
3.6	Chemical information in building products	66
3.7	Building product durability information.....	67
3.8	Ongoing operations and maintenance.....	67
3.9	Energy modeling issues	67
3.10	MEP sustainable materials assessment	68
3.11	Quantification of carbon emissions.....	68
3.12	Benchmarking building performance.....	69
4	Process for Selecting Sustainability Properties	71
4.1	Overview.....	71
4.2	Step 1: Learn from precedent efforts.....	72
4.2.1	Specifiers' Properties information exchange	72
4.2.2	productguide™.....	72
4.2.3	Green Building XML schema	73
4.2.4	IFC-based efforts	74
4.3	Step 2: Select product categories and types	75
4.4	Step 3: Develop draft set of sustainability properties.....	76

4.4.1	Document relevant sustainability properties from precedent projects	76
4.4.2	Convene discipline-specific sustainability experts	77
4.4.3	Submit for industry review.....	77
4.5	Sustainability properties not included	78
5	Consensus Properties.....	80
5.1	Overview.....	80
5.2	Selection of representative products	80
5.3	Sustainability property comparisons.....	81
5.4	Outside review of the sustainability properties	81
5.5	Creation of IFC and COBie templates.....	82
5.6	Importation of sustainability properties into the Revit experimental building models	82
5.7	Export of models to IFC	84
6	Sustainability Analysis Scenarios.....	103
6.1	Overview.....	103
6.2	Standards	103
6.2.1	Family parameters	104
6.2.2	Shared parameters	104
6.2.3	Sustainability requirements	104
6.3	Scenario 1: LEED Water Efficiency Credit 3–Water Use Reduction	105
6.4	Scenario 2: LEED Sustainable Sites Credit 7.2–Heat Island Effect - Roof.....	107
6.5	Summary.....	109
7	Adding Sustainability Properties to IFC Model Through COBie Spreadsheet.....	110
7.1	Overview.....	110
7.2	Procedure.....	110
7.3	Demonstration.....	111
7.4	Conversion issues.....	113
7.4.1	Loss of model geometry	113
7.4.2	BIM Services.....	114
7.5	Conclusion	114
Appendix A: Proceedings of the ERDC-CERL Sustainability Product Properties Workshop.....		116
Appendix B: Extended Building Information Templates.....		203
Report Documentation Page		

Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 5-1. Sample additional attribute rows in a COBie spreadsheet.	82
Figure 5-2: Revit parameter properties dialog box showing the Lamp Efficiency property applied to Lighting Fixtures	84
Figure 6-1. Parameter properties dialog.	104
Figure 6-2. Reproduction of table from 2009 edition of LEED Reference Guide for Green Building Design and Construction.	105
Figure 6-3. Duplex A, total annual water usage schedule.	106
Figure 6-4. Duplexes A and B, plumbing fixtures.	106
Figure 6-5. Duplex B, total annual water usage schedule.	106
Figure 6-6. Baseline SRI requirements–Table from 2009 LEED reference guide.	107
Figure 6-7: Option 1: EPDM Roof– SRI of 7.....	108
Figure 6-8: Option 2: TPO Roof–SRI of 111.....	108
Figure 6-9. Option 1–EPDM roofing schedule.....	108
Figure 6-10. Option 2–TPO roofing schedule.	108
Figure 7-1. COBie Properties of fixture in Solibri.	111
Figure 7-2. COBie spreadsheet Attributes tab.....	112
Figure 7-3. Sustainability properties information.....	113
Figure 7-4. IFC MEP model in Solibri after export from Revit.....	114
Figure 7-5. IFC MEP model after BIM Services conversion from COBIE to IFC.	114

Tables

Table 1-1. Guiding Principles and subcategories.	28
Table 1-2. Resource index.	28
Table 1-3. Guiding Principles sections relevant to source documents (listed according to source document).	30
Table 1-4. Source documents related to individual Guiding Principles (listed according to Guiding Principle).	32
Table 1-5. Comparison of LEED® categories and Guiding Principles.....	34
Table 2-1. List of evaluated sustainability tools.....	35
Table 2-2. Summary of evaluated rating systems.....	37
Table 2-3. Tool summary–compliance programs.....	48
Table 2-4. Summary of tool types required for Army sustainability initiatives.....	54
Table 2-5. Summary of tools by input type.	56
Table 3-2. Summary of gap analysis.	70
Table 4-1. Target element types and product categories.	75
Table 4-2. Window sustainability properties from multiple sources.....	76

Table 4-3. Sustainability Properties Suggested But Not Included in Templates.	79
Table 5-1. Target Element Types and Product Categories.	85
Table 5-2. Sample products by Category and Element Type.	85
Table 5-3. Sample of a property comparison for a window.	87
Table 5-4: Proposed Sustainability Properties.	88
Table 5-5. Additional OPie Properties.	90
Table 5-6. Additional SPie and sustainability properties from CSI review.	94
Table 5-7. Property-product matrix.	97

Preface

This report was prepared by Kristine Fallon Associates for the US Army Engineering Research and Development Center, Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL) under Cooperative Research and Development Agreement CRADA-07-CERL-02, "Cooperative Research And Development Agreement Between US Army Engineer Research and Development Center – Construction Engineering Laboratory and National Institute Of Building Sciences." The technical reviewer was Dr. E. William East, CEERD-CF-N.

The work was supervised and monitored by the Engineering Processes Branch (CF-N) of the Facilities Division (CF), US Army Engineer Research and Development Center – Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL). At the time of publication, Donald K. Hicks was Chief, CEERD-CF-N; L. Michael Golish was Chief, CEERD-CF; and Martin J. Savoie, CEERD-CV-ZT, was the Technical Director for Installations. The Deputy Director of ERDC-CERL was Dr. Kirankumar Topudurti and the Director was Dr. Ilker Adiguzel.

The following individuals are acknowledged for their significant contributions to this work:

- Dr. Vladimir Bazjanac, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
- Paul Bertram, Kingspan Insulated Panels, Inc., De Land, FL
- Mark Kalin, Kalin Associates, Inc., Newton, MA
- John Kennedy, Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, CA
- Arol Wolford, SmartBIM, Norcross, GA.

COL Kevin J. Wilson was the Commander of ERDC, and Dr. Jeffery P. Holland was the Director.

Introduction

Background

Since FY08 (DoD Memorandum, “Sustainable Design and Development Policy Update –SPiRiT to LEED Transition”) the Army has been using the US Green Building Council (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating system for the Military Construction program. Projects starting in FY08 have been required to meet LEED-NC (New Construction), v2.2 requirements for compliance with a Silver Level certification. Residential Housing projects are similarly required to follow the LEED for Homes rating system as their standard to show compliance for sustainability. All major renovation projects exceeding \$7.5 million are required to follow the LEED EBOM (Existing Buildings, Operation and Maintenance) rating system to show compliance at the Certified Level. Actual documentation through the USGBC (Green Building Certification Institute –GBCI, is their American National Standards Institute (ANSI) compliant certification arm) certification is not required. Projects are jointly reviewed by an internal appointed team to determine compliance.

As of 25 October 2010, the DoD Memorandum, “Department of Defense Sustainable Buildings Policy” stated that all new construction and major renovations are required to follow the Guiding Principles in the High Performance and Sustainable Buildings MOU, in addition to the existing regulations that require LEED Silver compliance. This document also states that beginning in FY12 for projects in the planning stage (not yet in full design), the sum of energy and water efficiency credits shall equal or exceed 40% of the points required for a LEED-Silver, v2.2 (or equal) rating. This additional requirement highlights Army’s focus on the importance of pursuing additional energy-and water-related credits. Some of the strategies that may be used to meet these goals in these areas will be the use of alternative energy sources, cool roofs and day lighting strategies.

A very important element of the Army’s requirements is the need to evaluate each sustainability strategy using a life-cycle cost analysis. This is a requirement of the Guiding Principles for Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings. It is not something that is formally required as part of LEED. Every sustainability measure discussed in this

report is subjected to a life-cycle cost analysis to determine if it is cost effective for the project.

There are currently several competing efforts to define and measure sustainability. To date, no single approach to the issue of sustainability is widely accepted as being definitive because the term “sustainability” is often loosely used to define the particular environmental and social goals of a speaker or organization at a given time. Instead of addressing the abstract question “What is sustainability?”, this work attempted to identify a set of quantifiable product properties that can be measured to represent the natural resources consumed to produce and use facility assets.

Objectives

The objective of this project was to develop, document, and create examples of a proposed model for the specification, delivery, and measurement of sustainability information about US Army building projects in Building Information Models (BIMs).

Approach

This study addresses three types of common Army buildings using experimental building models previously developed for officer housing, administrative offices, and clinics.¹

A workshop was convened with invited building industry experts to define a minimum set of sustainability properties needed for BIM data exchanges for Architectural Elements/Finishes, Mechanical Equipment, Electrical Equipment, and Water Systems (see Appendix A for the workshop proceedings). These properties were then used to illustrate the delivery of life-cycle sustainability information through the BIM environment and perform a model-checking demonstration.

In developing requirements for a sustainability data exchange, the authors reviewed the Federal Memorandum of Understanding entitled “Guiding Principles for Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings.” They also surveyed currently available sustainability rating sys-

¹ Johnson, Mark, and Kristine K. Fallon. September 2011. *Experimental Building Information Models*. ERDC/CERL CR-11-2. Champaign, IL: US Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Construction Engineering Research Laboratory.

tems and data properties, assessing them for capability gaps that should be filled in a sustainability data exchange specification for buildings.

1 Guiding Principles for High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

1.1 Overview

This chapter summarizes current sustainability requirements placed on Army facilities. The focus is specifically on certain very common building types currently planned by the Army: single-family residential, low-rise multifamily residential, office and medical facilities. This review includes federal, agency, and department regulations and public law.

The Guiding Principles were created to help implement common strategies across governmental facilities to develop High Performance and Sustainable Buildings. They were established on January 24, 2006 through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) committing the Federal government to designing, constructing, and operating its facilities in an energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable manner, consistent with Federal agency missions. The MOU encourages the use of life-cycle concepts, consensus-based standards, and performance measurement and verification methods that lead to sustainable buildings. It also establishes the five Guiding Principles for High Performance and Sustainable Buildings that all Executive Branch agencies are to follow in the design, construction, and commissioning of federal buildings.

Executive Order (EO) 13423, “Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management” (January 24, 2007) instructs Federal agencies to conduct their environmental, transportation, and energy-related activities under the law in support of their respective missions in an environmentally, economically and fiscally sound, integrated, continuously improving, efficient, and sustainable manner. EO 13423 consolidates prior “Greening the Government” Executive Orders and integrates the sustainable practices of those orders into a cohesive approach to environmental, energy, and transportation management. Executive Order 13423 requires Federal agencies to lead by example in advancing the nation’s energy security and environmental performance. One of the sustainable environmental and energy practices of Executive Order 13423 is compliance with the High Performance and Sustainable Buildings principles of the Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

Memorandum of Understanding in the design, construction and/or major renovation, and commissioning of federal buildings.

The Guiding Principles translate this into six sustainable practices: employ integrated design principles, use sustainable sites, protect and conserve water, optimize energy performance, reduce environmental impact of materials, and enhance indoor environmental quality. These categories are listed and divided into subcategories in Table 1-1. Table 1-2 and supporting text describe all significant policy and standards sources related to the Guiding Principles, and Table 1-3 through Table 1-5 show the specific interconnections between the Guiding Principles and source documents. (The tables are shown at the end of this chapter.)

The text summarizes the requirements and policies for the major sustainability elements included in Army policies and regulations. These elements use both qualitative and quantitative metrics to help establish and assess the level of sustainability. This information is used to establish the level of sustainability properties for materials to be used by the Army.

1.2 Employ integrated design principles

1.2.1 Integrated design

The integrated design process, also known as the “whole building approach,” is one of the first steps in developing a sustainable building. It requires participation of every team member to resolve issues, establish objectives and create a cohesive design. This needs to happen from the planning phase all the way through construction. Team members may include the Owner, Facility Users, Architect, Engineers, Contractors, Commissioning Agent, and Environmental and Energy Consultant. This approach is a powerful tool that can result in creative solutions and a multitude of benefits that would not be realized by isolated team members. This Integrated Design Process is also required by the Guiding Principles MOU.

Performance goals for siting, energy, water, materials and indoor environmental quality along with other comprehensive design goals shall be established by the Integrated Project Team at the project planning and programming stage. The outcome of the project will be most affected by the decisions made in this early stage, as well as have the greatest impact upon facility life-cycle costs. Incorporation of these goals throughout the design

and lifecycle of the building also needs to occur for a successful project. Department of Defense (DoD) Form 1391 was created to document this process of goal setting and project planning. This form is a requirement for all projects, but by completing this form you can document the results of a collaborative effort by the Project Team during a planning/ programming charrette.

The Integrate Design requirements are part of the Guiding Principles, stemming from DOE Order 413.3A, "Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets." It states that all projects must have an Integrated Design Team. The Guiding Principles refer to the Whole Building Design Guide (www.wbdg.org) for instruction on how to set up the integrated project team, as well as, ongoing maintenance throughout all stages of a project's planning and execution.

1.2.2 Commissioning

The Army's Sustainability Policy also requires Building Commissioning as part of any new construction project or major renovation. A commissioning plan is produced by a qualified Commissioning Agent at the beginning stage of each project. It captures the project requirements and identifies the project's commissioning goals. Most importantly, it determines what building components, systems and equipment will be verified for proper performance, ensuring that the design requirements are met. The size and complexity of the project will determine the extent of the commissioning scope. For large and/or high risk projects, the Army requires that the Commissioning Agent (CxA) be hired directly by the government. For lower risk projects, the CxA may be hired through the prime contractor. Small, low risk projects may use the Contractor's Quality Control Manager as the CxA.

1.3 Sustainable sites

The site should be developed to optimize its potential by taking advantage of the natural site features, minimizing impacts of the built structure on the environment, and implementing passive solar heating and cooling, daylighting, and natural ventilation. Sustainable site design is a process of intervention involving the location of circulation, structures, and utilities. The process encompasses many steps from planning to construction, including initial inventory, assessment, alternative analysis, detailed design, and construction procedures and services. Key strategies for sustainable

sites are to (1) select appropriate sites, (2) control erosion, sedimentation, and water quality, (3) provide alternative transportation, (4) minimize site and habitat disturbance, (5) manage storm water runoff, and (6) reduce heat Islands.

1.3.1 Select appropriate sites

Selecting an appropriate site is one of the first sustainable strategies to be implemented on a project. When selecting the site there are several features in or near the area that should be considered. Sites that have sensitive elements and restrictive land types, such as prime farmland or wetlands, should be avoided. Sustainable site design reinforces the holistic character of a landscape. It conveys appreciation of and respect for the interrelationships of a site, illuminating the interconnection of all parts through responsive design. A site that is classified as a brownfield or has existing buildings is a more sustainable choice than undeveloped land. By choosing a site in an urban setting, the facility can take advantage of mass transportation, existing infrastructure, and existing services in the surrounding community, and development density. When developing on an existing or new Base, Installation or Campus it is highly recommended to develop a sustainable master plan for guidance on the current and future projects.

Grouping facilities close together on a site, if possible, creates density and maximizes open space. Density will help conserve resources by sharing them among facilities. Sites near existing utility corridors require shorter extension of utilities to the new facility, resulting in lower costs and shorter distances.

Brownfields are sites that are not currently usable due to contamination from previous developments. Remediating a brownfield for a new facility is a commendable sustainability practice by bringing a piece of land back to use and conserving existing natural sites, as well as creating a healthier habitat for native species in the area.

1.3.2 Control erosion, sedimentation, and water quality

Construction activities, as well as rain and wind, contribute to soil erosion, sedimentation and pollution. Developing an erosion and sediment control plan and pollution prevention plan is necessary to prevent these negative impacts on the site. The Army's requirement is the 2003 EPA Construction

General Permit, or local code if more stringent, which has requirements for the erosion and sediment control plan outlined. It describes the steps required for a Phase I and II of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). A permit is only required for site larger than 1 acre, but these measure are important for all sites. These requirements include measures to prevent the following:

- loss of soil during construction by wind erosion and stormwater runoff, including the protection of topsoil by stockpiling
- sedimentation of storm sewers or receiving streams
- pollution of air with dust and particulate matter.

Strategies to prevent the outcomes listed above include:

- temporary seeding, permanent seeding and mulching
- silt fence at project perimeter
- filter fabric at existing catch basins
- temporary gravel roads at entrance to site
- regular inspection of these measures to make sure they stay in place throughout construction.

Pollution prevention on the site includes Integrated pest management, a green cleaning program and elimination of hazardous material discharge into storm water systems. Integrated pest management requires environmentally friendly products to reduce groundwater pollution which impacts operations and maintenance procedures for pest control. Green cleaning programs are set up to make sure only cleaning products that are better for the environment are used throughout the facility. The Army also requires where hazardous discharge is a possibility, wet detention ponds or oil grit separators need to be installed to filter surface water runoff. These site elements filter the discharge through physical, biological or mechanical processes. These items are an important part of the LEED EBOM rating system and can also be used to show compliance for the Innovation and Design credits for the LEED BD+C rating system.

1.3.3 Provide alternative transportation

Sites that have several mass transit options available within walking distance of the facility help encourage occupants to utilize these options instead of driving vehicles to and from the site. Selecting sites with these features is preferred. These transit options can be provided by a local entity

or developed by the facility. By siting the facility next to these resources, smaller onsite parking lots can be planned. Encouraging car or van pooling can provide additional benefits for no additional costs.

Where parking onsite is planned, as well as roads and walkways, porous paving can be used to decrease stormwater runoff. Include preferred car-pool parking spots, preferred low-emitting/fuel-efficient vehicle parking spots, bike racks and telecommuting as options to promote good transportation habits among facility-users.

1.3.4 Minimize site and habitat disturbance

The ability to minimize site and habitat disturbance provides benefits which include reducing building and paving footprints and limiting site disturbance to a minimal area around the building perimeter. Selecting a site in areas of high density where buildings can be located adjacent to existing infrastructure also minimizes the disturbance created by construction projects where new or relocated utilities are required. Light pollution, caused by both site lighting and excessive or unshielded interior lighting, is also considered a habitat disturbance to be prevented or reduced.

Reducing light pollution guidance is provided in UFC 03-530-01, “Interior and Exterior Lighting and Controls,” based on Illuminating Engineering Society of North America’s (IESNA) “Lighting Handbook Reference and Application, 9th Edition.” Recommended measures include:

- Select fully shielded luminaires or IESNA full cutoff type for area and roadway lighting
- Provide uniform low glare lighting
- Do not over light exterior areas
- Control lighting with time clocks, photocells, and motion sensors such that lighting is only energized when needed – both exterior and interior lighting.

1.3.5 Manage Storm Water Runoff

The Army uses low-impact development (LID) for a sustainable storm water management strategy. LID is a holistic approach to site design, as well as overall sustainable design. This strategy controls water at the source, rainfall and storm water runoff. The main difference between LID and conventional systems is the concept of keeping the water onsite to return

to the groundwater rather than being carried away by a storm drain piping system to a large storm water management facility. To achieve this goal, the rate of storm water runoff, the pollutants in the water and recharge of water into the ground have to be addressed. There are a variety of methods which can be utilized to reach the goal of LID including use of structural and non-structural elements to maintain the site in predevelopment condition to the maximum extent and to distribute and collect stormwater and rainfall.

LID takes conventional design strategies to the next level, by using all surfaces, both natural and hardscape, to their best advantages to meet the end goals of storm water management. Below are some of the more typical techniques that are used in practice:

- permeable paving to reduce imperviousness
- landscaping to break up expanses of impervious surfaces
- vegetated areas to help filter runoff and return it to the groundwater
- located vegetated areas near parking areas, building and other impervious areas to slow runoff, filter out pollutants and facilitate infiltration
- avoid curbs and gutters in parking areas and roadways onsite that deter storm water flow to vegetated areas
- reduce roadway widths
- bio retention cells
- vegetated swales
- infiltration trenches
- dry cells
- design grading to lengthen flow paths for increased runoff travel, which will modify the peak flow rate
- disconnect impervious areas
- maintain natural drainage patterns to keep flow paths dispersed
- divert storm water from roofs to vegetated areas or to collection devices
- subsurface retention facilities, or cisterns, to capture rainwater for reuse in irrigation and non-potable uses
- vegetated roofs
- native and adaptive plants, which require less irrigation and are appropriate for the region.

1.3.6 Reduce heat islands

Urban area temperatures can be elevated by structures and pavements that are not sensitive to sustainable design, due to materials that absorb heat. This not only affects microclimates and natural habitats, but also increases summertime peak energy demands, air conditioning costs, air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, heat-related illness and mortality, and water quality. Approaches include:

- use of trees and vegetation for shading and evapotranspiration
- green roofs—vegetated roofs that cover the roof membrane, providing the same benefits of vegetation on the site.
- cool roofs—highly reflective and emissive materials that can remain approximately 50–60°F (28–33°C) cooler than traditional materials during peak summer weather
- cool pavements—reflective pavements increase the albedo of the surface to limit heat gain
- permeable pavers permit evaporative cooling when the pavement is moist, helping to keep it cool
- reduce the need to pave
- canopies that incorporate solar panels in parking lots.

1.4 Protect and conserve water

Executive Order 13123 and the Energy Policy Act of 1992 require Federal agencies to install cost-effective water conservation measures in their facilities but do not include water conservation goals. Executive Order 13423 requires agencies to reduce water consumption intensity by 2 percent annually through 2015.

Based on these policies, the Army requires a facility water management plan for their new and existing facilities based on the Federal Energy Management Program's (FEMP) Facility Water Management Planning Guidelines and Ten Best Management Practices for Water Conservation. Key strategies include using potable water efficiently and reusing or recycling water onsite.

The Guiding Principles require indoor potable water usage to achieve a minimum of 20 percent water use savings as compared to the baseline, after meeting the Energy Policy Act of 1992 fixture performance requirements. For outdoor water, a 50 percent potable water use reduction over

conventional landscaping and irrigation installations is desired. Water re-use and recycling and plant selection are suggested strategies. Storm water runoff and polluted site water runoff also require reduction measures. Refer to Sustainable Sites for more detail on storm water runoff.

A Military Handbook on Water Conservation was published in 2007 to provide guidance on implementation of the requirements. It specifically addresses creating a Water Conservation Plan. Some of the water-saving measures suggested for the Army are listed below.

1.4.1 Building water conservation

Numerous opportunities are available, including:

- Provide water conservation awareness training to building occupants.
- Provide low-flow plumbing fixtures and shorter shower times.
- Reduce excessive backwashing, well discharges, and leaks from the water well and treatment plant (the water supply source).
- Prepare a leak detection program to eliminate water being wasted before it ever reaches its intended purpose.
- Provide metering of the distribution system to determine where your major water users reside. this is most effective for applications where a tenant is paying for their water.
- Reduce water pressure to no more than 50-60 pounds per square inch (psi) (345-414 kiloPascals (kPa)).
- Consider eliminating water softeners where not needed, e.g., geographical areas where the tap water is not excessively hard, and for applications such as drinking, landscaping, and toilet flushing.
- Review HVAC water requirements.
- Locate water heaters close to the end-use devices. Install an auto-setback control. Install a 360 degree loop heat trap or anti-convection valve to keep water driven by convection from traveling from the water heater. Include a hot water recovery system to minimize the loss of leftover hot water in the distribution pipes by drawing it back to the tank. Use tankless water heaters where continuous hot water is required for discrete and known periods of time
- Exploit unique opportunities for water conservation in medical facilities related to specialized equipment including x-ray machines, autoclaves and sterilizers
- Regularly inspect and maintain building equipment to detect leaks and malfunctions and prevent extended times of water losses

1.4.2 Irrigation and landscaping

Irrigation and landscaping is a major source of water waste. The “U.S. Air Force Landscape Design Guide” and AWWA’s “Water-Efficient Landscape Guidelines” include many approaches:

- rain sensors that shut off automatic irrigation systems in response to rainfall
- properly programmed automatic in-ground irrigation systems
- native, drought-tolerant and adaptive turf and plants for landscaping that do not require a permanent irrigation system
- graywater or rainwater collection for irrigation where feasible.

Maintenance and scheduling guidelines are also provided in these guides, such as adjusting automated controls when the seasons change, water deeply and infrequently, water in the morning, use mulches, control weeds

1.4.3 Water recycling and reuse

Implement these practices for:

- for irrigation
- for flushing toilets and urinals
- cooling tower make-up water.

1.5 Optimize energy performance

Executive Order 13423 and the Energy Policy Act of 2005 require Federal agencies to install cost-effective energy conservation measures in their facilities. The Army Corps of Engineers requires energy consumption levels that are a minimum of 30 percent better than ASHRAE 90.1-2004. Energy consumption levels for both the baseline building and proposed building shall be determined by using the Performance Rating Method found in ASHRAE Standard 90.1. Appendix G except the formula for calculating the Performance Rating. The Army’s requirement for this calculation is available in UFC-3-400-01, “Energy Conservation.” This document requires an Energy Compliance Audit performed by various professionals on the design team, including a narrative written by the Lead Project Architect, Lead Project Mechanical Engineer, and the Lead Project Electrical Engineer.

New single-family housing and low-rise (3 stories or less) multifamily residential buildings shall be designed and constructed in accordance with CFR Title 10 CFR Part 435. Specifically, residential buildings shall achieve an energy consumption level that is at least 30 percent below the International Code Council (ICC) International Energy Conservation Code. Energy consumption levels for both the baseline building and proposed building shall be determined by using the Simulated Performance Alternative found in the ICC International Energy Conservation Code. For all building types, if a minimum of 30 percent energy consumption savings cannot be achieved in a life-cycle cost-effective manner, the maximum savings level that is life-cycle cost-effective shall be achieved.

All energy consuming products shall be either Energy Star-qualified or FEMP-recommended. These products are in the upper 25 percent of energy efficiency in their class. These purchasing requirements are codified by FAR Part 23. All energy consuming products shall also be designated as using “low standby power” as required by Executive Order 13221.

Specific to the Guiding Principles, the design team must establish a whole building performance target that takes into account the intended use, occupancy, operations, plug loads, other energy demands, and design to earn the Energy Star® targets for new construction and major renovation where applicable. Based on the EO 13423, for new construction, the Guiding Principles require an energy reduction of 30 percent compared to the baseline building performance rating per the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., (ASHRAE)/Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Standard 90.1-2007, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential. (Note that EO 13423 refers to the 2004 standard) Furthermore, for major renovations, the Guiding Principles also sets a standard for energy use reduction of 20 percent below pre-renovations 2003 baseline, and for laboratory spaces, designers may use the Labs21 Laboratory Modeling Guidelines. The Guiding Principles require standard purchasing policies, constructions specifications, or proof of purchase on required projects to show that Energy Star® and FEMP-designated Energy Efficient Products were used, where available.

The key strategies for conserving energy include energy efficiency in buildings, use of onsite renewable energy or green power, measurement and verification and benchmarking.

1.5.1 Energy efficiency

The basics in designing an energy-efficient, high-performance building include:

- integrating the building with the site
- using renewable and/or distributed energy resources
- optimizing the building envelope
- specifying efficient lighting and appliances
- specifying correctly-sized heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems
- recovering waste energy
- developing appropriate system control strategies.

The use of energy modeling programs early in the design process will help to guide architectural and engineering decisions to achieve the energy use goals defined in the planning stage.

1.5.2 Onsite renewable energy

The Army promotes the purchase of bulk green power or generating power onsite. Green power sources are clean and rapidly renewable, such as wind, solar, geothermal, biomass, and small hydroelectric. The EPAct 2005 defines renewable as electric energy generated from solar, wind, biomass, landfill gas, ocean (including tidal, wave, current, and thermal), geothermal, and municipal solid waste. The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA), Section 523 requires 30% of the hot water demand in new Federal buildings (and major renovations) be met with solar hot water equipment, stipulated by the Guiding Principles provided it is life-cycle cost-effective. It also requires the reduction of fossil fuel consumption relative to the 2003 baseline by 55% by 2010, 65% by 2015, 80% by 2020 and 100% by 2030. The Guiding Principles require life-cycle cost analysis be done for all viable onsite renewable energy sources, as set forth in Executive Order 13423. When they are lifecycle cost-effective, renewable energy strategies should be implemented.

The Renewable Energy Working Group (REWG), led by FEMP, was set up to provide guidance on what counts toward the renewable energy goals for federal facilities. Only electricity from renewable resources counts towards EPAct 2005 renewable energy goals as modified by EO 13423, and the facility must use the renewable energy it produces. EO 13423 requires “new”

renewable energy. This has been defined as renewable energy coming from facilities placed in service after January 1, 1999. Purchasing renewable energy credits (RECs) also counts toward the goals.

1.5.3 Measurement and verification

The Guiding Principles refer to the EAct 2005, requiring building level utility meters to track and continuously optimize performance. Monitoring of project performance starts in the planning phases and lasts throughout the life of the building. At the very beginning, quality assurance plans and procedures must be created that will provide a reference for use by everyone from designers to the building's end user. The sequence of operation and the systems parameters to be measured are defined in the design phase. The commissioning phase ensures that these systems work properly from the start. Once occupied, the building's operations and maintenance program continues to monitor the building through building automation system, energy management systems, and/or direct digital controls for all systems affecting energy efficiency.

1.5.4 Benchmarking

The Army requires annual reporting of their buildings' energy and water usage data. Per the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Federal agencies must have advanced metering capability (hourly measurements of electricity consumption and daily data reports, at a minimum) by October 1, 2012.

1.6 Reduce environmental impact of materials

Executive Order 13423 directs Federal agencies to use recycled content, bio based products, environmentally preferable products, and reduce the quantity of toxic and hazardous chemicals and materials acquired, used, or disposed of by the agencies.

1.6.1 Recycled content

The Guiding Principles require products meeting or exceeding EPA's recycled content recommendations. The EPA's recommendations list specifies products and percentage of recycled content for that product. For other products, specify materials with recycled content when practicable.

1.6.2 Bio-based content

For bio-based products, the Guiding Principles direct one to the USDA recommendations. For other products, use bio based products made from rapidly renewable resources and certified sustainable wood products.

1.6.3 Construction Waste

The DoD Memorandum “Sustainable Management of Waste in Military Construction, Renovation, and Demolition Activities,” dated February 6, 2006 requires 50% diversion of construction and demolition waste from landfills. This is calculated by weight. Contractors are required to provide a C and D Waste Management Plan. Project cost estimates must include expenses to include these services.

1.6.4 Ozone-depleting compounds

The Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP) program is EPA’s program to evaluate and regulate substitutes for ozone-depleting chemicals being phased out under the stratospheric ozone protection provisions of the Clean Air Act (CAA). To meet the Executive Order 13423 objectives, use alternatives to ozone-depleting substances to the maximum extent possible per SNAP and do not use CFC containing Halon systems in fire suppression.

1.7 Enhance indoor environmental quality

Invariably, healthy and comfortable environments will enable occupants to better perform assignments to meet their service’s mission. The Guiding Principles require for both new and existing facilities several items to meet the requirements to enhance indoor environmental quality including providing ventilation and thermal comfort, employing moisture control strategies, maximizing daylighting and lighting controls, minimizing the interior emissions of materials during and after construction, utilizing integrated pest control strategies and prohibiting smoking within and around buildings.

Key strategies for creating and maintaining a healthy and productive indoor environment include the following:

- Provide adequate levels of ventilation as determined by ASHRAE 62.1

- Minimize impacts on indoor air quality by following preventative measures during Construction.
- Do not use materials with high volatile organic compounds (VOC) with the building's envelope.
- Control disturbing odors and contaminants.
- Provide occupants with the ability to control their own environment – by providing both individual lighting controls and thermal controls.
- Maximize the use of daylighting strategies to minimize energy, and plan so all regularly occupied spaces have access to views and daylight.
- Ensure that acoustical privacy is provided, and noise transmission minimized.

1.7.1 Ventilation and thermal comfort

Meet the current ASHRAE Standard 55-2004, "Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy," including continuous humidity control within established ranges per climate zone, and ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004, "Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality." There are several prerequisites in LEED rating systems which specifically address this category which must also be taken into account when establishing the basis of design. Carbon dioxide sensors are preferred in large group spaces to provide operational control so that the right amount of fresh air is delivered.

1.7.2 Moisture control

Establish and implement a moisture control strategy for controlling moisture flows and condensation to prevent building damage and mold contamination. Use best practices for the envelope enclosure and provide proper site preparation to ensure that all moisture drains away from building's perimeter.

1.7.3 Daylighting

Achieve a minimum of daylight factor of 2 percent (excluding all direct sunlight penetration) in 75 percent of all space occupied for critical visual tasks. Provide automatic dimming controls or accessible manual lighting controls, and appropriate glare control. This daylighting strategy improves overall energy performance of your facility, and can provide increased quality of light available for these tasks. Strategies such as light shelves can help bring this light further in to the building's perimeter.

1.7.4 Low-emitting materials

Specify materials and products with low pollutant emissions, including adhesives, sealants, paints, carpet systems, and furnishings. The levels are determined by the South Coast Air Quality Management System (SCAQMD) Rule #1186. Consider removing asbestos containing materials during renovation or encapsulating it so that further migration is impossible. Also develop radon strategies in applicable areas. All areas of the building that will permanently house chemical materials should be constructed to create safe, convenient, and secure storage spaces.

1.7.5 Protect indoor air quality during construction

Follow the recommended approach of the Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractor's National Association (SMACNA) "Indoor Air Quality Guidelines for Occupied Buildings under Construction" (1995). After construction and prior to occupancy, conduct a flush-out of the entire facility with maximum outdoor air temperature and humidity consistent with the parameters established during design. This plan should be developed by the Contractor based on the parameters developed from the designed systems. Schedule material deliveries to minimize moisture exposure, and provide additional ventilation if materials contain high levels of VOCs.

1.7.6 Smoke-free environment

LEED requires that any building pursuing certification prohibits smoking with 25 feet of any entrance to the facility, outdoor air intakes and within the building proper. This requirement is a prerequisite for the rating systems. Many local jurisdictions also have regulations regarding no-smoking, however the LEED requirements are more stringent in many cases and need to be followed to meet the LEED prerequisites.

Table 1-1. Guiding Principles and subcategories.

Guiding Principle - Category	Subcategory
Employ Integrated Design Principles	Integrated Design
	Commissioning
Sustainable Sites	Select Appropriate Sites
	Provide Alternative Transportation
	Minimize Site and Habitat Disturbance
	Manage Storm Water Runoff
	Reduce Heat Islands
Protect and Conserve Water	Building Water Conservation
	Irrigation and Landscaping
	Water Recycling and Re-use
Optimize Energy Performance	Energy Efficiency
	Onsite Renewable Energy
	Measurement and Verification
	Benchmarking
Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Recycled Content
	Bio-Based Content
	Construction Waste
	Ozone Depleting Compounds
Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality	Ventilation and Thermal Comfort
	Moisture Control
	Daylighting
	Low-Emitting Materials
	Protect Indoor Air Quality during Construction
	Smoke Free

Table 1-2. Resource index.

Source	Document Name	Reference	Date
UFC	Energy Conservation	UFC-3-400-01	08/2008
UFC	Sustainable Development	UFC-4-030-01	12/21/2007
DoD	Sustainable Design and Development Policy Update –SPiRiT to LEED Transition	DoD Memorandum	01/05/2006
DoD	Sustainable Design and Development Policy Update – Life-cycle cost	DoD Memorandum	04/27/2007
ARMY	The US Army Energy and Water Campaign Plan for Installations		08/01/2006
DoD	Department of Defense Sustainable Buildings Policy	DoD Memorandum	10/25/2010
DOE	Guiding Principles for Federal Leadership	DOE	01/2006

Source	Document Name	Reference	Date
	in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings		
DOE	Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets	DOE Order 413.3A	11/17/2008
WBDG	Technical Guidance: Sustainable Sites/Smart Growth	EO 13423	
EPA	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System		
UFC	Interior and Exterior Lighting and Controls	UFC 3-530-01	12/10/2010
IESNA	IESNA Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments	IES RP-33-99	02/01/1999
WBDG	Technical Guidance: Stormwater Run-off Mitigation		
UFC	Low Impact Development Manual	UFC 3-210-10	11/15/2010
EPA	Reducing Urban Heat Islands: Compendium of Strategies		10/2008
FR	Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management	EO 13123	04/02/2002
FR	1997 Military Handbook on Water Conservation		
FR	Energy Efficiency and Water Conservation at Federal Facilities	EO 12902	03/08/1994
FR	Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management	EO 13423	01/24/2007
Air Force	U. S. Air Force Landscape Design Guide		
AWWA	Water-Efficient Landscape Guidelines		
WBDG	Executive Order 13423 Technical Guidance: Ozone Depleting Compounds		
ASHRAE	ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2007, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings	ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2007	2007
ASHRAE	ASHRAE: Standard 62.1-2004, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality	ASHRAE Standard 62-1999	2004
ASHRAE	ASHRAE: Standard 55-2004, Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy	ASHRAE Standard 55-2004	2004
USC	Energy Efficient Standards for the Design and Construction of New Federal and Commercial and Multifamily High Rise Residential Buildings	USC Title 10, Part 433	12/04/2006
USC	Energy Efficient Standards for New Federal Low-rise Residential Buildings	USC Title 10, Part 435	12/04/2006
USC	Federal Energy Management and Planning Programs, Subpart A – Methodology and Procedures for Life-cycle cost Analysis	USC Title 10, Part 436	12/04/2006
FR	Energy Efficient Standby Power Devices	EO 13221	08/02/2001
Congress	Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007		01/04/2007
ICC	ICC International Energy Conservation		2009

Source	Document Name	Reference	Date
	Code		
EPA	EPA Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP)	SNAP	
DOE, FEMP	Renewable Energy Requirement Guidance for EPACT 2005 and Executive Order 13423		01/28/2008
DoD	Sustainable Management of Waste in Military Construction, Renovation, and Demolition Activities	DoD Memorandum	02/06/2006
WBDG	Executive Order 13423 Technical: Low-Emitting Materials.		
EPA	EPA's Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines		
USDA	Biobased products	www.biopreferred.gov	
EPA	EPA's EPP database	www.epa.gov/epp	

Table 1-3. Guiding Principles sections relevant to source documents (listed according to source document).

Document Name	General	Employ Integrated Design Principles	Sustainable Sites	Protect and Conserve Water	Optimize Energy Performance	Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"		X		X	X	X	X
UFC-3-400-01, "Energy Conservation"					X		
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"		X	X	X	X	X	X
Sustainable Design and Development Policy Update – SPiRiT to LEED Transition	X						
Sustainable Design and Development Policy Update – Life-cycle cost	X						
The US Army Energy and Water Campaign Plan for Installations				X	X		
Department of Defense Sustainable Buildings Policy	X						
Guiding Principles for Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings		X		X	X	X	X

Document Name	General	Employ Integrated Design Principles	Sustainable Sites	Protect and Conserve Water	Optimize Energy Performance	Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality
DOE O. 413.3A: Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets		X					
WBDG: Technical Guidance: Sustainable Sites/Smart Growth			X				
2003 EPA Construction General Permit			X				
National Pollution Discharge Elimination System			X				
UFC 3-530-01, "Interior and Exterior Lighting and Controls"			X				
IESNA Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments			X				
USACE Sustainable Design and Development Resources: Sustainable Sites: Light Pollution Reduction			X				
WBDG Technical Guidance: Stormwater Run-off Mitigation			X				
EPA Low Impact Development Manual			X				
EPA Reducing Urban Heat Islands: Compendium of Strategies			X				
EO 13123, "Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management"	X						
1997 Military Handbook on Water Conservation				X			
Energy Efficiency and Water Conservation at Federal Facilities				X			
U. S. Air Force Landscape Design Guide				X			
Water-Efficient Landscape Guidelines				X			
Executive Order 13423 Technical Guidance: Ozone Depleting Compounds						X	
ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2007, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings					X		
Energy Efficient Standards for the Design and Construction of New Federal and Commercial and Multifamily High Rise					X		

Document Name	General	Employ Integrated Design Principles	Sustainable Sites	Protect and Conserve Water	Optimize Energy Performance	Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality
Residential Buildings							
Energy Efficient Standards for New Federal Low-rise Residential Buildings					X		
Federal Energy Management and Planning Programs, Subpart A – Methodology and Procedures for Life-cycle cost Analysis					X		
Energy Efficient Standby Power Devices					X		
Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007					X		
ICC International Energy Conservation Code					X		
EPA Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP)					X		
Renewable Energy Requirement Guidance for EPACT 2005 and Executive Order 13423					X		
Sustainable Management of Waste in Military Construction, Renovation, and Demolition Activities						X	
EPA's Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines						X	
USDA's Biobased products recommendations						X	
EPA's EPP database						X	

Table 1-4. Source documents related to individual Guiding Principles (listed according to Guiding Principle).

Guiding Principle - Employ Integrated Design Principles
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"
DOE O. 413.3A: Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets
Guiding Principle - Protect and Conserve Water
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"

UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"
The US Army Energy and Water Campaign for Installations
1997 Military Handbook on Water Conservation
Energy Efficiency and Water Conservation at Federal Facilities
U. S. Air Force Landscape Design Guide
Water-Efficient Landscape Guidelines

Guiding Principle - Optimize Energy Performance
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"
UFC-400-01, "Energy Conservation"
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"
The US Army Energy and Water Campaign for Installations
ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2007, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings
Energy Efficient Standards for the Design and Construction of New Federal and Commercial and Multifamily High Rise Residential Buildings
Energy Efficient Standards for New Federal Low-rise Residential Buildings
Federal Energy Management and Planning Programs, Subpart A – Methodology and Procedures for Life-cycle cost Analysis
Energy Efficient Standby Power Devices
Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007
ICC International Energy Conservation Code
EPA Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP)
Renewable Energy Requirement Guidance for EPACT 2005 and Executive Order 13423

Guiding Principle - Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"
Executive Order 13423 Technical Guidance: Ozone Depleting Compounds
Sustainable Management of Waste in Military Construction, Renovation, and Demolition Activities
EPA's Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines
USDA's Biobased products recommendations
EPA's EPP database

Guiding Principle - Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality
EO 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management"
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"

LEED® Principle – Sustainable Sites
UFC-4-030-01, "Sustainable Development"
WBDG: Technical Guidance: Sustainable Sites/Smart Growth
2003 EPA Construction General Permit
National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
UFC 3-530-01, "Interior and Exterior Lighting and Controls"
IESNA Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments
USACE Sustainable Design and Development Resources:

Sustainable Sites: Light Pollution Reduction
WBDG Technical Guidance: Stormwater Run-off Mitigation
EPA Low Impact Development Manual
EPA Reducing Urban Heat Islands: Compendium of Strategies

Table 1-5. Comparison of LEED® categories and Guiding Principles.

LEED®	Guiding Principles
Sustainable Sites	N/A
N/A	Employ Integrated Design Principles
Water Efficiency	Protect and Conserve Water
Energy and Atmosphere	Optimize Energy Performance
Materials and Resources	Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials
Indoor Environment Quality	Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality

2 Survey of Available Tools

2.1 Overview

This chapter evaluates current sustainability tools available on the commercial market. It compares several tools related to facility design and sustainability ratings. Interviews with recognized industry experts and review of existing technical scientific literature contribute to the findings in this chapter. Table 2-1 lists the sustainability tools evaluated for this project.

Table 2-1. List of evaluated sustainability tools.

Tool	Type	Current Version	Developer	Website
Leadership in Environmental and Energy Design (LEED)	Rating System	LEED 2009, also known as LEED v3.0	USGBC/GBCI	www.usgbc.org www.leedonline.com
Green Globes	Rating System	Online Tool and ANSI/GBI 01-2010 Pilot Program	GBI	www.greenglobes.com
Energy Star	Rating System	March 2011 Update	DOE	www.energystar.gov
Green Guide for Health Care (GGHC)	Rating System	Version 2.2 (01/2007 for Design and Construction Section. 12/2008 for Operations Section)	Center for Maximum Potential Building Systems	www.gghc.org
PassivHaus	Rating System	PHPP 2007 1.2 (Spring 2010)	PassivHaus Institut PHIUS	www.passivehouse.us/passiveHouse/PHIUSHome.html
eQUEST	Compliance Tool	v3.64 (8/25/2010)	James J. Hirsch and Associates	www.doe2.com/equest
EnergyPlus	Compliance Tool	v6.0.0 (10/18/2010)	US DOE, LBL, UIUC, CERL	www.energyplus.gov
Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability (BEES)	Compliance Tool	BEES Online 2010	NIST	www.nist.gov/el/economics/BEESSoftware.cfm
Pharos	Compliance Tool	Online Tool	Healthy Building Network	www.pharosproject.net/
GreenSpec	Compliance Tool	Online Tool is updated weekly	GreenBuilding, LLC	www.buildinggreen.com/menus/

Sustainability tools provide a defined way to measure a building's level of sustainability. They can also help to evaluate a building's design in relation to its environmental performance. Two types of sustainability tools are evaluated in this chapter: (1) rating systems and (2) compliance programs. A *rating system* is not a scientifically validated tool, but a recommenda-

tion of thresholds and goals for a wide range of sustainable technical domains. A *compliance program* is a scientifically validated tool applied to a specific technical domain such as energy performance, daylighting, etc. Compliance programs are often used in conjunction with rating systems to establish technical baseline requirements.

A substantial list of sustainability tools was developed as an initial starting point for this evaluation. Since the developed list provided a large amount of variation in terms of usability and technical input required, the team decided that a more focused list was required to complete the in-depth evaluation required by this program. An online survey was created and distributed to our panel of industry experts, as an initial data gathering method. In narrowing down the long list of sustainability tools to include in this chapter, it was also important to gather input from questionnaires and phone interviews with the panel.

Green rating systems were created to provide a uniform method of measuring green product design, promote sustainability in buildings, and define the term “green.” These are sometimes invoked to make false claims of green buildings (called *greenwashing*). The best way to avoid false claims is to use an independently developed rating system. The rating system serves as a checklist to ensure that a project actually meets environmental protection, energy reduction, and other sustainability goals.

Most sustainability rating systems include a level of certification by assigning credits or points for each sustainable practice employed by the design. It is a comprehensive evaluation of the whole project including goals such as sustainable sites, water savings, energy performance, indoor air quality and materials. This assigns a uniform rating or score to a building for comparison to other buildings using the same rating system.

Compliance programs typically target a specific sustainability goal rather than an entire project. For example, EnergyPlus is software used to model energy and water use in buildings. This allows for optimization of the building design to use less energy and water. This type of scientifically validated tool can be used to reach specific goals within the chosen rating system.

2.2 Rating systems

A summary of the rating systems evaluated for this project is provided in Table 2-2. Each tool is discussed in the text that follows.

Table 2-2. Summary of evaluated rating systems.

	Year	Building Types	Categories of Measurement	Levels
LEED	2000	New Construction and Major Renovations, Existing Buildings: Operations and Maintenance, Commercial Interiors, Core and Shell, Schools, Retail, Healthcare, Homes, Neighborhood Development	Sustainable Sites, Water Efficiency, Energy and Atmosphere, Materials and Resources, Indoor Environmental Quality, Innovation and Design	Certified Gold Silver Platinum
Green Globes	2000	New Construction, Continual Improvement of Existing Buildings	Project Management, Site, Energy, Water, Materials and Resources, Emissions, Effluents, and Pollution Reduction, Indoor Air Quality	1 Globe 2 Globes 3 Globes 4 Globes
Energy Star	1995	Bank/Financial Institution, Courthouses, Data Centers, Hospitals (Acute Care and Children's), Hotels/Motels, Houses of Worship, K-12 Schools, Medical Offices, Offices, Residence Halls/Dormitories, Retail Stores, Senior Care, Supermarkets/Grocery Stores, Warehouses (Refrigerated and Unrefrigerated)	Energy Efficiency, Water Consumption	Certified (Pass or Fail)
Green Guide for Health Care	2003	Healthcare related facilities including: New buildings Building Additions Major Renovations Existing Buildings	Construction Categories: integrated design, sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, environmental quality, and innovation and design Operations Categories: integrated operations and education, sustainable sites management, transportation operations, facilities management, chemical management, waste management, environmental services, food service, environmentally preferable purchasing, innovation in operations	N/A
PassivHaus	1996	Residential (although other building types can be applied)	Airtightness, Passive Solar Energy, Superinsulation, Advanced Window Technologies, Ventilation, Space Heating, Energy Efficient Building Components	Certified (Pass or Fail)

2.2.1 Leadership in Environmental and Energy Design (LEED)

LEED is one of the two most common green rating systems used in the United States. The first version of LEED was rolled out in 2000 by the US Green Building Council. Its development was strongly influenced by the already established UK system, Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM). There are a variety of rating systems within LEED which vary based on project type. Each rating system is created through a consensus-based process. LEED committees, consisting of a varied group of volunteers in the building and construction industry, lead the effort with input from technical advisory groups (TAG).

LEED stakeholders are provided an opportunity to review and comment on the draft of a new or revised rating system and USGBC members vote on final draft versions prior to publication. This system is used internationally and currently represents 41 countries.

The rating systems are grouped into New Construction and Major Renovations (NC), Existing Buildings: Operations and Maintenance (EBOM), Commercial Interiors (CI), Core and Shell (CS), Schools (SCH), Retail, Healthcare (HC), Homes and Neighborhood Development (ND).

Five key categories of measurement include Sustainable Sites, Water Efficiency, Energy and Atmosphere, Materials and Resources and Indoor Environmental Quality. Each of these categories is made up of credits of varying point values. They are weighted depending on the level of achievement or simply the importance of the credit to sustainable impact. For instance, improving a building's energy efficiency by 35% above the ASHRAE 90.1 standard will obtain more points than installing bike racks. Although both are encouraged, reducing energy use is a larger achievement, thus awarded more points. Up to four "bonus" points, called "Regional Points" are given based on a building site's US ZIP code. The USGBC has recognized that different regions have different areas of priority based on local condition, e.g. arid areas of the country have a greater emphasis on water conservation. Those credits that are considered most important to a region will give the project an extra point when achieved.

The LEED rating systems go up to 110 points and four levels of certification, as shown below:

LEED Certified	40 to 49 Points
LEED Silver	50 to 59 Points
LEED Gold	60 to 79 Points
LEED Platinum	80+ Points

The LEED certification process is through the GBCI (Green Building Certification Institute). Having a project certified demonstrates that an independent, third-party has verified the green performance measures. There are four basic steps in the LEED certification process, including registration, application, review and certification. The first step is to register the project online (www.leedonline.com). This will provide access to the tools and resources necessary to submit a LEED application for project certification.

The second step is the documentation process. Each project team member can be given access to the project at online to upload and complete the credit template that they are assigned. Each credit being pursued has unique template information to be entered and back-up documentation to be uploaded. After all the required documentation is assembled and uploaded, it is submitted for review.

Depending on the LEED system being used, there are multiple options for submitting a project. Under the Building Design and Construction system (including NC, CS and SCH projects), a split-design and construction review takes place in up to four phases: (1) the preliminary design review, (2) final design review (optional), (3) preliminary construction review, and (4) final construction review (optional). Phase 1 requires the project information forms, prerequisites and at least one design credit. At this review phase, all submitted items are pending (needs clarification), anticipated (to be approved at final completion of construction phase) or denied (does not meet intent of credit). Technical feedback and requests for clarification are provided where appropriate by the reviewers. The project team can opt to accept the results of the phase 1 review or submit revised documentation for pending credits for a phase 2 final design review. The same options are provided for the construction phase of the review. Yet another review option is the Combined Design and Construction Review. This option has up to two phases: (1) preliminary review which can be accepted as final or resubmitted for (2) final review based on the same process as above. After final review for any process, a team can submit for an appeal review of any denied credits for an additional fee.

The fourth and final step is certification. Once all review results are accepted, the project will receive a certificate of registration. They may order a plaque for displaying their LEED certification, including level achieved. The Owner can opt to have their project listed in the online LEED project directory. Photographs and other documentation, also at the Owner's option, can be included in the Department of Energy's High Performance Buildings Database.

LEED 2012 (the working name for the version in development) is slated for release in November 2012. It is not guaranteed that all items in the draft will remain in the new release, but the following is a summary of some of the large scale changes and additions:

- Integrated Process is a new category, encouraging practices, such as, design charrettes, material life cycle assessments and conceptual design phase energy modeling.
- Energy savings calculated under Option 1 of Minimum Energy Performance: Whole Building Energy Simulation will change, recognizing the difficulty of measuring whole building energy performance. Energy cost alone is used to measure energy performance in LEED 2009. The new version proposes both energy cost and source Energy Use Intensity (EUI). The same 10% improvement over a baseline is maintained in the new draft, but it references ASHRAE 90.1-2010, which is estimated to be about 20% more stringent than the 2004 version.
- Acoustics Performance is added under the Indoor Environmental Quality category.
- A new category called Performance is added that replaces the commissioning credits and prerequisites that are currently under the Energy and Atmosphere category. This section includes two new prerequisites, Water Metering and Reporting and Building Level Metering.
- Another new credit under the Performance category is Reconcile Design and Actual Energy Performance, which is intended to provide verification of the project's energy claims in the Optimize Energy Performance credit.

2.2.2 Green Globes

Green Globes is also one of the most common green rating systems used in the United States. It stems from BREEAM Canada in 1996, but the Green Globes moniker was established when the online tool became available in the year 2000. More than 35 individuals from green industries contributed to its creation. In the United States, Green Globes is operated by the Green Building Initiative (GBI), which employs a consensus-based process for updating this standard, involving users, producers, interested parties and non-governmental organizations. This system is used in Canada and the United States. The GBI, which has been an accredited ANSI standards developer since 2005, published the Green Globes as ANSI/GBI 01-2010, "Green Building Assessment Protocol for Commercial Buildings." The standard was created by a technical committee of 30 people, including users, generally interest parties and building product manufacturers. This base group is supported by technical experts in working subcommittees. Development of the standards also included periodic opportunities for public comment; however the process for modification to the online tool does not appear to be transparent.

There are two programs for Green Globes: (1) Green Globes for New Construction (NC) and (2) Green Globes for Continual Improvement of Existing Buildings (CIEB). Within these two paths, any building type can be accommodated. Major Renovation projects also fall under the NC program.

There are seven areas that are addressed in a Green Globes assessment. These areas, or sections, include Project Management, Site (for NC only), Energy Water, Materials and Resources, Emissions, Effluents, and Pollution Reduction and Indoor Air Quality. Each section has a series of questions that are answered by the applicant. Each question has a set number of points assigned to it. The resulting level of certification is dependent on the percentage of points the applicant is awarded. Currently, points are given to the project for completing a life-cycle assessment for the building assemblies and materials.

Green Globes has a weighted point system with the largest number of points available for energy performance. It has up to 1,000 points and four levels of certification, as shown below:

1 Globe	35% to 54% of Points
2 Globes	55% to 69% of Points
3 Globes	70% to 84% of Points
4 Globes	85% to 100% of Points

After achieving at least 35% of the available points, a project can request a Green Globes third-party assessment. A Green Globe Assessor is assigned to the project by GBI. This person is an independent third-party with expertise in green building design, engineering, construction and facility operations, approved through GBI's training program. The assessor reviews building documentation and conducts an onsite walk through.

Further integration of LCA into Green Globes is anticipated so that the cradle-to-grave environmental impacts of alternate designs can be compared more easily. The GBI is in the process of evaluating various building assemblies using established LCA methodology. The results of GBI evaluations will be added to Green Globes, giving design teams the ability to select highly ranked assemblies, which in turn receive more points in the rating system.

2.2.3 Energy Star®

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the US Department of Energy (DOE) partnered in 1996 to promote and advance the Energy Star program. The Energy Star label had already been applied to qualifying energy efficient products through the EPA's voluntary program, and in 1995 Energy Star for Buildings was introduced. The goals of the Energy Star program are to protect the environment by promoting energy efficient products and energy saving measures, as well as, benefits to the building owner's bottom line. It provides a means for owners to measure a building's performance with the use of an online tool called Portfolio Manager. Over 20,000 public and private organizations have contributed to creating the tools and standards for the Energy Star program.

Energy Star for Buildings can be applied to commercial and industrial buildings that meet the rating system's criteria. This criteria fall into three categories: building designation, operating characteristics, and energy data. The building designations are based on more than 50% of gross floor area being used for a specific purpose. The designations are as follows:

- Bank/Financial Institution
- Courthouse
- Data Center
- Hospital
- Hotel
- House of Worship
- K-12 School
- Medical Office
- Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Office
- Residential Hall/Dormitory
- Retail Store
- Senior Care Facility
- Supermarket
- Warehouse.

The operating characteristics must fall into the same pattern for comparison among the peer group. The building must have an area of at least 5,000 SF, unless it is a hospital, which must be at least 20,000 SF and no more than 5,000,000 SF. Offices must have at least one computer in use and have more than 50% average annual occupancy. Hospitals must have

at least 16 licensed beds but no more than 1,510 beds and no more than 40 floors. The energy data entered in Portfolio Manager must account for all energy use (regardless of fuel type) in the building. It must include at least 11 full consecutive calendar months of energy data for all active meters. If there are multiple meters, there must be 11 consecutive and overlapping months. No individual electrical meter entry can be for a period longer than 65 days. After all the above criteria is met and entered in Portfolio Manager, a rating is of 1–100 is designated for the building. The building must have a rating Of 75 or higher to qualify for Energy Star.

Energy Star for Buildings focuses on energy use. Portfolio Manager is an energy management tool that allows users to track and assess energy and water consumption for a building, or a portfolio of several buildings. Once energy and water usage is entered in Portfolio Manager, a benchmark can be set to measure improvement over time. It is also a way to easily identify opportunities for energy savings.

The energy performance rating that is generated by Portfolio Manager is on a scale of 1–100 in relation to similar buildings across the country. A rating of 75, for example, indicates that the building performs better than 75% of similar buildings in the nation. This rating is based on source energy (versus site energy) and takes into account the local climate and specific operating characteristics of the building. The basis is used as a means to directly compare different types of energy, represented in differing units of measure. Site energy includes primary energy (raw fuel such as natural gas, electricity, potable water) and secondary energy (energy product of raw fuel – energy from pumps or chillers, etc.). These two items are not directly comparable; therefore they are converted into an equivalent unit of measure, “source energy.” Also, Portfolio Manager’s energy rating is not the same rating as the Energy Star rating for the building. The Energy Star rating is determined by how an applicant rates against data on buildings collected by the Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS), which is a national survey updated every 4 years. Energy Star is award for a specific year. Once earned, a building can reapply for the Energy Star label every year based on the date of the last energy data provided in the previous application. A bronze plaque illustrating the building’s achievement is available and states the year it was awarded.

The process for earning the Energy Star label requires the involvement of a licensed architect or engineer. After all the required information is entered

in Portfolio Manager, a Statement of Energy Performance (SEP) and Data Checklist are generated. These two pieces of documentation require a stamp and/or signature by the licensed professional, who is required to perform a site visit and verify all the reported information. Verification that all energy use is tracked correctly, the building characteristics are reported accurately, and industry standards for the building's functionality and indoor environment criteria are met must be performed by the architect or engineer. No known updates are published for Energy Star at this time.

2.2.4 Green Guide for Health Care and LEED for Healthcare

The Green Guide for Health Care (GGHC) was created in 2003 by two groups: The Center for Maximum Potential Building Systems, and Healthcare without Harm. It was developed in response to a need for a green guide with performance metrics specific to healthcare. Volunteer steering committees and working groups are made up of designers, engineers, facility management, environmental and green building professionals with particular expertise in the healthcare sector. These volunteers aide in the creation and improvement of the GGHC's healthcare specific and health focused tools, technical guidance and educational resources. As updated versions are proposed for the GGHC based on new information brought forth from pilot programs, a period to collect public comments is provided to gain a broad spectrum of ideas in evolving their tools.

This metrics toolkit is specifically tailored for the unique characteristics of healthcare facilities, such as 24 hours per day/7 days per week operation. Intense energy and water usage, use of chemicals, and infection control requirements are a few other healthcare specific traits that the typical green rating system does not address. The GGHC is intended for use by a variety of building types within the medical field, mostly institutional occupancies as defined by building codes. GGHC recognizes that construction, operations and maintenance all play important roles in a healthy building environment. New buildings, additions, and major renovations can adopt the Green Guide for Health Care, whereas existing buildings extract the guide section, "Operations," as a stand-alone rating system.

Areas of measurement for construction are based on those found in the LEED checklist, with permission from USGBC. They have been modified to fit healthcare sector concerns. These categories include integrated design, sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials

and resources, environmental quality, and innovation and design. The operations section of the guide includes integrated operations and education, sustainable sites management, transportation operations, facilities management, chemical management, waste management, environmental services, food service, environmentally preferable purchasing, and innovation in operations.

Because the GGHC is a voluntary program there are no levels of certification. The point system provides a method for design and construction teams to track improvement. Existing facilities can view ongoing performance through the tools in the operation section of the guide. Construction projects can select items from the operation section to incorporate into policies to implement these O&M strategies. These items can then be addressed through the facility's policy and protocol creation. In 2007, USGBC and GGHC partnered to develop tools and educational programs that support green healthcare building. USGBC administers the LEED for Healthcare certification process and the GGHC continues to provide healthcare specific green building guidance and tools.

Since the GGHC program is voluntary and self-certifying, there is not a certification process or application to undergo. Healthcare projects can register online with GGHC at www.gghc.org, participating in mutual benefits. The project team has a tool to track sustainability metrics and GGHC can use the data entered for research. At the applicant's option, the project can be provided as a case study for other projects. Registered projects contribute to GGHC published reports showing aggregated project data for use by the public. The information in these reports is anonymous. By providing this information on registered project performance, useful statistics are revealed, such as total square footage of GGHC projects, frequency of credit achievement based on project type, and geographic distribution.

USGBC's LEED for Healthcare (HC) launched in November 2010 after seven years of close collaboration with Green Guide for Health Care. Since a healthcare pilot program was completed by GGHC, the knowledge gleaned from that process was used to roll out the LEED-HC rating system without USGBC's typical pilot program. LEED-HC can be used to certify inpatient, outpatient and licensed long-term care facilities, medical offices, assisted living facilities and medical education and research centers. The full LEEDonline application and certification utilities are expected to become available by end of summer 2011.

2.2.5 PassivHaus Standard

The PassivHaus Institut (PHI) was founded by Wolfgang Feist of the Institut für Wohnen und Umwelt in 1996. This was a direct result of a series of research projects, with the goal to provide ongoing development of the PassivHaus Standard (ISO 13790) and promote its use worldwide. PHI aims to significantly reduce a building's heat consumption energy usage by up to 90% when compared to traditional construction methods, but it is not just an energy tool. It is a construction concept that employs strategies for energy-efficient, comfortable, affordable, and ecological houses. The International Passive House Association (iPHA) was created as a communication initiative of the PassivHaus Institut, providing technical expertise, professional competence, independence and objectivity. The PassivHaus Institut developed the Passive House Planning Package (PHPP) as a design tool, including energy modeling, to help ensure that the building components used work together to achieve the desired result. The PHPP is continuously validated and refined based on measurements and new research results. As part of accompanying scientific research studies, measurements from more than 300 projects have so far been compared with calculation results.

Primarily implemented for residential structures, additional requirements have been included for certification of nonresidential structures. As described on the PassivHaus website

The Passive House is not an energy performance standard, but a concept to achieve highest thermal comfort conditions on low total costs - this is the correct definition: A Passive House is a building, for which thermal comfort (ISO 7730) can be achieved solely by post-heating or post-cooling of the fresh air mass, which is required to fulfill sufficient indoor air quality conditions (DIN 1946) - without a need for recirculated air.

Rather than defining categories of measurements, the standard sets criteria that define a Passive House. The main concepts include airtightness, passive solar energy, superinsulation, advanced window technologies, ventilation, space heating and energy-efficient building components (preferable those that are certified as Passive House suitable). Specifically, criteria for Passive House certification mandates; a maximum of 15kWh/(m²a) for

specific space heat demand, maximum of 10W/m² heating load, maximum 0.6 h⁻¹ pressurization test result n50, and a maximum of 120 kWh/(m²a) for entire specific primary energy demand. The current version, PHPP 2007 1.2, provides calculation spreadsheets, historical weather data, and many other tools as a guide for design.

Basic features that distinguish passive house construction include the following:

- All components of the exterior shell of the house are insulated to achieve a U-factor that does not exceed 0.15 W/ (m²K) (0.026 Btu/h/ft²/°F).
- Passive use of solar energy is a significant factor in passive house design.
- Windows (glazing and frames, combined) should have U-factors not exceeding 0.80 W/ (m²K) (0.14 Btu/h/ft²/°F), with solar heat-gain coefficients around 50%.
- Air leakage through unsealed joints must be less than 0.6 times the house volume per hour.
- Fresh air may be brought into the house through underground ducts that exchange heat with the soil. This preheats fresh air to a temperature above 5°C (41°F), even on cold winter days.
- Most of the perceptible heat in the exhaust air is transferred to the incoming fresh air (heat recovery rate over 80%).
- Solar collectors or heat pumps provide energy for hot water.
- Low energy refrigerators, stoves, freezers, lamps, washers, dryers, etc. are indispensable in a passive house.

Certification is through a third-party building certifier that has been accredited by the PassivHaus Institut. The PHPP software provides the templates that can be used to submit the rigorous documentation required for approval. As of January 2008, Passive House Institute US (PHIUS) has been authorized by the PassivHaus Institut as the official certifier of Passive Houses in the United States. The documentation must be examined at least once, although more can be required if necessary. It is recommended, but not required, to submit this documentation during the planning period of the project in order to allow for corrections and/or suggestions for improvement to be more easily implemented.

There is not a point structure for this system. A building either meets the Passive House Standard or it does not. The last update to the PHPP was issued in the spring of 2010, and no further documentation could be found regarding future updates underway at this time.

2.3 Compliance programs

The compliance tools reviewed for this project are summarized in Table 2-4. The output from these programs serves as key technical input for some of the rating systems discussed in the previous section.

Table 2-3. Tool summary—compliance programs.

Tool	Author/Developer	Function
eQUEST	James J. Hirsch and Associates	Energy performance simulation
EnergyPlus	US DOE, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, US Army CERL, others	Energy performance simulation
BEES	NIST	Life-Cycle Assessment Tool
Pharos	Healthy Building Network	Life-Cycle Assessment Tool. Linked to GreenSpec.
GreenSpec	GreenBuilding, LLC	Product Directory. Indicates important sustainable attributes for each product. Linked to Pharos.

2.3.1 eQUEST®

The QUick Energy Simulation Tool (eQUEST) is a whole-building energy performance design tool. It is designed to be used from a project's beginning conceptual stages to final design. eQUEST is meant to be user-friendly for all team members, through the step-by-step guidance of a software wizard. There are three different software wizards for entering design inputs: schematic design, design development and detailed DOE-2 interface. The standard defaults for every input are based on California Title 24 building energy code.

Within eQUEST, DOE-2 performs an hourly simulation of your building design for a one-year period. It calculates heating or cooling loads for each hour of the year, based on the factors such as: walls, windows, glass, people, plug loads and ventilation. DOE-2 also simulates the performance of: fans, pumps, chillers, boilers and other energy-consuming devices. During the simulation, DOE-2 also tabulates your building's projected energy use for various end uses such as: lighting, plug loads (computers, appliances, copiers, etc.), heating, cooling, ventilation and pumping.

eQUEST offers several graphical formats for viewing simulation results, such as, estimated overall building energy on an annual or monthly basis. You also can compare the performance of alternative building designs. In addition, eQUEST allows you to perform multiple simulations and view the alternative results in side-by-side graphics. It offers: energy cost estimating, daylighting and lighting system control, and automatic implementation of common energy efficiency measures (by selecting preferred measures from a list).

2.3.2 EnergyPlus

EnergyPlus is a whole-building energy simulation program for modeling energy and water use, based on BLAST and DOE-2 programs, combining the best capabilities and features from these two programs along with new capabilities. EnergyPlus comprises completely new code written in Fortran 90. Like BLAST and DOE-2, EnergyPlus is an energy analysis and thermal load simulation program. It models heating, cooling, lighting, ventilation, other energy flows, and water use. EnergyPlus is a simulation engine that is meant to be used with third-party user interface software.

EnergyPlus includes many integrated simulation capabilities: time steps less than 1 hour, modular systems and plant integrated with heat balance-based zone simulation, multi-zone air flow, thermal comfort, water use, natural ventilation, and photovoltaic systems. It is the intent of EnergyPlus to handle as many building and HVAC design options to calculate thermal loads and/or energy consumption on a design day or an extended period of time. Currently, the program focuses on thermal aspects of buildings; however, other issues (water, electrical systems, etc.) are being explored for inclusion in future versions.

Integrated simulation also allows users to evaluate a number of processes that neither BLAST nor DOE-2 can simulate well. Some of the more important include realistic system controls, moisture adsorption and desorption in building elements, radiant heating and cooling systems and inter-zone air flow.

2.3.3 Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability (BEES)

The Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability (BEES) software is a web-based application that can guide a project in selecting cost-effective, environmentally-preferable building products. The NIST

(National Institute of Standards and Technology) Engineering Laboratory developed BEES in 1997 and has maintained it as a downloadable software package. Today, it is online, enabling new products to be added as the information becomes available. BEES provides designers, builders and product manufacturers' science-based, technical data derived from consensus-based standards in a format that can be readily evaluated to make informed decisions on building product selection. As of May 2011 there were 230 different products included in the database.

The product information available through BEES includes actual environmental and economic performance data, which is measured using a life-cycle assessment (LCA) approach per the ISO 14040 series of standards. The life cycle of a product is analyzed from its start (raw material extraction), through mid-life (manufacture, transportation, installation, use), to its end (recycling and waste management). The ASTM standard life-cycle cost method is utilized for BEES. This includes initial, replacement, repair and maintenance, and disposal costs. The software takes these two metrics (environmental and economic) and uses the ASTM standard for Multi-Attribute Decision Analysis to produce a combined performance measurement. This measurement covers a 50-year period. UNIFORMAT II (an ASTM standard) is used to define and classify products listed in BEES.

The software is flexible for users by allowing a choice of methods to weigh the impacts. The impacts can range from global warming, smog, indoor air quality, human health, and fossil fuel depletion. The importance factors assigned to these impacts can be predefined weights chosen by BEES stakeholders or the Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board or user-defined methods.

To perform a product evaluation using BEES, three main steps are completed:

1. Enter the product study parameters.
2. Select building products for comparison.
3. Review results.

Results are provided in the form of a product score. The lower the score, the better the environmental and economic performance. For future releases, NIST is developing an LCA calculator for entire buildings. The first phase

of this project should be available in 2012 and will measure life-cycle operating energy. The next phase will include life-cycle carbon footprint, embodied energy, life-cycle impacts, and life-cycle cost effectiveness of whole buildings.

2.3.4 Pharos and GreenSpec

The Pharos Project is an online material-selection tool for building products created by the Healthy Building Network. Products are scored on several environmental and health impact categories, based on the product's makeup. Toxicity and health hazards are the focus. Healthy Building Network and GreenBuildings, LLC, the creators of GreenSpec, worked together to link Pharos with GreenSpec's building product directory. GreenSpec provides information on what green attributes are important for each product category, in addition to a list of green products. This list is generated using a life-cycle assessment, recognizing operational performance of a product (its impact on energy use, water use and building durability).

Pharos is not a compliance program, as defined in this chapter. It is not a scientifically validated tool, but a database of information for building products. It was included in this chapter because it was mentioned in several of the interviews.

2.4 Comparison of the rating systems

It is important to note that this discussion represents a "snapshot" because rating systems are constantly evolving, which in turn impacts the types of sustainability compliance tools that are developed. As some tools become obsolete, other tools are updated to meet the new demands of updated rating systems. New tools, as well, emerge when the evolving rating systems create a new need. This comparison addresses building types covered, metrics, certification levels, and certification processes.

2.4.1 Building types covered

Several rating systems offer a range of applications for specific building types while others are either very narrowly or broadly focused. LEED currently offers nine different applications (i.e., New Construction, Existing Buildings: Operations and Maintenance, Commercial Interiors, Core and Shell, Schools, Retail, Healthcare, Homes, Neighborhood Development). It also offers programs to address a campus setting, multiple buildings, and

volume. The volume program can be used for owners with many buildings that are similar (prototypes), such as chain retail stores. Green Globes has two applications (New Construction and Continual Improvement of Existing Buildings) which can be assigned to any building type. Energy Star has a very specific list of building types, based on the most common that are in the database. They are continually updating to add more building types. GGHC is strictly for healthcare related buildings. PassiveHaus is intended for residential building, but can be applied to any other building type.

2.4.2 Categories of measurement

Categories of measurement, as well as how they measure, differ from one rating system to the next. All of the rating systems, including Energy Star, address energy performance and water efficiency. LEED, Green Globes and Green Guide for Health Care also include Sites, Materials and Resources and Indoor Environmental Quality. In addition, LEED has categories for Innovation in Design and Regional Priority. Green Globes has categories for Project Management and Emissions, Effluents, and Pollution Reduction. Green Guide for Health Care includes Integrated Design, as well as the categories under the Operations section. Green Globes and LEED also promote integrated design, but do not have a specific category heading for it or specific credits assigned. PassivHaus is the only rating system without defined categories.

Green Globes currently includes credits for acoustical performance and, optimized use of space and integrated design process. Both of these attributes are anticipated to be included in the LEED 2012 rating system, while LEED – Schools currently includes the acoustical performance requirements.

Green Globes recognizes all the mainstream forest certification systems, while LEED references only the Forest Stewardship Council's program. One criticism here is that some of the other systems do not match up to the stringency of FSC.

An important difference to note between Green Globes, LEED and PassivHaus is how energy consumption for buildings is measured. LEED requires the baseline energy model developed to the ASHRAE 90.1 standard. Green Globes requires EPA's Target Finder for comparison, which includes real building performance information within its database. PassivHaus claims to simplify the model while still getting accurate results

by treating the whole building as one zone of energy calculation and using monthly energy balances in lieu of dynamic simulation with short time steps. The reason for this is that for the practical purpose of building design, employing already well-tested building concepts, the use of simplified, optimally adapted computing tools will reduce the probability of errors.

2.4.3 Levels of certification

Both LEED and Green Globes have four levels of certification, however Green Globes allows credits to be answered N/A, not applicable. These credits are detracted from the total available points without penalty. In the LEED rating systems, not all credits are meant for every project (nor can all projects even meet the minimum requirements necessary to register for LEED); however, credits that are not applicable are still included in the overall possible points. Every LEED project is up to 110 points, with the intent that no project can get 110 points. The top level of Platinum is achievable with 80 points, for this reason. GGHC, Energy Star and PassivHaus do not have levels of certification.

2.4.4 Certification process

The certification process for LEED, Green Globes, Energy Star and PassivHaus require some type of third-party verification. LEED has USGBC as its third-party reviewer, with a very transparent system in place for how credits evolve. Green Globes projects are verified by a Green Globes Certifier. PassivHaus buildings are approved by a PHI accredited building certifier. GGHC is a voluntary, self-certifying program.

2.5 Tool capabilities required for support of Guiding Principles

Before comparing the compliance programs it is necessary to evaluate what type of inputs are needed for programs supporting the Guiding Principles, and what kinds of tools can supply those inputs.

Table 2-4 was developed for this purpose and to facilitate the gap analysis that follows in Chapter 3. The table indicates which Guiding Principles need no supporting tools and which ones are supported by available tools. It also indicates where existing tools may be applicable but are not adequate, and which ones require a tool that does not exist at the current time.

Guiding Principle Category	Subcategory	LCCA Tool	Benchmarking Tool	Commissioning Tool	Site Tool	Water Efficiency Tool	Energy Tool	LCA Tool*	Material Selection Tool	Thermal Comfort Tool	IAQ Tool	Moisture Control Tool	Daylighting /Lighting Tool
Protect and Conserve Water	Building Water Conservation (Indoor)	◆	•			•							
	Irrigation and Landscaping (Outdoor)	◆	•		•	•							
	Water Recycling and Re-use (Indoor/Outdoor)	◆				•							
	Process Water	◆				•							
	Water Efficient Products☞												
Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality	Ventilation and Thermal Comfort									•	•	•	
	Moisture Control									•	•	•	
	Daylighting												•
	Low-Emitting Materials							★	◆				
	Protect Indoor Air Quality during Construction										•		
	Tobacco Smoke Control☞												
Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Recycled Content							★	◆				
	Bio-Based Content							★	◆				
	Environmentally Preferable Products							◆					
	Waste and Materials Management							★	□				
	Ozone Depleting Compounds							★	□				

Table 2-5 summarizes a wide range of sustainability tools, including the ones discussed previously in section 2.3, in terms of their capabilities for supplying inputs necessary for supporting the Guiding Principles.

Table 2-5. Summary of tools by input type.

Name of Tool	Type of Tool	Website
ECONPACK (US Army COE)	LCCA Tool	www.hnd.usace.army.mil/paxspt/econ/econ.aspx
Building Life-Cycle Cost Program (BLCC by NIST)	LCCA Tool	www.eere.energy.gov/femp/information/download_blcc.html
Life-Cycle Cost in Design (LCCID) by CERL	LCCA Tool	http://www.cecer.army.mil/facts/sheets/cf-55.pdf http://www.cecer.army.mil/facts/sheets/PL31.html
ASHRAE: Service Life and Maintenance Cost Database	LCCA Tool	http://xp20.ashrae.org/publicdatabase
eVALUator™	LCCA Tool	www.energydesignresources.com/resources/software-tools/evaluator.aspx
Sustainable Facilities Tool (SF Tool by GSA)	Integrated Design Process Tool	http://www.sftool.org/
BCA Templates (Building Commissioning Assoc.)	Commissioning Tool	www.bcx.org
California Commissioning Collaborative Tools	Commissioning Tool	www.cacx.org
The Cx Assistant	Commissioning Tool	http://www.energydesignresources.com/resources/software-tools/commissioning-assistant.aspx
Portfolio Manager	Benchmarking Tool	http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=evaluate_performance.bus_portfoliomanager
Target Finder	Benchmarking Tool	http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=new_bldg_design.bus_target_finder
Watergy	Water Efficiency Tool	http://www.watergy.org/
LEED WEc3 Water Calculator	Water Efficiency Tool	www.leedonline.com
Sustainable Management Approaches and Revitalization Tools	Site Tool	www.smarTE.org
Water-Energy Simulation Tool (WEST)	Site/Energy Tool	http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IS.1943-555X.0000036
Low Impact Urban Design Tools	Site Tool	http://www.lid-stormwater.net/index.html
ecoSmart	Site Tool	www.ecosmart.gov
Green Building Studio (Autodesk)	Energy Tool	http://usa.autodesk.com/adsk/servlet/pc/index?id=11179508&siteID=123112
EnergyPlus	Energy Tool	http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/energyplus/
eQUEST	Energy Tool	http://doe2.com/equest/
IES	Energy Tool	http://www.iesve.com/
Trane TRACE 700	Energy Tool	www.trane.com/trace
Carrier Hourly Analysis Program (HAP)	Energy Tool	www.commercial.carrier.com
Revit (Autodesk)	BIM Tool	http://usa.autodesk.com/revit-architecture/
Project Vasari (Autodesk)	BIM Tool	http://labs.autodesk.com/utilities/vasari/
ASHRAE's Thermal Comfort Tool	Thermal Comfort Tool	www.ashrae.org (ASHRAE 55)

Name of Tool	Type of Tool	Website
ASHRAE 62.1 Compliance Software	IAQ Tool	www.ashrae.org
CONTAM (NIST)	IAQ Tool	http://www.bfrl.nist.gov/IAQanalysis/CONTAM/index.htm (ASHRAE 62.1)
MOIST (NIST)	Moisture Control Tool	https://engineering.purdue.edu/MOIST/
WUFI ORNL/IBP	Moisture Control Tool	www.ornl.gov/sci/btc/apps/moisture/ibpe_sof161.htm
AGI32	Lighting/Daylighting Tool	www.agi32.com
DiaLUX		www.dialux.com
ElumTools	Lighting Tool	www.elumtools.com
Desktop Radiance (LBL)	Daylighting Tool	http://radsite.lbl.gov/radiance/HOME.html
Building Design Advisor (LBL)	Daylighting, Energy Tool	http://gaia.lbl.gov/BDA/
Autodesk Ecotect	Energy, Thermal Comfort, Daylighting Tool	http://usa.autodesk.com/adsk/servlet/pc/index?id=12602821 and siteID=123112
Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie)	Material Selection Tool	http://wbdg.org/references/pg_sptsearch.php
Federal Green Construction Guide for Specifiers	Material Selection Tool	http://www.wbdg.org/design/greenspec.php
BEES	LCA Tool* and LCCA Tool	http://www.nist.gov/el/economics/BEESSoftware.cfm
PHAROS	Material Selection Tool	http://www.pharosproject.net/
Green Spec	Material Selection Tool	http://www.buildinggreen.com/menus/
Recycled Content Product Directory (searchable database)	Material Selection Tool	www.calrecycle.ca.gov
USDA's Bio-Preferred Catalogue (searchable database)	Material Selection Tool	www.catalog.biopreferred.gov/bioPreferredCatalog
Environmental Impact Estimator (ATHENA)	LCA Tool	http://www.athenasmi.org/tools/impactEstimator/
GaBi Software	LCA Tool	http://www.gabi-software.com/index.php?id=85 and L=6 and redirect=1
SimaPro LCA Software	LCA Tool	www.earthshift.com/software/simapro

Compliance programs require data to be input by the user to obtain accurate and useful results. These results, or outputs, are typically provided to show achievement of the rating system goals. There is a wide range of compliance programs and each program requires a unique set of inputs. This section will review the requirements for the four compliance programs that were highlighted in section 2.2: eQUEST, EnergyPlus, BEES and Pharos.

A comprehensive list of every input possible for each compliance program is too vast for the scope of this task, since the primary focus of this project is sustainability product properties data. Instead, we have created input lists for the four compliance programs that highlight the critical inputs by category. These can then be used to help reach the ultimate goal of a useful list of product data requirements that manufacturers should provide specific to their products.

2.5.1 eQUEST inputs

Architectural

- Building square footage
- Envelope construction materials for all walls, floors, ceiling and roof
- Surface areas (by orientation)
- Fenestration U-value
- Fenestration shading coefficient (SC)

Mechanical

- HVAC zoning dictated by the mechanical design
- Design mechanical flow rates
- Equipment descriptions
- Temperature and Equipment Control sequences

Electrical

- Lighting equipment

Internal Loads

- Peak occupancy (by zone)
- Peak lighting (by zone)
- Peak equipment (by zone)

Operations

- Occupancy, lights, equipment schedules (per zone)
- Thermostat schedules (per zone)
- Outside air operations (per terminal system)
- Hot and cold deck temperatures (per terminal system)

- Fan schedules (per terminal system)
- Fan kW (per terminal system)
- Lock-out schedules (per primary system)

Economic

- Utility schedules (all fuels)
- Equipment costs
- Life-cycle cost parameters

2.5.2 EnergyPlus inputs

EnergyPlus is a simulation engine that is meant to be used with third-party user interface software. A number of tools are available to create EnergyPlus input files (IDF). These include ECOTECT, EnergyPlugged, EP-GEO and EP-SYS, EP-Quick, ESP-r, and jEPlus. Tools for creating, editing, and running input files that come with EnergyPlus include IDF Editor and EP-Launch. The same inputs listed for eQUEST are used for most of the interface software for EnergyPlus, and therefore is not repeated in this section.

ECOTECT from Square One couples an intuitive 3D design interface with a comprehensive set of performance analysis functions (visualization, solar and daylighting analysis, shadows and shading, lighting design, thermal performance, UK building regulations, ventilation, and acoustic analysis) with interactive information displays. It also can export an EnergyPlus IDF file.

ECOTECT Inputs:

- Weather data and climate information
- Mechanical and electrical equipment load and consumption information
- Building geometry and envelope information-
 - This would include U-value, solar heat gain coefficient, shading coefficients, etc.
 - Many of these components may already exist from the AutoCAD or Revit file created.
- Utility rate and structure

EnergyPlugged is an Autodesk AutoCAD plug-in to create and edit EnergyPlus input files. EnergyPlugged was conceived to improve and

speed up EnergyPlus model creation without losing control of it, preserving EnergyPlus flexibility and allowing errors to be found.

With EnergyPlugged you can:

- draw your tridimensional building in AutoCAD or import it from your favorite CAD program
- select each surface with a click and get the geometry data
- check potential relations between objects and select the one you need
- continue adding and editing EnergyPlus objects in the editor
- import datasets objects (schedules, internal gains, ...) in the same or in different layers
- exchange objects between layers
- convert values into SI and IP units
- add and edit comments to objects in the editor for future revisions
- save an .if file from each layer
- explore EnergyPlus simulation output files (.eso and .mtr)
- load only the EnergyPlus output variables you need
- graph each variable, navigate on the timeline, get each time step value into SI and IP units
- get maximum, minimum and other statistical data
- export variables in comma-separated values format
- compile reports to graph multiple series in each chart from the EnergyPlus output variables
- combine variables coming from the same or different files using series formulas
- save each report and re-use them to get same charts and data tables from different simulations.

The inputs for EnergyPlugged are:

- Building geometry information
- Location and climate
- Schedules (occupancy, lighting, equipment, etc).
- Fenestration (windows and doors) details

EP GEO and EP SYS are two spreadsheet-based interfaces that can complement the simple interface tools that are included in the standard EnergyPlus installation.

EP GEO: A simple spreadsheet that uses a set of simple macros to create rectangular building geometry, windows, shading, infiltration, internal gains and temperature control (using 'purchased air'). Rectangular zones can be automatically created in an idf file by simply entering zone height, width and length. An offset in zone origin can be used to insert multiple zones in an existing file.

EP GEO inputs are:

- Length, width, and height of the zone (enclosed spaces)
- Relation of the zone to true North
- General location, sum of area, and shading information of windows.
- Building envelope construction (walls, floors, and roof)
- Internal heat gains
- Infiltration
- Thermostat schedule

EP SYS: This spreadsheet allows for creation of Purchased Air, Fan Coil and Variable air Volume systems in a large number of zones. The list of zones in an existing IDF file can be automatically imported and individual zones selected for insertion of one of the three basic types of systems available in the tool.

EP SYS inputs are:

- All information from EP GEO
- Zoning scheme for the mechanical system
- Temperature schedules
- Mechanical system type

EP-Quick creates input files for many different buildings using built in templates for the shape and zone layout. By using templates for commonly shaped buildings and zone layouts, the time needed to create an EnergyPlus input file is greatly reduced and it works for any sized building.

EP-Quick inputs are:

- Overall Geometry - depth, width
- Building - roof, floor, interior constructions

- Defaults - default values used in "Floorplans"
- Internal Gain Types - lighting, people, schedules
- Floorplans - zones, exterior walls, windows, etc.
- Corners - locations of the building vertices
- Roof corners - locations of special roof vertices

ESP-r: In keeping with the philosophy of linking the ESP-r simulation suite to other modeling systems, users can now export to EnergyPlus an ESP-r model with materials, constructions, surfaces (all three and four sided surfaces as well as those including one window or one door - more complex surfaces are currently filtered out) and solar shading devices.

Boundary condition attributes are translated and the parent/child relationship between opaque and transparent surfaces established. The exported models usually pass the EnergyPlus parser with no errors or with minor warnings.

Currently, approximate optical properties are established and schedules are not yet included. An update is anticipated for the geometric filters to match the current EnergyPlus release as well as including casual gain schedules in the near future.

ESP-r inputs are:

- Building Geometry
- Windows and Doors
- Lighting
- Shading features
- Façade-integrated photovoltaic modules
- Building Envelope
- Mechanical and Electrical equipment information

jEPlus: Parametric analysis is often needed for exploring design options, especially when a global optimization method is unavailable, or the optimization result is in doubt. Parametric analysis can also be applied to all design variables simultaneously, which forms an exhaustive search approach that, providing that the search grid is fine enough, will guarantee the global optimum solution. This is potentially a very useful method.

In order to perform complex parametric analysis on multiple parameters with more than a handful of alternative values each, a tool to generate commands for the simulation model to run, and to collect results afterwards is needed. jEPlus has been developed as a parametric shell for EnergyPlus. It is written in Java, therefore supports all Windows/Mac/Linux versions of EnergyPlus.

Briefly, to use jEPlus, user prepares an IDF template file by putting tags (special search strings) at the places of the parameters. jEPlus chooses the next set of values for the parameters according to the information provided by the user. It then searches the IDF template for the tags, replaces them with the new values, and saves it as a new IDF file. EnergyPlus is called to simulate the model. Results are post-processed with ReadVarsESO.exe to produce selected output in CSV format. jEPlus finally adds indices and tags to the results, so they can be searched or imported into databases. EP-Macro will be supported in the future.

jEPlus inputs are:

- Since the main purpose of the jEPlus is to provide a comparison of various design alternatives, the inputs of the program are limited by information that has already been provided.

2.5.3 BEES inputs

- Importance Weights (for economic and environmental performance measures)
- Discount Rate (excluding inflation, for converting future building product costs to their equivalent present value) The higher the discount rate, the less important to you are future building product costs; such as repair and replacement costs.
- Select specific product to compare alternatives

2.5.4 Pharos inputs

Pharos is a web-based material selection tool and therefore does not require similar software type inputs. It requires the user to select various building materials for comparison of attributes, focusing on toxicity.

3 Sustainability Tool Capability Gaps

3.1 Overview

Telephone interviews and surveys were conducted with industry experts to gain perspective on the broad range of issues apparent with sustainability, as it relates to buildings. This chapter summarizes the findings of that work. Common themes repeatedly presented themselves, indicating areas for improvement.

The Army must follow Executive Orders pertaining to High Performance and Sustainable Building requirements. The technical gaps discussed in this section specifically relate to the sustainability tools available for meeting the stated goals of the Guiding Principles. The gaps identified include building product properties data, life-cycle assessment, life-cycle cost analysis, methodology for LCCA tools, chemical information in building products, building product durability information, ongoing operations and maintenance, energy modeling issues, MEP sustainable materials assessment, quantification of carbon emissions and benchmarking building performance.

3.2 Building product properties data

A key component of the project scope is to determine what sustainability product properties data are required from manufacturers, as it is currently not readily available. The range of applicable attributes is vast. Depending on the type of product being analyzed, a different set of properties data is required. This information can be considered input for the compliance tools and rating system tools.

One can typically find information regarding VOC levels, recycled content, chain of custody, for example, on the relevant building products. Gaps start to surface, when you get into specific material requirements that the Army has, such as, blast-resistant windows. The manufacturers do not have the information available that a design team needs. For instance, solar transmission coefficient of a triple-pane blast-resistant window is not known.

Another example is location of raw material extraction for products. A lot of building products are not tracked all the way back to the location of raw material extraction. For LEED projects, in order to count a material as regional, the raw material extraction, harvesting or recovery, as well as the manufacture (place of final assembly) of the product must be within 500 miles of the project site. A manufacturer may procure raw materials from a variety of extraction sites, and the tracking of that material may or may not occur depending on the type of product. Certified wood has a chain-of-custody and steel has recycled content that is always tracked, but not every product is tracked.

3.3 Life-cycle assessment

None of the green rating systems included in this review sufficiently addresses life cycle assessment (LCA) of building products. Green Globes does introduce users to the tools to perform LCA; however it only awards points for doing an LCA without guidance on the method to use or level of results. LEED had also considered the addition of the LCA in the current 2009 version (v3.0), but it was not incorporated into the final version.

LCA tools can be defined in two ways. There are tools for creating a LCA; however this chapter focuses on LCA tools that are decision support tools. LCA-based decision support tools (referred to as LCA tools throughout this document) use the information derived from LCA studies to provide a “score.” These tools are region-specific, therefore a tool used in North America (ATHENA or BEES) will have different weightings for environmental impacts, as well as a different list of environmental impacts evaluated, than a UK tool (Envest). They also use different modeling approaches, however they all use embedded LCI data (life-cycle inventory analysis – one step of an LCA study) to develop environmental midpoint indicators of design alternatives. Some LCA tools work at the whole-building level and assemblies level (ATHENA) while others work at building product and assemblies levels (BEES). BEES also includes Life-Cycle Costing Assessment within its score. The correct LCA tool is required based on the region and assessment level (whole-building, assembly or product). Ecopoints use the same principles to develop scores, but they are based on UK region-specific weighting of environmental impacts.

The main problem with LCA is comparability of results. Each LCA tool has its own database of products, assemblies and/or buildings. None of these are comprehensive. Each tool may be using a different methodology to de-

termine a product's score. ATHENA and BEES both use ISO 14040 series standards for LCA methodology. Therefore, you can only compare items within the same tool to obtain an apples-to-apples comparisons.

3.4 Life-cycle cost analysis

Currently, the green rating systems discussed here do not include life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA), either. The Guiding Principles from the Department of Energy does require LCCA and we foresee this to be a continuing trend for true sustainability. For our team's assignment, understanding life-cycle costs is critical in an owner-operated, publicly funded scenario. A major barrier has been having good cost data to complete an accurate LCCA, especially as it relates to sustainability.

Although LCA may be very useful in determining the overall environmental impact of a construction product or system, it cannot determine which product is the most cost-effective or will work the best. LCCA, which focuses primarily on the direct economic impact of a product, may be more directly related to a product's durability as reflected in its service life.

3.5 Methodology for LCCA tools

There is a need for LCA tools to include LCCA. The LCA tool developers do see the need for integrating LCCA and have been working toward this goal. BEES is one of the LCA tools that does include LCCA. The issue here is developing an accepted methodology.

LCA-based Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) do follow an accepted methodology by meeting ISO Standard 14025. To meet this standard, Product Category Rules (PCRs) must be created that are reviewed and verified by an outside expert. LCCA requires a widely accepted methodology, so that comparisons are accurate.

3.6 Chemical information in building products

The rating systems do address eliminating products with unacceptable VOC levels; however, the problem is rooted with the lack of requirements placed on chemicals in building products. There currently are not laws for building products to safeguard human health equivalent to food regulations, for example; and therefore toxicity of chemical is not always known. There are an estimated 80,000 chemicals used by manufacturers, yet only

200 have required toxicity testing. Pharos, one of the public databases available, does help identify chemicals in building products, but it can only list the information, if it is made available by manufacturers. In some cases, the manufacturers are reluctant to provide all the chemical information, stating it is a trademarked or proprietary product. In other cases, manufacturers struggle to get adequate information on substances from their suppliers, especially for recycled feedstock.

3.7 Building product durability information

The Army builds its facilities to last 50 years or more. The span of a building product's useful life is one attribute of a sustainable product. Data for the long term reuse, recycling, and disposal implications for materials are often absent among the product data available.

LCCA, which focuses primarily on the direct economic impact of a product, can relate to a product's durability as reflected in its service life. Again, accurate data must be available regarding life-span of building products in order to obtain useful LCCAs. There are ASTM standards relating to durability, but this does not exist for every product. Where it does exist, the issue of comparable results is apparent. Direct comparison of the results on a test on one type of flooring product to another may be unachievable without a common methodology.

3.8 Ongoing operations and maintenance

Most of the discussed rating systems also do not address impacts associated with ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility. This is one of the most important tenets of sustainability and also helps offset the potential higher first costs associated with higher efficiency systems and metering. In conjunction with this added focus on operations and maintenance needs to be an understanding of an increase in training and education for those that operate and maintain these new systems, as well as educating those that occupy these spaces. This education component is a key tenet to the success of any sustainable project.

3.9 Energy modeling issues

Energy modeling is a very specialized field of expertise. Many times, young staff members are thrown into this realm and are typically not qualified, resulting in inaccuracies and false predictions of building performance.

ASHRAE offers a certification program for energy modelers, called Building Energy Modeling Professional (BEMP) certification. There are currently approximately 180 BEMPs per ASHRAE's website. If clients start requiring certified modelers, this could yield better results.

The second issue is that building design is a dynamic process, and the energy modeling tools available are very static in nature. Although an energy model looks at a one-year-cycle, the Army Facilities are built with a 50-year life span in mind. As time goes by, equipment efficiencies de-rate due to age, cleaning, maintenance and changes of operation. This is not accounted for in energy models.

The process for updating energy modeling software does not keep pace with the newest technologies, specifically HVAC system/equipment technology. For example, eQUEST's current version cannot model Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) systems directly; outside calculations using data extrapolation from eQUEST to Excel is required.

3.10 MEP sustainable materials assessment

Although the research on architectural building products is not exhaustive, nor can it be due to the nature of the industry, more Life-Cycle Assessment has been done in this area as compared to mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) equipment. In part, that is due to the complexity of this equipment. It has several components of varying make-up that require analysis. The green rating systems do not address MEP sustainable materials. The Materials and Resource section of LEED specifically states to exclude MEP equipment in the calculations for those credits. Items such as ductwork, piping and conduit could easily be included in these credits. For some building types, these kinds of elements are a large percentage of the construction cost.

3.11 Quantification of carbon emissions

Climate change is fuelled by greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide, which is a large by-product of buildings. Carbon emissions may be reduced in an indirect sense through most green rating systems, however, not in a manner that is easily quantified or open to accountability. Most energy efficiency savings credits within the rating systems, ask for results expressed in energy cost savings (not energy use savings), which is due the complexity of quantifying energy savings when several technologies are

used and measured in different ways. Electricity, for example, comes from several sources (nuclear power, coal-fired power, wind, biomass, etc.). Each of these sources is measured differently. You also need to know what percentages of power are derived from each source, and electricity is only one piece of the intricate energy savings and carbon emissions calculation.

3.12 Benchmarking building performance

The most significant impact that green rating systems make for the design of high-performance buildings is heightened energy savings. Several articles have been published on the subject of whether or not all these certified buildings are actually performing as they have claimed. Currently, there is not a vehicle for accountability in this arena for most rating systems. LEED 2012 proposes a credit under its new Performance category, titled Reconcile Design and Actual Energy Performance. This credit is intended to provide verification of the project's energy claims in the Optimize Energy Performance credit. The idea is that an annual report card can be provided to USGBC to obtain recertification and an updated plaque. Buildings that do not do this, would not be penalized, but will only be able to display a plaque with the year of initial certification. This same notion is accomplished with the Energy Star program by updating a building's plaque annually to reflect the current year, based on that year's performance. GGHC and LEED-EBOM do address benchmarking with either Energy Star Portfolio Manager or Energy Use Intensity (EUI) measurement.

The Army currently does not have a good way to measure how they are meeting sustainability goals. Building metering is one way to accomplish this and is required in the Guiding Principles for new projects. Building level electricity meters are required per EAct 2005 Section 103. EISA Section 434 requires building level meters for natural gas and steam, if used. Water meters are encouraged for both indoor water use and outdoor water use. The issue is that the large existing building stock that belongs to the Army does not consistently have meters installed.

Table 3-1. Summary of gap analysis.

Technical Gap	Partial Inclusion or found elsewhere	Future Inclusion Anticipated
Building Product Properties Data	SPie Project	SPie Project
Life Cycle Assessment	Green Globes directs users to LCA tools and awards points just for using them.	LEED 2012, limited use. Green Globes is working to further integrate LCA.
Life-cycle cost Analysis	Guiding Principles	
Methodology for LCCA Tools	BEES	Tool being developed by Sphere E
Chemical Information in Building Products	Pharos	Further development of tools such as Pharos
Building Product Durability Information		
Ongoing Operations and Maintenance	LEED-EBOM addresses O&M, GGHC addresses it.	LEED 2012
Energy Modeling Issues		
MEP Sustainable Materials Assessment		
Quantification of Carbon Emissions		
Benchmarking Building Performance	Energy Star does not mandate an updated plaque every year, but Owners are encouraged to do so	LEED 2012 plans to incorporate a system similar to Energy Star

4 Process for Selecting Sustainability Properties

4.1 Overview

A goal of this project was to engage the broad range of industry participants, especially specifiers and manufacturers, to move from traditional paper-based methods of defining and communicating building product data to an electronic, open standard approach. Due to the interest of a major industry organization—the Construction Specifications Institute (CSI)—in engaging actively in the project, it was decided during the national technical association meeting (see Appendix A) to change the method of providing industry input on sustainability properties from a series of discipline-specific working groups (architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems) to initial definition of sustainability properties by the KFA/Primera team followed by review and editing of the property sets by CSI's Technical Committee.

The target building elements for which sustainability properties are to be applied are:

- architectural elements—floor coverings, wall coverings, windows, doors, ceilings
- mechanical equipment—pumps, chillers, fan coil units, air handling units
- electrical equipment—lighting, panels, switches, outlets
- water systems—pumps, fixtures, pipes, valves
- exterior enclosure—roofing, cladding, foundations, shading elements.

Discipline-specific sustainability experts on the KFA/Primera team developed the initial definition of sustainability properties, based on both research into precedent efforts and project experience. This draft set of sustainability properties was then reviewed by CSI's Technical Committee. The KFA/Primera team generated, and CSI subsequently reviewed and edited, 56 tables of product properties, which included sustainability properties of building products (architectural, mechanical, electrical, water and enclosure systems) used in typical Army facilities – apartments, offices and clinics.

This chapter documents the process used to generate an initial set of the minimum sustainability properties for architectural, mechanical, electrical, water and enclosure systems—a US Sustainability Property Set—and to engage appropriate industry participants in technical review.

4.2 Step 1: Learn from precedent efforts

Although numerous groups have attempted to identify the sustainability characteristics and impacts of various building products and materials, few have attempted to define this information in a *computable* form. The focus of this project is to make sustainability assessment and analysis directly computable from a building information model (BIM). In this context, the following were identified as precedent efforts.

4.2.1 Specifiers' Properties information exchange

The principal precedent for the sustainability properties effort is the Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie), a project of the buildingSMART alliance. This project began in late 2007 with members of the Specifications Consultants in Independent Practice (SCIP) and Construction Specifications Institute (CSI), who developed product type templates from outline specifications. SPie now comprises a set of product templates that can be used by manufacturers to export product data into an open-standard format consumable by designers, specifiers, builders, owners, and operators, as well as Building Information Modeling software. For more information on SPie, see:
www.buildingsmartalliance.org/index.php/projects/activeprojects/32

4.2.2 productguide™

In 2010, Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) worked with AEC3 UK to develop a tool to export the entire set of building product data from the IFC data model into a standard set of files in IFC, ifcXML, COBie formats; a property set report; and a product schedule report. For each generic type and system product, the corresponding Industry Foundation Class (IFC) model properties were exported for creation of a SPie property set. This effort produced 1,200 generic templates and is the basis for the current version of the productguide™, included in the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG), which can be found at: www.wbdg.org/references/pg_spt.php.

The SPie team received requests to include property sets related to sustainability and operations as well as specifications properties. These requests suggested the development of a comprehensive set of building product data requirements that could be included in the SPie templates to provide a richer set of building information, and facilitate and streamline manufacturers' provisioning of computable product descriptions to the range of building information consumers and analysis applications.

4.2.3 Green Building XML schema

The Green Building XML schema (gbXML) was developed to facilitate the transfer of building information stored in CAD building information models, enabling integrated interoperability between building design models and a wide variety of engineering analysis tools and models. gbXML has been in development since 1999, with the first schema published in 2000.

The gbXML schema is focused on describing a building's thermal load properties. Nevertheless, it does include elements that potentially can be used for sustainability analysis. Elements of interest include:

- AirLoop
- AirLoopEquipment
- Construction
- ExtEquip
- Glaze
- HydronicLoopEquipment
- IntEquip
- Layer
- Lighting
- LightingSystem
- Material
- Opening
- PeakDomesticHotWaterFlow
- Surface
- WindowType

These high-level elements have child elements that hold enough information about building features and MEP systems to be useful for sustainability analyses. For example, elements link (through ID elements) from a surface down to the material on the surface in the following chain:

Surface → Construction → Layer → Material

The elements ExtEquip and IntEquip are used for external equipment and interior equipment, respectively. Both link to either an AirLoop or a HydronicLoop and include information about the equipment's cost, electric or fuel load, performance and efficiency.

The Lighting element references a LightingSystem element, which includes elements referencing the cost, lamp, lumens per lamp, input watts and coefficient of utilization.

Much of the information in gbXML elements relates to energy analyses, such as thermal conductivity factors, light transmittance values, air flows and so forth, so for more complete sustainability analyses, it might be necessary to add additional information, such as life-time information for classes other than Air Loop Equipment and Hydronic Loop Equipment (which already include age and life elements). For example, a floor can have a material (e.g., a carpet) assigned to its upper surface layer. That material has Recycled Content and Cost child elements, but does not have children to track the expected lifetime of the carpet.

4.2.4 IFC-based efforts

4.2.4.1 Concept design-to-building performance analysis

This Model View Definition (MVD) is designed to use a conceptual design BIM as the basis of energy analysis and simulation. The MVD builds off the basic space boundary definitions by adding information about materials and material layers on building surfaces and information about MEP systems, including the HVAC, Vertical Circulation, Electrical Power, Electrical Lighting, Cold Water, Hot Water, and Waste Water. The additional layer and MEP system mappings make this MVD of great interest for sustainability analyses using IFC as an interchange between the BIM and the analysis program.

4.2.4.2 Other mappings

Other BLIS MVD projects deal more with spaces and space boundaries for use in thermal simulations. Project VBL-007, Architectural Design to Thermal Simulation, deals entirely with spaces and space boundaries. Project HUT_HVAC-002, Space Requirements and Targets to Thermal Simu-

lation, focuses on HVAC design and uses Space Types with additional information on air temperatures and quality, light and sound levels and power loads.

4.3 Step 2: Select product categories and types

Although 1,200 product type templates were available, it was not possible to specify and review appropriate sustainability properties for all within the project timeframe making it necessary to select a subset of the templates.

In a previous project for the Engineer Research and Development Center—ERDC-CERL CR-11-2, *Experimental Building Information Models* (September 2011)—KFA had produced building information models of three types of Army buildings: officer apartment housing, headquarter office, and clinic. These models contained all building elements—architectural, mechanical, electrical, water and enclosure systems—required for this study. ERDC concurred with a KFA team recommendation that the product templates to be enhanced with sustainability properties should correspond with the product types existing in the three experimental building information models. This would allow for effective testing and demonstration of the use of the sustainability properties in practice. Table 4-1 identifies the product types and categories selected.

Table 4-1. Target element types and product categories.

Element Type	Product Categories				
Architectural Elements	Floor coverings	Wall Coverings	Windows	Doors	Ceilings
Mechanical Equipment	Pumps	Chillers	Fan Coil Units	Air Handling Units	
Electrical Equipment	Lighting	Panels	Switches	Outlets	
Water Systems	Pumps	Fixtures	Pipes	Valves	
Exterior Enclosure	Roofing	Cladding	Foundations	Shading Elements	

In all, 56 product templates were extended with sustainability properties. These product templates are discussed in Chapter 5 and documented in Appendix B.

4.4 Step 3: Develop draft set of sustainability properties

4.4.1 Document relevant sustainability properties from precedent projects

The next step was to merge the sustainability properties from multiple sources for each of the target product categories. This was a manual effort, inasmuch as the same property could be named differently by each source. It revealed many sustainability properties were already included in the Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie), particularly properties required for energy analysis. The team would not replicate these properties in the US Sustainability Property Set but only identify key sustainability properties not already included in SPie. This supports the assumption that development of a single, comprehensive set of building product properties would facilitate and streamline manufacturers' provisioning of computable product descriptions to the range of building information consumers and analysis applications—in this case: specifiers, energy analysts and LEED consultants. It also highlights the importance of the standardized naming of properties. Table 4-2 compares sustainability properties for the Window product type identified in various sources.

Table 4-2. Window sustainability properties from multiple sources.

SPie Properties	gbXML Properties	DOE-2.2 Properties	MFR Performance Properties
Infiltration			Air Infiltration
ThermalTransmittance	U-value	U-Value	U-Factor
VisibleLightReflectance		Visible Reflectance	
VisibleLightTransmittance		Visible Transmittance	Visible Light Transmittance
SolarAbsorption			
SolarReflectance	Reflectance	Solar Reflectance	
SolarTransmittance	Transmittance	Solar Transmittance	
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	SolarHeatGainCoeff	Solar Heat Gain Coefficient	Solar Heat Gain Coefficient
ShadingCoefficient	ShadingCoeff	Shading Coefficient	Shading Coefficient
			Relative Heat Gain
			Transmission Ultraviolet Energy (TUV)
			Transmission Damage Function (TDW)
			Performance Grade
			Glaze Area
			Vent Area
LifeCyclePhase			
ServiceLifeType			
AssemblyPlace			
AcquisitionDate			

Once all candidate sustainability properties for each product type had been listed, they were compared to the SPie properties and the new sustainability properties were identified. The properties in this list were re-

viewed against the IFC property sets and assigned to existing property sets where possible. New property sets were designated by appending “_US” to the property set name. The root property set was called “Pset_Sustainability_US”. Additional product-category specific property sets were designated “Pset_<ProductCategory>_Sustainability_US”. The technical development of the property sets and templates is discussed in Chapter 5 and documented in Appendix B.

4.4.2 Convene discipline-specific sustainability experts

Primera Engineers, Ltd., a member of the project team, provided sustainability experts from all relevant disciplines: architectural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing. They met to review and discuss the initial draft product property templates. The templates were updated and underwent one additional review cycle before distribution to the CSI Technical Committee.

4.4.3 Submit for industry review

The Construction Specifications Institute (CSI) is a national association of more than 13,000 volunteers, including specifiers, architects, engineers, contractors, facility managers, product representatives, manufacturers, owners and others who are experts in building construction and the materials used therein. The organization was founded in March 1948 by the specification writers of government agencies who came together to improve the quality of construction specifications. Today, CSI’s mission is to advance building information management and education of project teams to improve facility performance. CSI, along with the Specifications Consultants in Independent Practice (SCIP), has been closely involved with the development of SPie.

CSI’s Technical Committee oversees a number of formats and technical publications commonly used in the AECOO industry, including:

- MasterFormat®
- UniFormat™
- Green Format™
- Uniform Drawing System (incorporated in the National CAD Standard)
- OmniClass™

This committee agreed to review and edit the draft properties templates created through the KFA/Primera team. This included review of all properties on the 56 templates, not just the sustainability properties. The review was facilitated by CSI President, Paul R. Bertram, Jr., FCSI, CDT, LEED AP, and Technical Services Director, Greg Ceton, CSI, CDT. Technical Committee reviewers were:

- Mark Kalin, FCSI, CCS, FAIA, LEED, Kalin Associates
- Thomas P. Lewis, AHC/CDC, CSI, ASSA ABLOY Door Security Solutions
- Michael MacVittie, CSI, AIA, Allen + Philp Architects
- Steve Martin, CADworks
- Ric Master, AIA, CSI, USG Building Systems
- David Stutzman, CSI, CCS, AIA, SCIP, Conspectus
- Robert Weygant, CSI, CDT, Sumex Design

The contents of the 56 product property templates, after CSI review, are documented in Chapter 5.

4.5 Sustainability properties not included

The purpose of this project is to develop, document, and create examples of a proposed model for the specification, delivery, and measurement of sustainability information on United States Army building projects. Chapter 1 documents Army's sustainability policy and requirements. These requirements do not include Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) at this time.

An Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) presents quantified environmental data for products or systems based on information from a Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) performed according to ISO standards. It includes information about the environmental impacts such as raw material acquisition, energy use and efficiency, content of materials and chemical substances, emissions to air, soil and water and waste generation.

One CSI reviewer recommended inclusion of product properties necessary for this type of life cycle analysis. These properties are shown in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3. Sustainability Properties Suggested But Not Included in Templates.

EPD (Environmental Product Declaration) Metrics:	
EPD - Carbon Footprint (Cradle to Gate)	kg CO ₂ equivalent/SF surface area
EPD - Primary Energy (Cradle to Gate)	MJ/SF surface area
EPD - Acidification (Cradle to Gate)	H ⁺ moles/SF surface area
EPD - Ozone Depletion (Cradle to Gate)	kg CFC-11 eq/SF surface area
EPD - Smog (Cradle to Gate)	g Nox eq/SF surface area
HPD (Healthy Product Declaration) Metrics	
HPD - VOC Emissions	PPB per California Department of Department of Health Standard Practice for the Testing of Volatile Organic Emissions from Various Sources Using Small-Scale Environmental Chambers,
HPD - VOC Content for non-solid materials	g/L less water per South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule #1168
HPD - Chemicals of Concern	Amount of Chemicals of Concern above defined health threshold limits per CA Prop. 65

5 Consensus Properties

5.1 Overview

As a result of the national technical association meeting documented in Appendix A, a group of industry domain experts was assembled to review and validate a minimum set of sustainability properties initially defined by the KFA/Primera team for a small sample of building products used in typical Army buildings. The methodology used in selecting product properties was described in Chapter 4. The goal of this part of the study was to identify and propose a minimum set of sustainability properties for a set of building elements typically found in traditional army facilities as exemplified by the officer apartment, headquarters office and clinic building that were earlier created for ERDC-CERL.

The starting points for selecting building elements were the common object library developed for the three experimental Building Information Models (ERDC/CERL CR-11-2, *Experimental Building Information Models*) and the approximately 1200 products and assemblies in Version 1 of the Specifiers' Properties Information Exchange (SPie) templates. Building elements in the common object library representing a range of categories (Table 5-1¹) were matched to product templates in SPie.

Once the products were identified, a property comparison was made between different property schemas, including SPie, gbXML, DOE-2 and actual manufacturer-supplied product data. These comparisons resulted in the definition of minimal sustainability property sets.

For the final set of properties that were applied to the sample products, additional properties from the Operators Properties Information Exchange (OPie) were added.

5.2 Selection of representative products

The building elements in the common object library were sorted by the element types and product categories listed in Table 5-1. The goal was to identify about 60 comparable products from the 1200 SPie templates that

¹ The tables appear after the conclusion of the text in this chapter.

could be used to create and validate an initial set of sustainability properties. The 56 selected products are listed in Table 5-2.

5.3 Sustainability property comparisons

The next step in the process was to take the selected products and examine the sustainability-related properties that are in various existing schemas, including SPie, gbXML, DOE-2 and actual manufacturer-supplied product data. An example of such a comparison, for a window, is shown in Table 5-3.

From these comparisons, a minimum set of sustainability properties was extracted. The properties in this list were reviewed against the IFC property sets and assigned to existing property sets where possible (Table 5-4). New property sets were designated by appending “_US” to the property set name. The root property set was called “Pset_Sustainability_US”. Additional product-category specific property sets were designated “Pset_<ProductCategory>_Sustainability_US.” The property lists were then passed to domain specialists at Primera Engineers, who reviewed the lists and suggested revisions and additions, which were incorporated into the final list.

A total of 33 properties were identified by this process. Of these, a core set of 12 properties applies to all of the 56 selected building products. These properties are in Pset_Sustainability_US. The two properties in Pset_Material_Sustainability_US apply to materials in general. The remaining 19 properties apply to specific categories of products, such as the nine properties in Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US or the two properties for baths, showers, toilets and other Sanitary Terminal products in Pset_SanitaryTerminal_Sustainability_US.

5.4 Outside review of the sustainability properties

One outcome of the meeting documented in Chapter 3 was an agreement by the Construction Specification Institute to submit the 56 representative products to panels of their members for review and comment. Spreadsheets formatted to resemble the HTML version of the SPie templates were prepared for each of the 56 products. These spreadsheets included the original SPie properties, the added sustainability properties, and new OPie properties (Table 5-5).

Comments from the reviewers were collated and additional properties were added to a number of products (Table 5-6). A matrix showing all of the new properties as applied to the 56 products is shown in Table 5-7.

Additional properties related to Environmental Product Declarations (EPD) and Healthy Product Declarations (HPD) were also suggested (Table 4-3). These properties were not added because the focus of this project is on the Army's sustainability policies and EPD/HPD is not included in that policy. The suggested properties should be added to the SPie templates at a later date, however.

5.5 Creation of IFC and COBie templates

To create IFC versions of the SPie templates for the 56 selected products, the additional properties were added to the COBie .XLS spreadsheet version of each product's SPie template. New values were entered in the Name, Category, SheetName, RowName, Value, Unit, ExtObject, ExtIdentifier, Description, AllowedValues columns of the COBie Attribute tab for each property (Figure 5-1). Default enumerations and values, such as "Not Defined" or "n/a" where added where necessary in order to allow the transformation to be completed. These spreadsheets were then converted to IFC and other formats by Nick Nisbet of AEC3 UK Ltd using the BIMServices Transform1 tool. The template files are available through the Specifiers' Properties Templates page of the Whole Building Design Guide ProductGuide™ (http://www.wbdg.org/references/pg_spt.php).

PostConsumerRecoveredContent	Require	Type	Window	0	%	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Material	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	Require	Type	Window	0	%	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Total m	n/a
RenewableContent	Require	Type	Window	0	%	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Material	n/a
RenewableMaterial	Require	Type	Window	n/a, n/a	n/a	IfcPropertyListValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Comma	n/a
BiobasedContent	Require	Type	Window	0	%	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Material	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	Require	Type	Window	n/a, n/a	n/a	IfcPropertyListValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Comma	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	Require	Type	Window	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	n/a	IfcPropertyTableValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Location	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	Require	Type	Window	0, 0	%	IfcPropertyListValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Comma	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Require	Type	Window	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	n/a	IfcPropertyTableValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Location	n/a
CertifiedContent	Require	Type	Window	unknown	logical	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Material	n/a
CertificationType	Require	Type	Window	n/a, n/a	n/a	IfcPropertyListValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Comma	n/a
Emissions	Require	Type	Window	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	n/a	IfcPropertyTableValue	Pset_Sustainability_US	Measur	n/a
SNAP	Require	Type	Window	unknown	logical	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Material_Sustainability_US	EPA Sig	n/a
ThermalResistance	Require	Type	Window	0	hr-CuFt-F/Btu	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_Material_Sustainability_US	Thermal	n/a
ExteriorWoodFinish	Require	Type	Window	notdefined	enumeration	IfcPropertyEnumeratedValue	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US	Exterior	factory
ExteriorCladding	Require	Type	Window	notdefined	enumeration	IfcPropertyEnumeratedValue	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US	Exterior	Aluminum
WaterInfiltration	Require	Type	Window	0	n/a	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US	Water In	n/a
UVTransmittance	Require	Type	Window	0	n/a	IfcPropertySingleValue	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US	Fraction	n/a

Figure 5-1. Sample additional attribute rows in a COBie spreadsheet.

5.6 Importation of sustainability properties into the Revit experimental building models

In an earlier ERDC/CERL project, KFA created three standardized building models ("experimental BIMs") in Autodesk Revit 2011, IFC and COBie formats. One part of the current project is to add the additional sustaina-

bility properties to these models. The procedure that was used to create the Experimental BIMs is described in ERCD/CERL CR-11-2 (available for download from <http://buildingsmartalliance.org/index.php/projects/commonbimfiles/>).

Because (1) it is not possible to load individual building element families from IFC files into the default Revit template and retain the added COBie and Sustainability parameters and their values; (2) the Experimental BIM used specific Revit families that were different from the generic SPie products; and (3) the SPie templates did not--at the time--have any meaningful geometric representation, it was necessary to directly update the families in the Revit experimental models with the new parameters and create new IFC models from these Revit models.

The following procedure was used to add the sustainability parameters to the models:

1. The first Revit file—Duplex_A.rvt, the architectural model for the Duplex Apartment—was opened in Revit Architecture 2011.
2. New Revit Shared Parameters for each of the new sustainability properties were added to the COBieSharedParameters.txt file using the **Revit Manage tab > Shared Parameters** command. The resulting shared parameter file was saved as COBieandSustainability_SharedParameters.txt, available from <http://buildingsmartalliance.org/index.php/projects/commonbimfiles/>.
3. The new Shared Parameters were added to selected element categories using a proprietary custom add-in program. (Steps 2 and 3 can also be done using the **Revit Manage tab > Project Parameters > Add** command.)
4. A copy was made of the “COBie Types Schedule,” a multi-category schedule in the Duplex Apartment model file, re-named “Sustainability Types Schedule” and updated to include the new parameters.
5. The new parameters were edited in the **Schedule Properties** dialog box to apply the parameters to the appropriate element categories in the model (Figure 5-2).

Figure 5-2: Revit parameter properties dialog box showing the Lamp Efficiency property applied to Lighting Fixtures

6. For the other models and the Revit Experimental BIM template (.RTE) files, the file was opened in Revit Architecture 2011 or Revit MEP 2011, depending on the discipline of the model, and the Sustainability Types Schedule was copied into it from the first model. Copying the schedule into the model applied the shared parameters to the building elements in the model.

5.7 Export of models to IFC

Once the new properties were added to the models and applied to the building elements in the models, the following procedure was used to export the models to IFC files:

1. In order to be able to export all of the parameters to IFC, default values were set using a proprietary custom add-in program.
2. The Revit model was saved as a new file.
3. The Revit model was exported to IFC using the IFC-exportlayers.txt file created for the Experimental BIM project. The IFC-exportlayers.txt file provides additional Revit-to-IFC mappings to enable correct export of the Revit elements and properties to IFC (see the Experimental BIM report for more details).

Table 5-1. Target Element Types and Product Categories.

Element Type	Product Categories				
Architectural Elements	Floor coverings	Wall Coverings	Windows	Doors	Ceilings
Mechanical Equipment	Pumps	Chillers	Fan Coil Units	Air Handling Units	
Electrical Equipment	Lighting	Panels	Switches	Outlets	
Water Systems	Pumps	Fixtures	Pipes	Valves	
Exterior Enclosure	Roofing	Cladding	Foundations	Shading Elements	

Table 5-2. Sample products by Category and Element Type.

Type	Category	Element Template
Architectural	Ceilings	Covering_CEILING_AcousticalPanelCeilings_US
	Wall Coverings	Covering_MEMBRANE_Painting_US
	Floor Coverings	Covering_FLOORING_CeramicTiling_US
		Covering_FLOORING_ResilientTileFlooring_US
		Covering_FLOORING_SheetCarpeting_US
		Covering_FLOORING_TileCarpeting_US
		Covering_FLOORING_WoodStripandPlankFlooring_US
	Enclosure	Covering_CLADDING_AluminumSiding_US
		Covering_CLADDING_GypsumBoardAssemblies_US
		Covering_CLADDING_Sheathing_US
		Covering_MEMBRANE_AirBarriers_US
		Covering_MEMBRANE_EthylenePropyleneDieneMonomerRoofing_US
		Covering_ROOFING_AspaltShingles_US
		Covering_ROOFING_SheetMetalRoofing_US
		Covering_ROOFING_VegetatedProtectedMembraneRoofing_US
		Footing_PAD_FOOTING_US
		Footing_STRIP_FOOTING_US
		Covering_INSULATION_BlanketInsulation_US
		Covering_INSULATION_BoardInsulation_US
		Covering_INSULATION_PolymerBasedExteriorInsulationandFinishSystem_US
		CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_AluminumFramedEntrancesandStorefronts_US
		CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_GlazedAluminumCurtainWalls_US
		Wall_USERDEFINED_ConcreteUnitMasonry_US
		Wall_USERDEFINED_BrickMasonry_US
	Doors	Door_DOOR_AluminumDoorsandFrames_US
		Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors_US
		Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_US
	Windows	Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights_US
		Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows_US

Type	Category	Element Template
		Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows_US
		Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows_US
Mechanical	Chillers	Chiller_AIRCOOLED_US
	Fan Coil Units	Fan_CENTRIFUGALAIRFOIL_US
	Air Handling Units	UnitaryEquipment_AIRHANDLER_US
	Pumps	(NOT USED in the experimental models)
Electrical	Panels	ElectricDistributionBoard_DISTRIBUTIONBOARD_DistributionPanel_US
		ElectricDistributionBoard_SWITCHBOARD_DistributionPanel_US
		Transformer_VOLTAGE_US
	Lighting	Lamp_FLUORESCENT_Lamp_US
		LightFixture_DIRECTIONSOURCE_LightFixture_US
	Outlets	Outlet_DATAOUTLET_Outlet_US
		Outlet_POWEROUTLET_Outlet_US
		Outlet_TELEPHONEOUTLET_Outlet_US
	Switches	SwitchingDevice_DIMMERSWITCH_US
		SwitchingDevice_TOGGLESWITCH_US
Water Systems	Pipes	PipeSegment_RIGIDSEGMENT_US
	Pumps	Pump_CIRCULATOR_US
	Fixtures	SanitaryTerminal_BATH_PlumbingFixtures_US
		SanitaryTerminal_SANITARYFOUNTAIN_PlumbingFixtures_US
		SanitaryTerminal_SHOWER_PlumbingFixtures_US
		SanitaryTerminal_SINK_PlumbingFixtures_US
		SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_PlumbingFixtures_US
		SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_PlumbingFixtures_US
	Valves	Valve_FAUCET_US
		Valve_FLUSHING_US
		Valve_ISOLATING_US
		Valve_STOPCOCK_US

Table 5-3. Sample of a property comparison for a window.

SPIE Properties	GBxml Properties (Opening element)	GBxml Properties (Window element)	GBxml Properties (Glaze element)	DOE-2.2 Properties	MFR Performance Properties
Infiltration					Air Infiltration
ThermalTransmittance	U-value	U-value		U-Value	U-Factor
VisibleLightReflectance				Visible Reflectance	
VisibleLightTransmittance				Visible Transmittance	Visible Light Transmittance
SolarAbsorption					
SolarReflectance	Reflectance	Reflectance	Reflectance	Solar Reflectance	
SolarTransmittance	Transmittance	Transmittance	Transmittance	Solar Transmittance	
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	SolarHeatGainCoeff	SolarHeatGainCoeff		Solar Heat Gain Coefficient	Solar Heat Gain Coefficient
ShadingCoefficient	ShadingCoeff	ShadingCoeff		Shading Coefficient	Shading Coefficient
					Relative Heat Gain
					Transmission Ultraviolet Energy (TUV)
					Transmission Damage Function (TDW)
					Performance Grade
					Glaze Area
					Vent Area
LifeCyclePhase					
ServiceLifeType					
AssemblyPlace					
AcquisitionDate					

Table 5-4: Proposed Sustainability Properties.

Property Name	Property Description	Value	Units	Pset
CommonProperties				
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	Material content from post-consumer recycled or recovered sources	0	%	Pset_Sustainability_US
TotalRecoveredContent	Total material content from recycled content, including post-consumer content and other recycled or recovered sources	0	%	Pset_Sustainability_US
RenewableContent	Material content from rapidly renewable resources	0	%	Pset_Sustainability_US
RenewableMaterial	Comma delimited list of rapidly renewable material type(s)	n/a, n/a	Text list	Pset_Sustainability_US
BiobasedContent	Material content from biobased resources	0	%	Pset_Sustainability_US
BiobasedMaterial	Comma delimited list of Biobased material type(s)	n/a, n/a	Text list	Pset_Sustainability_US
RawMaterialLocation	Location at which raw materials are extracted, harvested, or recovered, Delimited table by (City, State)	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	Text table	Pset_Sustainability_US
RegionalMaterialContent	Comma delimited list of material content from each raw material location, list % by weight	0, 0	%	Pset_Sustainability_US
ManufactureLocation	Location at which materials are manufactured, Delimited table by (City, State)	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	Text table	Pset_Sustainability_US
CertifiedContent	Material certified through an approved certification program	unknown	logical	Pset_Sustainability_US
CertificationType	Comma delimited list of certification program(s) that have certified the product	n/a, n/a	n/a	Pset_Sustainability_US
Emissions	Measure of pollutants and/or volatile organic compounds. Delimited table by (Emission Type, Quantity, Unit of Measure)	(n/a, n/a), (n/a, n/a)	Text table	Pset_Sustainability_US
Electrical Device Properties				
HeatLoad	Heat load of the element	0	Btu/hr (W)	Pset_ElectricalDevice_Sustainability_US

Property Name	Property Description	Value	Units	Pset
EnergyStar	EnergyStar labeled product	unknown	logical	Pset_ElectricalDevice_Sustainability_US
Transformer Properties				
EISAEfficiency	Equipment meets EISA efficiency requirements (True) or not (False)	unknown	logical	Pset_Transformer_Sustainability_US
TransformerFillType	Type of transformer, including Dry Tpe or type of fill oil for oil filled transformer	unset	enumeration	Pset_Transformer_Sustainability_US
AcousticRating	Maximum noise level produced by the transformer	0	dBa	Pset_Transformer_Sustainability_US
Lamp Properties				
LampEfficacy	Energy efficacy of the lamp (Lumens per Watt)	0	L/W	Pset_Lamp_Sustainability_US
MercuryContent	Mercury content of the lamp	0	pg/L	Pset_Lamp_Sustainability_US
Light Fixture Properties				
LuminaireEfficacy	Energy efficacy of the luminaire (Lumens per Watt) total for the fixture (all lamps and balast factors)	0	L/W	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
LuminaireEfficiency	Energy efficiency of the luminaire (exiting lumens/total lumens)	0	%	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
BallastType	Ballast type (electronic, dimming, etc.)	unset	enumeration	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
BallastFactor	Ballast factor (1.1, 1.3, etc.)	n/a	Text	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
BallastAcousticRating	Ballast acoustical rating (A, etc.)	n/a	Text	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
BacklightUplightGlare	BUG rating of the fixture (Back Light, Up Light, and Glare)	n/a	Text	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
ControlType	Type of control used on the fixture, including integral sensors or reference to switching device	unset	enumeration	Pset_LightFixture_Sustainability_US
Sanitary Terminal Properties				
VolumePerUse	Volume of water consumed per use	0	GPU, GPF	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_Sustainability_US
WaterSense	EPA WaterSense labeled product	unknown	logical	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_Sustainability_US

Property Name	Property Description	Value	Units	Pset
Material Properties				
SNAP	EPA Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP) Program	unknown	logical	Pset_Material_Sustainability_US
ThermalResistance	Thermal resistance of the element, hr-CuFt-F/Btu (K-Cu m/W)	0	hr-CuFt-F/Btu	Pset_Material_Sustainability_US
Asphalt Shingle Properties				
EnergyStar	EnergyStar labeled product	unknown	logical	Pset_AsphaltShingles_Sustainability_US
Insulation Properties				
Carcinogenic	Has the product been found to be carcinogenic?	unknown	logical	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
FormaldehydeFree	Does the product free of formaldehyde?	unknown	logical	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US

Table 5-5. Additional OPie Properties.

SPie Product Type Name	Recommended OPie Attributes	Existing SPie PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
Chiller_AIRCOOLED	RefrigerantType	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	RefrigerantAmount	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	PumpCapacity	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	PumpPower	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	BeltNumber	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	BeltSize	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	BeltType	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
	AirFilterType	–	Pset_Chiller_AIRCOOLED_Operations_US
Door_DOOR_AluminumDoorsandFrames	LockType	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	ClosureType	Pset_DoorCommon_SelfClosing	–
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_DoorCommon_HandicapAccessible; Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors	LockType	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US

SPIe Product Type Name	Recommended OPIe Attributes	Existing SPIe PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	ClosureType	Pset_DoorCommon_SelfClosing	–
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_DoorCommon_HandicapAccessible; Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames	LockType	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Door_Operations_US
	ClosureType	Pset_DoorCommon_SelfClosing	–
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_DoorCommon_HandicapAccessible; Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
Fan_CENTRIFUGALAIRFOIL	MotorSpeed	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	MotorPower	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	FanEfficiency	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	AirOutput	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	BeltNumber	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	BeltSize	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	BeltType	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	ContinuousOperation	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
	FanDriveType	Pset_FanTypeCommon_MotorDriveType	–
	MotorEnclosure	–	Pset_FanTypeCommon_Operations_US
PipeSegment_RIGIDSEGMENT	InsulationType	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
	AllowableTemperatureMaximum	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
	HangerSpacing	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
	DurabilityClass	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
	ChemcialAgentsExposure	–	Pset_PipeSegment_Operations_US
Pump_CIRCULAR	OperatingPressureMaximum	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	SetPressure	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	AllowableTemperatureMaximum	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	PumpCapacity	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	PumpPower	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US

SPIe Product Type Name	Recommended OPIe Attributes	Existing SPIe PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
	PressureDrop	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	PipeSizeInlet	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	PipeSizeOutlet	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
	FuelFilterNumber	–	Pset_Pump_Operations_US
SanitaryTerminal_SINK_PlumbingFixture	WaterTemperatureMax	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_SINK_Operations_US
	WaterTemperatureMin	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_SINK_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_SINK_Operations_US
	ValveSize	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_SINK_Operations_US
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_PlumbingFixture	OperatingPressureMaximum	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_US
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
	FlushMechanism	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_US
SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_PlumbingFixture	OperatingPressureMaximum	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_US
	AccessibilityCompliance	Pset_Specification_AccessibilityPerformance	–
	FlushMechanism	–	Pset_SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_US
UnitaryEquipment_AIRHANDLER	NominalCoolingCapacity	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	CoolingCapacity	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	Economizer	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	SupplyFanMotorPower	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	SupplyFanCapacity	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	ReturnFanMotorPower	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	ReturnFanCapacity	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	AirFilterSize	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	BeltNumber	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	BeltSize	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	BeltType	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	VariableFrequencyDrive	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
	AirFilterType	–	Pset_UnitaryEquipment_AirHandler_Operations_US
Valve_FAUCET	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_WorkingPressure	–

SPIe Product Type Name	Recommended OPIe Attributes	Existing SPIe PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
	ValveSize	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_Size	–
Valve_FLUSHING	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_WorkingPressure	–
	ValveSize	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_Size	–
Valve_ISOLATING	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_WorkingPressure	–
	ValveSize	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_Size	–
Valve_STOPCOCK	PositionNormal	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	PositionEmergency	–	Pset_Valve_Operations_US
	OperatingPressureMaximum	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_WorkingPressure	–
	ValveSize	Pset_ValveTypeCommon_Size	–

Table 5-6. Additional SPie and sustainability properties from CSI review.

SPie Product Type Name	Recommended OPie Attributes	Existing SPie PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
Covering_FLOORING_SheetCarpeting	MaterialsYarn	–	Pset_SheetCarpeting_Specification_US
	MaterialsBacking	–	Pset_SheetCarpeting_Specification_US
	VaporPermeability	–	Pset_SheetCarpeting_Specification_US
	Adhesives	–	Pset_SheetCarpeting_Specification_US
	Accessories	–	Pset_SheetCarpeting_Specification_US
Covering_FLOORING_TileCarpeting	MaterialsYarn	–	Pset_TileCarpeting_Specification_US
	MaterialsBacking	–	Pset_TileCarpeting_Specification_US
	VaporPermeability	–	Pset_TileCarpeting_Specification_US
	Adhesives	–	Pset_TileCarpeting_Specification_US
	Accessories	–	Pset_TileCarpeting_Specification_US
Covering_INSULATION_BlanketInsulation	Carcinogenic	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
	FormaldehydeFree	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
Covering_INSULATION_BoardInsulation	Carcinogenic	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
	FormaldehydeFree	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
Covering_INSULATION_PolymerBasedExteriorInsulationandFinishSystem	Carcinogenic	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
	FormaldehydeFree	–	Pset_INSULATION_Sustainability_US
Covering_ROOFING_AsphaltShingle	Exposure	–	Pset_AsphaltShingles_Specification_US
	EnergyStar	–	Pset_AsphaltShingles_Sustainability_US
	SolarReflectanceFront	Pset_MaterialOptical	–
Covering_ROOFING_SheetMetalRoofing	WindLoad	–	Pset_SheetMetalRoofing_Specification_US
	SnowLoad	–	Pset_SheetMetalRoofing_Specification_US
Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors	Cut	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	EdgeMaterialSide	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	EdgeMaterialTopBottom	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	DoorEdgeShape	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	CoreConstruction	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	Blocking	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	Adhesive	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	PreWired	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US
	Astragal	–	Pset_FlushWoodDoors_Specification_US

SPIe Product Type Name	Recommended OPIe Attributes	Existing SPIe PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames	DoorMaterialsExterior	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	FrameMaterialsExterior	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	FrameConstruction	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	CoreMaterial	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	CoreConstruction	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	EdgeConstruction	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	Louvers	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	LouverFireRating	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	SmokeRating	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	PreWired	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	LabelClassification	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	TemperatureRise	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
	FrameAnchorage	–	Pset_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_Specification_US
Footing_STRIP_FOOTING	Projection		Pset_Footing_Specification_US
Outlet_DATAOUTLET_Outlet	MountingType	–	Pset_Outlet_Specification_US
Outlet_POWEROUTLET_Outlet	MountingType	–	Pset_Outlet_Specification_US
Outlet_TELEPHONEOUTLET_Outlet	MountingType	–	Pset_Outlet_Specification_US
SwitchingDevice_DIMMERSWITCH	MountingType	–	Pset_SwitchingDevice_Specification_US
SwitchingDevice_TOGGLESWITCH	MountingType	–	Pset_SwitchingDevice_Specification_US
Wall_USERDEFINED_BrickMasonry	MortarType	–	Pset_BrickMasonry_Specification_US
	MortarColor	–	Pset_BrickMasonry_Specification_US
	Reinforcement	–	Pset_BrickMasonry_Specification_US
Wall_USERDEFINED_ConcreteUnitMasonry	BondBeams	–	Pset_ConcreteUnitMasonry_Specification_US
Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights	GlassColorInterior	–	Pset_DoorWindowGlazingType_Specifications_US
	GlassColorExterior	–	Pset_DoorWindowGlazingType_Specifications_US
Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows	FrameMaterialConfiguration	–	Pset_AluminumWindows_Specification_US
	FrameFinish	–	Pset_AluminumWindows_Specification_US
	WaterInfiltration	–	Pset_AluminumWindows_Specification_US
	UVTransmittance	–	Pset_AluminumWindows_Specification_US
Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows	WaterInfiltration	–	Pset_VinylWindows_Specification_US
	UVTransmittance	–	Pset_VinylWindows_Specification_US

SPie Product Type Name	Recommended OPie Attributes	Existing SPie PSet Attributes	Proposed PSet Attributes
Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows	ExteriorWoodFinish	–	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US
	ExteriorCladding	–	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US
	WaterInfiltration	–	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US
	UVTransmittance	–	Pset_WoodWindows_Specification_US

ERDC/CERL TR-12-6

97

[illegible]

Product	PostConsumerRecoveredContent	TotalRecoveredContent	RenewableContent	RenewableMaterial	BiobasedContent	BiobasedMaterial	RawMaterialLocation	RegionalMaterialContent	ManufactureLocation	CertifiedContent	CertificationType	Emissions	SNAP	ThermalResistance
CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_GlazedAluminumCurtainWalls_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Door_DOOR_AluminumDoorsandFrames_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ElectricDistributionBoard_DISTRIBUTIONBOARD_DistributionPanel_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ElectricDistributionBoard_SWITCHBOARD_DistributionPanel_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Fan_CENTRIFUGALAIRFOIL_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Footing_PAD_FOOTING_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Footing_STRIP_FOOTING_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lamp_FLUORESCENT_Lamp_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LightFixture_DIRECTIONSOURCE_LightFixture_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Outlet_DATAOUTLET_Outlet_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Outlet_POWEROUTLET_Outlet_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Outlet_TELEPHONEOUTLET_Outlet_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PipeSegment_RIGIDSEGMENT_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Pump_CIRCULATOR_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_BATH_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_SANITARYFOUNTAIN_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_SHOWER_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_SINK_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_PlumbingFixtures_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Product	PostConsumerRecoveredContent	TotalRecoveredContent	RenewableContent	RenewableMaterial	BiobasedContent	BiobasedMaterial	RawMaterialLocation	RegionalMaterialContent	ManufactureLocation	CertifiedContent	CertificationType	Emissions	SNAP	ThermalResistance
SwitchingDevice_DIMMERSWITCH_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SwitchingDevice_TOGGLESWITCH_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Transformer_VOLTAGE_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
UnitaryEquipment_AIRHANDLER_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Valve_FAUCET_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Valve_FLUSHING_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Valve_ISOLATING_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Valve_STOPCOCK_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Wall_USERDEFINED_BrickMasonry_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Wall_USERDEFINED_ConcreteUnitMasonry_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows_US	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Table 5–7. Property-Product Matrix (continued).

Part 2

Product	HeatLoad	EnergyStar	EISAEfficiency	TransformerFillType	LampEfficacy	MercuryContent	LuminaireEfficacy	LuminaireEfficiency	BallastType	BallastFactor	BallastAcousticRating	BacklightUplightGlare	ControlType	VolumePerUse	WaterSense	Carcinogenic	FormaldehydeFree	ThermalTransmittance	AcousticRating
Chiller_AIRCOOLED_US	X	X																	
Covering_CEILING_AcousticalPanelCeilings_US																		X	X
Covering_CLADDING_AluminumSiding_US																		X	X
Covering_CLADDING_GypsumBoardAssemblies_US																		X	X
Covering_CLADDING_Sheathing_US																		X	X
Covering_FLOORING_CeramicTiling_US																		X	X
Covering_FLOORING_ResilientTileFlooring_US																		X	X
Covering_FLOORING_SheetCarpeting_US																		X	X
Covering_FLOORING_TileCarpeting_US																		X	X
Covering_FLOORING_WoodStripandPlankFlooring_US																		X	X
Covering_INSULATION_BlanketInsulation_US																X	X	X	X
Covering_INSULATION_BoardInsulation_US																X	X	X	X
Covering_INSULATION_PolymerBasedExteriorInsulationandFinish System_US																X	X	X	X
Covering_MEMBRANE_AirBarriers_US																		X	X
Covering_MEMBRANE_EthylenePropyleneDieneMonomerRoofing_US																		X	X
Covering_MEMBRANE_Painting_US																		X	X
Covering_ROOFING_AspaltShingles_US		X																X	X
Covering_ROOFING_SheetMetalRoofing_US																		X	X
Covering_ROOFING_VegetatedProtectedMembraneRoofing_US																		X	X
CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_AluminumFramedEntrancesandStore fronts_US																		X	X
CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_GlazedAluminumCurtainWalls_US																		X	X

Product	HeatLoad	EnergyStar	EISAEfficiency	TransformerFillType	LampEfficacy	MercuryContent	LuminaireEfficacy	LuminaireEfficiency	BallastType	BallastFactor	BallastAcousticRating	BacklightUplightGlare	ControlType	VolumePerUse	WaterSense	Carcinogenic	FormaldehydeFree	ThermalTransmittance	AcousticRating
Door_DOOR_AluminumDoorsandFrames_US																		X	X
Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors_US																		X	X
Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_US																		X	X
ElectricDistributionBoard_DISTRIBUTIONBOARD_DistributionPanel_US	X	X																	
ElectricDistributionBoard_SWITCHBOARD_DistributionPanel_US	X	X																	
Fan_CENTRIFUGALAIRFOIL_US	X	X																	
Footing_PAD_FOOTING_US																			
Footing_STRIP_FOOTING_US																			
Lamp_FLUORESCENT_Lamp_US	X	X			X	X			X										
LightFixture_DIRECTIONSOURCE_LightFixture_US	X	X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Outlet_DATAOUTLET_Outlet_US																			
Outlet_POWEROUTLET_Outlet_US																			
Outlet_TELEPHONEOUTLET_Outlet_US																			
PipeSegment_RIGIDSEGMENT_US																			
Pump_CIRCULATOR_US	X	X																	
SanitaryTerminal_BATH_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SanitaryTerminal_SANITARYFOUNTAIN_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SanitaryTerminal_SHOWER_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SanitaryTerminal_SINK_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_PlumbingFixtures_US														X	X				
SwitchingDevice_DIMMERSWITCH_US	X	X																	
SwitchingDevice_TOGGLESWITCH_US	X	X																	
Transformer_VOLTAGE_US	X	X		X															X
UnitaryEquipment_AIRHANDLER_US	X	X																	

Product	HeatLoad	EnergyStar	EISAEfficiency	TransformerFillType	LampEfficacy	MercuryContent	LuminaireEfficacy	LuminaireEfficiency	BallastType	BallastFactor	BallastAcousticRating	BacklightUplightGlare	ControlType	VolumePerUse	WaterSense	Carcinogenic	FormaldehydeFree	ThermalTransmittance	AcousticRating
Valve_FAUCET_US																			
Valve_FLUSHING_US																			
Valve_ISOLATING_US																			
Valve_STOPCOCK_US																			
Wall_USERDEFINED_BrickMasonry_US																		X	X
Wall_USERDEFINED_ConcreteUnitMasonry_US																		X	X
Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights_US																		X	X
Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows_US																		X	X
Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows_US																		X	X
Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows_US																		X	X

6 Sustainability Analysis Scenarios

6.1 Overview

Architectural design projects typically require teams to develop multiple scenarios in an effort to provide the best solution to the design challenge. When deciding on what is included in each scenario, the building envelope and building systems often play a major role in developing these options. In order to help streamline the process of exploring energy-efficient options within the BIM, it is necessary to research and embed pertinent information on various building products within the model.

Information on architectural, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing products typically found in traditional Army facilities was first gathered and then entered into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets as a starting point for collecting data. Utilizing the duplex apartment BIM previously modeled using Revit Architecture 2011 and Revit MEP 2011, the product data gathered were added to several of the families in the existing project to further expand on the experimental model.

The energy efficiency, product criteria and/or usage information added to building components provide examples of how this information can be used in exploring various energy saving scenarios.

This chapter documents the process for gathering sustainable property information, outline how the information was applied to BIM families and objects, and to demonstrate how the information can be used to make informed design decisions regarding the building systems and envelope elements. This information will provide the overall concepts that can be applied to any object in the BIM to help analyze various design scenarios.

6.2 Standards

In determining what sustainability properties should be applied to BIM objects, the information contained in the spreadsheets based on SPie requirements and the US sustainability property sets was used to establish the criteria typically required when analyzing the efficiency of building envelopes and systems. This information was embedded in the various model families as both standard parameters and shared parameters.

6.2.1 Family parameters

In order to use additional parameters associated with a family object effectively, the intended use of the parameter data must be known so that the *Type of Parameter* option can be set accordingly (Figure 6-1). Establishing this early in the process will remove the need of having to recreate parameters that do not represent the correct type.

Figure 6-1. Parameter properties dialog.

6.2.2 Shared parameters

To ensure that custom parameters could be shared among projects and families, the approach taken was to develop the additional sustainability properties criteria as shared parameters. Shared parameters were edited and/or created within Revit MEP 2011.

6.2.3 Sustainability requirements

Energy efficiency and/or usage information was added to plumbing fixtures and the roofing components to provide an example of how the information could be used to explore various energy saving scenarios, based on the sustainability properties templates. The next sources of requirements needed for extracting useful data from the BIM were found in the

latest version of the LEED reference guide for Green Building Design and Construction (US Green Building Council. *LEED Reference Guide for Green Building Design and Construction*, 2009 Edition). This allowed for a direct comparison within the BIM of baseline requirements against more energy efficient options.

6.3 Scenario 1: LEED Water Efficiency Credit 3–Water Use Reduction

In addition to the common sustainability properties, sanitary terminals have two additional properties: VolumePerUse and WaterSense. In this scenario, we will use these properties to make a comparison between two different specification sets in order to determine the potential reduction in water use and whether the more efficient fixtures result in a LEED credit for the design. A different set of specifications is applied to each of the two apartments in the Duplex model.

The illustration below (Figure 6-2) shows the baseline volume per use figures related to plumbing fixtures in a residential setting. The arrows highlight the three fixtures used in this scenario.

Residential Fixtures, Fittings, and Appliances	Current Baseline
Residential toilets ←	1.6 (gpf)***
Residential lavatory (bathroom) faucets ←	2.2 (gpm) at 60 psi
Residential kitchen faucet	
Residential showerheads ←	2.5 (gpm) at 80 (psi) per shower stall****

Figure 6-2. Reproduction of table from 2009 edition of LEED Reference Guide for Green Building Design and Construction.

In addition to volume usage baseline requirements, the LEED reference guide also outlined information needed to calculate total usage. The other factors necessary to determine total usage were:

- Number of Users
- Number of Uses per Day
- Minutes in Use

The LEED water use reduction credit requires a 30% minimum decrease in water usage from the baseline standards.

In this scenario, *Duplex A* plumbing fixtures were replaced with baseline level fixtures and *Duplex B* plumbing fixtures were updated with resource-saving options. All properties used represent fixtures which are currently readily available for purchase. Once the BIM was fully updated, the information contained in it regarding water usage could be reviewed through the use of schedules. In order to determine total volume of water usage per day the following formula was set up in Revit as a calculated value:

$$\text{NumberOfUsers} * \text{NumberOfUsesPerDay} * \text{MinutesInUse} * \text{VolumePerUse} = \text{Volume per Day}$$

This allowed the total annual volume to be calculated by multiplying the volume per day by the number of days in use, 365 days in this example.

NumberOfUsers	NumberOfUsesPerDay	MinutesInUse	VolumePer Use	Total Volume Per Day (GAL)	Total Annual Volume
2	5	1	2.2 gal	22	8,030 gal
2	5	1	1.6 gal	16	5,840 gal
2	5	1	2.2 gal	44	16,060 gal
2	1	8	2.5 gal	40	14,600 gal
2	5	1	1.6 gal	16	5,840 gal
				138	50,370 gal

Figure 6-3. Duplex A, total annual water usage schedule.

Figure 6-4. Duplexes A and B, plumbing fixtures.

NumberOfUsers	NumberOfUsesPerDay	MinutesInUse	VolumePer Use	Total Volume Per Day (GAL)	Total Annual Volume
2	5	1	1.5 gal	15	5,475 gal
2	5	1	1.25 gal	12.5	4,562.5 gal
2	5	1	0.5 gal	10	3,650 gal
2	1	8	1.6 gal	25.6	9,344 gal
2	5	1	1.26 gal	12.8	4,672 gal
				75.9	27,703.5 gal

Figure 6-5. Duplex B, total annual water usage schedule.

Utilizing the filtering options available in Revit schedules, the plumbing fixtures were separated by unit and the schedules above document the total annual volume of water usage. Since the grand total figure generated in the Revit schedule can't be used in another calculated value in this version of Revit, the percentage of water use reduction can be figured manually by performing the following calculation:

$$(100\%) - (27,703.5 \text{ gal} / 50,370 \text{ gal}) =$$

45% Reduction in Water Usage from baseline fixtures

A water use reduction of 45% over the baseline unit was achieved through the use of high efficiency plumbing fixtures. This qualifies for four points under Water Efficiency (WE) Credit 3.

6.4 Scenario 2: LEED Sustainable Sites Credit 7.2–Heat Island Effect - Roof

For a second scenario, three different roof types were created and updated with the necessary information required to determine whether or not the roof would qualify for Sustainable Sites Credit 7.2 Heat Island Effect. This required that a parameter for the Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) be added to the shared parameters file in order for it to be added to each roof element. To receive one point in the LEED rating system for this credit, it requires that the SRI value of the roofing material be equal to or greater than the baseline SRI value indicated in the LEED reference guide (Figure 6-6).

Roof Type	Slope	SRI
Low-sloped roof	≤ 2:12	78
Steep-sloped roof	> 2:12	29

Figure 6-6. Baseline SRI requirements–Table from 2009 LEED reference guide.

Since the duplex model used in this experiment contains a low-slope roof, the SRI value of 78 was used as the baseline figure for comparing the two membrane roofing options. If a project contains a vegetated roof consisting of a value equal to or greater than 50% of the roof area, it meets the requirements of this credit.

To compare two different scenarios, the Revit design options feature was used to create both the EPDM and TPO roof options (Figure 6-7 and Figure 6-8).

Figure 6-7: Option 1: EPDM Roof– SRI of 7.

Figure 6-8: Option 2: TPO Roof–SRI of 111.

Having the SRI information associated with the roof elements allowed for a quick determination as to whether or not the roofing material used would meet the criteria indicated in the LEED reference guide. The schedule images below (Figure 6-9 and Figure 6-10) illustrate how the information is viewed within Revit 2011.

011 - [Sustainability_Duplex_A.rvt - Schedule: Roof Schedule - Sustainability Informatio... ▶ Type a keyword or phrase

Schedule/Quantities

Roof Schedule - Sustainability Information - OPTION 1						
Area	Material	Manufacturer	ModelLabel	ModelReference	SRI_ofInstalledProduct	SRI_Required
1,431 SF	EPDM	Carlisle SynTec Incorporated	EPDM Dusted Black	SURE SEAL	7	78

Figure 6-9. Option 1–EPDM roofing schedule

011 - [Sustainability_Duplex_A.rvt - Schedule: Roof Schedule - Sustainability Informatio... ▶ Type a keyword or phrase

Schedule/Quantities

Roof Schedule - Sustainability Information OPTION 2						
Area	Material	Manufacturer	ModelLabel	ModelReference	SRI_ofInstalledProduct	SRI_Required
1,431 SF	TPO	Carlisle SynTec Incorporated	White TPO	☒ Spectro-Weld	111	78

Figure 6-10. Option 2–TPO roofing schedule.

A quick review of the information determined that Option 1 - EPDM roofing would not qualify for the LEED credit since the SRI for that particular EPDM product is below the minimum 78. Option 2 – TPO roofing has a

SRI value of 111 and covers over 75% of the roof surface, qualifying it to receive the one available point under this credit.

6.5 Summary

The two scenarios illustrated in this chapter provided a brief overview of the capabilities of the software to calculate and/or display sustainability information when the appropriate sustainability property data is applied to building components in a BIM.

In addition to illustrating the utility of defining standardized sustainability properties, these scenarios also show that it is sometimes necessary to add additional properties in order to accommodate specific analyses.

By defining a minimum set of sustainability properties, we anticipate that product vendors will provide more specific property information about their products and that software vendors will develop automated checking and analysis programs that use these properties.

7 Adding Sustainability Properties to IFC Model Through COBie Spreadsheet

7.1 Overview

A building design is often analyzed for numerous reasons, one of those being for its potential sustainability characteristics. Sometimes the design team may not be responsible for energy modeling or sustainability analysis, and if so, the analysis team may not have access to the BIM authoring software used to develop the model. The analysis team then needs some other means to add sustainability properties to the design model.

In order to provide a method for third-party analysis outside the BIM authoring software environment, the duplex apartment building experimental BIM was exported as an IFC file from Revit MEP 2011. To add more information to the IFC file, the AEC3 BIMServices Transform1 utility was used to generate a COBie spreadsheet that could be updated with sustainability information, then be converted back to an IFC file for analysis in a model checking program.

This chapter documents the process for applying sustainable property information to elements in project without BIM authoring software.

7.2 Procedure

The MEP model for the duplex apartment building experimental BIM was exported from Revit MEP 2011 to an IFC file. AEC3's BIMServices version 2010.12.28 was utilized to perform the IFC to COBie conversion. Microsoft Excel 2007 was used to update property attribute information in the spreadsheet, and Solibri Model Checker version 7.0.0.197 was used to simulate a potential sustainability analysis scenario.

The sustainability properties product data for high-efficiency toilet fixtures, previously used in the sustainability duplex experimental BIM project, was referenced for updating the COBie spreadsheet.

7.3 Demonstration

If a LEED consultant or energy analyst receives an IFC format file with no sustainability data associated with the building components, they would need to add that information in order to perform an analysis on fixture efficiency. This scenario explores a way for them to do this without need for the BIM authoring software, in this case Autodesk Revit MEP.

In the first step of the demonstration, the BIM files were exported from Revit 2011 as IFC format files. Once the IFC file was exported, it was opened in Solibri model checker to confirm that the COBie properties associated with the fixture in the original Revit model were present.

Property	Value
AccessibilityPerformance	AccessibilityPerformance
AssetAccountingType	FIXED
CodePerformance	CodePerformance
Color	Color
Constituents	Constituents
ExpectedLife	ExpectedLife
Features	Features
Finish	Finish
Grade	Grade
Material	Material
ModelLabel	ModelLabel
ModelReference	ModelReference
NominalHeight	NominalHeight
NominalLength	NominalLength
NominalWidth	NominalWidth
ProductionYear	ProductionYear
Reference	Reference
ReplacementCost	ReplacementCost
Shape	Shape
Size	Size
SustainabilityPerformance	SustainabilityPerformance
WarrantyDescription	WarrantyDescription
WarrantyDurationLabor	WarrantyDurationLabor
WarrantyDurationParts	WarrantyDurationParts

Figure 7-1. COBie Properties of fixture in Solibri.

Although COBie information was present, no sustainability information had been included in the Revit model for the plumbing fixture. Note that in the IFC file exported from Revit, all of the COBie information is located on the PSet_Revit_Type_Other tab.

In order to analyze the efficiency of the plumbing fixture by adding additional properties to the element, the IFC file needs to be converted to a COBie spreadsheet. The Duplex IFC file (MEP) was transformed to COBie format utilizing the BIMServices Transform1 utility. This process was previously documented in the Experimental Building Information Models report (September 2011). The main file created during the conversion for use in this example was *Duplex MEP_as_COBIE2.xls*. Additional files were also created (.IFCXML, .XML and .CSV), but the .XLS file was the only one required for this workflow scenario.

The COBie spreadsheet generated from the BIM Services Transform1 utility contained 21 total worksheets within the workbook, but the only one necessary to review for this project was the Attributes tab. The Attributes tab's importance is related to the BIM Services Transform1 FromCobie utility since this is where the utility looks for property set information pertaining to an object when converting a COBie spreadsheet to IFC format.

M_Water Closet - Flush Tank:Private - 6.1 Lpf:Private - 6.1 Lpf:582918	4.921259843E-2
M_Water Closet - Flush Tank:Private - 6.1 Lpf:Private - 6.1 Lpf:582918	
M_Water Closet - Flush Tank:Private - 6.1 Lpf:Private - 6.1 Lpf:582918	
M_Water Closet - Flush Tank:Private - 6.1 Lpf:Private - 6.1 Lpf:582918	
M_Water Closet - Flush Tank:Private - 6.1 Lpf:Private - 6.1 Lpf:582918	

Figure 7-2. COBie spreadsheet Attributes tab.

The COBie spreadsheet was manually edited to include the sustainability properties required for analysis. In this example, the water closet attributes were updated to include information regarding water usage criteria used to determine water use efficiency of the fixture. Those properties included:

1. Number of Users Per Day
2. Volume Per Use
3. Number of Uses Per Day

Once the necessary attributes were added, the file was renamed and saved.

The BIMServices Transform1 utility was then used to convert the spreadsheet back to IFC format. After opening the converted COBie to IFC file in Solibri Model Checker, the sustainable information properties associated with the toilet fixture were visible by selecting the “Private 1.6 LPF” folder in the modeling tree and selecting one of the flow terminal elements. The

sustainability information about each object is displayed in the Pset_Component_COBie2 information window.

Figure 7-3. Sustainability properties information.

Note that COBie and sustainability property information are located on the same tab in this translated file.

Since the standard Solibri pre-energy analysis rule template does not review sustainability properties of models, a custom rule was created to check models elements for these properties. The rule could also be set to check for all COBie information as well if necessary. The Solibri rule set modified to perform this check was the Required Property Sets file.

7.4 Conversion issues

7.4.1 Loss of model geometry

Although the original IFC file could be converted to a COBie spreadsheet (XLS format) and then converted back to an IFC file, it should be noted that the actual geometry of the building is lost in the process. The following screenshots provide an example of how the geometry is affected by the conversion. The first image shows that the MEP systems geometry is fully recognizable when the IFC file is opened directly after exporting from Revit. However, once the IFC file is converted to a COBie spreadsheet and back to an IFC format model file, the geometry is no longer recognizable as building systems and elements. This result is expectable, however, as the COBie spreadsheet is not designed to record geometry.

Figure 7-4. IFC MEP model in Solibri after export from Revit.

Figure 7-5. IFC MEP model after BIM Services conversion from COBIE to IFC.

The model data is maintained, but not the physical characteristics of the system components. As a result, the data contained in the file can be checked, but no visual analysis is currently possible utilizing this workflow.

7.4.2 BIM Services

During the conversion of the updated COBie spreadsheet, the BIM Services tool did not create an IFC model file on the first attempt when tested. Only after shutting down BIM Services and restarting (2 – 3 times typical) did it create an IFC file.

7.5 Conclusion

Updating IFC files to include sustainable property information for further analysis can be accomplished through this conversion process. This pro-

cess allows other project team members without BIM authoring software to use and supplement the information contained in the original model for analysis in other programs. It should be noted that the final converted IFC model geometry is longer recognizable in the analysis software or in Revit 2011, the originating software.

Appendix A: Proceedings of the ERDC-CERL Sustainability Product Properties Workshop

Workshop Purpose and Objectives

A meeting of US and international sustainability experts was convened on July 13, 2011, to present Army sustainability policy goals and identify how existing national and international technical standards could be organized to support the delivery of required specification and performance information through the use of Building Information Model (BIM) information exchanges. Fifteen people attended the meeting in-person and 13 attended using Internet access. Then agenda of the meeting is reproduced below.

ERDC-CERL Sustainability Product Properties Workshop

Date: July 13, 2011
 Location: Primera Engineers Ltd.
 100 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 700
 Chicago, Illinois
 Virtual: Online meeting registration information will be sent to those who RSVP

This is Part 1 of a 2-part meeting. Target outcomes are:

- Demonstrate need for computable product properties for sustainability analyses
- Identify prior/current work to build upon
- Form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems

Agenda:

9:15 AM - 10:00 AM	Continental breakfast and check-in
10:00 AM - 10:15 AM	Kristine Fallon - Welcome. Introduction of CERL, KFA and Primera participants. Agenda review.
10:15 AM - 10:30 AM	Other participants introduce selves and organizations
10:30 AM - 11:00 AM	Bill East- Background on LCM and SPIe
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	Mark Kalin- Vision of specifying via the model: how that works for the design team (including specifier) and how that works for downstream users of the data.
11:15 AM - 11:45 AM	Lourdes Gonzalez, Primera- Overview of Army Sustainability Policy. Discussion on similarities with other agencies.
11:45 AM - 12:15 PM	Holly Genc, Primera- Gap Analysis
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	Vladimir Bazjanac- Implementation of building performance analysis simulation
12:30 PM - 1:15 PM	Box lunches and conversation
1:15 PM - 1:30 PM	Paul Bertram- EPDs
1:30 PM - 1:45 PM	John Kennedy- gbXML coverage
1:45 PM - 2:30 PM	Kristine Fallon & Robert Feldman- Sustainability Product Properties Project Discussion
2:30 PM - 2:45 PM	Break
2:45 PM - 3:00 PM	Arol Wolford- Why manufacturers should get involved
3:00 PM - 3:30 PM	Formation of working groups
3:30 PM - 4:00 PM	Next steps and adjournment

Presentations and summary discussions from this workshop will be published as a chapter in an ERDC-CERL Technical Report.

The objective of this meeting was to establish a national panel of coordinating experts for the definition of open source building information exchange standards. The desired workshop outcomes were to (1) demonstrate need for computable product properties for sustainability analyses, (2) identify prior/current work to build upon and (3) form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems.

Opening Remarks and Introductions

Ms. Fallon welcomed the in-person and virtual attendees to the workshop. She then stated the project objective:

The objective of this project is to develop, document and create examples of a proposed model for the specification, delivery and measurement of sustainability information on US Army building projects. Three project types will be evaluated: officer apartment housing, headquarters offices and clinics.

This workshop was the first of two scheduled meetings. The desired outcomes of day were to:

- Demonstrate need for computable product properties for sustainability analyses
- Identify prior/current work to build upon
- Form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical, and water systems.

Fallon then introduced the project team members, all of whom attended in-person. The team consisted of members from ERDC-CERL, Primera Engineers, and Kristine Fallon Associates. In addition to the professional background information provided next, a complete list of participants and affiliations is reproduced below.

Alphabetical list of workshop participants.

Last Name	First	Organization	Attendance
Akinci	Burcu	Carnegie Mellon University	virtual
Bazjanac	Vladimir	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	in person
Bertram	Paul	Director for Environment and Sustainability for Kingspan Insulated Panels, CSI Pres-Elect, LEED M and R TAG member	in person
Brett	Marty	Wheatland Tube Company, NEMA	virtual
Brodts	Bill	NASA	virtual
Brown	Christopher	NIST	virtual
Budrose	Wesley	Sphere E, Director of Information Technology	in person
East	Bill	ERDC-CERL	in person
Fallon	Kristine	Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.	in person
Feldman	Robert	Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.	in person
Genc	Holly	Primera Engineers, Ltd.	in person
Gonzalez	Lourdes	Primera Engineers, Ltd.	in person
Greenberger	Julia	Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.	in person
Greenfield	Josh	Primera Engineers, Ltd.	in person
Hitchcock	Rob	Hitchcock Consulting, ASHRAE	virtual
Johnson	Mark	Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.	in person
Kalin	Mark	Kalin Associates Inc., AIA, CSI	virtual
Kennedy	John	Autodesk, Green Building Studio	virtual
Kershaw	Dean	L-3 Stratis	virtual
Kiff	Liana	Honeywell	virtual
McKay	David	ERDC-CERL	in person
Napier	Thomas	ERDC-CERL	in person
Nisbet	Nick	AEC3 Ltd.	virtual
Palmer	Mark	NIST	virtual
Skender	Chris	Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc.	in person
Smith	Deke	DKS Information Consulting, LLC	virtual
Stumpf	Annette	ERDC-CERL	virtual
Wolford	Arol	Smart BIM	in person

ERDC-CERL Participants

Team members from ERDC-CERL included Dr. Bill East and Mr. David T. McKay.

Dr. East is a Senior Research Civil Engineer at the US Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center, Champaign, Illinois. Dr. East has been instrumental in the creation, development, and deployment of secure government-to-business process collaboration tools in the United States public-sector capital facilities industry. Having standardized

essential government-to-business process, Dr. East's current efforts are aimed at transforming the content of those processes from paper and e-paper documents to useful building information. To support this effort Dr. East is also the buildingSMART Alliance project coordinator, where his COBie (Construction-Operations Building Information Exchange) project provides open Building Information Modeling data in lieu of the wasteful paper construction handover documents that are currently required by contract. The SPie (Specifiers' Properties information exchange) project is creating open building information from building product manufacturers to streamline the design, procurement, installation, and operations of building products and equipment. In recognition of these efforts Dr. East has received awards from the National Institute of Building Sciences and the Construction Specifications Institute.

Dr. East is an active member of American Society of Civil Engineers and the International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction, also known as CIB. Through CIB, Dr. East and his colleagues are leading efforts to accelerate research based on open building information through the development of common test beds and repeatable verification and validation protocols. Dr. East is Fellow, American Society of Civil Engineers.

Mr. McKay is the Contracting Officer Technical Representative for Dr. East's projects.

Primera Engineers, Ltd., Participants

Ms. Lourdes Gonzalez, Ms. Holly Genc, and Mr. Josh Greenfield represented Primera at the workshop.

Ms. Gonzalez, AIA, LEED AP, is Senior Vice President at Primera Engineers. With over 25 years' experience in the building industry, Ms. Gonzalez is a Licensed Architect and, as the firm's Director of Sustainability, she managed the firm's Sustainability, Architecture and Construction Management Group for the last ten years.

Ms. Gonzalez has played an instrumental role in Primera's growth over the past decade. She leads the firm's sustainability efforts and helped position Primera as an innovator in the green building movement. Her entrepreneurial spirit is also helping Primera gain an international presence, as the Project Manager for two high-profile high rise projects in Mexico City. She

has a Bachelor of Science in Architectural Studies and a Master of Architecture, with a Historic Preservation specialty, from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Ms. Gonzalez is also a graduate of the 2010 Hispanic Alliance for Career Enhancement (HACE) Mujeres de HACE program which empowers high-potential Latinas to succeed professionally and thrive personally by providing insight, access and professional development.

Ms. Genc, AIA, LEED AP, is a Senior Associate at Primera Engineers. With over 14 years of experience in design, production of construction documents and construction administration, she is a project manager, managing communications with clients, consultants and contractors. Her projects include a wide variety of types including institutional, commercial, higher education, exterior façade renovation and residential. Ms. Genc has experience with projects in both the public and private sectors. She is one of the lead reviewers for the City of Chicago's Green Permit Program.

Mr. Greenfield is a professional Mechanical Engineer, LEED Accredited Professional and ASHRAE Certified Building Energy Modeling Professional with 10 years of experience highlighted by extensive energy consulting and design experience both as the project LEED consultant and Energy Modeler, as well as serving as the mechanical engineer on several LEED design projects. He is involved on multiple sustainable consulting projects in various markets from the private and public sectors following all current LEED Green Building Rating Systems including the LEED-2009 Rating Systems. His expertise and knowledge of the LEED principles and rating system have also been utilized by General Contractors who require LEED consulting services throughout the construction phase of projects. Mr. Greenfield specializes in Energy Model creation following ASHRAE 90.1 Guidelines as well as Integrated Design Phase Energy Modeling to aide in the selection of architectural envelope properties and mechanical system efficiencies. His knowledge of Energy Modeling is also utilized as a reviewer of City of Chicago Department of Buildings Green Permit Program project Energy Models.

Kristine Fallon Associates, Inc. (KFA), Participants

Ms. Kristine Fallon, Ms. Julia Greenberger, Dr. Robert Feldman, Mr. Mark Johnson and Mr. Christopher Skender represented KFA.

Ms. Kristine Fallon has worked on open data standards initiatives in the AEC industry since the 1980's. She first worked with Dr. East when he served on the Advisory panel for a NIST project: the General Buildings Information Handover Guide: Principles, Methodology and Case Studies.

Fallon completed a 5-year term on the AIA's national Technology in Architectural Practice Advisory Group in 2008. She is currently serving on the buildingSMART Alliance Board and working on the National BIM Standard. She has advised major owners, including GSA and the National Institutes of Health on BIM strategy and implementation.

Ms. Julia Greenberger is the KFA intern for this project. She will be a senior this fall at Washington University in St. Louis, studying systems engineering and mathematics.

Dr. Robert Feldman, Ph.D., is KFA's developer and open source expert. He assisted the Art Institute of Chicago in developing an open source repository for digital design data. He has worked on IFC, ifcXML and COBie projects, including another project for Dr. East. He has written API extensions for Autodesk products such as Revit and NavisWorks. He will be working on the sustainability properties templates.

Mr. Mark Johnson and Mr. Chris Skender are KFA's BIM experts. They are currently working on another project for Dr. East— Experimental BIMs — and will be working with Dr. Feldman on the technical implementation of the sustainability templates.

Other Participants

Dr. Vladimir Bazjanac is the building software interoperability team leader in the Building Technologies Department, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory at the University of California. He is also a consulting professor in environmental engineering at Stanford University and leader of the buildingSMART International Technical Advisory Group. He has experience in architectural design, building construction, computer science, and computer simulation.

Mr. Paul Bertram is Director of Environment and Sustainability for Kingspan Insulated Panels and President of the Construction Specifications Institute (CSI). He has been involved with the buildingSMART IFD library, ASHRAE 90.1, and LEED.

Mr. Martin Brett works at Wheatland Tube Company and is a member of the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA).

Mr. Bill Brodt works with NASA. He was a co-sponsor of COBie and currently sits in on a work group led by GSA and EPA to develop sustainability standards for products procured by federal agencies. They have identified 600 existing sustainability labels and intend to cover building industry products in that effort.

Mr. Chris Brown represents National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Mr. Wesley Budrose is the Director of Information Technology at Sphere E LLC, a company partnering with Onuma Inc to integrate product performance data into BIM software.

Mr. Rob Hitchcock is an independent consultant with a background in Building Information Modeling and energy daylighting simulation. He is currently leading an HVAC modeling project under Bill East's LCM umbrella.

Mr. Mark Kalin is the president of Kalin Associates. He has worked on SPIe property sets with Dr. East and is currently national chair of CSI Sustainable Facilities Practice Group. His firm prepares specifications for many designers and has worked on 180 LEED products. He is involved with AIA and building product manufacturers' reactions to various certification systems.

Mr. John Kennedy is a Senior Manager of the Building Performance Analysis products at Autodesk. He is also on the gbXML advisory board.

Mr. Dean Kershaw is from L-3 Communications, STRATIS division. His organization is a defense contractor and works in IT, but has legacy architect engineer services. His team built PACES (Parametric Automated Cost Engineering Software) and today works with the BIM community.

Ms. Liana Kiff is a research scientist at Automation and Control Solutions (ACS) Labs at Honeywell.

Mr. Thomas Napier works at ERDC-CERL with Dr. East, Mr. McKay, and Ms. Stumpf.

Mr. Nick Nisbet is with AEC3 UK, an international consulting firm based in the United Kingdom, has worked with Dr. East on implementations of BIM and COBie and has done work in the UK on sustainability, including BREEAM and Ecopoints.

Mr. Mark Palmer represents the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Ms. Annette Stumpf works at ERDC-CERL with Dr. East, Mr. McKay, and Mr. Napier. She is an expert on sustainable design.

Mr. Arol Wolford is President and CEO of SmartBIM and is a pioneer and passionate advocate of building intelligence. He has devoted his career to the proposition that sharing information among owners, architects, contractors, and manufacturers is the key to creating better and more efficient buildings.

Overview of Life-Cycle Model Project (LCM) and SPie – Bill East

The following is a lightly edited transcript of Dr. East's presentation.

There are two topics for me to talk about today. The first is the Life Cycle Model for Mission Ready, Sustainable Facilities. That's the long name of this Army R and D project. To unpack that a little bit, Nick [Nisbet] and I and other colleagues have been working on a life cycle model for mission readiness for some time. That has been published in the LCie project that's part of the buildingSMART alliance. It identifies the requirements for data exchange in the building, starting at the beginning of the project and going through all the way to the end. In the US we would consider that the recycling stage. In the UK they would consider recycling to be stage 1. Depending on where your circle starts, it's a circle.

Today we're focused on the sustainability end of it. I think I'd like to take a side bar for a minute and talk about the long view. In my career with the Army Corps there have been three movements that have forced a major change in thinking in the way buildings have been done—Americans with Disabilities Act, Forest Protection, and now people are engaged in sustainability. Each one of these requirements brought new emphasis that people

had to chase down to solve. In looking at sustainability and thinking about do we want to have yet another set of data requirements? Isn't there a fundamental approach to building control? That led me to thinking about control cycles. The basic idea of the Life Cycle Model project is to understand what the requirements are and capture information as we go through the building process. By capturing that we identify the specific properties necessary for components, assemblies and connections. Then we can use sensor systems we have in place to give us feedback about the actual performance and validate the information that we needed back at the beginning.

In the Mission area, just to give you an example, the thing we're focused on quite a bit is requirements for things like standard room data sheets. Everybody knows big owners have these criteria documents and then during the architectural programming phase these room data sheets are expressed and then subsequently lost forever. The idea is we want to have information available to us so when we have to take our hospital that has been running for 50 years and transform doctors' offices back into labs, we know that these offices were actually labs and the piping is still behind the walls. So that's one of the ideas.

The area of resource utilization is where I think about sustainability. If you take a building, like an Air Force radar station up in Alaska, and say it uses more power than any other building in the Department of Defense, it doesn't really matter because if you shut off the power, you no longer have a radar station. Right now people can find out who uses the most power and cut them, but not identify what the actual requirements are and then evaluate the actual performance. At that point you can identify the delta between what you need to run your building and what is wasteful or could be reduced. This idea of a control cycle is pretty important.

Coming back now to sustainability, there are lots of different approaches. It's interesting the approaches I didn't know going into this, and I think Tom [Napier] and Annette [Stumpf] knew that there were 7 different rating schemes, at least. Think about it: which should the Army use? It seemed to me the first thing to do was actually find out which of these has meaningful information that can be put in a control cycle because simply counting bike racks is not the right approach, although there's always a dynamic tension between the soup du jour and the actual meal you want to eat.

If we're able to define the criteria then we can certainly enforce the delivery. In terms of the enforcement of the delivery of information, we're talking about product properties. This is something manufacturers need to provide—architects should not have to type them in. It's not something contractors or commissioning agents should have to type. The manufacturers create the products and they're responsible for that information. I think the life cycle model that's already been created has the capability of adding these properties if everyone can decide what they are. There's going to be different properties for different kinds of products, which is what led Mark [Palmer], Nick, and I to talk about the sustainability project to begin with. When we're selecting equipment, we need to know the criteria to be used. The outcome of identification of product type templates can end up being a national standard through the buildingSMART alliance and the National BIM Standard.

Let me tell you a little bit about SPie. Part of delivery of a set of assets in the building is information about equipment. When I first started thinking about this building information modeling area, people said, 'It's never going to work until manufacturers start providing their data.' I heard that loud and clear and Mark and I had a conversation 4 – 5 years ago that led to the Specifiers Properties information exchange. There are lots of different properties people might be interested in: specifiers have different properties, operators have certain properties. Fan hole placements are very important for facility operators, not so much for designers. Different properties need different specifications. Specifiers' properties are related to those that allow the product to be purchased. There's motivation there for manufacturers to participate because it helps them sell the products. It also helps them sell back into the building as opposed to what happens now, which is the building is bought by the contractor and forever after those same manufacturers' products have to be purchased. This is particularly the case with control systems, so I'm glad to see Liana [Kiff] here from Honeywell.

The goal of the SPie project and projects related to it, one of which might become the sustainability properties information exchange, is to produce templates to provide a set of specification requirements. After that manufacturers can provide any differentiating properties they wish. A minimum agreed set plus a differentiating set of properties. These will be expressed through Industry Foundation Class model, so we can ensure open access

to this information regardless of what computers we're using 20 years from now.

IFC is the basis, but then there's also an easier-for-humans-to-read version of that, which is COBie. It looks like a spreadsheet. It's about the information content that's inside the spreadsheet. The spreadsheet is just one artifact that can be used to express this. It's a particularly handy format for humans to digest.

The idea is to create the templates. Where do the templates come from? The first set of templates, which are released in the Whole Building Design Guide, were created by Mark Kalin. The next version of these were developed by Nick Nisbet. He extracted all of the properties that came out of IFC for each of the different types of product and also added properties Mark had in the cases where they were different. Now we're taking Nick's templates as much more robust templates for a much wider range of products. We're taking them to manufacturers like General Electric and Leviton on the electrical side. We're taking them to HVAC software companies—East Coast, TSI. And we're also taking them to other manufacturers. Nick Nisbet is under contract to the National Institute of Building Sciences to help facilitate that communication and those templates.

This product guide is going to have its next release following an August project meeting. By November, we'll have Product Guide Version 2 available and that will have IFC Step files, ifcXML files, and several manufacturers' examples. That's going to lead to demonstrations in December at Ecobuild, then at the NIBS annual convention in January. We're going to be demonstrating the use of this on the electrical side, as well as the HVAC side.

I think that gives a summary of the overall Army R and D project as well as the specific SPie project.

Workshop presentations

The workshop continued with presentations summarized below. Workshop participants Mark Kalin, Vladimir Bazjanac, Paul Bertram, John Kennedy, and Arol Wolford spoke about sustainability properties from their points of view. Lourdes Gonzalez, Holly Genc, and Josh Greenfield, all from Primera, summarized their research documented in Chapters 1

and 2 of this Technical Report. PowerPoint slides from the presentations are included in Annex A.

BIM, Specs and Sustainability – Mark Kalin

As the president of Kalin Associates Inc., an architectural specification consulting firm, Mr. Kalin gave a specifier's view on the importance of incorporating sustainability properties into building information modeling. Fortunately, many firms are transitioning to the use of BIM software, which allows all contributors to a building's design and construction to access information downstream. The ability to access specifier's property sets downstream using BIM is very valuable.

One of the difficulties with property sets is that each user is often interested only in certain properties. Mr. Kalin gave an example of this problem using the Air Barrier Association of America (ABAA) process of evaluating membrane performance. The ABAA cares about ozone resistance and elongation as key property sets that determine if that membrane will perform. They are not concerned with regional materials or recycled content. National CAD Standard, MasterFormat, UniFormat, GreenFormat, and others all define property sets.

Specifiers roles are changing from writing text to creating BIM models and becoming more involved in the design phase. In the future, BIM and specifications will become more integrated. Software is being developed for BIM-generated specifications, allowing users to link drawings and specs. The problem with BIM-generated specifications is that specifiers, like Mr. Kalin, do full specifications in the design development phase, but the drawings are far from complete at that point in the process. According to Mr. Kalin, spec-constrained BIM models are a great opportunity for the future.

Mr. Kalin compared a CSI-format spec and a BIMspec, showing the BIMspec contains more detailed and valuable information, including LEED credit contributions.

In the discussion following Mr. Kalin's presentation, Mr. Napier mentioned the book *Construction Materials Evaluation and Selection: A Systematic Approach* by Harold J. Rosen and Philip M. Bennett. Although the book was written over 25 years ago, the premise of the book is that, as opposed to taking manufacturer literature supplied by manufacturing repre-

sentatives (which is written to inform designers about what the manufacturers want them to know), the specifiers reverse that process and establish what they need to know about a product in order to use it with confidence and then require that information be provided by the vendors or manufacturers. The group agreed that this was precisely the goal of property sets.

Some participants expressed concern that manufacturers would not make their product information readily available, but Mr. Wolford and Mr. Kalin were confident that manufacturers would not refuse to provide that information. By creating sustainability product property templates, manufacturers could provide information (now in databases) in a computable form to architects and specifiers.

Overview of Army Sustainability Policy – Lourdes Gonzalez, Primera

Lourdes Gonzalez gave an overview of Army sustainability policy including policy history and current mandates. This research is documented in Chapter 1 of this Technical Report.

Gap Analysis – Holly Genc and Josh Greenfield, Primera

Holly Genc and Josh Greenfield shared their results of a gap analysis of green rating systems and compliance tools. This analysis is documented in Chapter 3 of this Technical Report.

Using Building Energy Performance (BEP) Simulation in AECOO Industry – Vladimir Bazjanac

Dr. Bazjanac's first point was that good simulation software does not necessarily create accurate models. The simulation must have the correct, valid data as input. After the simulation has run, the user must have the knowledge and experience to make justified design decisions based on the simulation results. Even with valid data and an experienced user, simulation software can not yet model the performance of innovative building systems. His team at LBNL is trying to remedy this problem by developing software that is able to model innovative systems.

The information and BIM models provided by the architects and mechanical engineers contain information that is too complex for Building Energy Performance (BEP) simulation. The data must be simplified to be used as

an input in EnergyPlus and other BEP software. There is currently software that simplifies the building geometry from the architect as needed by EnergyPlus using rules given by the software designer. Dr. Bazjanac's team is working on Project Mojito, which will transform data from the HVAC design into a simplified format for use in EnergyPlus.

Dr. Bazjanac showed the rules embedded in the GST/IDF generator to transform and simplify the building geometry. The transformation uses sets of rules in an attempt to automate as much of the information exchange between the BIM model and the analysis program as possible. These rules include actions such as skipping of internal wall objects when those walls are entirely contained within the same thermal zone, recognition of exterior building shade types, identification of floor and ceiling surfaces of a slab and adjustment of window area to effective glass area.

The Mojito platform, SimModel, allows the use of data that comes from different data models such as IFC, gbXML, IDD, and OpenStudio. Project Mojito's interoperability makes it an important tool for the future of BEP simulation.

**Life-cycle Assessment (LCA), Product Category Rules (PCRs),
Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) – Paul Bertram**

Mr. Bertram is the Director of Environment and Sustainability for Kingspan Insulated Panels and president of the Construction Specifications Institute (CSI) and he has been involved with the buildingSMART IFD library, ASHRAE 90.1, and LEED. Mr. Bertram began by stating life-cycle assessment (LCA), product category rules (PCR), and environmental product declarations (EPD) are all inter-related and must be used together. The PCR specify common goals and relevant rules for the product category LCA. They also contain requirements for reporting and producing the data required for the EPD. The EPD is how the LCA information is reported.

Mr. Bertram then explained the methodology and impact categories of life-cycle impact assessment. LCA includes impact categories from the Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts (TRACI), such as global warming, acidification, ozone depletion, and smog creation. Other impact categories, including energy demand of fossil energy resources and resources consumption, are derived from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

A client must collaborate with a program operator (e.g., UL Environment) to develop an EPD. The client receives an EPD template based on PCR from the program operator. Once the EPD template is completed with LCA information, the program operator designs a final EPD and certifies the client has an approved EPD. The program operator is responsible for the administration of the EPD. There are very few PCRs and EPDs registered in the US currently. Ultimately, just because a product has an EPD does not necessarily make that product more green.

Green Building XML (gbXML): The Open XML Schema for Sustainable Design – John Kennedy

Mr. Kennedy is Senior Manager of the Building Performance Analysis Products at Autodesk and serves on the gbXML advisory board. He explained that gbXML was designed to support data exchange between 3D-CAD and green analysis applications and is a non-proprietary open data standard maintained by an advisory board of industry members, comprised of mostly software companies. The goal of gbXML is to assist in sustainable building analyses by minimizing the need for human interpretation of data. gbXML is used in a variety of sustainable building analyses including whole building energy use and costs, carbon emissions, and water use. gbXML has more data capabilities than any other open format because it is designed for data flows among 3D-CAM, BIM, and building performance analysis tools. It is the most widely adopted interoperability format for building energy performance analysis. The next update of gbXML will include a tighter mapping to COMNET (Commercial Energy Services Network) descriptors.

Mr. Kennedy continued with some examples of that data can be encapsulated in the gbXML schema. For example, gbXML can store energy and photometry data for lighting fixtures. Performance data for HVAC includes energy, design temps, capacity information, and part-load data.

Manufacturer Involvement – Arol Wolford

The following is a lightly edited transcript of Arol Wolford's presentation.

I'm so excited about what this group is doing. I think it's really relevant to the building product manufacturers. I've had the opportunity to work for and serve building product manufacturers for the last 30 years. My wife and I got out of college in the late '70s and set up this quantity survey

company for building product manufacturers that my Dad had started in 1957. I'm focused on this concept of quantity survey.

We were working with building product manufacturers and their representatives. One of the things one has to understand with the building product manufacturers -- why it's hard for you to connect with them--is because often times they are in these subgroups and they actually see themselves more as a general manufacturer, rather than a lighting manufacturer. The making is very important to them. To further separate them from the industry, 80% of them work through independent manufacturer representatives. You'll have 40 major metropolitan areas and the manufacturers work through these independent representatives. Those folks have the architecture degrees, the engineering degrees, and they represent the manufacturers. There are about 10,000 building product manufacturers in the US, with 3,000 active manufacturers. If one looked at BIM, at Revit, how many of those building product manufacturers have stepped up and made objects and Revit families? One would see that there are about 400 of them that have stepped up, but of these, only 100 - 125 have made a substantial investment in creating the objects. Most of the manufacturers may have a product line of 100 families, but they have only made 3 or 4 Revit families, not a big commitment yet. . They're dipping their toes in the water. There are about 125 – 150 manufacturers who have made the commitment to do 25 – 30% of their product line. They know about building information modeling now, but they have not made the investment. They're sitting on the sideline.

This group has an opportunity with the definitions they've created and a backing from a large owner like the Army to have a really strong impact. For all of us, we realize how important sustainability is to our world. I found out 5 – 6 years ago that 48% of energy was being used by buildings and 42% of the CO₂ emissions were coming from buildings. At that time I had the opportunity to be on this AIA 150 group. We did a survey of the architects and asked them, 'Who is the culprit? Are buildings a culprit in CO₂ emissions?' Only 7% of them got it right and said, 'Yes, we are.' 40% said cars, 18% said natural causes. This was 6 years ago. The good news is the young folks coming in want to become architects to do something about sustainability and want to create smart buildings that not only have beauty and performance, but also sustainability. We're unified in that. The building product manufacturers know that's important but they don't know how to implement it. They are getting hit from so many sides that

they don't know how to connect and share their information. I think they're willing to share their information. There are some secret sauces and things they may not share, but on the whole, 90% of what we need to get filled out from the building product manufacturers, they would readily share if they knew how to do it and why it needs to be done. I believe this group can have a strong impact.

I had this company in the '80s called Construction Market Data that reported on construction all over the US, then went to Europe and Asia, and grew and had 1,500 people working with us. As we set up these 85 plan rooms, as we queried the different projects, we were shocked how much money is being spent counting and measuring things. If you took design-bid-build in the US, about \$300 billion right now, \$30 billion is being spent counting and measuring things. \$20 billion is being paid to all the architects, mechanical engineers, and structural engineers for doing design; they have \$30 billion counting and measuring things.

I was giving a little speech at Harvard to architectural students and a guy came up to me and he said, 'Hi, my name is Leonid. I have some software that's going to fix that problem. Would you be willing to invest in my company? We've got a lot of software people, but we need some practical people and I like that quantity survey idea.' Leonid had started this company Revit. I was enamored. I had worked with Autodesk throughout the '90s and we were contacting building product manufacturers to do CAD details. And I actually started this company called Buzzsaw with Autodesk. They owned 40% and we owned 40% and this was in the 2000s. I thought we were going to do the quantity surveys, but we got diverted and weren't able to do that. Then I met Leonid from Revit and he said, 'Arol, it's critical that the building product manufacturers cooperate or we are not going to be able to actualize the power of BIM.' Autodesk came in and then bought the company from us and I think have done a wonderful job. There was some criticism at first because this was a company doing less than \$1 million revenue, yet it got \$133 million paid for it. That tells me that was powerful software that Leonid created with his team. Autodesk invested heavily and have this great product Revit. It's not the end-all and there are flaws. It's a powerful building information modeling system. Even with that, when they bought Revit, Carl Bass said, 'Arol, we need building product manufacturers filling this out. Unless building product manufacturers share the data, use that for the families, this BIM isn't going to work up to its full capability.'

There are about 10,000 building product manufacturers, with 3,000 strong players, and only 150 of those building product manufacturers have put their product line up on our site, SmartBIM or the Autodesk Seek site. I think you can get some of the software people, like Google SketchUp, like Revit, to back what you're doing as well because we all need the building product manufacturers to step up. If they do, we'll get quantity survey. Construction is a big industry, \$3.5 billion, about 10% of the world's GDP. Usually within these projects, 35% of that is going to the building product manufacturers. They have pretty deep pockets—not the architects and design folks. Manufacturers would save a lot of money if they had their objects up and things were being automatically counted for them. If you have the objects with the appropriate properties being built in, I think a lot of the properties you want we're already gathering to make the Revit families. More manufacturers need to share data that we all need here.

There's the noble side to this too. If you have a quantity survey connected to a costing company, what is the green impact? We have free software called ecoSorecard. Architects and engineers use it to calculate for the materials of the five leading groups. Again, we have to go to building product manufacturers and to find out the green properties. What you're doing is very consistent with what needs to be done for BIM and for green BIM. We need the green properties in the families. We need the properties themselves from more building product manufacturers. 150 building product manufacturers probably doesn't sound like very much, but I know we have done over 100 ourselves. If you have a cross section of building product manufacturers, you actually get a good insight into the data. If it were air conditioning, you don't need all 4 manufacturers to have properties. They all have crossover sheets between one another. You just have to get one manufacturer per main category. It's good to have all of them, but if you get representation in all of the categories, you get valuable information. Once one has signed up, you probably have good leverage with the others as well. There can be a strong systematic approach to getting information from the building product manufacturers where they win, green wins, you all win too.

In the question and answer session after Mr. Wolford's presentation, Mark Kalin agreed that if there's an industry leader in each manufacturing category, those sustainability product property rules will become self apparent. He suggested a book by Robert Weygant called *BIM Development* as a

source of more information on this topic. GSA gave the book a good review.

Dr. East proposed that Wolford join his team, along with buildingSMART and CSI, to broaden their SPie project to get manufacturers involved. Wolford was very willing to contribute to SPie.

Sustainability Product Properties Discussion

Kristine Fallon led two discussion sessions during the afternoon portion of the workshop. The group first discussed the validity of project assumptions. Robert Feldman then spoke about other efforts to define sustainability properties, specifically gbXML and an IFC Energy Analysis MVD. Participants asked questions and gave their opinions on the two models.

The goal in the second group discussion was to form working groups for architectural finish elements, mechanical equipment, electrical equipment, and water systems.

General Project Discussion

Fallon began the discussion by encouraging the participants to talk about the future of the project and its ultimate goals. She used the list of desired outcomes of the workshop to guide the discussion. The group generally agreed that there was a demonstrated need for computable product properties for sustainable analyses. Fallon continued that at the end of the workshop participants would form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems.

Before continuing the discussion, Fallon looked to the group to gather consensus on the validity of the project assumptions. The first project assumption was that indentifying the sustainability properties necessary to select and analyze various building products and materials would be useful to the industry. Tom Napier added that in order to focus the project, we need to define the user of these properties in order to determine the specific properties needed for analysis. Ms. Fallon and Dr. East suggested a backwards approach to this project where you first define the Army sustainability goals, then determine how to assess these goals, what data you need to assess the goals, and how to get this data. The group also agreed that the goal of the project is to make sustainability assessment and analy-

sis directly computable from a building model and that there have been efforts to define necessary/desired sustainability properties, but for the most part, these have not been in a computable form.

Fallon then stressed the importance of a common conceptual framework, using the IFC model (buildingSMART model) as an information model and SPie as a model for standard attribute templates. The SPie template starts with the IFC model to understand objects, properties, subtypes, and so forth. Standard nomenclature and classification in SPie are very important and are derived from MasterFormat, UniFormat, and OmniClass. SPie data comes from manufacturers, manufacturers associations, and practice.

Dr. Feldman continued with a presentation on gbXML and IFC Energy Analysis Model View (MVD), which have demonstrated computable sustainability properties efforts. gbXML was designed for interoperability between building design models and a variety of engineering analysis tools and is now widely used in design and analysis programs. gbXML contains extensive properties (e.g., recycled content, cost, indoor air quality) for many systems and materials, but only the major MEP equipment elements have age and life attributes needed for life-cycle analysis. These attributes need to be extended to all materials in order to do thorough sustainability analyses using gbXML.

Dr. Feldman also described the BSA-002 Concept Design to Building Performance Energy Analysis Concept Model. It is one of the few models that included mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems, as well as the basic building geometry and architectural systems. Unfortunately more information about this MVD was not readily available, but Dr. Bazjanac was able to give more insight into MVDs.

According to Bazjanac, the idea behind MVDs is they identify a particular discipline, practice, organization, or individual view of something in the building industry that is defined in the IFC general model. The IFC model is so large that no one single application can possibly implement every single entity defined in the IFC data model. You must create subsets of the IFC data model to be implemented. With MVDs, anyone who wants to be compliant with a particular view of the IFC data model would have to implement the entire subset to be certified. The end result is to be certified that they comply with that MVD.

Fallon added that the MVD defines a domain of discourse and defines specific mappings to the IFC data elements, which is very important for computability.

John Kennedy asked the group why IFCs were the primary format for the project, rather than gbXML. He noted that while gbXML may lack some age and life characteristics on some elements, it is widely used in the commercial market today. Dr. East responded that the project's purpose is to focus on information requirements, not the format. The project's format is IFC because that is the format of building information models; transferring IFC data to a COBie spreadsheet or a gbXML file is fairly trivial once you have the information. The group first must specify the information required for sustainability product property templates, but must also ensure the templates are available to all people that need them, not just energy modeling tools.

Mark Kalin suggested the project team add 'exterior enclosures' to the list of product categories. He proposed roofing, cladding, windows/doors, and foundations as possible subcategories. Kennedy added shading elements to the list of subcategories. Dr. East and Fallon liked the suggestions and announced they would take them consideration as the project progresses.

Formation of Working Groups

Ms. Fallon began the session by outlining the process and goals of forming working groups for each category of products. The plan was to establish small groups of select participants and interested parties for architectural, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems. The group will facilitate 2 – 3 teleconferences and then identify at least three product types in each subcategory (e.g., for architectural elements, three types of doors, three types of windows, and so forth). For each product type, the group will propose a minimum set of sustainability properties.

Ms. Fallon continued by proposing the working group for the architectural finish elements category. Wesley Budrose (Sphere-E) expressed an interest in participating in that working group.

The group discussed the possibility of recruiting more participants from CSI and buildingSMART. According to Dr. East, at the CSI and buildingSMART meeting the week of July 17, 2011, the project team could recruit more people to join the working groups. Mr. Kalin said this project

was on the agenda of the CSI National Technical Meeting the weekend of July 16-17. Mr. Brodt offered to get contacts from the EPA and GSA from the Interagency Council on Environmental Quality. Wolford was excited to participate in the working group and wanted to get more industry members involved as well. Dr. Bazjanac contributed as well, saying he could organize participation of manufacturers in Project Mojito. Participants were eager to join working groups and recruit other interested parties.

Dr. East then addressed the workshop and stated that he thought forming working groups was premature at this stage of the project. The framework for the project must be developed before volunteers can join working groups. Once the idea for the project is more concrete, different teams with volunteers from CSI, GSA, and other organizations would be formed with the help of Mr. Kalin. He stressed the goal of the project was to create templates that would be free to use. Manufacturers would have the ability to add differentiating properties to the templates, but the common properties would be fixed and required for the whole class of a product. He concluded that although one goal of the workshop was to form working groups, the working groups should instead be formed through CSI to involve more people than just the attendees of the workshop.

Summary

There was consensus among the meeting's participants that the major project assumptions, that (1) identifying the sustainability properties necessary to selection and analysis of various building products and materials will be useful to the industry and (2) the goal of making sustainability assessment and analysis directly computable from a building model (BIM), are important and valid.

There was also general agreement that the information requirements are more important than the specific format in which the information is exported from a building model.

It was also agreed that an additional class of building elements, Building Enclosure elements, be added to the four classes of elements in the original task.

At the end of the workshop, Dr. East and Ms. Fallon informed participants of the project's next steps. By July 22, 2011 (the week after the workshop), buildingSMART and CSI will have discussed the framework of the project

and will distribute the information to a variety of different mailing lists, including the participants of the workshop. The next goal is to have an updated set of templates, which would include specifiers' properties as well as the sustainability properties, available for distribution through the Product Guide in the Whole Building Design Guide, and simultaneously through some CSI vehicles, in December 2011 at Ecobuild.

Dr. East told participants the documentation of presentations and discussions would be available from the SPie page on buildingSMART. The documentation would include a participant list, presentations slides, and a brief synopsis of the purpose and goals of the workshop.

Annex A: Presentation Slides

Welcome and Introduction – Kristine Fallon

ERDC-CERL
Sustainability Product
Properties Workshop

July 13, 2011

KFA
KRISTINE FALLON
ASSOCIATES, INC.

Primera

US Army Corps
of Engineers
Engineer Research and
Development Center

Project Objective

The objective of this project is to develop, document and create examples of a proposed model for the specification, delivery and measurement of sustainability information on US Army building projects. Three (3) project types will be evaluated: officer apartment housing, headquarters offices and clinics.

KFA
KRISTINE FALLON
ASSOCIATES, INC.

Primera

US Army Corps
of Engineers
Engineer Research and
Development Center

Desired Workshop Outcomes

- Demonstrate need for computable product properties for sustainability analyses
- Identify prior/current work to build upon
- Form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems

Project Team

- ERDC-CERL
 - E. William (Bill) East, PhD, PE, F.ASCE
 - David T. McKay
- Primera
 - Lourdes Gonzalez, AIA, LEED AP
 - Holly Genc, AIA, LEED AP
 - Josh Greenfield, PE, LEED AP
- KFA
 - Kristine K. Fallon, FAIA
 - Julia Greenberger
 - Robert Feldman, Ph.D.
 - Mark Johnson
 - Chris Skender

Agenda

9:15 AM – 10:00 AM	Continental breakfast and check-in
10:00 AM - 10:15 AM	Kristine Fallon - Welcome. Introduction of CERL, KFA and Primera participants. Agenda review.
10:15 AM - 10:30 AM	Other participants introduce selves and organizations
10:30 AM - 11:00 AM	Bill East- Background on LCM and SPie
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	Mark Kalin- Vision of specifying via the model: how that works for the design team (including specifier) and how that works for downstream users of the data.
11:15 AM - 11:45 AM	Lourdes Gonzalez, Primera- Overview of Army Sustainability Policy. Discussion on similarities with other agencies.
11:45 AM - 12:15 PM	Holly Genc, Primera- Gap Analysis
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	Vladimir Bazjanac- Implementation of building performance analysis simulation
12:30 PM - 1:15 PM	Box lunches and conversation
1:15 PM - 1:30 PM	Paul Bertram- EPDs
1:30 PM - 1:45 PM	John Kennedy- gbXML coverage
1:45 PM - 2:30 PM	Kristine Fallon & Robert Feldman- Sustainability Product Properties Project Discussion
2:30 PM - 2:45 PM	Break
2:45 PM - 3:00 PM	Arol Wolford- Why manufacturers should get involved
3:00 PM - 3:30 PM	Formation of working groups
3:30 PM - 4:00 PM	Next steps and adjournment

Accommodating Virtual Participants

- Stay on schedule
- Use microphone for questions and discussion
 - Note: this workshop is being recorded

Self-Introduction of Participants

BIM, Specs and Sustainability – Mark Kalin

BIM, Specs and Sustainability

ERDC-CERL Sustainability Product Properties Workshop
Mark Kalin FAIA FCSI LEED
Kalin Associates Specifications

We have work to do

We have to get it right during design

Even sustainability is a point of view

Nature's realm - with 2 billion years of experience

Our realm

5,000,000 people
move to cities
every month

Triumph of the City - Ed Glaeser

Objects in a model have integrated supporting information available from multiple sources

IFD Library - One Object Multiple Contexts

Specifiers' Property Sets Workflow

✓ BIMspec Platform

Project, Object, Material, Attributes

✓ Data Formats

Masterformat, UniFormat, OmniClass, GreenFormat, NCS

✓ Documentation Output

CAD Objects, BIM Objects, Reports, Specifications, Estimates

MasterFormat Property Sets

✓ Specifiers' Properties Information Exchange (Spie)

- ✓ USACE-ERDC-CERL, CSI, SCIP
- ✓ 425 Specification Sections
- ✓ 8,500 Line Spreadsheet
- ✓ Access Database

Specifier's Changing Role

BIM-generated specifications Spec-constrained BIM models

Sustainability included.....

CSI-format spec

BR/Aspec Headquarters
Boston, MA

Short-Form
January 2011

SECTION 09 51 00 ACOUSTICAL CEILINGS

PART 1 GENERAL

1.1 SUMMARY

- A. Provide acoustical ceilings and suspension systems.

1.2 SUBMITTALS

- A. Product Data: Submit manufacturer's product data and installation instructions for each material and product used.

1.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE

- A. Comply with governing codes and regulations. Provide products of acceptable manufacturers which have been in satisfactory use in similar service for three years. Use experienced installers. Deliver, handle, and store materials in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.
- B. Performance: Fire, structural, and seismic performance meeting requirements of building code and local authorities. Acoustical performance based on project requirements.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.1 MATERIALS

- A. Acoustical Mineral Fiber Ceilings:
- Manufacturer and Product Number:
 - USG: Mars ClimatePlus 86985 tile and Fineline DXF/DXLF grid.
 - Armstrong: Ultima Fine Texture 1912 tile and Silhouette XL grid.
 - CertainTeed: Symphony 1222BF-OVT tile and Smoothline grid.
 - Performance Criteria:
 - Tile Size: 24 by 24 by 3/4 inches.
 - Tile Edge: Reveal.
 - Tile Color: White.
 - Suspension System Type: Exposed slotted grid.
 - Suspension System Finish: Prefinished white.
 - Suspension System Performance: ASTM C635, Intermediate Duty.

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.1 INSTALLATION

- A. Install materials and suspension systems in accordance with manufacturer's instructions and recommendations, and ASTM C 635. Coordinate installation with location of mechanical and electrical work to ensure proper locations and anchorage.
- B. Level ceiling to within 1/8 inch in 10 feet in both directions. Scribe and cut tiles to fit accurately.
- C. Adjust, clean, and touch up all system components.

END OF SECTION

BIMspec

1.1 BIM COORDINATION

A. Acoustical Mineral Fiber Ceilings:

1. Building Information Modeling:
 - a. BIM Software: Revit 2010.
 - 1). Family: Ceilings.
 - 2). Type: Acoustical Ceilings
 - b. Keynotes:
 - 1). 09 51 00.A11 – Tegular Edge (3/4 x 24 x 24).
 - 2). 09 51 00.B1 – 9/16 Tee.
 - 3). 09 51 00.C1 – 9/16 Wall Angle.
 - 4). 09 51 00.D1 – Suspension Wire.
2. CSI Masterformat:
 - a. 09 50 00: Ceilings
 - b. 09 51 00: Acoustical Ceilings
 - c. 09 51 23: Acoustical Tile Ceilings
 - d. 09 53 00: Acoustical Ceiling Suspension Assemblies.
 - e. 09 53 23: Metal Acoustical Ceiling Suspension Assemblies.
3. Unifomat:
 - a. CSI: C1070 – Suspended Ceiling Construction
 - b. CSI: C1070.01 – Acoustical Suspended Ceilings
 - c. CSI: C1070.05 – Ceiling Suspension Components
 - d. ASTM Unifomat II: C30 – Interior Finishes
 - e. ASTM Unifomat II: C3030 – Ceiling Finishes
 - f. ASTM Unifomat II: C3031 – Suspended Ceilings
4. Cost Codes:
 - a. Software: RS Means Costworks.
 - 1). 09 51 23.10: Suspended Acoustic Ceiling Tiles.
 - 2). 095123101125: Mineral fiber tile, lay-in, 3/4 inch thick, fine textured.
 - 3). 09 51 23.30: Suspended Ceilings, Complete
 - 4). 095123300830: Mineral fiber, tegular, 2' x 4' x 3/4" tile.
5. USGBC LEED Contribution:
 - a. Program: LEED 2009 - New Construction
 - 1). MR 4 - Recycled content - YES
 - 2). MR 5 - Regional materials - NO
 - 3). MR 7 - FSC Wood - N/A
 - 4). EQ 4.1 - Low emitting materials, adhesives and sealants - YES
 - 5). EQ 4.2 - Low emitting materials, paints and coatings - N/A
 - 6). EQ 4.3 - Low emitting materials, flooring - N/A
 - 7). EQ 4.4 - Low emitting materials, no added UF - N/A

Product Literature

Mars ClimaPlus

Mars Panels with ClimaPlus Superior Performance/One-CHSOL Suspension System

LEED Credits

Recycled Content: 25%
Low-VOC: 25%
Conform to requirements for MR and EQ

Material	Recycled Content	Regional Material	Low VOC	Low Emissions	Low Emissions	Low Emissions
Mars Panels	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%

Features and Benefits

- Excellent noise reduction (NRC .75)
- High light reflective finish (LSR) - 88% reduces light fixtures and energy use
- Washable and scuffable finish - Impact and Scratch Resistant
- Meets USGBC LEED guidelines for use in food processing areas
- Coordinates visually with MarsPlus for open/closed plan applications
- Non-directional, monolithic visual reduces installation time and waste
- ClimaPlus™ 30-year lifetime system warranty against visible sag, mold and rotting

Applications

- Offices
- Healthcare
- Classrooms
- Corridors
- Reception areas and lobbies
- Department stores and retail
- Dining rooms, kitchens and food preparation areas

Substrate

- 1/2" Technology mineral fiber

Call 888 874-2400 for samples

Product Data

Mars® ClimaPlus™

To order samples, visit us at:
 Web: www.usg.com
 E-mail: samples@usg.com
 Fax: 847.282.1084
 Technical Services: 800.855.4793

Web Sites: www.usg.com
www.usg.com/ceiling
www.usg.com/ceiling
www.usg.com/ceiling

See usg.com for the most up-to-date product information.

UL Classified												
Edge	Panel Size	Class	Item No.	NRC	CAC	LR*	Color	Grid Options	VOC* Emissions	Anti-Mold & Mildew	Recycled Content†	Panel Cost
USG Mars ClimaPlus Panels	2'x2' x3/4"	Class A	86185	0.70	35	89	White	A, B, C	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	2'x4' x3/4"	Class A	86185	0.70	35	89	White	A, B	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	2'x2' x3/4"	Class A	86795	0.70	35	89	White	D	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	2'x4' x3/4"	Class A	86795	0.70	35	89	White	D	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	2'x2' x3/4"	Class A	86985	0.70	35	89	White	E, E, G	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	2'x4' x3/4"	Class A	86985	0.70	35	89	White	E, E, G	Low	Yes	76%	\$5
	30"x30" x3/4"	Class A	86830	0.70	35	89	White	E, E, G	Low	Yes	76%	\$5

Legend

Low Emissions (VOC Class)
Classified as low emitting per standards established by the Collaborative for High-Performance Schools (CHPS), following California Specification 01350 testing methods.

ClimaPlus Superior Performance™
Contains a broad-spectrum antimicrobial treatment on the top and back of the panel that provides guaranteed resistance against the growth of mold and mildew.

High Recycled Content
Classified as containing greater than 50% total recycled content. Total recycled content is based on panel composition of post-consumer and pre-consumer (post-industrial) recycled content per FTC guidelines.

Grid Profile Options

A DXF/DXF™	B DXF™	C Cantex™ DXF	D DXF/DX	E Cantex™ DXF	F Feline™ DXF	G Feline™ LX DXF

Physical Data/Performance

Product Details Data sheet: C21060 ASTM E1264 classification: Type IV, Form 1 and 2, Pattern E, G ASTM E84 surface burning characteristics	Maintenance Can be cleaned easily with a soft brush or vacuum. Sustainability Recycled: 76% - post-consumer without surface break or the extent of abrasion (ASTM D2595)	Cleaning Recommendations To clean, please use a clean, white cloth with water or a mild detergent and wipe surface. To eliminate panel aging, spray surface and wipe clean with a clean, white cloth. Acoustical coefficient (ASTM C425)	Features 1. Applies when CFC acoustical ceilings recycling program is utilized. 2. For details, see CFC report generator at usgrecycling.com . 3. Making sound recycling can be as effective as using a ceiling panel. See Ceiling Systems Literature and Literature ECR210 and Ceiling Catalog Contribution of Performance (C21060). 4. No formaldehyde is added to any ingredient or during the manufacturing process for all
--	---	--	---

BIMspec

Project Name: []
 Project Location: []

Architect:
 Date: []

SECTION 09 51 00
ACOUSTICAL CEILINGS

• **PART 1 - GENERAL**

• **1.1 - SUMMARY**

A. → Provide acoustical ceilings and suspension systems.

• **1.2 - SUBMITTALS**

A. → Product Data: Submit manufacturer's product data and installation instructions for each material and product used.

B. → Samples: Submit two representative samples of each material specified indicating visual characteristics and finish. Include range samples if variation of finish is anticipated.

C. → Extra Stock: Submit extra stock equal to 2 percent of amount installed.

• **1.3 - QUALITY ASSURANCE**

A. → Comply with governing codes and regulations. Provide products of acceptable manufacturers which have been in satisfactory use in similar service for three years. Use experienced installers. Deliver, handle, and store materials in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

B. → Performance: Fire, structural, and seismic performance meeting requirements of building code and local authorities. Acoustical performance based on project requirements.

• **PART 2 - PRODUCTS**

• **2.1 - MATERIALS**

A. → Mineral Fiber Acoustical Ceilings:

- Manufacturers: USG, Mars ClimaPlus 86985 tile and Feline DXF/DXLF grid; Armstrong Ultima, Fine Texture 1912 tile and Silhouette XL grid; CertainTeed, Symphony 1222BF-OVT tile and Smoothing Grid.
- Performance Criteria:
 - a. → Panel Size: 24 by 24 by 3/4 inches.
 - b. → Panel Color: White.
 - c. → Panel Classification: ASTM E1264 Type IV, Form 1 or 2, Pattern E.
 - d. → Panel Surface Burning: ASTM E 84, Class A.
 - e. → Panel NRC - UL: 0.70 or better.
 - f. → Panel CAC - UL: Not less than 33.
 - g. → Panel LR: Not less than 0.89.
 - h. → Panel VOC Emissions: Low.
 - i. → Panel Anti-Mold: Yes.
 - j. → Panel Recycled Content: 70 percent or better.
 - k. → Grid Finish: Prefinished white.
 - l. → Grid ASTM C635: Intermediate-duty.
 - m. → Grid Recycled Content: 30 percent or better.
 - n. → Edge Molding: Reveal type.
 - o. → Hold Down Clips: Yes.
 - p. → Acoustical Sealant: Low-VOC < 50g/L.
 - q. → Installation Standard: ASTM C636.
 - r. → Installation Reference: CISA Ceilings System Handbook.

BIMformat

Type Properties			
Family	Ceilings		
Type	Acoustical Ceilings		
Uniformat Number	C1070		
Masterformat Number	09 51 00		
Type Parameters			
Parameter	Value		
Keynote	ACT-1		
Manufacturer	USG Corporation	Armstrong	CertainTeed
Product Name	Mars ClimaPlus	Ultima Fine Texture	Symphony
Product Number	86985	1912	1222BF-OVT
Grid Profile	Fineline DXF/DXLF	Silhouette XL	Smoothline
Panel Size	24 by 24 by 3/4 inches		
Panel Color	White		
Panel Classification	ASTM E1264 Type IV, Form 1 or 2, Pattern E		
Panel Surface Burning	ASTM E 84, Class A		
Panel NRC - UL	0.70 or better		
Panel CAC - UL	Not less than 33		
Panel LR	Not less than 0.89		
Panel VOC Emissions	Low		
Panel Anti-Mold	Yes		
Panel Recycled Content	70 percent or better		
Grid Finish	Prefinished white		
Grid ASTM C635	Intermediate-duty		
Grid Recycled Content	30 percent or better		
Edge Molding	Reveal type		
Hold Down Clips	Yes		
Acoustical Sealant	Low-VOC < 50g/L		
Installation Standard	ASTM C636		
Installation Reference	CISCA Ceilings System Handbook		

Create a schedule

Output a schedule

Properties	Component Schedule						Description	
	Keynote	Family	Type	Assembly Code	Count	Manufacturer	Model	Description
Schedule Component Schedule Edit Type								
Identify Data								
View Name	Component Schedule							
Dependency	Independent							
Default View Template	None							
Phasing								
Phase Filter	Show All							
Phase	Beam							
Other								
Fields	Edit							
Filter	Edit							
Sorting/Grouping	Edit							
Formulating	Edit							
Appearance	Edit							
Properties help	Apply							
324 Kennard Road, Auburn, 2010.3 - Copy.rvt - P...								
12 Views (all)								
Floor Plans								
Back Finish Grade								
Basement Plan								
Elevation								
Room 1								
Floor 1 Structural								
Floor 2								
Floor 2 Electrical								
Floor 2 Structural								
Kitchen Plan								
Master Bath								
Pool Layout								
PSL								
Site - 124 Kennard - All								
Site - 124 Kennard - Build Area								
Site - 124 Kennard - Legend								
Site - 124 Kennard - Neighborhood								
Site - Landscaping								
T.O. Foundation								
T.O. Foundation								

Finish Schedule from a BIM model

PACKAGE B FINISH LEGEND							
CODE	MANUFACTURED	PRODUCT NAME	PRODUCT NUMBER	COLOR	SITE	FINISH	LOCATION/NOTES
PL-3	LAMINART		2419-T	JAMPER GREEN (GREEN)			
PL-4	LAMINART		2451-T	HEVLY (BLUE)			
PL-5	PONITE		7223C-FV	NEW WHITE		VELOUR FINISH	DOORS IN MEETING ROOM AND LECTURE HALLS
PNT-PAINT							
PNT-1	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	156	WHITE DOVE		EGGSHELL	FOR USE OF GENERAL PAINT COLOR
PNT-1A	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	195	WHITE DOVE		SEMI GLOSS	
PNT-3	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	146	MIRAN GOLD		EGGSHELL	(COPPER INTENSE)
PNT-3M	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	146-B	SILVER GOLD		EGGSHELL	(COPPER MILD)
PNT-3	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	529	SAVET DAPHNE		EGGSHELL	(GREEN)
PNT-4	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	717	PARADISO		EGGSHELL	(BLUE)
PNT-6	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	1608	HAMBUR WOLF		EGGSHELL	(GRAY)
PNT-7	BENJAMIN MOORE	ECO-SPEC	HC-146	KENDALL CHARCOAL		EGGSHELL	ACCENT IN AUDITORIUM
PT- PORCELAIN TILE							
PT-1	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715615	COOL 1.0	NOVARG RECTIFIED	MATTE FINISH	
PT-2	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10		COOL 1.0	16X X 60X RECTIFIED	MATTE FINISH	
PT-3	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715628	COOL 1.0	300 X 300 RECTIFIED	MATTE FINISH	
PT-4	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715617	COOL 3.0	300 X 600 RECTIFIED	MATT FINISH	
PTB-PORCELAIN TILE WALL BASE							
PTB-1	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715646	COOL 1.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	COVERED WALL BASE
PTB-1A	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715656	COOL 1.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	INSIDE CORNER COVERED BASE, FOR USE WITH PTB OUTSIDE CORNER COVERED WALL BASE
PTB-1B	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715656	COOL 1.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	
PTB-2	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715648	COOL 3.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	COVERED WALL BASE
PTB-2A	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715658	COOL 3.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	INSIDE CORNER COVERED BASE, FOR USE WITH PTB OUTSIDE CORNER COVERED WALL BASE
PTB-2B	STONE SOURCE	FLOOR GRES CHROMATECH10	715658	COOL 3.0	150 HIGH	MATTE FINISH	
PWP-PLASTIC WALL PROTECTION							
PWP-1	CSACROOVYN	HIGH IMPACT RIGID		949 WHITE	GAUGE 960" HEIGHT 4"	STAPPLE	FOR USE WHERE NOTED PLANS
RB-RESINULET WALL BASE							
RB-1	ROFFE	PRINCIPLE STANDARD RUBBER BASE	TYPE TS	131 BISQUE	150MM HIGH U.O.N		FOR USE WITH RSP-1
RB-2	JOHNSONITE	TRADITIONAL	69	STERLING SILVER			FOR USE WITH RSP-2 AND PAINTED ACCENT WALLS

Specifier Comments for Finish Legend

CODE	MANUFACTURER	PRODUCT NAME	PRODUCT NUMBER	COLOR	SIZE	FINISH	LOCATION NOTES
APC-ACUSTICAL PANEL CEILING							
APC-1	OWA	OWA ACOUSTIC COSMOS	68/0	WHITE	600MM X 1200MM		GENERAL CEILING TILE GRID. APPROX 14MM. BEVELED REGULAR WHITE.
APC-2	OWA	OWA ACOUSTIC COSMOS	64/2	WHITE	600X1200		FOR USE AT STORAGE AND UTILITY ROOMS AS NOTED. APPROX. 14MM
APC-3	DEPODOPICS	GLASS		WHITE		VARIES, LARGE FORMAT PANELS	FOR USE AS NOTED IN FINISH SCHEDULE & SEE RCP FOR DIMENSIONS
APC-4	LINDNER	HONEYCOMB B- PERFORATED	LDW0101 LMDE208	ALUMINUM TO MATCH ARCHITECTS SAMPLE		MICRO- PERFORATED	
CC-KIDULT CURTAIN							
CC-1	KVADRAT	FILIPPINA BIO	930	GREEN	140 CM	100% TREVIRA	FOR USE IN CLINICAL TRIAL AREAS B1
CC-2	KVADRAT	FILIPPINA BIO	750	BLUE	140CM	100% TREVIRA	CLINICAL TRIAL AREAS L2
CG-CORNER GUARD							
CG-1	C/S ACROVYN			949 WHITE	51MM EQ LEGS, FULL HEIGHT		SEE DISTRIBUTION SCHEDULE FOR LOCATIONS
CG-2	C/S ACROVYN		CO-8M MODIFIED	STAINLESS STEEL			SEE DISTRIBUTION SCHEDULE FOR LOCATIONS
CPT-CARPET							
CPT-1	SHAW CARPET	BEAM OF LIGHT	59465	CAFE HIGHLIGHTS 63309 (WARM)	61CM X 61CM	MONOLITHIC INSTALLATION	FOR USE IN EDUCATION
CPT-2	SHAW CARPET	BEAM OF LIGHT	59465	OPAQUE BLACK 63500 (COOL)	61CM X 61CM	MONOLITHIC INSTALLATION	FOR USE IN EDUCATION

BIMspec

Mike's Story - Small Firm
 Chuck's Story - Medium Firm
 Connie's Story - Large Firm
 Omar's Story - Owner
 Bob's Story - Contractor
 John's Story - Subcontractor
 Ric's Story - Manufacturer
 Bill's Story - Catalog Provider
 Gil's Story - Automator
 Erol's Story - Integrator
 Meredith's Story - Sustainability

Mark's Story - Spec Writer
 Stefan's Story - Into the Future

Green Labeled Products

Green Rating Systems & Programs

This may be
risky business

But we can do anything we put our mind to

And we're in it together

BIM, Specs and Sustainability

Presented by Mark Kalin FAIA FCSI LEED
mkalin@kalinassociates.com

Overview of Army Sustainability Policy – Lourdes Gonzalez, Primera**CERL: Sustainability Product Properties Data**

**CHAPTER 1
Summary of Army Sustainability
Policy** **Project Objectives**

- The objective of this project overall is to develop, document, and create examples of a proposed model for the specification, delivery, and measurement of sustainability information on United States Army building projects. Three types of Army buildings will be studied: officer apartment housing, headquarter offices, and clinics.

Project Approach

- The approach is to work with the building industry to define a minimum set of sustainability properties for Architectural Elements/Finishes, Mechanical Equipment, Electrical Equipment and Water Systems. Once this is done, the team will propose and execute a scenario to illustrate the delivery of life-cycle sustainability information through the BIM environment and perform a model checking demonstration

Chapter 1 Scope

- The first Chapter reviews, collates, and summarizes current sustainability requirements placed on Army facilities. This review includes federal, agency, and department regulations and public law.

Future Chapters

- Subsequent Chapters will cover the following elements
 - Evaluate Current Sustainability Tools
 - Review of National Sustainability Agenda
 - Architectural Element or Finish Sustainability Properties
 - Mechanical Equipment Sustainability Properties
 - Electrical Equipment Sustainability Properties
 - Water System Sustainability Properties
 - Example Sustainability Product Models
 - Example Sustainability Models Checking Demonstration
 - Draft Technical Report and Presentation

History of Army's Policies

- FY08 – DoD Memorandum
"SPiRiT to LEED Transition"
- Oct 2010 – DoD Memorandum
"Sustainable Buildings Policy"
- Army requires LCCA evaluation

The Army and Guiding Principles

- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that establishes the Guiding Principles stated that the Federal government is committed to designing, constructing, and operating its facilities in an energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable manner, consistent with Federal agency missions.

Executive Order

- EO 13423 instructs Federal agencies to conduct their environmental, transportation, and energy-related activities under the law in support of their respective missions in an environmentally, economically and fiscally sound, integrated, continuously improving, efficient, and sustainable manner.

Guiding Principles Goals

- The Guiding Principles translates these goals into five sustainable practices including: employ integrated design principles, optimize energy performance, protect and conserve water, enhance indoor environmental quality and reduce environmental impact of materials.

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

- Integrated Design
- Commissioning
- Sustainable Sites
- Protect and Conserve Water
- Optimize Energy Performance
- Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials
- Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

- **Integrated Design** – known as the Whole Building approach – from planning through construction.
- Performance goals are established by the team.
- Uses the Whole Building design Guide for guidance.

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

- **Commissioning** - The Army's Sustainability Policy also requires Building Commissioning as part of any new construction project or major renovation.

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

• Sustainable Sites

- Select Appropriate Sites,
- Control Erosion, Sedimentation, and Water Quality,
- Provide Alternative Transportation,
- Minimize Site and Habitat Disturbance
- Manage Storm Water Runoff
- Reduce Heat Islands.

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

• Protect and Conserve Water

- Indoor savings 20% above Energy Policy Act
- Outdoor – 50% potable water use reduction

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

• Optimize Energy Performance

- Required to be a minimum of 30% better than ASHRAE 90.1-2004
- The key strategies for conserving energy include energy efficiency in buildings, use of on-site renewable energy or green power, measurement and verification and benchmarking.

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

• Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials

- Recycled Content
- Bio-Based Content
- Construction Waste
- Ozone Depleting Compounds

Guiding Principles in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings

• Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality

- Ventilation and Thermal Comfort
- Moisture Control
- Daylighting
- Low-Emitting Materials
- Protect Indoor Air Quality during Construction
- Smoke Free

Guiding Principle - Category	Sub-Category
Employ Integrated Design Principles	Integrated Design
	Commissioning
Sustainable Sites	Select Appropriate Sites
	Provide Alternative Transportation
	Minimize Site and Habitat Disturbance
	Manage Storm Water Run off
	Reduce Heat Islands
Protect and Conserve Water	Building Water Conservation
	Irrigation and Landscaping
	Water Recycling and Re-use
Optimize Energy Performance	Energy Efficiency
	On-site Renewable Energy
	Measurement and Verification
	Benchmarking

Guiding Principle - Category	Sub-Category
Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Recycled Content
	Bio-Based Content
	Construction Waste
	Ozone Depleting Compounds
Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality	Ventilation and Thermal Comfort
	Moisture Control
	Daylighting
	Low-Emitting Materials
	Protect Indoor Air Quality during Construction
	Smoke Free

Gap Analysis – Holly Genc and Josh Greenfield, Primera

CERL: Sustainability Product Properties Data

CHAPTER 2

Gap Analysis of Sustainability Tools

Acknowledgments

- **Vladimir Bazjanac**, Ph.D., Building Technologies Department, Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California
- **Deborah Dunning**, AIA, ASID, USGBC, President and CEO, The Green Standard
- **Mark Kalin**, FAIA FCSI LEED, President, Kalin Associates Inc.
- **John Kennedy**, Senior Manager for Sustainable Analysis Products, Autodesk
- **Nicholas Nisbet**, MA(Cantab) DipArch(UNL), Director, AEC3 UK Ltd.
- **Richard L. Schneider**, Assoc. AIA, LEED-AP, U. S. Army Engineering Research & Development Center, Construction Engineering Research Laboratory
- **Mick Schwedler**, PE, LEED AP, Manager, Trane Applications Engineering
- **Annette Stumpf**, LEED AP, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ERDC-CERL
- **Wayne Trusty**, President, Wayne B. Trusty & Associates Limited, Past President, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute

Chapter 2 Scope

- Review of Sustainability Tools
 - Green Rating Systems
 - Compliance Programs
- Comparison of Sustainability Tools
 - LEED-NC
 - Green Globes
 - ENERGY STAR®
 - Green Guide for Health Care & LEED-HC
 - PassivHaus Standard
- Guiding Principles vs. Compliance Program Tools
- Gap Analysis

Sustainability Tools Identified for Review

Green Rating Systems

- LEED
- Green Globes
- ENERGY STAR
- Green Guide for Health Care (GGHC) & LEED-HC
- PassivHaus Standard

Compliance Programs

- eQUEST
- EnergyPlus
- BEES
- Pharos & GreenSpec

Comparison of Sustainability Tools			
	Building Types	Categories of Measurement	Levels
LEED	2000 New Construction and Major Renovations , Existing Buildings: Operations & Maintenance , Commercial Interiors, Core & Shell, Schools , Retail , Healthcare, Homes, Neighborhood Development	Sustainable Sites, Water Efficiency, Energy & Atmosphere, Materials & Resources, Indoor Environmental Quality, Innovation & Design	Certified Silver Gold Platinum
Green Globes	2000 New Construction, Continual Improvement of Existing Buildings	Project Management, Site , Energy , Water, Materials & Resources, Emissions, Effluents, & Pollution Reduction , Indoor Air Quality	1 Globe 2 Globes 3 Globes 4 Globes
ENERGY STAR®	1995 Bank/Financial Institution, Courthouses, Data Centers, Hospitals (Acute Care and Children's), Hotels/Motels, Houses of Worship, K-12 Schools, Medical Offices, Offices, Residence Halls/Dormitories, Retail Stores, Senior Care, Supermarkets/Grocery Stores, Warehouses (Refrigerated and Unrefrigerated)	Energy Efficiency, Water Consumption	N/A
GGHC	2003 Health Care related facilities including: New buildings, Building Additions, Major Renovations, Existing Buildings	Construction Categories: integrated design, sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, environmental quality, and innovation and design Operations Categories: integrated operations & education, sustainable sites management, transportation operations, facilities management, chemical management, waste management, environmental services, food service, environmentally preferable purchasing, innovation in operations	N/A
Passiv- Haus	1996 Residential (although other building types can be applied)	Airtightness, Passive Solar Energy, Superinsulation, Advanced Window Technologies, Ventilation, Space Heating, Energy Efficient Building Components	Certified (Pass or Fail)

CHAPTER 2: Gap Analysis of Sustainability Tools

Guiding Principles vs. Compliance Program Tools

Guiding Principle - Category	Sub-Category	LCCA Tool	Benchmarking Tool	Commissioning Tool	Site Tool	Water Efficiency Tool	Energy Tool	LCA Tool	Thermal Comfort Tool	IAQ Tool	Moisture Control Tool	Daylighting Tool
Employ Integrated Design Principles	Integrated Design	•					•	•				
	Commissioning		•	•								
Sustainable Sites	Select Appropriate Sites	•										
	Provide Alternative Transportation											
	Minimize Site and Habitat Disturbance	•			•							
	Manage Storm Water Runoff	•			•							
	Reduce Heat Islands	•			•							
Protect and Conserve Water	Building Water Conservation	•	•			•						
	Irrigation and Landscaping	•	•		•	•						
	Water Recycling and Re-use	•				•						
Optimize Energy Performance	Energy Efficiency	•	•				•					
	On-site Renewable Energy	•										
	Measurement and Verification		•									
	Benchmarking		•									
Reduce Environmental Impact of Materials	Recycled Content							•				
	Bio-Based Content							•				
	Construction Waste							•				
	Ozone Depleting Compounds							•				
Enhance Indoor Environmental Quality	Ventilation and Thermal Comfort								•	•		
	Moisture Control								•	•	•	
	Daylighting											•
	Low-Emitting Materials							•				
	Protect Indoor Air Quality during Construction									•		
	Smoke Free											

Gaps

- Building Product Properties Data
- Life-Cycle Assessment
- Life-Cycle Cost Assessment
- Integrating Economic Analysis into LCA
- Chemical Information in Building Products
- Durability Information for Building Products
- Ongoing Operations & Maintenance
- Energy Modeling Issues
- MEP Sustainable Materials Assessment
- Quantification of Carbon Emissions
- Benchmarking Building Performance

Building Product Properties Data

- Ideally, a standardized template provided by the manufacturer would list any information required as inputs to the **COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS** used to meet the **RATING SYSTEM** criteria
- Need to know what standards a building product should follow
- What set of properties should be required information
- How is this information validated
- These questions lead us to look at LCA-based Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)

Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA)

- Life-Cycle Assessment analyzes environmental impacts of a product across its life-cycle
- Need science-based, verified and comparable information about environmental performance of products
- LCA-based EPDs – the Green Yardstick
- LCA Tools
 - Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability (BEES)
 - Athena's Environmental Impact Estimator
 - Pharos Project
- LCA is not the same as Life-Cycle Costing

Life-Cycle Cost Analysis

- Life-Cycle Costing focuses on the dollar costs of building and maintaining a structure over its life cycle
- Required to substantiate decisions made
- Lack of good cost data available

Chemical Information in Building Products

- Green Rating Systems do reward inclusion of Low-Emitting Materials
- Do NOT require elimination of building products that contain toxins
- Problem: chemical information is not readily available

Building Product Durability Information

- Army Facilities are built to last 50+ years
- Need information of building products'
 - Long term reuse
 - Recycling
 - Disposal implications

Ongoing Operations & Maintenance

- Important tenet of sustainability
- Offsets potential higher first costs of higher efficiency systems and metering
- Additionally, training and education of maintenance staff and occupants is important
- Although not addressed in most of the Green Rating Systems discussed, it can be borrowed from LEED-EBOM and is provided in Green Guide for Health Care

Energy Modeling Issues

- Energy Modelers that are not qualified are creating inaccurate models (GIGO)
- Building Design is dynamic, Modeling Tools are static
- Process for updating the software does not keep pace with the latest technologies

MEP Sustainable Materials Assessment

- Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing Equipment and Fixtures are very complex
- Made up of several components that require individual life-cycle analysis
- Green Rating Systems do not address M/E/P sustainable materials

Quantification of Carbon Emissions

- Most Green Rating Systems reduce carbon emissions in an indirect way
- They do not do so in a manner that is easily quantifiable or open to accountability

MEP Sustainable Materials Assessment

- Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing Equipment and Fixtures are very complex
- Made up of several components that require individual life-cycle analysis
- Green Rating Systems do not address M/E/P sustainable materials

Quantification of Carbon Emissions

- Most Green Rating Systems reduce carbon emissions in an indirect way
- They do not do so in a manner that is easily quantifiable or open to accountability

Benchmarking Building Performance

- Future incorporation in LEED is anticipated
- ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager
- Currently, there is not a vehicle for accountability of actual building performance in most Green Rating Systems

**Using Building Energy Performance (BEP) Simulation in AECOO Industry –
Vladimir Bazjanac**

Dr. Bazjanac's slides were unavailable for publication.

**Life-cycle Assessment (LCA), Product Category Rules (PCRs),
Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) – Paul Bertram**

ERDC-CERL
**Sustainability Product Properties
Workshop**

LCA, PCR, EPDs

Paul Bertram, FCSI, CDT, LEED AP
Director, Environment & Sustainability
Kingspan Insulated Panels, North America

CSI President

ISO Standards

- ISO 14021:1999, *Environmental labels and declarations*
— **Self-declared environmental claims** (Type II environmental labeling)
- ISO 14024:1999, *Environmental labels and declarations*
— Type I **environmental 3rd party labeling**
- ISO 14040:2006, **Life cycle assessment**
- ISO 21930, Sustainability in building construction
— **Environmental declaration of building products**
- ISO 14025 for the **EPD** of building products
— **PCR – Product Category Rules**
 - set of specific rules, requirements and guidelines for developing **Type III environmental declarations**

Preparation of a PCR document.

PCR System Boundaries

Life Cycle Impact Assessment Metal Insulated Panels

• Goal and Scope of the Study

- Cradle to Grave
- Cradle to Gate
- Cradle to Cradle

Figure 1: System Boundaries

Life Cycle Impact Assessment Methodology & Impact Categories

- **TRACI** (Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts) Impact Categories
<http://www.epa.gov/nrmrl/std/sab/traci/>
- **Environmental Impact Characterizations:**
 - o Global Warming Potential (GWP) [kg CO₂ eq];
 - o Acidification Potential (AP) [mol H⁺ eq];
 - o Eutrophication Potential (EP) [kg N eq];
 - o Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) [kg CFC-11 eq];
 - o Smog Creation Potential (Smog) [kg NO_x eq];
- **Environmental Indicators**
 - **IPCC** - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 - o Primary Energy Demand of fossil energy resources (PED Fossil) [MJ net calorific];
 - o Primary Energy Demand of renewable resources (PED Renew) [MJ net calorific];
 - o Resource Consumption (e.g. crude oil, coal, nat gas) [MJ net calorific];

EPD Development

EPDs

- ISO 14025 for the **EPD** of building products
 - **PCR – Product Category Rules (CSI MasterFormat)**
 - set of specific rules, requirements and guidelines for developing **Type III environmental declarations**
- **Responsibilities of the program operator**
 - The program operator shall be responsible for the administration of a Type III environmental declaration
 - preparing, maintaining and communicating general program instructions;
 - publishing the names of the organizations actually involved as interested parties in the program development

EPD

An environmental product declaration

WHERE DO IMPACTS OCCUR?
 Across all impact categories, more than 60% of the impacts occur in the extraction of raw materials.
**The numbers below are based on the EPD for Convert products with Type 6,6 Nylon*

QUESTIONS

ERDC-CERL Sustainability Product Properties Workshop

LCA, PCR, EPDs

Paul Bertram, FCSI, CDT, LEED AP
paul.bertram@kingspan.com
Director, Environment & Sustainability
Kingspan Insulated Panels, North America

CSI President

Green Building XML (gbXML): The Open XML Schema for Sustainable Design – John Kennedy

ASHRAE SPC 205

Title: Standard Representation of Performance Simulation Data for HVAC&R and Other Facility Equipment

Purpose: To facilitate sharing of equipment characteristics for performance simulation by defining standard representations such as data models, data formats, and automation interfaces.

Scope: This standard applies to data used in the performance simulation of any HVAC&R or other facility system, equipment, or component.

Chair: Chip Barnaby, cbarnaby@wrightsoft.com

Green Building XML History

- Data exchange between 3D-CAD and Green analysis applications
- Development started Dec. 1999
- Published June 2000
- Funding – development and promotion
 - California Energy Commission
 - Green Building Studio, Inc.
 - Pacific Gas & Electric

Ownership & Support

- Non-proprietary open data standard
- Not owned by Autodesk or anyone else
- Maintained by the industry
 - 11 member board
 - 1500+ members
 - 35+ applications support it
- Bi-annual meetings at ASHRAE's meetings

gbXML Board Members

- AirNAB
- Autodesk
- Bentley Systems
- Cadsoft
- Carmel Software
- EDSL
- Greenspace Research
- HVAC Solution
- IES
- Trane
- Wrightsoft

Web-Based Initiatives

The image displays two overlapping web-based initiatives related to gbXML. The background is a screenshot of the LinkedIn group page for "Group for Green Building XML (gbXML)". The page shows navigation tabs for Home, Profile, Contacts, Groups, Jobs, Inbox, and More. The group's main content area includes a "Most Popular Discussions" section with a post about a "gbXML Seminar at Autodesk Univer" and a "Good article about gbXML" by Thomas Stoshecker. The foreground is a screenshot of the gbXML website, which features a header with the gbXML logo and the tagline "Open Green Building XML Schema: a Building Information Modeling Solution for Our Green World". The website content includes a "Welcome" message, a "General Information" sidebar with links to Welcome, About gbXML, History, Statistics, Donate, and Contact Us, and a "Sponsored by..." section featuring Autodesk. The website also mentions a new YouTube channel and provides contact information for Stephen Roth.

Web-Based Initiatives

Group for Green Building XML

#gbXML

Main Objective

- Sustainable Building Analyses
 - Minimize human interpretation
 - Relieve Pain
 - Consistency of data & analysis
-
- Next update tighter mapping to COMNET descriptors

Sustainable Building Analyses

- Whole building energy use & costs
- Water use & costs (indoor, outdoor, and catchment)
- Carbon emissions
- Heating and cooling load analysis
- LCA
- Renewable energy
- HVAC equipment sizing
- Lighting analysis
- CFD analysis
- Fire analysis
- Solar/shading analysis
- Energy code compliance
- Thermal comfort

Data Capabilities

- 3D planar polygon geometry
- 2D rectangular polygon geometry
- Space boundaries (1st & 2nd)
- Opaque constructions and materials
 - Thermal and emission properties, recycled content,
 - Costs including LCA (embodied, first, and future)
 - Fire properties
- Glazing, shades, and their operation
- Internal and external equipment
 - Energy, power, efficiencies, water use, physical characteristics
 - Costs including LCA (embodied, first, and future)
- Lighting and controls

Data Capabilities, cont'd.

- HVAC equipment
- Weather design data
- Ventilation requirements
- Operation schedules
 - Occupancy, lighting, equipment, HVAC, temperatures.
- Infiltration
- Transportation types, location, & schedule
- Vegetation types, location, & water use.
- Site generation (solar, wind)
- Versioning and change history
- Simulated and measured results

No other open format has these capabilities!

gbXML Support – BIM and 3D-CAD

- Autodesk
 - AutoCAD Architecture & MEP
 - Revit Architecture & MEP
 - Project Vasari
 - LandXplorer
- Bentley
 - Architecture
 - Building Mechanical Systems
 - Speedikon Architectural
- CADSoft
 - Envisioneer
- Google
 - SketchUp (Greenspace plug-in)
- Graphisoft
 - ArchiCAD (Encina plug-in)
- Onuma BIMStorm

gbXML Support - Analysis

- Autodesk
 - Green Building Studio
 - DOE-2.2 & EnergyPlus
 - Ecotect Analysis
 - DOE-2.2 & EnergyPlus
- Bentley
 - AECosim
 - EnergyPlus
- Carmel Software
- EDSL – TAS
- Trane - TRACE 700
- IES VE
- Carrier – HAP
- E4tech Software SA
- CADLine - Cymap
- Elite Software
- EnergySoft – EnergyPro
- blueCAPE – OpenFOAM
- DesignBuilder
- EnergySoft - EnergyPro
- HVAC Solution
- Greenspace Live
 - Live Energy/EPC
- Solar-Computer GBIS
- DIALux
- LBNL's EnergyPlus GUI
- NREL's OpenStudio

The most widely adopted interoperability format for building performance analysis.

Performance Data - Window

- Glazing Example
- Actual Manufacturer data
- Analysis ready data

```

- <WindowType id="NPwindowType-210" DOEIdRef="100001">
  <Name>PPG Double Pane SB70XL</Name>
  <Description>PPG Double Pane SB70XL</Description>
  <U-value unit="WPerSquareMeterK">1.625</U-value>
  <SolarHeatGainCoeff unit="Fraction">0.274</SolarHeatGainCoeff>
  <Transmittance type="Visible">0.643</Transmittance>
- <Glaze id="Glaze-L1-NPwindowType-210">
  <Name>PPG SB70XL Starphire 6</Name>
  <Description>PPG SB70XL Starphire 6</Description>
  <Thickness unit="Feet">0.01857</Thickness>
  <Conductivity unit="WPerMeterK">1</Conductivity>
  <Transmittance type="Solar" unit="Fraction">0.28</Transmittance>
  <Transmittance type="Visible" unit="Fraction">0.722</Transmittance>
  <Transmittance type="IR" unit="Fraction">0</Transmittance>
  <Reflectance type="ExtSolar" unit="Fraction">0.499</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="IntSolar" unit="Fraction">0.559</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="ExtVisible" unit="Fraction">0.068</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="IntVisible" unit="Fraction">0.051</Reflectance>
  <Emittance type="ExtIR" unit="Fraction">0.841</Emittance>
  <Emittance type="IntIR" unit="Fraction">0.029</Emittance>
</Glaze>
- <Gap id="Gas-L2-NPwindowType-210">
  <Name>KRYPTON 3MM</Name>
  <Description>Krypton</Description>
  <Thickness unit="Feet">0.0105</Thickness>
  <Conductivity unit="WPerMeterK">0.1</Conductivity>
</Gap>
- <Glaze id="Glaze-L3-NPwindowType-210">
  <Name>PPG CLEAR 6</Name>
  <Description>PPG CLEAR 6</Description>
  <Thickness unit="Feet">0.01857</Thickness>
  <Conductivity unit="WPerMeterK">1</Conductivity>
  <Transmittance type="Solar" unit="Fraction">0.771</Transmittance>
  <Transmittance type="Visible" unit="Fraction">0.886</Transmittance>
  <Transmittance type="IR" unit="Fraction">0</Transmittance>
  <Reflectance type="ExtSolar" unit="Fraction">0.072</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="IntSolar" unit="Fraction">0.073</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="ExtVisible" unit="Fraction">0.085</Reflectance>
  <Reflectance type="IntVisible" unit="Fraction">0.085</Reflectance>
  <Emittance type="ExtIR" unit="Fraction">0.84</Emittance>
  <Emittance type="IntIR" unit="Fraction">0.84</Emittance>
</Glaze>
</WindowType>

```

Performance Data – Light Fixture

- Energy
- Photometry

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
- <gbXML_Snip>
  <LightingSystem xmlns="http://www.gbxml.org/schema" id="lightsys-1">
    <Manufacturer>LITHONIA LIGHTING</Manufacturer>
    <NumberOfLamps>2</NumberOfLamps>
    <LumensPerLamp>2850</LumensPerLamp>
  - <Dimensions>
    <Height>0</Height>
    <Width>1.88</Width>
    <Length>3.92</Length>
  </Dimensions>
  <InputWatts unit="Watt">58.000000</InputWatts>
  <Lamp>TWO 32-WATT T8 LINEAR FLUORESCENT.</Lamp>
  <Luminaire>2X4 AVante, recessed, 2 lamp T8 32 watt, Metal Diffuser w/ </Luminaire>
  <Photometry>IESNA91 [TEST] LTL8017 [MANUFAC] LITHONIA LIGHTING [LUMCAT] 2AV
  G 2 32 MDR ASR [LUMINAIRE] 2X4 AVante, recessed, 2 lamp T8 32 watt, Metal
  Diffuser w/ [MORE] Round perforations backed w/ acrylic overlay, Aluminum
  Stepped [MORE] Reflector. [LAMP] TWO 32-WATT T8 LINEAR FLUORESCENT. [BALLAST] MAGNETEK B2321120RH BF=95.6
  [PRODUCTGROUP] ARCHITECTURAL FLUORESCENT [INFOLINK]
  www.lithonia.com/visual/ies/ies.asp?vfile= TILT=NONE 2 2850 1 37 5 1 1 1.88
  3.92 0 1 1 58 0 2.5 5 7.5 10 12.5 15 17.5 20 22.5 25 27.5 30 32.5 35 37.5 40 42.5
  45 47.5 50 52.5 55 57.5 60 62.5 65 67.5 70 72.5 75 77.5 80 82.5 85 87.5 90 0 22.5
  45 67.5 90 1048 1039 1034 1026 1015 1000 983 962 940 913 884 851 816 778 740
  695 654 609 566 524 475 432 384 345 300 263 220 186 155 125 100 77 60 44 28
  12 0 1048 1040 1037 1029 1020 1004 987 969 946 919 889 856 825 788 749 710
  667 631 583 540 494 452 407 367 324 285 245 210 176 145 119 95 72 41 22 10 0
  1048 1047 1040 1035 1022 1007 992 974 950 929 900 868 838 802 768 726 690
  650 610 567 525 485 444 409 372 340 308 277 243 201 151 107 77 46 23 6 0 1048
  1050 1043 1036 1025 1014 995 978 957 934 910 879 851 817 784 745 708 668
  632 592 555 522 489 459 427 395 354 309 247 187 151 114 80 46 21 4 0 1048
  1058 1052 1044 1031 1018 1002 983 962 941 913 884 855 821 788 749 710 672
  637 602 568 535 505 474 444 406 359 300 229 189 150 111 78 46 20 3 0
  </Photometry>
</LightingSystem>
</gbXML_Snip>
```

Performance Data - HVAC

- Energy
- Design Temps
- Capacities
- Part-load data

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
- <equip:Equipment xmlns:equip="SomeOtherNamespace" xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2000/10/XMLSchema-instance"
  - <gbx:AirLoopEquipment xsi:schemaLocation="http://idea-server.com/xml/gbxml/0-31
    http://62.150.62.5/GP2/Users/MGangemi/3 XML/4.CBXML/gbXML/XSD/1.GreenBuildingXML.xsd" equipme
    id="PackagedUnit-48-DP-020-5" xmlns:gbx="http://idea-server.com/xml/gbxml/0-31">
    <gbx:Manufacturer>Carrier</gbx:Manufacturer>
    <gbx:Model>48 DP - 020-5</gbx:Model>
    <gbx:RatedFlow unit="CFM">7200</gbx:RatedFlow>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="HeatDesign">110</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="CoolDesign">55</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="EnteringDryBulb">95</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="EnteringWetBulb">67</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:Power unit="BtuPerHour" useType="Heating" powerType="NaturalGas">270000</gbx:Power>
    <gbx:Power unit="Kilowatt" useType="Cooling" powerType="Electricity">26.7</gbx:Power>
    <gbx:Capacity unit="BtuPerHour" capacityType="Heating">216000</gbx:Capacity>
    <gbx:Capacity unit="BtuPerHour" capacityType="CoolingTotal">222000</gbx:Capacity>
    <gbx:Capacity unit="BtuPerHour" capacityType="CoolingSensible">156000</gbx:Capacity>
    <gbx:Efficiency efficiencyType="EER" standardsType="DOE" operationType="Cooling">8.2</gbx:Efficiency>
    <gbx:Efficiency efficiencyType="AFUE" standardsType="DOE" operationType="Heating">80</gbx:Efficiency>
    <gbx:Weight unit="Pounds">2230</gbx:Weight>
    <gbx:Reference>Carrier, Products and Systems 1992/1993 Master Catalog, p.1417-1432</gbx:Reference>
    <gbx:RefrigerantType>R-22</gbx:RefrigerantType>
  - <gbx:GeneralGeometry>
  </gbx:GeneralGeometry>
  </gbx:AirLoopEquipment>
  - <gbx:HydronicLoopEquipment equipmentType="Chiller" id="Chiller-30HR-160-D-601--" xmlns:gbx="http://idea-
    server.com/xml/gbxml/0-31">
    <gbx:Manufacturer>Carrier</gbx:Manufacturer>
    <gbx:Model>30HR-160-D-601--</gbx:Model>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="CondenserWaterSupply">85</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:Temp unit="F" tempType="LeavingChilledWater">44</gbx:Temp>
    <gbx:RatedFlow unit="GPM" hydronicLoopType="PrimaryChilledWater">401.8</gbx:RatedFlow>
    <gbx:RatedFlow unit="GPM" hydronicLoopType="CondenserWater">496.2</gbx:RatedFlow>
    <gbx:MinFlow unit="GPM" hydronicLoopType="PrimaryChilledWater">156</gbx:MinFlow>
    <gbx:MinFlow unit="GPM" hydronicLoopType="CondenserWater">176</gbx:MinFlow>
    <gbx:DeltaP unit="kPa" hydronicLoopType="PrimaryChilledWater" performanceId="Performance-2"/>
    <gbx:DeltaP unit="kPa" hydronicLoopType="CondenserWater" performanceId="Performance-3"/>
    <gbx:Power unit="Kilowatt" useType="Cooling" powerType="Electricity">147.1</gbx:Power>
    <gbx:Capacity unit="Tons" capacityType="CoolingTotal">167.4</gbx:Capacity>
    <gbx:Efficiency efficiencyType="kWPerTon" standardsType="ARI" performanceId="Performance-1">0.94526</
  - <gbx:Performance id="Performance-1" performanceType="Efficiency">
    + <gbx:PointData>
    </gbx:PointData>
    </gbx:Performance>
    <gbx:Performance id="Performance-2" performanceType="DeltaP">
    + <gbx:Equation>
    </gbx:Equation>
    </gbx:Performance>
    <gbx:Performance id="Performance-3" performanceType="DeltaP">
    + <gbx:Equation>
    </gbx:Equation>
    </gbx:Performance>
    <gbx:Weight unit="Pounds">7775</gbx:Weight>
    <gbx:RefrigerantType>R-22</gbx:RefrigerantType>
    <gbx:Reference>Carrier, Products and Systems 1992/1993 Master Catalog, p.179-222</gbx:Reference>
  - <gbx:HydronicLoopEquipment equipmentType="Boiler" id="Boiler-61CWB-175-100" xmlns:gbx="http://idea-seve
    -31">
    <gbx:Manufacturer>Carrier</gbx:Manufacturer>
    <gbx:Model>61CWB-175-100</gbx:Model>
```

More Information

Visit www.gbxml.org for
schema-related and
other information

Contact Chair:
Stephen Roth, PE, LEED AP
sroth@carmelsoft.com

Sustainability Product Properties Discussion – Kristine Fallon and Robert Feldman

Sustainability Product Properties Project Discussion

Kristine K. Fallon, FAIA
Robert A. Feldman, PhD
July 13, 2011

Topics

- Desired outcomes
- Project assumptions
- Target elements
- Other efforts to define sustainability properties
- Discussion

Desired Outcomes

- Demonstrate need for computable product properties for sustainability analyses
- Identify prior/current work to build upon
- Form working groups to define open source sustainability product properties specifications for architectural, mechanical, electrical and water systems

Project Assumptions

- Identifying the sustainability properties necessary to selection and analysis of various building products and materials would be useful to the industry
- The goal is to make sustainability assessment and analysis directly computable from a building model (BIM)
- There have been efforts to define necessary/ desired sustainability properties, but for the most part, not in a computable form

Computability of BIMs

- Common conceptual framework – IFC model (buildingSMART Model)
- Standard attribute templates – SPie
 - Takes advantage of extensibility of IFC property sets

SPie

Target Elements: Sustainability Properties

- Architectural Finish Elements
 - Floor coverings, Wall coverings, Windows, Doors, Ceilings
- Mechanical Equipment
 - Pumps, Chillers, Fan Coil Units, Air Handling Units
- Electrical Equipment
 - Lighting, Panels, Switches, Outlets
- Water Systems
 - Pumps, Fixtures, Pipes, Valves

Computable Sustainability Properties Efforts

- gbXML
- IFC Energy Analysis MVD

gbXML

- **Green Building XML**
- Focused on describing a building's thermal load properties
- Designed for interoperability between building design models and a wide variety of engineering analysis tools
- First published in 2000

gbXML

3D CAD/BIM Programs

- Artifice DesignWorkshop
- AutoCAD Architecture
- AutoCAD MEP
- Autodesk Revit Architecture
- Autodesk Revit MEP
- Green Building Studio
- Bentley Architecture
- Bentley Building Mechanical Systems
- Bentley speedikon Architectural
- Cadsoft Envisioneer
- OnumaBIMstorm

Building Energy Analysis Programs

- Arup EnergySave
- Green Building Studio
- Autodesk Ecotect Analysis
- Bentley Hevacomp
- blueCape OpenFOAM
- CADLine Cymap
- Carrier HAP (Hourly Analysis Program)
- DOE Energy-10
- DesignBuilder DesignBuilder v2
- EnergySoft EnergyPro
- Elite Software CHVAC - Commercial HVAC Load Calculations
- Environmental Design Solutions Limited Tas Building Designer
- greenspace Live Energy Design and Analysis Tools
- HVAC Solution
- IES Limited IES <Virtual Environment>
- Solar-Computer Green Building Information System (GBIS)
- Trane TRACE 700

gbXML

- MEP equipment properties include cost, electric or fuel load, performance, efficiency, age and life
- Lighting System properties include cost, lamp, lumens per lamp, input watts and coefficient of utilization
- Material properties include R-value, recycled content, cost and indoor air quality
- Most elements lack age and life attributes needed for Life Cycle Analysis
- Implementations do not typically export full range of properties from BIM

gbXML Example - Material

- Surface → Construction → Layer → Material

gbXML - Hydronic Loop Equipment

US Army Corps
of Engineers
Engineer Research and
Development Center

IFC Energy Analysis MVD

IFC Model View Definition Diagram : MVD Overview

Document owner: AECOO Testbed Sponsors - This document uses the official IFC Model View Definition Format version 1.1. of the IFC (www.ifc-international.org).

Discussion

- Project assumptions
- Target elements
- Other efforts to define sustainability properties
- Other

Appendix B: Extended Building Information Templates

Overview

Sustainability properties for 56 building elements were identified and incorporated into building property templates for use in BIM models. The templates were populated with real-world product information available from manufacturers, and are reproduced here.

The real-world product information was primarily gathered by downloading the publicly available data from the selected manufacturers' websites. Through this process, it was noted that not all of the necessary sustainability information was readily available for the products. Where the information was not available, there was also an attempt to gather this information by contacting the product manufacturers directly. Where products or properties of products that could not be obtained, this condition is noted.

In addition to where properties were not available, some of the product properties identified by the templates required additional design calculation details in order to provide realistic potential values. For example, concrete pad and strip footings use materials that are typically locally sourced, so the project location needs to be determined before a meaningful value can be entered.

The 56 templates reproduced in this appendix have been updated as completely as possible using the most current information available at the time of writing.

Templates populated with sustainability and product information

Chiller_AIRCOOLED_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Thermal Care	Sterling	Trane
Model	TSW20A	30RB 60	Series R 80
NominalCapacity	21	63.8	75.6
NominalEfficiency	n/a	n/a	18.2
NominalCondensingTemperature	n/a	n/a	75
NominalEvaporatingTemperature	n/a	n/a	40
NominalHeatRejectionRate	n/a	n/a	n/a
NominalPowerConsumption	n/a	n/a	n/a
CapacityCurve	n/a	n/a	n/a
CoefficientOfPerformanceCurve	n/a	n/a	n/a
FullLoadRatioCurve	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a
RefrigerantType	HFC-407C	R-410A	R-134a

Covering_CEILING_AcousticalPanelCeilings_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Armstrong	USG	CertainTeed
Model	Tundra - Beveled Tegular - 304 (9/16")	"F" Fissured	Ecophon® Focus™ E High Density Fiberglass Ceilings (3/4")
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	NRC 0.50	NRC 0.70	NRC 0.90
FlammabilityRating	CLASS A	CLASS A	CLASS A
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	25	25	25
Combustible	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
Permeability	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	71	70
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	71	71
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	0	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	1.7	1.7	n/a

Covering_CLADDING_AluminumSiding_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Petersen Aluminum	Centria	Centria
Model	Flush Panel - .032" (Depth 1")	IW-10A - .040" (Depth 1-1/2")	CS-200 - .050" (Depth 7/8")
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	9.7	25.5	25.5
TotalRecoveredContent	92	32.3	32.3
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	10	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Lewisport, KY	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	Cradle to Cradle	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	0.85 (if color selected BONE WHITE)	n/a	n/a

Covering_CLADDING_GypsumBoardAssemblies_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Georgia Pacific	USG	National Gypsum
Model	Tough Rock Fireguard Gypsum Board (5/8")	SHEETROCK® Brand Gypsum Panels - firecode core (5/8")	Gold Bond e2XP Extended Exposure Interior Extreme (5/8")
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	0	0	n/a
FragilityRating	0	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	15	n/a	0
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FASLE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	0	n/a	0
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	GreenGuard
Emissions	n/a	n/a	none
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	0.56	n/a	0.5

Covering_CLADDING_Sheathing_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Georgia Pacific	Georgia Pacific	Georgia Pacific
Model	BLUE RIBBON OSB	Plytanium Plywood	Dens Glass Sheathing
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	Class III or C	Class III or C	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	1	1	0
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	76-200	76-200	0
Combustible	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	0.2
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	91.1
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	Acme, TX; San Marcos Island, Mexico; Port Hawkesbury, Nova Scotia; Ft. Dodge, IA; St. George, UT; Sweetwater, TX; Centralia, WA; Wheatfield, IN
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	Sustainable Forestry Initiative, NAHB Research Center	Sustainable Forestry Initiative, NAHB Research Center	n/a
Emissions	none	none	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	0.77	0.77	0.67

Covering_FLOORING_CeramicTiling_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Daltile	StonePeak	StonePeak
Model	Willow Branch SD92	THESTANDARD - Beige (Porcelain)	PARKLAND - Acadia (Porcelain)
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sealants	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	17.6	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	37.4	28	97
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Monterrey, Mexico	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	GreenGuard	GreenGuard
Emissions	none	none	none
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_FLOORING_ResilientTileFlooring_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	MANNINGTON	ARMSTRONG	JOHNSONITE
Model	Essentials (VCT)	Standard Excelon Imperial Texture (VCT)	Space
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	not_defined	Class 1	Class 1
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	not_defined	Class 1	Class 1
FragilityRating	not_defined	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	not_defined	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	0
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	1	35
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	0
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Salem, NJ	Jackson, MS	Imported
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	SCS	SCS	SCS
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_FLOORING_SheetCarpeting_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Mohawk (Broadloom)	Atlas (Broadloom)	Shaw (Broadloom)
Model	0	EP52 Bamboo	core 5A178 - alterNature - balsa 78103
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
MaterialsYarn	EverStrand Revive Polyester	nylon type 6,6	Eco Solution Q Nylon
FireRating	n/a	Class 1	Class 1
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	10	11.4
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	10	20.5
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	MBDC Cradle to Cradle, NSF 140; CRI green label plus; LEED
Emissions	n/a	n/a	none
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
MaterialsBacking	n/a	n/a	n/a
VaporPermeability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Adhesives	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_FLOORING_TileCarpeting_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Atlas	InterfaceFlor	Patcraft
Model	Millot	Cubic Colors	Flex and Yield
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
MaterialsYarn	nylon type 6,6	Type 6 Nylon	Nylon 6
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	Class 1	Class 1	Class I
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	Class 1	Class 1	Class I
Combustible	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	0	4	11.4
TotalRecoveredContent	24	56	44
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	0
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Los Angeles, CA 90040	LaGrange, GA 30240	USA
CertifiedContent	yes	yes	yes
CertificationType	NSF	NSF-140	Cradle to Cradle, NSF 140
Emissions	n/a	none	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
MaterialsBacking	Polyurethane cushion tile backing system	GlasBac Tile	Non-woven Synthetic and EcoWorx® Tile
VaporPermeability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Adhesives	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_FLOORING_WoodStripandPlankFlooring_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	ARMSTRONG	MANNINGTON	SHAW
Model	5" - Century Farm Maple	5" - American Maple	3.25" Maple CA223
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Materials	7-ply_engineered_wood	5-ply_engineered_wood	3-ply_engineered_wood
WoodSpecies	Maple	Maple	Maple
WoodSpeciesNote	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	Class 1	Class 1
AcousticRating	n/a	less than 50	n/a
FlammabilityRating	1	Class 1	Class 1
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	Class 1	Class 1
Combustible	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	USA
CertifiedContent	unknown	unknown	yes
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	Cradle to Cradle, Greenguard
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_INSULATION_BlanketInsulation_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 3 Value	Product 2 Value
Manufacturer	Certaiteed	Owens Corning	Bonded Logic Inc
Model	Unfaced CertaPro™ Commercial AcoustaTherm™ Batts -5.5"	ProPink FastBatt Insulation - Kraft Faced	Unfaced UltraTouch Denim Insulation - 5.5"
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	0	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	51	57
FlammabilityRating	Class 1	0	Class 1
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	Class 1	n/a	Class 1
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	20	80
TotalRecoveredContent	35	50	80
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	70
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	Cotton
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	70
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	Cotton
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	Brownsville, TX
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	Toledo, OH	Chandler, AZ
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	Greenguard	SCS, Greenguard	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	21	19	21
Carcinogenic	0	Group 3	n/a
FormaldehydeFree	no	n/a	n/a

Covering_INSULATION_BoardInsulation_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Atlas	OwensCorning	Firestone
Model	Thermal Star Rigid - Expanded Polystyrene EPS (closed-cell)	FOAMULAR Extruded Polystyrene XPS (closed-cell)	RESISTA Polyisocyanurate (closed-cell)
Adhesives	n/a	n/a	n/a
AdhesivesNote	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	2	1	1
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	2	1	1
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	5	1
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	0	0	0
TotalRecoveredContent	up to 100	20	5
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	SCS	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	3.9 / inch	5 / inch	6 / inch
Carcinogenic	n/a	n/a	Class 3
FormaldehydeFree	n/a	yes	yes

Covering_INSULATION_PolymerBasedExteriorInsulationandFinishSystem_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Senergy	Dryvit	Sto Corp.
Model	Senerflex Classic PB	Outsulation X System	StoTherm Lotusan NExT
BaseCoat	100% acrylic base coat, field-mixed with Portland cement	liquid polymer-based material, which is field mixed with Portland cement intended for high moisture areas	Sto BTS Plus—one-component polymer modified cement based high build base coat with less than 33 percent portland cement content by weight
FinishCoat	100% acrylic resin finish; air cured, compatible with Base Coat	Water based, 100% acrylic finish containing 20% by volume post consumer recycled content and formulated with DPR chemistry	Stolit@Lotusan@ —Acrylic based textured wall coating with Lotus-Effect®, pronounced self-cleaning performance.
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	CLASS A	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	18.97	20	28.3
Carcinogenic	n/a	n/a	n/a
FormaldehydeFree	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_MEMBRANE_AirBarriers_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	GRACE	PROSOC	HOHMANN BARNARD
Model	PERM-A-BARRIER ALUMINUM WALL MEMBRANE - air and moisture barrier - SELF ADHERED	R-GUARD - Air and moisture barrier - FLUID APPLIED	Textroflash Liquid
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	none
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_MEMBRANE_EthylenePropyleneDieneMonomerRoofing_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	CARLISLE	FIRESTONE	JOHNS MANVILLE
Model	Sure-Seal Dusted Non-Reinforced - Fully adhered	RubberGard Low Slope Fire Retardant	JM EPDM Nonreinforced Roofing Membrane - 90 FR
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Membrane	45 mils non-reinforced	60 mils non-reinforced	90 mils non-reinforced
Ballast	n/a	n/a	n/a
CoverBoardOverInsulation	notedefined	notedefined	notedefined
Insulation	notedefined	notedefined	notedefined
InsulationProfile	notedefined	notedefined	notedefined
InsulationSubstrateBoard	notedefined	notedefined	notedefined
VaporRetarder	notedefined	notedefined	notedefined
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	0.03	0	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Carlisle, PA and Greenville, IL	Prescott, AR	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_MEMBRANE_Painting_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	PPG	Benjamin Moore	Sherwin-Williams
Model	OLYMPIC® Premium Interior Oil Based Wood Stain 44500	Aura Waterborne Interior Paint - Matte Finish (522)	Harmony® Interior Acrylic Latex - Semi-Gloss
Sustainability	0	0	0
ApplicationSurface	interior_unfinished_wood_surfaces	n/a	n/a
PrimaryCoatingType	Alkyd / Oil Resin	100% Acrylic	Latex
PrimaryPaintSystem	n/a	two_finish_coats	primer_plus_two_finish_coats
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	2	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	0	0	0
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	Green Promise	GreenSure
Emissions	240 g/L	VOC 50 g/L	VOC 0 g/L
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
Conductivity	0	n/a	n/a
Density	7.84 lb/gal	10.0 - 12.1 (lbs/gal)	10.51 lb/gal
SpecificHeat	0	n/a	n/a

Covering_ROOFING_AsphaltShingles_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	OWENS CORNING	GAF	CERTAIN TEED
Model	DURATION SHINGLE - ESTATE GRAY	COOL WEATHERED WOOD	LANDMARK SOLARIS PLATINUM - COSTAL TAN
FireRating	CLASS A	CLASS A	CLASS A
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exposure	5 - 5/8"	5-5/8"	5 5/8"
EnergyStar	no	yes	yes
SolarReflectanceFront	n/a	0.26	0.4

Covering_ROOFING_SheetMetalRoofing_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	PAC-CLAD	FIRESTONE	ATAS
Model	TITE-LOC STANDING SEAM - PRE-FINISHED ALUMINUM - ALMOND	UC-3 STANDING SEAM -PRE-FINISHED ALUMINUM	FLR154 - 2" Field-Lok Panel - PREFINISHED ALUMINUM - SANDSTONE
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	CLASS A	CLASS A	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	ASTM E 84
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	1.6	1.6
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	51.5	51.5
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Elk Grove Village, IL	Anoka, MN College Park, GA Morrisville, PA Las Vegas, NV Warren, MI	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Covering_ROOFING_VegetatedProtectedMembraneRoofing_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	XeroFlor	Roof Meadow	Firestone
Model	XF300+XT green roof system - extensive	Type III - extensive	Skyscape Vegetative Roof System - Intensive
Sustainability	n/a	0	0
Membrane	polyethylene_20_mil	as_selected	Fully_Adhered_EPDM
Ballast	n/a	n/a	n/a
CoverBoardOverInsulation	n/a	n/a	ISOGARD_HD_cover_board
Insulation	n/a	n/a	ISO_95+
InsulationProfile	n/a	n/a	n/a
GrowingMedium	as_selected	as_selected	as_selected
PlantMaterials	extensive	extensive	intensive
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlammabilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FragilityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	0	0	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	0
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	1
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	organic_mulch	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_AluminumFramedEntrancesandStorefronts_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	US Aluminum	Kawneer	Tubelite
Model	Storefront Series 400 - Center Glazed	Storefront Trifab® VersaGlaze® 451/451T	Storefront 14000 Series
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Glazing	as_selected	as_selected	as_selected
GlazingColor	as_selected	as_selected	as_selected
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	32
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	0.6
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

CurtainWall_USERDEFINED_GlazedAluminumCurtainWalls_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	US Aluminum	Kawneer	Tubelite
Model	Series 2100 Curtain Wall	1600 Wall System 5	400 Series Curtainwall
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
PrimaryComponents	extruded_aluminum_framing	extruded_aluminum_framing	extruded_aluminum_framing
Materials	ther	therma	0
Glazing	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlazingColor	as_selected	as_selected	as_selected
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Door_DOOR_AluminumDoorsandFrames_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	US Aluminum	Kawneer	Tubelite
Model	Durafront Series 800 Medium Stile Door	0	Thermal Block
ConstructionType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Manufacturers	n/a	n/a	n/a
Materials	n/a	n/a	aluminum_extrusions_ast m_b_221_6063_t5_alloy
DoorType	glazed_aluminum	glazed_aluminum	glazed_aluminum
Glazing	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Infiltration	.06 cfm	.06 cfm	.3 cfm
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	0.62	0.651
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireExit	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
SmokeStop	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	0.8
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Door_DOOR_FlushWoodDoors_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Algoma Hardwoods Inc	Algoma Hardwoods Inc	Jeld-Wen
Model	FSC UF Free PC-5	3/4 Hour UF Free	Custom Wood All Panel Exterior Door - W42H
ConstructionType	0	0	0
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Glazing	n/a	n/a	n/a
Frames	wood	wood	wood
FaceMaterials	birch_veneer	birch_veneer	solid pine
FireRating	0	3/4 hour	0
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SecurityRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	n/a
Infiltration	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireExit	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
SmokeStop	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	0	0	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	0.12	0.16	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	varies	varies	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	0.83	0	n/a
ManufactureLocation	Algoma, WI	Algoma, WI	n/a
CertifiedContent	yes	yes	n/a
CertificationType	FSC	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
CoreConstruction	lumber_core	lumber_core	n/a
Adhesive	n/a	n/a	n/a

Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	CECO	CECO	CECO
Model	Regent	Legion	Imperial
ConstructionType	Hollow Metal	Hollow Metal	Hollow Metal
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Glazing	n/a	n/a	n/a
DoorMaterialsInterior	20 gauge steel face sheet	18 gauge steel face sheet	16 gauge steel face sheet
FrameMaterialsInterior	16 gauge steel	16 gauge steel	16 gauge steel
FrameType	Standard Frame	Standard Frame	Standard Frame
FireRating	20 min, 45 min, 1 hour, 1 1/2 hour, or 3 hour	20 min, 45 min, 1 hour, 1 1/2 hour, or 3 hour	20 min, 45 min, 1 hour, 1 1/2 hour, or 3 hour
AcousticRating	Meet ANSI standar S12.20-2002 (STC)	Meet ANSI standar S12.20-2002 (STC)	n/a
IsExternal	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
Infiltration	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireExit	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE
SmokeStop	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	22.9% Doors, 23.6% frames.	22.9% Doors, 23.6% frames.	22.9% Doors, 23.6% frames.
TotalRecoveredContent	26.1% Doors, 26.9% frames.	26.1% Doors, 26.9% frames.	26.1% Doors, 26.9% frames.
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	1	1	1
ManufactureLocation	Milan, TN	Milan, TN	Milan, TN
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	No VOC	No VOC	No VOC
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Door_DOOR_HollowMetalDoorsandFrames_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
DoorMaterialsExterior	20 gauge steel face sheet	18 guage steel face Sheet	16 gauge steel face sheet
FrameMaterialsExterior	16 gauge steel	16 gauge steel	16 gauge
FrameConstruction	Knocked_down or set up and welded	Knocked_down or set up and welded	Knocked_down or set up and welded
CoreMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
CoreConstruction	non-insulated honeycomb	insulated polystyrene	insulated polyurethane
Louvers	n/a	n/a	n/a
LouverFireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SmokeRating	n/a	n/a	n/a

ElectricDistributionBoard_DISTRIBUTIONBOARD_DistributionPanel_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	GE Industrial Solutions	Schneider Electric	Siemens Industry, Inc.
Model	-	-	-
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a

ElectricDistributionBoard_SWITCHBOARD_DistributionPanel_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Siemens Industry, Inc.	GE Industrial Solutions	Schneider Electric
Model	0	Spectra Series Switchboard AV25000A	Power-Style Commercial Multi- Metering
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a

Fan_CENTRIFUGALAIRFOIL_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	AIR CONTROL INDUSTRIES	TWIN CITY FANS and BLOWERS	Industrial Air Technology Corp.
Model	Centrifugal Fan VBL5	BAF-DW	AF Airfoil Single Wide
NominalAirFlowRate	165	n/a	2000
NominalTotalPressure	1.6	n/a	2.5
NominalStaticPressure	1.5	n/a	n/a
NominalPowerRate	n/a	n/a	n/a
EfficiencyCurve	n/a	n/a	n/a
FractionOfMotorHeatToAirStream	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	Minneapolis, Minnesota	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a
FanEfficiency	n/a	n/a	n/a
AirOutput	n/a	n/a	n/a

Footling_PAD_FOOTING_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Lehigh Hanson	Cemex	Holcim
Model			
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Footings_STRIP_FOOTING_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Lehigh Hanson	Cemex	Holcim
Model			
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Lamp_FLUORESCENT_Lamp_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	GE	Philips	OSRAM Sylvania
Model	10322 - F32T8XLSPX41HLEC	F28T5/850 HE EA 25W	20919 - T5 PENTRON
LampType	linear fluorescent	fluorescent	fluorescent
LampBallastType	electronic	electronic	electronic
LampCompensationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	TCLP	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a
LampEfficacy	97	n/a	n/a
MercuryContent	low	1.4	n/a

LightFixture_DIRECTIONSOURCE_LightFixture_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	ACCULITE	SELUX	COOPER LIGHTING - METALUX
Model	T5H0-454	M125 -2T5	2AC LED - 2X4
LampType	T5 FLOURESCENT	T8 FLOURESCENT	LED
MaximumPlenumSensibleLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
MaximumSpaceSensibleLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
SensibleLoadToRadiant	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalWattage	233 W	75 W	45 W
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	unknown
LuminaireEfficacy	n/a	n/a	FL75 LPW
LuminaireEfficiency	0.9	0.68	0.879
BallastType	Programmed Start T5H0 Electronic	electronic	electronic
BallastFactor	1	Class P	n/a
BallastAcousticRating	n/a	A	n/a
BacklightUplightGlare	n/a	n/a	n/a
ControlType	Occupancy Sensor	Occupancy Sensor	Occupancy Sensor

Outlet_DATAOUTLET_Outlet_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Cyber Link	Cyber Link	Cyber Link
Model	CAT6 Component Rated Jacks - IC1078L6WH	CAT5E MIG5E+ Keystone Jacks - K52-152/L90/AL	CAT6 Tool-Less Jacks - K64-203/TL/BL
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Outlet_POWEROUTLET_Outlet_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Leviton	Leviton	Leviton
Model	TBR15 - Narrow Body Duplex Receptacle 15 Amp, 125 Volt, NEMA 5-15R	MD820-IGG - Lev-Lok Decora 15 Amp Hospital Grade Straight Blade Isolated Ground Duplex Receptacle	16262 - Industrial Grade 15A/125V Duplex Decora Receptacle
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Outlet_TELEPHONEOUTLET_Outlet_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Leviton	Aspire	Lutron
Model	40215-W	9545-4WS	CA-PJH
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

PipeSegment_RIGIDSEGMENT_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Harvel	Harvel	Harvel
Model	34100 Clear Rigid PVC Pipe	34126 - 3" Clear Rigid Schedule 80 PVC Pipe	34116 - 12" Clear Rigid Schedule 40 PVC Pipe
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
InsulationType	unset	unset	unset

Pump_CIRCULATOR_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	TACO	GRAINGER	GRUNDFOS
Model	GT SERIES PUMP	GRUNDFOS - UP1542-F	ALPHA Pro 25-60 B
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a

SanitaryTerminal_BATH_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Delta	American Standard	Kohler
Model	Victorian Monitor® 18 Series XO Jetted Shower™ Trim	Moments FloWise Bath/Shower Model #: T506.508	Forté multifunction showerhead - K-10240
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	2.5	2	1.75
WaterSense	NO	YES	YES

SanitaryTerminal_SANITARYFOUNTAIN_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Haws	Elkay	Oasis
Model	H1001.8HPS	GreenSpec® Listed High Efficiency Cooler LRPBGRNM28RAK	PG8AC
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	n/a	n/a	n/a
WaterSense	NO	NO	NO

SanitaryTerminal_SHOWER_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	TOTO	DELTA	TOTO
Model	Legato - TS624A	T17230-H2O	Trilogy - TS100AL
ShowerType	Single spray	Single spray	Single spray
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts and others	CSA international	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts, City of Los Angeles, and others
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	2.5 GPM	2.0 GPM	1.75 GPM
WaterSense	No	Yes	Yes

SanitaryTerminal_SINK_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	TOTO	KOHLER	AMERICAN STANDARD
Model	Kiwami Renesse - TL170DD	Bancroft - K-10579	7385.003_V05 - Reliant 3
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts, City of Los Angeles, and others	unknown	unknown
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	2.2 GPM	1.5 GPM	0.5 GPM
WaterSense	no	yes	yes
WaterTemperatureMax	n/a	n/a	n/a
WaterTemperatureMin	n/a	n/a	n/a

SanitaryTerminal_TOILETPAN_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	TOTO	TOTO	TOTO
Model	Promenade - CST423SF(G)	Eco Promenade - CST423EF(G)	Aquia - MS654204MF
Sustainability	0	0	0
ToiletType	Close Coupled	Close Coupled	One-piece
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	IAPMO(cUPC), EPA Watersense, State of Massachusetts , City of Los Angeles, and others	IAPMO(cUPC®), EPA WaterSense, State of Massachusetts, and others
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	1.6	1.28	1.6 / 0.9
WaterSense	No	Yes	Yes

SanitaryTerminal_URINAL_PlumbingFixtures_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	TOTO	TOTO	TOTO
Model	UE930	UT104E	UT105U(V)(G)
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
UrinalType	wall hung	wall hung	wall hung
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts , City of Los Angeles	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts , City of Los Angeles	IAPMO(cUPC), State of Massachusetts , City of Los Angeles
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VolumePerUse	1.0 GPF	0.5 GPF	0.125 GPF
WaterSense	no	no	yes

SwitchingDevice_DIMMERSWITCH_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Leviton	Lutron	Leviton
Model	Renoir-26666-31W	Skylark - S-103P	6602-220
DimmerType	rocker	rocker	rotary
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	UL/CSA	n/a	non-UL
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a

SwitchingDevice_TOGGLESWITCH_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	GRAINGER - CARLING TECHNOLOGIES	GRAINGER - CARLING TECHNOLOGIES	GRAINGER - POWER FIRST
Model	111-16-73	2GK51-73	2VLU1
SwitchActivation	notknown	notknown	notknown
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a

Transformer_VOLTAGE_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	DCACPOWER INVERTERS	Voltage Converters	220 CONVERTERS
Model	VC3000W	MS10G8	THG-20000
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a
EISAEfficiency	n/a	n/a	n/a
TransformerFillType	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a

UnitaryEquipment_AIRHANDLER_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Lennox	Trane	Carrier
Model	TSA240S4D	Performance Climate Changer Air Handler	39L18 AERO
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
HeatLoad	n/a	n/a	n/a
EnergyStar	n/a	n/a	n/a
NominalCoolingCapacity	n/a	n/a	9000
CoolingCapacity	236000	n/a	n/a
Economizer	n/a	n/a	n/a
SupplyFanMotorPower	n/a	n/a	n/a
SupplyFanCapacity	n/a	n/a	n/a
ReturnFanMotorPower	n/a	n/a	n/a
ReturnFanCapacity	n/a	n/a	n/a

Valve_FAUCET_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	American Standard	Kohler	Delta
Model	7500.170 Centerset Lavatory	Sculpted Touchless lavatory faucet - K-13461	Trinsic Single Handle Pull-Down Bar/Prep Faucet Featuring Touch2O® Technology
FaucetType	n/a	n/a	n/a
FaucetOperation	leverhandle	other	n/a
FaucetFunction	mixed	0	0
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
OperatingPressureMaximum	n/a	n/a	n/a

Valve_FLUSHING_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Delta	American Standard	Kohler
Model	81T201HWA-DF - DUAL FLUSH Hard wire FLUSH VALVE	Selectronic FloWise toilet Flush Valve - Battery Powered	WAVE 1.28 gpf exposed toilet flushometer - K-10673
ValvePattern	n/a	n/a	n/a
ValveOperation	n/a	n/a	n/a
ValveMechanism	n/a	n/a	n/a
WorkingPressure	n/a	25	0
FlowCoefficient	n/a	n/a	n/a
FlushingRate	1.6	1.28	1.28
HasIntegralShutOffDevice	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsHighPressure	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
OperatingPressureMaximum	n/a	80	n/a

Valve_ISOLATING_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Honeywell	Buckling Pin Technology	Buckling Pin Technology
Model	V5047A1021/U	Model F-B - Butterfly Valve Type ESV	Model E-B Inline, Ball Valve Type ESV
ValvePattern	two-way	notknown	notknown
ValveOperation	notknown	notknown	notknown
ValveMechanism	notknown	butterfly	ball
WorkingPressure	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
OperatingPressureMaximum	1034	n/a	n/a

Valve_STOPCOCK_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	COLE-PALMER	COLE-PALMER	Merit Medical
Model	WU-30600-06	WU-30600-00	U1SNP
ValvePattern	n/a	n/a	n/a
ValveOperation	n/a	n/a	n/a
ValveMechanism	n/a	n/a	n/a
WorkingPressure	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
OperatingPressureMaximum	0	0	1200

Wall_USERDEFINED_BrickMasonry_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Acme Brick	Belden Brick	Cloud Ceramics
Model	Modular	Utility	King
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	0.41	0.41	0.41
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Wall_USERDEFINED_ConcreteUnitMasonry_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	County Materials	Anchor	Trenwyth
Model	Old World Tumbled - 4X8x16	Standard CMU - 8X8X16	Verastone Plus recycled filled and polished ground face masonry units - 8X8X16
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	1	3
Combustible	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
SurfaceSpreadOfFlame	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	not_defined	0.39	0.416666667
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	VELUX America Inc.	Wasco	Acralight
Model	FIXED CURB MOUNT SKYLIGHT	Pinnacle 300	8484
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Type	single_units_rectangular_shape	single_unit_pyramidal_shape	single_unit_square_shape
Glazing	0	insulated_glass_laminated_inner_lite_heatstrengthened_exterior_lite	acrylic_plastic_clear
PlasticDomeType	notdefined	notdefined	double_dome_sealed
AcousticRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Infiltration	0.04 cfm	0.06 cfm	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
SmokeStop	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
GlassLayers	0	2	2
GlassThickness1	0	3/8"	n/a
GlassThickness2	0	1/4"	n/a
GlassThickness3	n/a	n/a	n/a
FillGas	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlassColorExterior	clear	clear	clear
GlassColorInterior	clear	clear	clear
VisibleLightReflectance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VisibleLightTransmittance	0.52	n/a	n/a
SolarAbsorption	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarReflectance	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	0.26	n/a	n/a
ShadingCoefficient	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittanceSummer	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittanceWinter	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_SKYLIGHT_UnitSkylights_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	YKKap	Traco	Kawneer
Model	YVS 400 TU	TR-9400 (AW-PG55-H)	Series AA®3350 IsoPort™
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Glazing	1" Insulating glass	PPG -SUNGATE 400 (2) Clear + Clear - 3/4" Insulating glass	PPG - SOLARBAN 70XL (2) + Clear - 1" insulating glass
AcousticRating	35 STC	n/a	34 STC
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Infiltration	0.3	0.3	0.3
ThermalTransmittance	0.53	0.52	0.48
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
SmokeStop	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlassLayers	1	2	2
GlassThickness1	.25"	0.25	.25"
GlassThickness2	n/a	.25"	.25"
GlassThickness3	n/a	n/a	n/a
FillGas	n/a	air	argon
VisibleLightReflectance	0.09	0.14	0.12
VisibleLightTransmittance	0.89	0.76	0.64
SolarAbsorption	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarReflectance	7	16	52
SolarTransmittance	77	51	25
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	0.82	0.6	0.27
ShadingCoefficient	0	0.69	0.32
ThermalTransmittanceSummer	0.93	0.31	0.26
ThermalTransmittanceWinter	1.02	0.32	0.28
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_WINDOW_AluminumWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	n/a	n/a	n/a
WaterInfiltration	15 psf	15 psf	n/a
UVTransmittance	0.29	n/a	n/a

Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Pella	Jeld-wen	Serious Energy, Inc.
Model	350 Series - vent with foam insulation	PremiumVinyl Double-Hung - Triple Glaze Low-E 366 Argon	Heavy Commercial 7000 Series - fiberglass Picture (fixed)
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	n/a
Glazing	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating
GlazingColor	clear	clear	clear
AcousticRating	n/a	35	34
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
SecurityRating	n/a	n/a	10
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Infiltration	0.3	n/a	0.01
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	0.38
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	n/a	n/a
SmokeStop	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
GlassLayers	2	3	2
GlassThickness1	5	4.8	n/a
GlassThickness2	5	6.4	n/a
GlassThickness3	n/a	n/a	n/a
FillGas	argon	argon	n/a
GlassColor	n/a	n/a	n/a
VisibleLightReflectance	n/a	n/a	16
VisibleLightTransmittance	48	0.4	56
SolarAbsorption	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarReflectance	n/a	n/a	34
SolarTransmittance	n/a	n/a	25
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	0.27	0.17	0.22
ShadingCoefficient	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittanceSummer	n/a	n/a	0.09
ThermalTransmittanceWinter	n/a	n/a	0.08
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	0	0
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	10	10
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_WINDOW_VinylWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	Hallmark	Energy_Star, Buy_American_Act_Compliance, CARB - Airborne_Toxic_Control_Measure	n/a
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	0	0.3	n/a
WaterInfiltration	4.6	n/a	15
UVTransmittance	n/a	n/a	<1

Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
Manufacturer	Pella	Andersen	Jeld-Wen
Model	Double-hung Designer Series	400 Series Casement - CR155	Siteline EX Clad 1003
Sustainability	n/a	n/a	0
Glazing	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating	insulating_glass_with_low_e_coating
GlazingColor	clear_glass	clear_glass	clear_glass
AcousticRating	30	26	35
FireRating	n/a	n/a	n/a
IsExternal	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Infiltration	0.3	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
GlazingAreaFraction	n/a	0.675324675	0
SmokeStop	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE
GlassLayers	2	2	2
GlassThickness1	2.5	n/a	n/a
GlassThickness2	2.5	n/a	n/a
GlassThickness3	n/a	n/a	n/a
FillGas	none	argon	argon
GlassColor	n/a	n/a	n/a
VisibleLightReflectance	n/a	n/a	n/a
VisibleLightTransmittance	82	73	n/a
SolarAbsorption	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarReflectance	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarTransmittance	n/a	n/a	n/a
SolarHeatGainTransmittance	0.78	0.43	0.28
ShadingCoefficient	0.9	0.5	n/a
ThermalTransmittanceSummer	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalTransmittanceWinter	n/a	n/a	n/a
PostConsumerRecoveredContent	n/a	0	0
TotalRecoveredContent	n/a	4	10
RenewableContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
RenewableMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
BiobasedMaterial	n/a	n/a	n/a
RawMaterialLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a

Window_WINDOW_WoodWindows_US			
Name	Product 1 Value	Product 2 Value	Product 3 Value
RegionalMaterialContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
ManufactureLocation	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertifiedContent	n/a	n/a	n/a
CertificationType	n/a	NFRC, Energy_Star	Energy_Star, Buy_American_Act_Co mpliant
Emissions	n/a	n/a	n/a
SNAP	n/a	n/a	n/a
ThermalResistance	0.5	0.28	0.27
ExteriorCladding	Wood	PVC	aluminum
WaterInfiltration	7.5	n/a	n/a
UVTransmittance	61	n/a	n/a

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> <i>OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) September 2012		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Sustainability Product Properties in Building Information Models				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Kristine K. Fallon, Robert A. Feldman, Julia Greenberger, Gregory R. Williams, Holly J. Genc, Lourdes M. Gonzalez, and Josh Greenfield				5d. PROJECT NUMBER CRADA-07-CERL-02	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Kristine Fallon Assocites, Inc. 11 East Adams Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60603				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Engineer Research and Development Center Construction Engineering Research Laboratory P.O. Box 9005 Champaign, IL 61826-9005				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ERDC-CERL	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) ERDC/CERL CR-12-6	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT <p>There are currently several competing efforts to define and measure sustainability. To date, no single concept of facility sustainability is widely accepted as being definitive because the term is often loosely used to define the particular environmental and social goals of any given sustainability proponent. This work looks beyond an abstract definition of facility sustainability and proposes a set of product properties that can be measured to represent the natural resources consumed to produce and operate facility assets.</p> <p>Development of this set of sustainability properties included a review of prominent tools and systems for assessing facility sustainability, including contributions by industry experts. The project also included analysis of how sustainability product properties may be integrated with Building Information Modeling (BIM) technology to improve US Army facilities. The report includes sustainability properties for 56 building elements, which have been incorporated into building property templates for use in BIM models.</p>					
15. SUBJECT TERMS sustainability, information exchange, product data templates, Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie), Building Information Modeling (BIM)					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 264	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)