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## CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:
$\xrightarrow{\text { Multiply }}$
cubic feet
0.02831685
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1.609347
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Figure 1. Study area location map

# COASTAL RESPONSE TO A DUAL JETTY SYSTEM AT LITTLE RIVER INLET, NORTH AND SOUTH CAROLINA 

PART I: INTRODUCTION

## Purpose

1. The Waterways Experiment Station's (WES) Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) conducted an analysis for the U.S. Army Engineer District, Charleston (SAC) of the monitoring data collected at Little River Inlet, North and South Carolina from 1979 to 1989. The objectives of this analysis were to summarize initial beach and nearshore response to the Little River Inlet navigation project, and assist SAC in developing dredged material management plans. Additionally, the option of opening the weir section of either jetty was evaluated, and recommendations made on continued project monitoring.

## Background

2. Little River Inlet is located on the Atlantic Ocean along the North Carolina-South Carolina border, approximately 23 miles* northeast of Myrtle Beach, South Carolina (Figure 1). The inlet is the ocean entrance to the towns of Little River and Calabash, the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW), and several tidal streams. The back bay serves as a safe coastal harbor for many private, recreational, and commercial fishing boats (US Army Corps of Engineers 1977). Little River Inlet is the only ocean outlet from the AIWW between Shallotte Inlet, NC and Georgetown, SC, a distance of 68 miles.

[^0]3. The inlet is part of the "Grand Strand," an area along South Carolina's northeastern shore consisting of 60 miles of resort beaches. Bird Island, an undeveloped privately-owned area lies to the northeast of the inlet. To the southwest is Waties Island, also privately owned and undeveloped.
4. Historical reviews of Little River Inlet are provided in Seabergh and Lane (1977) and Anders et al. (1990). The first survey of the area in 1735 noted that the inlet was just inside the South Carolina State line (U.S. Army Engineer District, Charleston 1971). Figure 2 indicates that the inlet remained relatively close to the state border (Seabergh and Lane 1977). The farthest known distance from the border was almost one mile west in 1873 (Figure 2). Subsequent shoreline configurations show an easterly migration of the inlet, and a post-1942 widening of the inlet. This increase in width may be due to a larger ebb tidal prism caused by the opening of the AIWW in the late 1930's (Seabergh and Lane 1977). Dynamic changes in the position of the main ebb channel and inlet shoals were historically experienced within the inlet opening. Frequent shifting and migration of the barred channel and extensive sand shoals made the inlet extremely dangerous for navigation. At times, controlling depth in the inlet was 3 ft or less at Mean Low Water (MLW). Due to the instability of the channel, sidecast dredge operations proved ineffective in providing safe navigation through the inlet.
5. Under Section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1965, a project for the improvement and stabilization of Little River Inlet was authorized by Congress in 1972. Preconstruction planning began in 1974, and final plans and specifications were completed in 1980. Construction of a dual jetty system at the inlet began in March 1981 and was completed in July 1983.

## Physical Setting

6. Little River Inlet is located within a geomorphic coastal zone termed the arcuate strand (Brown 1977). Landward,


Figure 2. Historical high water shorelines and inlet locations (Seabergh and Lane 1977)
the strand abuts a mid-Pleistocene beach ridge deposit (Ward and Knowles 1987). The coastline is relatively straight and interrupted by few tidal inlets.
7. Tidal inlet morphology along this portion of the Carolina coast is characterized as mixed-energy (Hubbard et al. 1979) trending toward tide domination (Davis and Hayes 1984). In a mixed-energy inlet, shoals located near the throat are separated by channels of variable depth. Prior to stabilization, the shoals at Little River Inlet were located slightly seaward of the inlet throat.
8. The mean tidal range for this region is 5.0 ft . This range lies within the overlap between the upper end of the microtidal envelope and the beginning of the mesotidal range (Davies 1964). The average significant wave height for the vicinity is approximately 1.8 ft (Jensen 1983). Little River Inlet is somewhat protected from waves generated from the northeast by the Frying Pan Shoals at Cape Fear, NC.
9. Little River Inlet is connected with a marsh area and the AIWW, which in turn is joined to the Waccamaw River. Fresh water inflow from this source averages $1,200 \mathrm{cu} f \mathrm{ft}$ per second, or 53.6 million cu ft per tidal cycle. The total pre-project tidal prism was 505 million cu ft (Seabergh and Lane 1977).

## Project Description

10. The authorized stabilization project provides for an entrance channel 12-ft deep, 3,200-ft long, and 300-ft wide across the ocean bar, and an inner channel, 10-ft deep, 9,050-ft long, and 90-ft wide from the entrance channel to the AIWW. The channel is stabilized by two jetties, with sand transition dikes connecting the structures to the shore. A low weir section was built into each jetty, and then subsequently covered with armor stone (Figure 3 ).
11. Optimum design of the navigation project was determined through the use of a fixed-bed hydraulic model study (Seabergh


Figure 3. Little River Inlet navigation project and vicinity
and Lane 1977). This study examined alignment, length and spacing of the jetties, weir sections, current patterns and magnitudes, sediment movement patterns, effects on the tidal prism, and effects on bay salinities.
12. The two jetties are of typical quarrystone, rubblemound construction. Seven various sizes of stone weighing between 2.5 pounds and 8 tons were used to construct the jetties. The east jetty is approximately $3,300-f t$ long, and the west jetty is approximately 3,800-ft long. Both jetties include a sand dike to anchor the structure to the shore, a weir, and a sand-tight section joining the weir to the sand dike.
13. The hydraulic model study determined that a 1,300-ft weir section at elevation +2.4 ft MLW backed by deposition basins would be the most feasible plan for both jetties. As constructed, this 1,300-ft section was divided into a 650-ft sand-tight section connected to the shore and a 650-ft weir, in order to provide more control of sand overtopping the weir. However, the weirs were subsequently covered with armor units to an elevation of +8 ft MLW. The deposition basins were never dredged.

## Construction and Dredging History

14. The first stone was placed on the east jetty 28 July 1981 and the last one was set on 8 June 1982. Initial dredging of the entrance channel to a 300-ft width and 12 -ft depth was performed between June and July 1982. This dredging effort removed $513,000 \mathrm{cu}$ yds of material from the channel, which was subsequently used to construct the west sand dike. Upon completion of the east jetty, construction equipment was mobilized to Waties Island. Stone placement for the west jetty began in June 1982 and finished in early June 1983.
15. Little River Inlet has been dredged only one time since the initial dredging of the channel. This dredging effort was accomplished between December 1983 and February 1984. The total volume removed from the entrance and inner channels was

264,000 cu yds. Most of this material was placed adjacent to the inner side of the west jetty due to migration of the channel towards the jetty.

## Monitoring Program

16. The SAC began collecting pre-project baseline data at the Little River Inlet project in 1979. A formal monitoring program was initiated by SAC and CERC in 1981. The primary objectives of this program were to evaluate the performance of the jetty system and document its effects on adjacent shorelines.
17. The first phase of the formal monitoring program began in March 1981 and continued through February 1986. A reduced monitoring effort will continue through 1991. The two phases are summarized below.

## Phase I

18. Phase $I$ of the monitoring program consisted of:
a. Beach profiles (quarterly, 58 lines through October 1983, then 48 lines)
b. Inlet hydrographic surveys (quarterly)
c. Aerial photography of shoreline (monthly during and one year after construction, then quarterly)
d. Structural surveys (quarterly)
e. Site inspections (annual, by SAC/CERC personnel)
f. Littoral Environment Observations (LEO) (three sites daily)

## Phase II

19. The reduced monitoring program consisted of:
a. Beach profiles (semi-annual, 48 lines)
b. Inlet hydrographic surveys (semi-annual)
C. Aerial photography of shoreline (semi-annual)
d. Structural surveys (annual)
e. Site inspections (annual, SAC/CERC personnel)
f. Littoral Environment Observations (LEO) (three sites daily)

## PART II: DATA ANALYSIS METHODS AND RESULTS

20. The CERC has analyzed monitoring data collected at Little River Inlet between 1979 and 1989. This chapter briefly describes the data and the analysis methods used in this investigation. Due to the large volume of data, most results are presented in separate appendices. Limitations of the data and results are discussed in each of the respective appendices.

## Beach Profile and Inlet Hydrographic Data

21. Beach surveys were taken along 58 profile lines until October 1983, and 48 lines for the remainder of the program. The profile lines are spaced at 200-ft intervals to approximately 3500 ft from the channel centerline on either side of the inlet (Figure 4). From there, profiles are spaced at 500-ft intervals for a short distance, and then 1000-ft intervals to a distance of about 2.6 miles from the channel centerline. Coverage continues with 5000-ft spacing east to Tubbs Inlet, and west across Hog Inlet to North Myrtle Beach. Starting locations and alignments of the profile lines are provided in Appendix A (Table A-1).
22. Profile data was obtained from SAC and entered into the Interactive Survey Reduction Program (ISRP) (Birkemeier 1984). A description of ISRP, the techniques used to analyze the data, and the plotted results are presented in Appendix A.
23. Hurricane Hugo made landfall on September 21, 1989, just north of Charleston, SC. Post-Hugo profile data (December 1989) at Little River Inlet was plotted separately since the data represents profile changes during an extreme event. Comparison plots were made using surveys from 1988 (Appendix B).
24. Also computed from the profile data were estimations of MLW and Mean High Water (MHW) shoreline change (Appendix C) and calculations of above datum volume changes (Appendix D).
25. The ISRP beach profile and inlet hydrographic survey data for specified dates were input into Radian Corporation's


Figure 4. Beach profile survey lines

Contour Plotting System (CPS-3). Bathymetric contour maps were then generated for annual spring/summer surveys between April 1981 and July 1988 (Appendix E).
26. Shoal and fillet volumes were then computed from the bathymetric maps using CPS-3. Five volume polygons were designated covering the fillets to the west and east of the jetties, a central ebb shoal area, and the shoal areas on the inner side of each jetty (Figure 5). Table 1 and Figures 6 and 7 show the results for each volumetric determination. Additional volume computations were made for the shoal on the inner side of the east jetty (polygon denoted East Inside) to determine potential sources of the shoal's growth. Temporal changes in the shoal size were correlated to changes in other inlet sand bodies that may be sources of sediment supply.

## Historical Shoreline Change Maps

27. Maps delineating the shoreline at various points in time (1873, 1924/26, 1933, 1962/63, 1969/70, and 1983) were prepared by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service (NOS), and the South Carolina Division of Research and Statistical Services (DRSS). These maps were then used by Anders et. al (1990) to analyze changes in shoreline position along the South Carolina coast over the past 150 years.
28. A brief review was made of relative historical information found in Anders et al. (1990). Shoreline change measurements were made for map transects corresponding to ISRP Lines 49 through 53 (see Figure 4), a suspected erosional area on the western end of Waties Island. These ISRP profile lines correspond to survey Stations $81+00 \mathrm{~W}$ to Stations $121+00 \mathrm{~W}$, respectively. In order to avoid potential scale distortions, measurements were made on the original mylars, and not on the maps published with Anders, et al. (1990).
29. Shoreline positions along the transects were digitized using a CALCOMP 9000 system, and shoreline changes between


Figure 5. Polygons used in shoal and fillet volume calculations

Table 1
Shoal and Fillet Polygons
Total Volume, million $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West Fillet } \\ & (1,173,100) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East Fillet } \\ & (1,319,100) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Center Ebb } \\ & (772,500) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East Inside } \\ & (203,700) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West Inside } \\ & (204,900)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1981 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| May 1982 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| July 1982 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| May 1983 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| January 1984 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| May 1984 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| June 1985 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| June 1986 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| July 1987 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| July 1988 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 2.5 |

* $(\quad)=$ area, $y d^{2}$

Total Volume in Polygon (M. yd3)


Figure 6. Ebb shoal and fillet polygon volumes


Figure 7. Inner shoal polygon volumes
historical dates were computed. This process was repeated several times to improve quantitative accuracy. Table 2 and Figure 8 provide historical shoreline change analysis results.

## Aerial Photography

30. Aerial photography, at a scale of 1 in . $=400 \mathrm{ft}$, of Little River Inlet and the adjacent shorelines was collected monthly during and for one year after construction. Aerials were then taken quarterly for the remainder of the first phase of the monitoring program.
31. Mosaics of the spring photography from 1979 to 1988 were constructed (Figures 9a through 9j). Shoreline change measurements from both the full-size photographs and the mosaics were limited to qualitative analyses, since discrepancies within the photography prevented confident quantitative comparison of the shorelines.
32. Aerial photography of Hog Inlet was visually examined relative to changes on the western end of Waties Island. The inlet has historically demonstrated significant shoreline changes on this portion of Waties Island (Anders et al. 1990). The position of the inlet thalweg and volume of material contained in the ebb shoals were qualitatively evaluated in relation to the beach profile data collected for this area.

## Wave Refraction Analysis

33. A pre- and post-project refraction analysis was conducted using the numerical model RCPWAVE (Ebersole et al. 1986). The primary objectives of this analysis were to examine the wave climate in the inlet's vicinity and evaluate longshore transport trends, for both pre- and post-jetty conditions.
34. The Wave Information Study (WIS) conducted a $20-y r$ wave hindcast study for the Atlantic coastlines (Jensen 1983). Phase III WIS data from Station A3108, Sunset Beach, was used along

Table 2
Historical Shoreline Change Measurements
(Taken from Mylars used for NOS/CERC/DRSS maps)

| ISRP LineNo. | Shoreline Change (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Corresponding Station | 1873-1925 | 1925-1934 | 1934-1962 | 1962-1970* | 1970-1983* |
| 54 | 131+00W | -150 | +175 | +110 | E* | E |
| 53 | 121+00W | -180 | +270 | +60 | E | E |
| 52 | 111+00w | -130 | +300 | -50 | -75 | -170 |
| 51 | 101+00w | +30 | +240 | -60 | -100 | -175 |
| 50 | 91+00W | +160 | +230 | -120 | -130 | -175 |
| 49 | 81+00w | +300 | +230 | -250 | E | E |

* Mylars of shorelines were not available, measurements were taken from the published maps (Anders et al. 1990). Because of the difference in source maps, quantitative comparisons of pre- and post-1962 values is cautioned.
** Measurements were not taken, general visual observations were made of the shoreline status ( $\mathrm{A}=$ accreted, $\mathrm{E}=$ eroded, $\mathrm{S}=$ stable)


T-sheets/map from Anders et al. (1990)
Figure 8. Historical shoreline change for map transects corresponding to profiles on Waties Island









with bathymetric data from 1981 (pre-project), 1985, and 1988. A summary of the methods used to run RCPWAVE is given in Appendix $F$. Potential longshore transport computations were then based on equations found in the Shore Protection Manual (1984). The methodology used to calculate sediment transport, along with plots of annual and monthly sediment transport trends for 1981, 1985, and 1988, are also located in Appendix $F$.
35. The grid used for Little River Inlet covers an area 5.7 miles alongshore and 1.2 miles offshore (Figure 10). The grid is dimensioned into 200 cells ( 150 ft wide) along the coast (grid lines $i=1$ to 201, numbered from west to east) by 154 cells ( 75 ft wide) (grid lines $j=1$ to 155 , numbered from shore seaward). The jetties are located approximately between grid nodes i=94 and $i=102$.
36. The procedure used to calculate longshore transport in this analysis is considered more qualitative than quantitative. Due to the assumptions and limitations of the numerical model and methods used, results should be examined as a transport potential or trend over a range of cells. The jetties and local bathymetry in the vicinity of the inlets are not well interpreted by the model. Transport values in the immediate vicinity of these areas should be disregarded.

## LEO Data

37. The LEO program was established by CERC to provide a means of daily monitoring of wave climate in a particular coastal region (Schneider 1981). Visual observations recorded for parameters such as breaking wave height, angle of wave approach, wave period, current direction and speed, and wind information.
38. LEO data was recorded almost daily by observers at three locations; Ocean Isle Beach, NC, Sunset Beach, NC, and Cherry Grove Beach, SC (Figure 11). Since access to both adjacent shorelines is difficult or restrictive, it was


Figure 10. Numerical model grid utilized in RCPWAVE analysis


Figure 11. Littoral Environment Observation (LEO) sites in the vicinity of Little River Inlet
impossible to establish a LEO site in the immediate vicinity of the inlet.
39. The CERC utilizes specially developed computer programs to analyze LEO data and compute statistics of various coastal parameters. LEO data summaries for the stations in the vicinity of Little River Inlet are presented in Appendix G. Included in these summaries are calculations of longshore transport using two different methods; however, these values are considered only qualitative estimates of transport trends at the LEO site (Schneider and Weggel 1980). The LEO data analyzed in this report were examined comparatively to support other data results.

## Longshore Transport Trends

40. Historically, the direction of longshore transport in the vicinity of Little River Inlet has been highly variable making it difficult to define a dominant trend. Sediment transport rates and directions appear to vary both spatially and temporally in the vicinity of Little River Inlet. Local bathymetry and shoreline angle controlled drift reversals are common along the South Carolina coast; especially in the vicinity of tidal inlets.
41. A pre-project survey report (US Army Corps of Engineers 1977) estimated a gross transport rate of $300,000 \mathrm{cu} y \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{yr}$ with both northeastward and southwestward moving drift balanced at 150,000 cu yd/yr. This estimation was based on maintenance dredging records at sites such as Georgetown Harbor, SC and Masonboro Inlet, NC.
42. Longshore transport estimations made during project design concluded a gross transport rate of $300,000 \mathrm{cu} y d / y r$ with a net transport of $100,000 \mathrm{cu} y d / y r$ to the west (US Army Corps of Engineers 1977). This estimate was based on the geomorphology and historical evolution of the inlet, and on calculations made using wave data and visual observations at Holden Beach, NC, a site located approximately 15 miles to the northeast of Little River Inlet. Although this was the best available data at the time, these calculations are based on limited assumptions. In addition, Mad, Tubbs, and Shallotte Inlets are located between Holden Beach and Little River Inlet, and probably affect the local calculated longshore transport rates significantly.
43. Pre-project longshore transport analyses for Little River Inlet were also conducted in 1979 and 1980 at the Waterways Experiment Station for the US Army Engineer Division, South Atlantic. Based on hindcast wave climatology for three years (US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, unpublished) and
preliminary Wave Information Study data (Corson and Resio, unpublished), both analyses showed this to be an area with extremely variable transport; but, with a slight net transport to the northeast. An additional analysis conducted by CERC in 1984 (Pope, unpublished) using WIS data (Jensen 1983), also concluded a net northeasterly transport for Phase III stations A3108 (Sunset Beach, NC), A3109 (Crescent Beach, SC), and A3110 (Myrtle Beach, SC).
44. Due to inconsistent longshore transport information, the RCPWAVE analysis presented in Appendix $F$ was conducted to specifically examine transport trends for the pre- and postproject conditions. Determination of longshore transport trends assisted with the examination of beach and nearshore response to the project, and in the evaluation of the weirs of both jetties.
45. Pre-project RCPWAVE results show an overall dominance of longshore sediment transport to the northeast on Waties Island and a slightly less dominant transport to the northeast on Bird Island. Transport on Bird Island is sometimes variable and appears, on occasion, to be opposite to the dominant trends. These reversals tend to occur in the vicinity of Mad and Tubbs Inlets, and are not considered representative of the regional trend of longshore sediment transport.
46. Post-project RCPWAVE analysis results continue to show a general northeasterly longshore transport trend. Figure 12 is a typical plot showing this northeasterly transport trend. Again, transport values should be examined as a qualitative potential or trend over a range of cells. Analysis results also indicate that minor seasonal (September-November) reversals to the southwest may occur on occasion. These reversals may be caused by seasonal waves encountering different shoreline orientations caused by the growth of the west fillet on Waties Island. Geographical variations such as a bulge in the shoreline or change in shoreline angle can cause localized transport reversals by transforming the incoming waves.

NET SEDIMENT TRANSPORT FOR APRIL

47. Methodologies used to quantify longshore sediment transport have been inconclusive. From the RCPWAVE results, fillet volumes, LEO summaries, and other pre-jetty analyses of littoral transport conducted by WES in 1979, 1980 and 1984, there is strong evidence that longshore transport is variable; but, slightly dominant to the northeast. The collection of inshore, directional wave gauge data would improve longshore transport information.

## Shoreline Response

48. Beach response to the Little River Inlet jetties was examined through the analysis of beach profiles, bathymetric contour maps, and aerial photography. Due to the large amount of data, overall trends were examined initially. Specific areas were then examined to define trends in more detail.
49. It should be noted that the study area was examined with a data set of beach profiles spanning over an 8 year period. In addition to the construction of a navigation project within this 8 year period, the presence of 4 tidal inlets within less than 7 miles of shoreline (Tubbs, Mad, Little River and Hog Inlets), makes this study area especially vulnerable to cyclic trends and short-term fluctuations. An estimate of the longterm, equilibrium shoreline and rates of change at this point would most likely be premature, and is difficult to separate from the short-term "noise" and initial responses due to jetty construction. Therefore, overall trends and coastal responses to the jetties are examined, without quantitative rates of change or future extrapolations.

## Bird Island

50. The Bird Island shoreline between the east jetty and Mad Inlet exhibited an overall accretion of between 50 and 100 $f t$, and the profiles appear to have steepened slightly since jetty construction. This section of shoreline accreted steadily
until middle to late 1984, and then either remained relatively stable or eroded slightly. This initial accretion could be due to the attachment of a portion of the pre-jetty ebb delta, onshore migration of the offshore bar due to wave sheltering by the jetties, and/or stabilization of the east sand dike area. The relative stability of this shoreline may also be attributed to wave sheltering by the jetties and the variability of littoral transport in the Bird Island vicinity.
51. The portion of shoreline between Mad and Tubbs Inlets appears also to have accreted slightly, but is more variable due to its proximity to both inlets. It should be noted that ISRP Profile Line 9 lies immediately to the west and Profile Line 8 immediately to the east of Mad Inlet, accounting for the often dramatic changes seen on these lines.

## Waties Island

52. The shoreline to the west of the jetties in the vicinity of ISRP profile lines 49 through 53 has previously been identified as a potential area of project-related erosion (Figure 13), with profile line 52 experiencing the worst recession. This area was examined in detail.
53. Historical shoreline change measurements taken along map transects corresponding to ISRP lines 49 through 54 (Survey Stations $81+00 \mathrm{~W}$ through $131+00 \mathrm{~W}$ ) show that the western end of Waties Island has naturally been unstable. Along these profile lines, the shoreline has exhibited an overall erosional trend since 1934 (Table 2 and Figure 8). According to Anders et al. (1990), the northeast side of Hog Inlet (western end of Waties Island) experienced $1,970 \mathrm{ft}$ of accretion from 1873 to 1933/34, over 1,380 ft of erosion through 1969/70, and then accreted 200 ft from 1969/70 through 1983. This area has been historically dynamic in nature, experiencing alternating periods of erosion and accretion, and has exhibited periodic trapping and bypassing of significant quantities of material via Hog Inlet.


Figure 13. Suspected erosion area on Waties Island
54. Analysis of the profile data collected in the monitoring program, also shows a dynamic shoreline on this portion of Waties Island. Shoreline changes for the MLW, +3- and $+5-f t$ (MHW) contours were computed to examine different portions of the profiles. The shoreline does not show a consistent erosional trend; but, appears to experience alternating periods of erosion and accretion (for example, see Figure 14). Each bar in Figure 14 represents the MHW shoreline change for ISRP profile line 52 (Station 111+00W) between the preceding survey date and the date where the bar is plotted. It should be noted that the major shoreline recessions are experienced during the fall and winter seasons. Cumulative shoreline change and above datum volume change plots (Appendices $C$ and $D$ ) for several of the profile lines in this area tend to show a slight cumulative trend of erosion from approximately the winter of 1983 through the winter of 1987 (Figure 15). Although the beach experienced relative stability or periodic recovery during this three year period, it remained in a net eroded state relative to pre-winter 1983 conditions. The shoreline began to experience accretion from 1987 through the last regular survey date in 1988 (the survey in 1989 was post-hurricane). By 1988, the position of the shoreline in this area was approximately the same as the 1981 pre-project shoreline.
55. Tidal inlets strongly influence the dynamics of adjacent beaches and can cause significant fluctuations in these shorelines (Hayes et al. 1974; Fitzgerald et al. 1978; Fitzgerald 1988). Often, these fluctuations are periodic and associated with natural inlet bypassing of sediment. As evidenced by aerial photography and bar movement along the profile lines, the cyclic trapping and bypassing of large quantities of sediment by Hog Inlet, an unstabilized tidal inlet, appears to be significant to the trends of erosion and accretion on the western portion of Waties Island. This portion of the island appears to accrete periodically from the downdrift lobe of the Hog Inlet ebb delta welding to the beach face (Figure 16, also see Figure 9).


Figure 14. Mean high water shoreline change for ISRP Line 52 showing periodic fluctuations of erosion and accretion

## Profile Line 52



Profile Line 53

Cumulative Shoreline Change (ft)


Figure 15. Cumulative shoreline change plots for two profiles on western end of Waties Island


Figure 16. Aerial photo showing ebb tidal delta system at Hog Inlet (February 1984)

Ebb tidal deltas represent a large sand reservoir, and slight changes in the size of the ebb delta can greatly affect the sand supply to nearby beaches (Fitzgerald 1988). From visual observations of aerial photography, wave transformations around the Hog Inlet shoals appear significant, and may also be a factor in the periodic erosion on Waties Island. Wave transformations due to the ebb shoal morphology may create a divergent nodal zone downdrift of Hog Inlet on the western end of Waties Island (possibly in the vicinity of ISRP profile line 52). Nodal zones downdrift of inlets have been observed to be regions of beach erosion (Ashley 1987; Farrell and Sinton 1983; Douglass 1991).
56. Based on an examination of profile data, aerial photography, longshore transport trends, and historical data from Anders et al. (1990), the periodic erosion occurring in this area is more likely due to the dynamic morphology of Hog Inlet and seasonal fluctuations, than due to effects caused by the construction of the Little River Inlet jetties. In most cases, the greatest beach recession is observed after the winter seasons, with periodic recoveries of the beach inbetween. Additionally, there has not been a significant increase in sediment in the updrift fillet on Bird Island. If the jetties were acting as a barrier to sediment supplying the western end of Waties Island, a larger accretion in the east fillet would be observed.
57. The shoreline reach closest to the west jetty (ISRP Lines 33 through 46) accreted dramatically since jetty construction. Most of this accretion is due to the onshore migration and welding of the abandoned (pre-jetty) ebb tidal delta. An additional sediment source for this area was the stabilization of the west sand dike area. These are discussed in the following section on shoal and fillet volumes.
58. Summarizing shoreline change over the study area, Figure 17 shows the net shoreline changes calculated between April 1981 (pre-jetty) through July 1988. Moving from left to right on Figure 17, the plot shows accretion immediately adjacent to Hog Inlet, relatively the same shoreline position on the


Figure 17. Mean high water shoreline change: April 1981 to July 1988.
western end of Waties Island, and then a major accretion in the fillet to the west of the jetties. East of the jetties, the shoreline appears to have accreted approximately 50 to 100 ft overall, with the exception of the profile line at Mad Inlet. This profile line showed major accretion, and is indicative more of a short-term fluctuation (shoal migration). Again, in only a 7 year time period, it is difficult to separate out the shortterm fluctuations and "noise" from the long-term trends; however, this figure gives an indication of the initial shoreline responses experienced since jetty construction. The cumulative plots in Appendix $C$ provide more detailed descriptions of shoreline changes occurring between April 1981 and July 1988.
59. Total volumes of material in the fillets and shoals were computed utilizing the Contour Plotting System. Two areas showing the most accretion were the fillet to the west of the jetties (Figure 6) and the inside jetty shoreline of Bird Island, labeled East Flood (Figure 7).
60. The landward migration of the relict ebb tidal delta and stabilization of the downcoast sand dike are the causes of a major portion of the accretion in the west fillet (see Figure 9d through 9j). Because ebb tidal deltas form due to a balance of tidal and wave forces, confinement of flow between the jetties causes wave dominance of the adjacent pre-jetty ebb tidal delta. Landward bar migration occurs due to wave induced sediment transport. This response of the ebb tidal delta has been observed at other southeast inlets, and is discussed in Hansen and Knowles (1988) and Pope (1991).
61. By 1985, a portion of the abandoned ebb delta which had been trapped between the jetties during construction, had welded onto the western portion of Bird Island inside of the jetties (polygon denoted East Inside). This extent of this sand shoal began to significantly increase from 1987 to 1989. This shoal is probably receiving some sediment deposits from the channel eroding material off of the centrally located flood delta. Additionally, although the jetties have been sand-tightened, a small portion of this increase may be due to sediment passing through or over the jetties. Supplementary volumes were computed for this area in an attempt to determine the sources of this growth, and show that the major volumetric increase is due to the attachment and molding by waves of the old ebb shoal onto this portion of Bird Island. During a field investigation in May 1991, this shoal had developed a significant scarp and appeared to be experiencing erosion due to currents and tidal flow.
62. The dominant direction of littoral drift is to the northeast. With the frequent drift reversals, there still does not appear to be a significant building up the east fillet. If
the jetties were acting as a barrier to sediment supplying the western end of Waties Island, a larger accretion in the east fillet and along would be observed. Aerial photography and supplementary volume calculations indicate that the buildup of the inner shoal within the jetties is mostly due to migration and attachment of a portion of the abandoned ebb shoal. Some of this accretion may be due to wind-blown sand or sand passing from the east fillet through the east jetty; however, this amount is not significant enough to be the major source of sediment for the inside shoal.
63. Examination of volume calculations and hydrographic surveys shows that the ebb tidal delta appears to be slowly rebuilding off of the tip of the east jetty. This shoal is not yet apparent in the aerial photography, and ranges in depth between 8 - to $12-\mathrm{ft}$ below MLW.

## Jetty Scour and Channel Migration

64. Sir ${ }^{\text {F }}$ the jetties were constructed, the channel has meandered and migrated relative to the constructed project channel. Scour holes have formed along the west jetty and at the east jetty tip (Figure 18), possibly due to the migrating channel. The scour hole along the west jetty has been documented to run within 50 ft of the toe of the structure to a depth of 25 ft MLW for approximately $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ (US Army Engineer District, Charleston 1990). The scour hole at the tip of the east jetty is also approximately 20 to 25 ft deep. Comparison of bathymetric contour maps (Appendix E) shows that these scour holes began to develop just after construction was completed. A deep area on the order of 25 to 30 ft also exists further back in the inlet throat near the shoal on the inner side of the east jetty. This scour could possibly be the relict inlet gorge or due to the confluence of the two bifurcating channels that feed the inlet (Kjerve et al. 1979).
65. The SAC is monitoring the erosion and slope steepening at these scour locations in order to evaluate the condition of
and potential risk to the structures. A stability analysis was completed for the west jetty in February 1990. The results indicated an average existing slope of 1 vertical on 2.5 horizontal, with a computed factor of safety of 1.7. The required factor of safety is 1.5 ; corresponding to a minimum acceptable slope of 1 vertical on 2 horizontal. If increased erosion towards the jetty occurs, remedial measures will be required to insure the integrity of the jetty structures (US Army Corps of Engineers 1990).


Figure 18. Locations of scour at the Little River Inlet jetties

## Dredged Material Disposal Options

66. The primary objectives of this analysis were to summarize beach and nearshore response to the Little River Inlet navigation project and assist SAC in developing disposal plans for maintenance material to be dredged from Little River Inlet.
67. From the most recent channel surveys, adequate navigable depths exist in the inlet; however the channel has migrated significantly. Based on depth alone, there does not appear to be a critical need for dredging operations within the inlet. If dredging of the inlet does proceed, several alternatives are available for disposal of the dredged material.
a. Beach nourishment for western portion of Waties Island (ISRP Lines 49 to 53 corresponding to survey stations $81+00$ to $121+00$ West). This analysis determined that the periodic erosion occurring at this section of shoreline was primarily caused by frequent trapping and bypassing of material by Hog Inlet and seasonal fluctuations. Placement of dredged material in this area is not an efficient method for disposal. Due to the dynamic nature of the area, the longevity and stability of the nourishment is at high risk. Due to the dominant northeasterly transport trend, this material may shift downdrift into the west fillet and may ultimately reenter the Little River Inlet channel. Also, dredging costs would be excessive since this area is approximately 2 miles to the west of the channel.
b. Placement of material directly to the east of the jetties on Bird Island. Although the direction of longshore transport in the study area is variable, it is slightly dominant to the northeast. However, the east fillet section of the Bird Island shoreline has in fact showed a net accretion over the entire monitoring period, therefore bypassing of the material or disposal of dredged material in this area does not appear to be necessary. Additionally, adding a significant quantity of material to this section of shoreline may effect the natural processes at Mad Inlet.
c. Placement of material in the scour hole at the east jetty tip and along the inner side of thewest jetty. The SAC performed a similar operation after
the December 1983 dredging of the Little River Inlet channel; however, the material did not remain in the scour hole for very long. This option would be a temporary solution to the scour hole problem; but, would not have great longevity and could cause problems with shoaling in the channel.
d. A redirection or modulation of flow through the channel. The deep area that exists adjacent to the inside jetty shoreline of Bird Island could possibly be a factor in the channel meandering in that direction, and then swinging back along the west jetty. Several alternatives may exist for using the dredged material in an attempt to redirect the channel and alleviate scour along the west jetty. Measurement of currents within the inlet system was conducted in May 1991, and analysis of this data would be required before this alternative could be fully defined. Inlet hydrodynamics may be used to evaluate a more stable position for the channel.
e. Stockpiling of the material. The dredged material can be stored in the sand dike areas for future use.
68. Stockpiling the material inside the jetties on the west side of the inlet (in the sand dike area) is the recommended disposal alternative. This analysis has concluded that there is no immediate need for beach nourishment due to project-related erosion. Since a hydraulic pipeline dredge will be used for this operation, material can easily be pumped into this area and stored for future use if it should ever be required. The potential effects of a dredging operation on the inlet system's stability is further justification to stockpile the sand and continue monitoring the project. This aspect is under additional investigation in Phase II of this analysis.

## Continued Monitoring Efforts

69. Additionally, this analysis examined if any action should be taken to open the weir sections of either jetty. Due to the relative balance in the fillet and shoal system, there do not appear to be any apparent benefits from uncovering either of the weirs at this time.
70. Continued monitoring of the project at a minimum level is recommended to better define the long-term equilibrium response to the jetty construction. Monitoring should include annual beach profiles, annual aerial photography coinciding with the beach surveys, and periodic structural inspections and hydrographic surveys of the inlet. Continuation of the LEO program at the three sites in the vicinity of Little River Inlet is not recommended. Ten years of LEO data have already been collected, providing an adequate database for this type of information.
71. In addition to routine project monitoring, the collection of wave gage data would improve the accuracy of longshore transport information. Tidal current monitoring and delineation of the inlet hydrodynamics will aid in defining the dynamics of the channel migration and scour problem.

## Continued Analysis

72. Subsequent discussions between SAC, CERC, and U.S. Army Engineer, South Atlantic Division representatives have indicated that the channel migration and jetty scour problems are important project concerns relative to dredging and nourishment operations. Additional analyses of the post-jetty thalweg evolution and stability, relative inlet hydrodynamics, and jetty scour have been recommended and approved by SAC.
73. Phase II of this analysis is to perform a reconnaissance level review of the inlet thalweg stability, and develop recommendations for an inlet maintenance and/or monitoring plan which will assist with the proposed dredging of Little River Inlet. These recommendations will attempt to minimize dredging requirements and maximize inlet stability, in order to reduce or prevent scour-induced damage to the jetties due to natural thalweg migration. The field investigation of tidal currents at Little River Inlet and a side-scan sonar survey were conducted in May 1991. Results of these analyses will be available in a subsequent report.
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APPENDIX A:

## BEACH PROFILES

## APPENDIX A: BEACH PROFILES

1. Beach profile data was obtained from SAC periodically and entered into the Interactive Survey Reduction Program (ISRP). The ISRP is a Fortran program developed by CERC (Birkemeier 1984) which permits interactive reduction, editing, and plotting of field survey notes and the correction of previously entered data. The primary output from ISRP is a two-dimensional distance offshore and elevation data file.
2. The actual baseline survey stations were incorporated into an ISRP numbering system (Table A-1, Figure A-1). The profile data plotted in this appendix is labeled according to the ISRP numbering system. The ISRP also assigns a survey number to each survey date (Table A-2). For example, ISRP profile line 52, survey number 10 corresponds to sta $111+00$, surveyed in April 1983. Table A-3 denotes a number of ISRP line numbers of particular interest.
3. The program STCKPL (Birkemeier, unpublished) was used to plot the ISRP profile data on a VAX computer. The full length of the survey (horizontal scale, $0-6000 \mathrm{ft}$ ) and a windowed section (horizontal scale, $0-2500 \mathrm{ft}$ ) were plotted for each profile line. The STCKPL program takes the data for each profile through time and plots each survey (solid line) with the preceding survey (dashed line). The date is written to correspond with the end of the second survey (solid line).
4. Profile data considered questionable or insufficient were marked with an asterisk on the individual plots. Since there was such a large amount of data, if an entire profile line, portions of the line, or individual data points were considered questionable, the data was removed from the analysis. No smoothing was performed on the profiles. Since noisy fathometer data was frequently encountered, the intention of not smoothing the data was to average out the errors in the volume calculations. This was considered a better alternative than making erroneous assumptions of the smoothed profile.

Table A-1
Little River Inlet Beach Profiles
(East to West)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ISRP } \\ \text { Profile No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Station No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | State Plane Coordinates |  | Bearing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | East |  |
| 1 | 195+62 | 326,626.18 | 2,761,423.21 | S 15 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| 2 | $145+62$ | 325,367.46 | 2,756,597.52 | S $15^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| 3 | 135+62 | 325,094.44 | 2,755,916.89 | S 21 ${ }^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 4 | $125+62$ | 324,497.41 | 2,755,125.62 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 5 | 115+62 | 323,977.29 | 2,754,271.53 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 6 | 105+62 | 323,457.17 | 2,753,417.43 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 7 | $95+62$ | 322,937.05 | 2,752,563.34 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 8 | $85+62$ | 322,416.93 | 2,751,709.25 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 9 | $74+70$ | 321,849.12 | 2,750,776.83 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 10 | 65+62 | 321,376.69 | 2,750,001.06 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 11 | $55+62$ | 320,856.57 | 2,749,146.97 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 12 | $45+67$ | 320,339.13 | 2,748,297.27 | S 31 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 13 | 39+94 | 320,045.52 | 2,747,860.50 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00 \prime$ |
| 14 | $34+94$ | 319,904.49 | 2,747,386.81 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 15* | 32+50 | 319,835.67 | 2,747,152.71 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 16 | 29+94 | 319,763.46 | 2,746,907.11 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime \prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 17* | $27+50$ | 319,694.64 | 2,746,673.01 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 18 | $24+94$ | 319,622.43 | 2,746,427.41 | S 160 $23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 19* | 22+50 | 319,553.61 | 2,746,193.32 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 20 | $19+94$ | 319,481.40 | 2,745,947.71 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 21* | $17+50$ | 319,028.82 | 2,745,826.44 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 22 | $14+94$ | 318,956.60 | 2,745,580.84 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 23* | 12+50 | 318,887.79 | 2,745,346.75 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 24 | 9+94 | 318,815.58 | 2,745,101.14 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 001$ |
| 25 | $8+00$ | 318,760.86 | 2,744,915.02 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\circ} 000$ |
| 26 | $6+00$ | 318,704.45 | 2,744,723.14 | S $16^{\circ} 23^{\circ} 001$ |
| 27 | 4+00 | 318,648.03 | 2,744,531.26 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00 \prime$ |
| 28 | $2+00$ | 318,591.62 | 2,744,339.32 | S 16 $6^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 001$ |

(Continued)

* Profile line deleted after October 1983.

Table A-1 (Concluded)


[^1]Table A-2
Little River Inlet, SC
Beach Profile Survey Dates

| Survey Date | ISRP Survey Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| April 1981 | 2 |
| July 1981 | 3 |
| October 1981 | 4 |
| January 1982 | 5 |
| May 1982 | 6 |
| July 1982 | 7 |
| October 1982 | 8 |
| January 1983 | 9 |
| April 1983 | 10 |
| July 1983 | 11 |
| October 1983 | 12 |
| January 1984 | 13 |
| April 1984 | 14 |
| August 1984 | 15 |
| October 1984 | 16 |
| June 1985 | 17 |
| October 1985 | 18 |
| January 1986 | 19 |
| June 1986 | 20 |
| July 1987 | 21 |
| February 1988 | 22 |
| July 1988 | 23 |
| December 1989 | 25 |

Table A-3
Notation of Atypical Profile Lines

ISRP Profile No. Description

1
8
9
25-33

29
55

Immediately adjacent to Tubbs Inlet
Immediately east of Mad Inlet
Immediately west of Mad Inlet
Immediately adjacent to and across Little River Inlet channel and jetties Little River Inlet channel centerline

Immediately east of Hog Inlet












































APPENDIX B:
POST-HUGO BEACH PROFILES

## APPENDIX B: POST-HUGO BEACH PROFILES

1. The post-Hugo survey data (December 1989) was plotted separately since the survey data was collected after an extreme event, as opposed to a representative survey of beach response to the jetties.
2. Similar to the data in Appendix A, the post-Hugo profile data was entered into the Interactive Survey Reduction Program. After correction of suspected erroneous points, the data was plotted using a Turbo-Pascal program. Comparison plots were made using the February 1988 survey; except for ISRP Profile Lines 1, 8, 9, 25-28, 45, and 56 which were compared with the July 1988 survey (due to insufficient data in the February 1988 surveys). Profile Line 46 was dropped because of questionable data on the post-Hugo survey.





































APPENDIX C:

## CUMULATIVE SHORELINE CHANGE

## APPENDIX C: CUMULATIVE SHORELINE CHANGE

1. Mean Low Water (MLW) and Mean High Water (MHW) shoreline position data from the beach profile surveys were used to calculate shoreline change for each profile line. Changes were calculated between successive surveys through time, and were then added cumulatively. Because of the short time period between surveys, shoreline change was examined in units of feet, and not feet/year. Again, profile data that was considered insufficient was removed from the analysis.
2. The data was plotted as cumulative MHW and MLW shoreline change for each ISRP profile line. Shoreline change was computed for ISRP profile lines 2 through 24 and 36 through 55. Profiles between 25 and 35 were omitted since they are taken along the channel between the jetties, or are immediately adjacent to the jetty, and do not provide an accurate measurement of natural beach change.
3. Some of the plotted results in the west fillet area show large variations in the shoreline. These are generally evident of the construction of the west sand dike and of the old ebb shoal welding onto this portion of the beach. The profiles adjacent to Little River, Tubbs, Mad, and Hog Inlets also tend to show large and erratic changes.
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## APPENDIX D:

ABOVE-DATUM VOLUME CHANGE

## APPENDIX D: ABOVE-DATUM VOLUME CHANGE

1. The program VOLUME-PC was used to calculate above- and below-datum volume changes along each profile line. VOLUME-PC is a program for processing beach and nearshore survey data on an IBM compatible microcomputer, and is a complementary program to ISRP-PC and ISRPSORT.
2. The "shoreline" is defined as the horizontal intercept of the profile data with the datum (in this case, MLW). Although the program actually computes changes in cross-sectional area, changes are presented as volumes based on a uniform length of beach ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ ). These volumes were then linearly interpolated by multiplying over a normalized distance interval of 250 ft to produce a volume in $y^{3}$ over that "cell."
3. Similar to the shoreline change plots, volume changes were calculated between successive surveys for each profile line through time. Plots were made for cumulative above datum volume changes. Below datum volume changes were computed; however, due to insufficient offshore data on a large number of profile lines, these results were not considered in the final analysis.
4. Again, some of the plotted results in the west fillet area show dramatic increases in volume, which are generally evident of the construction of the west sand dike and of the old ebb shoal welding onto this portion of the beach. The profiles adjacent to Little River, Tubbs, Mad, and Hog Inlets also tend to show large and erratic volume changes due to dynamic inlet morphologies.
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| $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
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#### Abstract

APPENDIX F: NUMERICAL MODEL METHODOLOGY AND LONGSHORE TRANSPORT PLOTS (Pages F12-F23 represent pre-project longshore transport plots, 1981 bathymetry; pages F24-F36 represent post-project longshore transport plots, 1985 bathymetry; pages F37-F49 represent postproject longshore transport plots, 1988 bathymetry.)


APPENDIX F: NUMERICAL MODEL METHODOLOGY AND LONGSHORE TRANSPORT PLOTS

## Selection of Wave Inputs to RCPWAVE Model

1. Selection of wave height, period, and incident angle to define the wave climate in the numerical model RCPWAVE is crucial to obtaining satisfactory results from the program. This appendix describes the rationale used in this study for selection of these criteria.
2. A wave hindcast study has been conducted for the US coastlines through the Wave Information Study (WIS) for the 20-yr period from 1956 to 1975 (Jensen 1983). Using barometric information, the program determined both seas and swells at three-hour intervals at several deepwater locations, then brought the waves shoreward to the a depth of $10 \mathrm{~m}(32.81 \mathrm{ft})$. A separate nearshore station was determined for each $10-\mathrm{mi}$ stretch of shoreline along the Atlantic coast. Station A3108 is the Atlantic coast nearshore station for Sunset Beach on the northeast side of Little River Inlet (Figure $\mathrm{F}-1$ ); inputs to RCPWAVE were determined from WIS data for station A3108.
3. At each $3-\mathrm{hr}$ interval, WIS provides the significant wave height, period, and incident angle relative to the shore of both the seas and the swell. Thus, for the 20 years of the study there are 58,480 wave conditions for seas, plus 58,480 wave conditions for swell, for a total of 116,960 wave conditions. Because time and cost considerations dictate that only a limited number of wave cases could be run through RCPWAVE, it was necessary to select wave conditions that would produce representative results.
4. A common means of selecting representative wave conditions is to group the data into bands of wave height, period, and angle, determine the percent occurrence of waves falling within the bands, and select the midpoint of the band as representative of those waves. For example, for WIS station


Figure F-1. Locations of Phase III stations for shallow-water wave information along the Atlantic Coast, region 4 (Jensen, 1983)

A3108, 2.061 percent of the waves were predicted to have an angle between 30 and 59.9 degrees with a wave height between 0.50 and 0.99 m , and a period between 7.0 and 7.9 sec (Jensen 1983). Information of this type has been compiled and is presented in Jensen (1983) for the Atlantic coast WIS stations. This listing provides data based on 6 ranges of wave approach angle, 11 ranges of wave height, and 10 ranges of wave period for a total of 660 bands, with waves at station A3108 occurring in about one-third of the bands. This information may then be grouped into larger bands to reduce the number of wave conditions to input into RCPWAVE.
5. While banding of this type is very useful for wave data, it cannot used for sediment transport calculations without biasing the results. As an example, consider using the wave banding to determine wave energy. As wave energy is a function of wave height squared, using the midpoint of a band would underestimate wave energy from the larger waves in the band. While wave energy from smaller waves would be overestimated, calculated energy for the band (assuming an even distribution of wave heights within the band) would always be too low.
6. While it is possible to group wave data based on the square of the wave height to eliminate this bias, sediment transport is a function of the energy flux in the surf zone. This is considerably more complex than a simple function of wave height squared, and includes a function of wave period and angle of incidence. Thus bias is induced in the sediment transport calculations by banding of wave heights, periods, or incident angles. As an added complication, banding typically assumes a uniform distribution across the band, which will seldom be the case in practice.
7. In an attempt to minimize the potential bias, an alternate means of selecting the wave inputs to RCPWAVE was employed. The potential sediment transport for each of the 116,960 wave conditions was determined using standard equations, assuming straight and parallel bottom contours. Wave conditions were then determined that reproduced the average transport rates.

Wave information was then grouped based on potential sediment transport rather than wave height, period or angle. Selected wave conditions were then entered into RCPWAVE to determine the effects of the actual bathymetry in the area. In this manner a reasonable number of inputs for RCPWAVE were determined while minimizing any inherent bias.
8. The first step was to determine the potential sediment transport for each of the 116,960 wave conditions using equations in the Automated Coastal Engineering System (ACES) (Leenknecht and Szuwalski 1990) and in the Shore Protection Manual (1984). These equations solve for the energy flux factor at the surf zone based on known breaking or deepwater significant wave conditions, then determine the longshore transport rate based on an empirical equation with the energy flux factor. Derivation of the equations may be found in either of these references and will not be repeated here, but the equations themselves are written below for reference.
a. Energy flux factor based on breaking wave conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{ls}}=(\rho \mathrm{g} / 16) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sb}}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{gb}} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. Energy flux factor based on deepwater wave conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1 s}=(\rho g / 16) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{so}}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{gb}} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{0}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

c. Longshore sediment transport rate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ls}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1 s}$ is the energy flux factor, $\rho$ is the mass density of water, $g$ is the acceleration of gravity, $H_{s b}$ is the significant breaking wave height, $C_{g b}$ is wave group celerity at breaking, $\alpha_{b}$ is the angle of wave advance at breaking, $H_{s o}$ is the significant deepwater wave height, $\alpha_{0}$ is the angle of
deepwater wave advance, $Q$ is the potential sediment transport rate, and $K$ is an empirical coefficient. In non-SSI units, $K$ is equal to 7500 ( $\left.\mathrm{yd}^{3}-\mathrm{sec}\right) /(\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{yr}), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ls}}$ is calculated in units of (ft-lb)/(ft-sec), yielding potential sediment transport in terms of $y^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$.
9. Because WIS information is presented at a depth of 32.81 ft, equations 2 and 3 were used to estimate potential sediment transport based on deepwater wave conditions. Snell's Law was used to refract the wave conditions from 32.81 ft to deepwater conditions, and the potential sediment transport rate was determined for each of the 116,960 wave conditions.
10. To minimize bias which may be induced by the bathymetry, seas and swell conditions were stored separately, and wave conditions causing sediment transport to the left was stored separately from wave conditions causing sediment transport to the right. This created four main groups of data: seas left, seas right, swell left, and swell right.
11. To reduce the number of RCPWAVE inputs to a reasonable number, it was then decided to average the 20 -yrs of sediment transport information by month. As no banding of wave conditions had been employed, the transport rates could be grouped or averaged in any manner, but it was hoped that averaging by month would provide seasonal information. Each month therefore included wave conditions for that month from each of the 20 yrs of data. Thus the WIS information was separated into 48 groups (12 months times 4 main groups).
12. For each group of waves, a single wave condition was sought to input into RCPWAVE. This was determined by finding the average potential sediment transport rate for all wave conditions in a group, then selecting a wave condition that reproduced the average rate. The average potential transport rate was calculated only from those wave conditions that produced sediment transport. Wave conditions with a perpendicular angle of incidence at breaking or with a wave height or period of zero were excluded from the calculations.
13. Rather than randomly select a set of wave conditions to reproduce the average transport rate, it was preferable to select a wave closely represented the actual wave conditions in each group. Therefore, wave conditions in each group were averaged for all wave conditions that produced a potential sediment transport rate within ten percent of the average transport rate. This "average wave" did not reproduce the average transport rate for the same reasons that banding the wave data will bias the transport rates. However, given the average wave period and angle of incidence it was possible to adjust the wave height to determine a "representative wave" that reproduced the average potential sediment transport rate and closely reflected actual wave conditions in the group.
14. Inputs to RCPWAVE were thus reduced to 48 wave conditions, one for each of the 48 groups. Sediment transport was then recalculated with output from RCPWAVE, at which time the frequency of occurrence of wave conditions in each group was taken into account.
15. For the simplified case of uniform sediment characteristics, no currents, and no aeolian transport, sediment transport will be affected by the deepwater wave height, period, and incident angle, and by the bathymetry. Using the equations given above for each wave condition minimized bias from wave height, period, and incident angle. Processing seas and swell information separately, and transport to the east separately from transport to the west, was done to minimize bias caused by bathymetry.

## Calculation of Sediment Transport Based on RCPWAVE Output

16. Output at each nodal point from the numerical model RCPWAVE includes water depth, wave angle, wave height, wave period, and an indicator of whether or not the wave has broken. This appendix describes the process used to determine sediment transport at Little River Inlet based on this information and the input bathymetry. The grid used at Little River Inlet was

200 cells 150 ft wide along the coast (grid lines $i=1$ to 201, numbered from west to east) by 154 cells 75 ft wide (grid lines $j=1$ to 155 , numbered from shore seaward), and thus included 30,800 cells covering 5.7 miles along the coast and 1.2 miles in the offshore direction.
17. Shoreline location was determined by reading the bathymetry input file shoreward along each grid line from the offshore edge of the grid. The shoreline was defined as the first location where the zero datum was reached. For the input bathymetry used here, the zero datum was mean low water. Linear interpolation was used to determine the location of the zero crossing between nodal points. Note that depths at each nodal point were determined from the input grid to RCPWAVE rather than from the output. RCPWAVE defaults to a depth of one foot for all depths less than a foot and all positive elevations. This default is reflected in the output, thus no shoreline is indicated in the output file.
18. Shoreline angle was determined by averaging the angle between the shoreline location along a grid line and the shoreline location along both adjacent grid lines. This gave the angle of the shoreline relative to the grid at each grid line.
19. Location of the wave at breaking was determined by first reading the RCPWAVE output file shoreward along each grid line until the first breaker index was encountered. Wave height, period, and angle were then determined at the grid point previous to the one with the breaker index, that is, the next grid point seaward of the one with the breaker index. The wave was then "marched" shoreward in small increments through the cell to more accurately determine the breaking point.
20. The marching algorithm began by determining the bottom slope from the depth at the starting cell (one cell seaward of the breaker index) and the depth at, and distance to, either the next cell shoreward along the grid line or either of the cells adjacent to the next cell shoreward, depending on the incident angle of the wave. That is, the depth was determined for cell (i,j) then, depending on the angle of the wave at the cell, the
depth at cell $(i-1, j),(i-1, j-1)$, or $(i-1, j+1)$ and the distance to the appropriate cell were used to determine the bottom slope. This next cell was termed the "target cell."
21. Each step in the marching algorithm advanced the wave one-tenth the distance between the starting cell and the target cell. At each step, the wave was refracted and shoaled and compared to a breaking criteria. Due to refraction at each step, it was possible that a wave would require more than ten steps to traverse the distance to the target cell, therefore fifteen steps were allowed. If the wave had not met the breaking criteria within fifteen steps, the location of the target cell was taken as the breaking point.
22. With the breaking point determined, the depth, breaking wave height, and wave angle at the point were also known. The angle between the wave and the shoreline was then determined, and the sediment transport could then be calculated by equations 1 and 3, above.
23. It should be noted that numerous offshore bars were located in the ebb tidal delta at Little River Inlet. By stepping shoreward along a grid line, it was very possible to cross an offshore bar along one grid line and miss the bar on the adjacent grid line. In these cases, the shoreline locations differed significantly causing a very steep shoreline angle. This then had a significant effect on the sediment transport calculations at that location. Thus at a given cell, or small group of adjacent cells, a significant change or reversal in the calculated sediment transport might be seen. This is misleading and does not reflect the actual sediment transport at that point. It is important to realize that due to this and other effects, the sediment transport calculations should be averaged over a range of cells and used only to determine trends in the transport. The procedure described herein is considered more qualitative than quantitative, and any individual numbers should be used with caution.
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## APPENDIX G: <br> IITTORAL ENVIRONMENT OBSERVATIONS

(Pages G3-G22 represent data for LEO Station 39098, Ocean Isle Beach, NC; pages G23-G42 represent data for LEO Station 39099, Sunset Beach, NC; pages G43-62 represent data for LEO Station 48002, Cherry Grove Beach, SC)

LEO Data Summary: Sta 39098. Ocean Isle Beach, North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 29 Jul 80 to 31 Dec 80

|  |  | JAN | FE3 | MARCH | APRIL | May | June | JuLr | AUG | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SURF OESERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 150 |
|  | NuMger of calm obs. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | .00 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  | AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.25 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.62 | 2.27 | 2.29 | 1.69 |
|  | Standard deviation | . 0 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 25 | . 54 | . 23 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.20 | 1.07 |
|  | LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | .00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 6.00 | 12.80 | 10.00 | 13.50 | 16.40 | 12.00 | 16.40 |
|  | AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | . 00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | 6.00 | 7.74 | 7.27 | 6.27 | 6.07 | 5.13 | 6.46 |
|  | Standard deviation | .00 | .00 | . 09 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 2.28 | 1.27 | 3.30 | 3.37 | 2.14 | 2.73 |
|  | wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 143 |
|  | PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .9 | . 0 | 50.0 | 67.9 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 13.3 | 19.4 | 39.9 |
|  | $=90$ | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 14.8 | 6.7 | . 0 | 12.2 |
|  | $<90$ | .0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | 50.0 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 51.0 | 80.0 | 80.6 | 48.0 |
|  | AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 34 | 22 | 168 | 210 | 208 | 129 |
|  | NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 146 |
|  | WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
|  | AVG. WIND SPEED (MPH) (1) | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 6.5 |
|  | STANDARD OEVIATION | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
|  | NUMBER OF OGSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 150 |
|  | percent occurrence from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NORTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 41.9 | 14.0 |
|  | northeast | . 0 | .0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
|  | EAST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
|  | southeast | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 20.0 | 16.7 | . 0 | 7.3 |
|  | SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 25.3 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 8.7 |
|  | SOUTHWEST | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 16.7 | 29.0 | 54.7 |
|  | WEST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
|  | NORTHWEST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
|  | CALM | . 3 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | .3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
|  | CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | -. 12 | -. 61 | -. 79 | -. 90 | -. 73 | -1.26 | -. 79 |
|  | STANDARD DEVIATION | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 58 | . 41 | . 28 | . 30 | . 53 | . 50 |
|  | NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 30 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 90 |
|  | AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.00 | .00 | 1.06 | 1.20 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
|  | STANDARD DEVIATION | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 67 | . 00 | . 46 | . 79 | . 48 | . 58 |
|  | NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 19 | 53 |
|  | AVG. AET CURRENT (2) (3) | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | -. 57 | -. 79 | . 36 | . 27 | . 26 | -. 09 |
|  | NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 29 | 143 |
|  | NUMGER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
|  | (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APYIL | MAY | JUNE | july | $A \cup G$ | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maximum slope | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 14 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | - 0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 30 | 24 | 30 | 31 | 145 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME (CUBIC YARDS)(4)METHOD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEt cubic yards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | -9179 | -1591 | 6357 | 66046 | 82114 | 145517 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 148 |
| total lfey cubic yds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -565 | -9415 | -2244 | -30602 | -11002 | -17597 | -71425 |
| NUM OF 2 SS TO LEFT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 20 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 59 |
| total rght cubic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2336 | 235 | 653 | 36959 | 77049 | 99711 | 216943 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 24 | 25 | 71 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-164$ | -2255 | -1708 | 25635 | -17385 | -80761 | -76588 |
| Num of observations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 139 |
| total left cubic yds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -164 | -2790 | -1708 | -36118 | -79809 | -188778 | -309367 |
| NuM Of obs to left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 29 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 88 |
| TOTAL RGAT CUBIL TDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 0 | 61803 | 62424 | 108017 | 232778 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 51 |

(1) CALMS, If any, included in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM) A ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSDORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-33 ANO 4-50B FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FDR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE MEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUESTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-5 O B$ AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO get the net monthly sediment transport volumes. the yearly sediment transport volume is calCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF IONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF. 006 SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

|  | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | may | JUNE | July | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of observations | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 362 |
| Numaer of Calm obs. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | 4.00 | 5.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| AVG. Wave height (ft) (1) | 2.02 | 2.30 | 1.92 | 2.20 | 2.21 | 2.02 | 1.84. | 1.85 | 1.87 | 2.05 | 2.10 | 2.35 | 2.06 |
| Staidoard deviation | . 87 | 1.20 | . 77 | . 73 | . 70 | . 50 | . 54 | . 83 | . 53 | .73 | 1.02 | . 92 | . 82 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 9.00 | 9.50 | 8.50 | 16.50 | 8.60 | 8.00 | 6.90 | 8.60 | 9.80 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 16.50 |
| AVG Wave period (SEC) (1) | 5.11 | 4.98 | 4.74 | 5.18 | 5.66 | 5.12 | 5.14 | 5.88 | 6.13 | 5.63 | 5.74 | 5.56 | 5.41 |
| Standard deviation | 1.77 | 1.95 | 1.87 | 2.26 | 1.32 | . 83 | . 58 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.11 | 1.20 | 1.14 | 1.53 |
| WAVE DIRECTON | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 362 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | . 0 | 11.1 | 22.6 | 60.0 | 45.2 | 60.0 | 53.1 | 36.7 | 56.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 58.1 | 41.2 |
| $=90$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| $<90$ | 100.0 | 88.9 | 77.4 | 40.0 | 54.3 | 40.0 | 41.9 | 63.3 | 43.3 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 41.9 | 58.8 |
| AVG. ZONE WIOTH (FT) (2) | 251 | 323 | 322 | 304 | 279 | 297 | 313 | 307 | 300 | 314 | 289 | 318 | 301 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 362 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS | 12.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 21.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 4.7 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 9.0 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| NORTH | 3.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 3.3 | . 9 | . 0 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 6.5 | 5.8 |
| NORTHEASt | 19.4 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 12.7 | . 0 | 9.7 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 38.7 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 17.0 |
| EAST | .0 | 17.9 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.7 | . 0 | 5.5 |
| SOUTHEAST | . 0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 33.3 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 16.1 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 12.9 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.1 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 25.8 | . 0 | 16.7 | . 0 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 10.1 |
| SOUTHWESt | 29.0 | 17.9 | 41.9 | 30.0 | 38.7 | 60.0 | 35.5 | 41.9 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 31.8 |
| WEST | 3.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 6.0 |
| NORTHWESt | 16.1 | 14.3 | 19.4 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 29.0 | 9.3 |
| CALM | 29.0 | 7.1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 00 | -1.18 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.21 | -. 95 | -1.08 | -1.27 | -1.11 | -1.33 | -1.34 | -1.19 | -1.15 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | . 00 |  | - 22 | . 29 | .31 | . 24 | . 29 | . 50 | . 40 | . 45 | . 44 | . 39 | . 41 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | - 0 | - 4 | - 3 | -29 16 | -15 | -12 | $\stackrel{-20}{ }$ | 10 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 146 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 94 | .91 | . 85 | 1.19 | 1.24 | . 98 | 1.06 | 1.10 | 1.46 | 1.36 | 1.09 | 1.19 | 1.10 .44 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 39 | . 39 | . 37 | .71 | . 24 | . 32 | . 37 | . 39 | . 43 | . 35 | . 40 | . 42 | 244 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 27 | 17 | 20 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 21 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 203 |
| avg. net current (2)(3) | . 94 | . 51 | . 32 | . 02 | . 05 | .18 | -. 32 | . 33 | .17 | . 23 | -. 16 | -. 19 | 16 349 |
| NUMBER OF DBSERVATIONS | 27 | 21 | 23 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 |  |
| Number of calm obs. | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |

(Continued)
(1) CALYS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPJRT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUSIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHOPE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-509 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIPST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECJFDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATEO, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-S O B$ AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALculated by summing the monthly values.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD i. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF. OO6 SHOULD EE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

(Conc1uded)

(1) CALMS, If ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN ( - ) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VGLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-50日 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX ( $E$ QUATION $4-38$ ) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND angle of approach have geen recorded. then an average flux for each month is calculated. and FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-50 B$ AND DIVIDED $3 Y$ Y 12 to get the net monthly sediment transport volumes. the yearly sediment transpiort volume is calCULATED gY SUMMING the monthly values.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVA TIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT. WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LINGSHORE CURRENT, ANO OISTANCE TO OYE PATEH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME DROCEDURE AS METMOD T. NOTE: RECENT fINDINGS INDICATE A
FRICTION FACTOR OF .OO6 SHOULD JE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

LEO Data Summary: Sta 39098. Ocean Isle Beach, North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$,
Data Collected from 1 Jan 83 to 31 Dec 83

| SURF OBSERVATIJNS | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | JULY | AUS | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number jf ogservations | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
| NUMBER JF Calm obs. | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest have recorded | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(fi) (1) | 1.87 | 1.96 | 2.53 | 2.13 | 2.44 | 2.00 | 2.02 | 2.00 | 1.88 | 1.98 | 1.93 | 2.21 | 2.08 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 72 | . 95 | . 91 | . 78 | . 67 | . 47 | . 45 | . 44 | . 64 | . 82 | . 78 | . 80 | .75 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 8.60 | 8.63 | 7.60 | 7.60 | 6.80 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 6.05 | 5.76 | 5.45 | 5.44 | 5.59 | 5.98 | 6.10 | 5.53 | 5.66 | 5.86 | 6.12 | 5.60 | 5.78 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 1.01 | 1.09 | . 80 | . 35 | . 93 | 1.11 | . 76 | . 85 | . 91 | 1.21 | 1.36 | 1.07 | 1.03 |
| WAVE DIRECTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | 19.4 | 21.4 | 51.6 | 50.0 | 32.3 | 20.0 | 29.0 | 25.8 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 24.1 | 51.6 | 30.8 |
| $=90$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| $<90$ | 80.5 | 78.6 | 48.4 | 50.0 | 67.7 | 80.0 | 71.0 | 74.2 | 76.7 | 80.6 | 75.9 | 48.4 | 69.2 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 241 | 275 | 372 | 331 | 350 | 274 | 297 | 319 | 295 | 305 | 281 | 320 | 305 |
| Number of observations | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 362 |
| WIno observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED (MPH) (1) | 9.4 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 10.0 |
| Standard deviation | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Number of observations | 31 | 23 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH | 19.4 | 17.9 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 19.4 | 7.4 |
| NORTHEAST | 25.3 | 32.1 | 22.6 | . 0 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 13.1 | 33.3 | 29.0 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 18.7 |
| EAST | 3.2 | 7.1 | . 9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.7 | 2.7 |
| southeast | 6.5 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 43.3 | . 0 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 25.8 | 13.3 | . 0 | 11.8 |
| SOUTH | 3.2 | . 0 | 16.1 | 37.9 | 41.9 | 10.0 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 16.7 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 15.9 |
| SOUTHWEST | 9.7 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 20.7 | 22.6 | 16.7 | 38.7 | 51.6 | 35.7 | 12.9 | 33.3 | 29.0 | 24.2 |
| WEST | 6.5 | 7.1 | 16.1 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 6.9 |
| NORTHWEST | 25.3 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 20.7 | . 3 | 6.7 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 10.4 |
| CALM | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| current observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (Ft/SEC) (2) | -1.15 | -1.14 | -1.31 | -1.37 | -1.19 | -1.28 | -1.10 | -1.05 | -1.50 | -1.03 | -1.23 | -1.18 | -1.23 |
| Standard deviation | . 34 | . 34 | . 39 | . 26 | . 34 | . 37 | . 25 | . 31 | . 24 | . 41 | . 42 | . 42 | .37 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 5 | 6 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 111 |
| avg to right (ft/sec) (2) | . 92 | . 96 | 1.30 | 1.18 | 1.04 | 1.03 | . 8 ? | 1.07 | . 96 | 1.06 | . 95 | 1.01 | 1.02 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 34 | .42 | . 40 | . 40 | . 42 | . 34 | . 27 | . 32 | . 37 | . 39 | . 43 | . 39 | . 39 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 26 | 22 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 250 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 59 | . 51 | -. 05 | -. 10 | . 37 | . 04 | . 17 | . 49 | . 37 | . 65 | . 43 | -. 12 | . 33 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 361 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FE8 | MARCH | APPIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | $A \cup G$ | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| NUMGER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| sediment transport volume METHOD 1 | cous:c | YARTS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | 13262 | -4217 | -33854 | -26638 | -8552 | 6334 | -2721 | 4420 | -11545 | 5707 | -1062 | -37442 | -96058 |
| NUY Of observations | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC YDS NUM OF OSS TO LEFt | $\begin{array}{r} -13634 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -31331 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -67766 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -47681 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -43327 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18183 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -24072 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -19450 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -29106 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -22428 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -25423 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -57215 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -400716 \\ 112 \end{array}$ |
| total rght cuaic ros NUM Of OBS to aight | $\begin{array}{r} 26876 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27113 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33912 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20993 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35274 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | 24818 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 21951 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 23871 23 | $\begin{array}{r} 17560 \\ 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28135 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24360 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 19773 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 304656 \\ 252 \end{array}$ |
| $\text { METHOD } 2$ <br> NET CUBIC YARDS <br> NuM Jf observations | 97195 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 90080 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | 8602 31 | $\begin{array}{r} -83225 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 92379 | $\begin{array}{r} 106006 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -12079 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91008 \\ 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -58965 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154566 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114837 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -143947 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 456457 \\ 357 \end{array}$ |
| total left cueic yds NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -45834 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -124769 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -332345 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -266573 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -181340 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -53408 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -120397 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | -93702 | -193121 | -93692 6 | -101687 7 | $-296998-1$ 16 | $\begin{array}{r} -1903866 \\ 111 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS NUM OF OSS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 143029 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214849 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 340948 15 | 183347 15 | 273720 21 | 159415 25 | 108317 18 | 184710 20 | 134155 23 | 248259 | $\begin{array}{r} 216524 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153051 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2360324 \\ 246 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, If ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVEQAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
no sign indicates current movement to the right
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUEIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO GALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-503 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE Of APPROACH HAVE 3EEN RECORDED. THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EQUATION 4-50B AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALculated ay summing the monthly valués.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURPENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOS SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

|  | JAN | F¢9 | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OESERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 360 |
| NUMBER OF CALM 09S. | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 5.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.82 | 2.12 | 2.00 | 2.32 | 2.26 | 1.82 | 1.98 | 1.64 | 2.02 | 2.03 | 2.12 | 1.95 | 2.01 |
| Standard deviation | . 69 | . 93 | . 85 | . 82 | . 75 | . 57 | . 59 | . 60 | . 90 | . 78 | . 96 | .92 | . 82 |
| LONGEST DERIOD RECOROED | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.80 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.80 |
| avg Wave period (SEC) (1) | 6.06 | 6.02 | 6.01 | 6.16 | 6.05 | 6.43 | 6.15 | 6.99 | 6.50 | 6.77 | 6.49 | 6.36 | 6.33 |
| Standard deviation | 1.11 | 1.34 | 1.12 | 1.37 | 1.08 | . 91 | . 90 | 1.27 | 1.49 | 1.35 | 1.47 | 1.24 | 1.27 |
| WAVE DIRECTON <br> NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 360 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | 32.3 | 41.4 | 51.6 | 43.3 | 33.7 | 35.7 | 53.3 | 37.9 | 24.1 | 29.0 | 33.3 | 35.5 | 33.1 |
| - $\quad 90$ | 82.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| $<90$ | 67.7 | 53.5 | 48.4 | 56.7 | 61.3 | 64.3 | 46.7 | 62.1 | 75.9 | 71.0 | 66.7 | 64.5 | 61.9 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 244 | 305 | 275 | 319 | 309 | 233 | 255 | 207 | 282 | 263 | 285 | 258 | 268 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 20 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 362 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIghest Mind recorded | 12.0 | 19.9 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 12.9 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 10.1 | 3.7 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 9.7 |
| Standard deviation | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| nUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| north | 29.0 | 6.9 | 22.6 | . 0 | 5.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 8.2 |
| NORTHEAST | 19.4 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 24.1 | 12.9 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 13.7 |
| East | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 6.5 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.9 |
| SOUTHEAST | . 0 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 15.1 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 6.7 | 20.7 | 22.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.4 |
| SOUTH | 6.5 | 13.8 | 25.8 | 6.5 | 38.7 | 13.3 | 23.3 | 10.0 | 20.7 | 19.4 | 10.0 | 12.9 | 16.8 |
| southwest | 16.1 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 29.0 | 32.3 | 60.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 26.6 |
| WEST | 9.7 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 25.3 | 9.7 | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 19.4 | 8.8 |
| NORTHWEST | 12.0 | 13.8 | 12.9 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 13.3 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 7.4 |
| CALM | 3.2 | 10.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 20.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  | -1.04 | -1.03 | -. 95 | -. 90 | -. 75 | -. 32 | -. 73 | -. 69 | -. 97 | -. 93 | -. 79 | -. 89 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) STANDARD DEVIATION | -.92 .29 | -1.06 .35 | -1.03 | -.95 .32 | -.90 .27 | -. .17 | . .27 | . 20 | . 10 | . .34 | . 31 | . 25 | . 31 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 10 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 136 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 82 | . 35 | . 69 | . 78 | .73 | . 68 | . 67 | . 69 | . 92 | . 76 | . 84 | .77 | . 77 |
| Standard deviation | .25 | . 36 | . 15 | . 23 | . 19 | .17 | . 15 | . 10 | . 30 | . 19 | . 29 | . 23 | . 24 |
| NUM. OF OSS. (TO RIGHT) | 20 | 17 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 222 |
| Avg. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 24 | . 11 | -. 24 | . 09 | . 10 | . 22 | -. 19 | . 15 | . 53 | . 26 | . 23 | . 25 | - 14 |
| NUMBER OF DASERVATIONS | 30 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 358 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES GURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUSIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESGRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPMI) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOO 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-5OB FROM THE SSM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS first CALCULATED for ONLY THE DAYS OF the month where wave height and ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE GEEN PECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EAGH MONTH IS GALCULATED, AND finally these monthly values of flux are subsilituted into equation $4-503$ and divided by 12 to get the ney monthly sediment transport volumes. the yearly sediment transport volume is calCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURPENT. AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND fOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD $3 E$ USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

LEO Data Summary: Sta 39098. Ocean Isle Beach, North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 85 to 31 Dec 85

|  | JAN | FE3 | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OSSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of obseqvations | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 362 |
| Number of calm obs. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.50 |
| AVG. Wave height (fi) (1) | 2.08 | 2.30 | 2.26 | 2.15 | 2.32 | 1.92 | 2.31 | 2.05 | 2.35 | 2.23 | 1.67 | 1.83 | 2.12 |
| Standard deviation | . 93 | . 92 | . 88 | . 75 | . 77 | . 75 | . 69 | . 72 | 1.07 | .73 | . 61 | . 76 | . 84 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 8.60 | 3.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.80 | 8.60 | 9.60 | 8.60 | 3.80 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 6.52 | 6.41 | 0.02 | 6.33 | 5.92 | 6.62 | 5.75 | 6.74 | 6.25 | 5.99 | 7.02 | 6.68 | 6.37 |
| Standard deviation | 1.33 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.35 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.05 | 1.28 | 1.34 | 1.05 | 1.38 | 1.41 | 1.31 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 362 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | 45.2 | 39.3 | 35.5 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 26.7 | 51.6 | 35.5 | 26.7 | 10.0 | 41.4 | 54.8 | 36.2 |
| $=90$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| $<90$ | 54.8 | 60.7 | 64.5 | 73.3 | 60.0 | 73.3 | 43.4 | 64.5 | 73.3 | 90.0 | 58.5 | 45.2 | 63.3 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 271 | 294 | 296 | 286 | 300 | 221 | 300 | 259 | 271 | 273 | 186 | 226 | 266 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 363 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 18.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 11.2 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 9.8 |
| Standard deviation | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Number of observations | 31 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 361 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH | 12.9 | 14.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 9.7 | . 0 | 12.9 | 7.8 |
| northeast | 6.5 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 10.0 | 33.3 | 54.8 | 24.1 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| EASt | . 3 | . 0 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | . 0 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 5.8 |
| Southeast | 6.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 13.8 | 3.2 | 7.8 |
| SOUTH | 3.2 | 17.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 16.1 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| SOUTHWESt | 22.6 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 25.7 | 40.0 | 46.7 | 51.6 | 45.7 | 26.7 | 12.9 | 34.5 | 19.4 | 31.9 |
| WEST | 16.1 | 21.4 | 30.0 | 23.3 | . 0 | 6.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | . 0 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 10.5 |
| NORTHWEST | 32.3 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 19.4 | 8.0 |
| CALM | . 0 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 6.5 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 5.5 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 95 | -1.05 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -1.03 |  | -1.16 .29 | -1.15 .22 | -1.11 .27 | -1.10 | -1.17 .19 | -1. 21 | -. 37 | . .30 | . .38 | . .34 | . 30 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 13 | -11 | 11 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 17 | 129 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 80 | .91 | .92 | . 85 | . 90 | . 87 | . 93 | . 73 | . 97 | . 99 | .72 | . 76 | . 87 |
| Standard deviation | . 30 | . 32 | . 27 | . 29 | . 34 | . 27 | . 38 | .23 | . 31 | . 34 | . 20 | . 20 | -31 |
| NU:A. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 17 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 28 | 13 | 14 | 231 |
| Avg. net current (2)(3) | . 01 | . 15 | . 18 | . 32 | . 10 | . 32 | -. 15 | . 02 | . 54 | . 79 | . 12 | -. 18 | . 18 |
| NUMGER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 360 |
| NuMber of calm obs. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Continued)
(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | 5 JAN | FEg | MAPCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | juey | AUS | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Slope | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 2 ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | ) 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 359 |
| SEDiPENT TRANSPORT vOLUME METHOD 1 | scuait | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | -32892 | -17032 | -22151 | -11925 | -20667 | -7020 | -26295 | -17763 | 23304 | 36941 | -11733 | -39034 | $-146267$ |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 363 |
| total left cubic yos | -53057 | -40231 | -50235 | -38024 | -49467 | -28494 | -51346 | -38521 | -23395 | -7573 | -24196 | -47430 | -465969 |
| NuM of ozs to left | 14 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 17 | 131 |
| total rght cubic yos | 20164 | 32198 | 28083 | 26098 | 28800 | 21474 | 25050 | 20753 | 51699 | 44515 | 12463 | 8396 | 319698 |
| NUY OF OBS TO RIGHT | 17 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 17 | 14 | 232 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | -56855 | 14188 | -17849 | 722 | -49312 | -11162 | 34961 | -101194 | 234704 | 268934 | -37548 | -124907 | 154682 |
| NuM Of observations | 30 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 360 |
| total left cubic yos - | -192850 | -182221 | -174610 | -152257 | -206095 | -99109 | -155364 | -173542 | $-23633$ | -17189 | -74554 | -153882- | 1605306 |
| Num of obs to left | 13 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 17 | 129 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS | 135095 | 196410 | 156760 | 152979 | 156783 | 87947 | 190325 | 72347 | 258337 | 286124 | 37005 | 28974 | 1759986 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 17 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 21 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 28 | 18 | 14 | 231 |

(1) CALMS, If any, included in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDEO IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN ( - ) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
(3) SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE SIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRISED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "ShORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-50日 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS fIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE heIGHt and angle of approach have been recorded. then an average flux for each month is calculated, and FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-50 B$ AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TQANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EOUATIONS 4-51. 4-52. AND 4-50E FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVAtIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOO NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

## Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$.

Data Collected from 1 Jan 86 to 31 Dec 86

|  | J AN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | JULY | AUS | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(ft) (1) | 1.89 | 2.04 | 2.10 | 1.68 | 1.87 | 2.37 | 1.73 | 1.86 | 1.97 | 1.67 | 1.74 | 1.90 | 1.90 |
| Standard deviation | . 69 | . 87 | . 30 | . 60 | . 55 | . 79 | . 54 | . 68 | . 66 | . 65 | . 69 | 1.17 | . 77 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDEJ | 8.90 | 3.60 | 8.60 | 8.50 | 8.60 | 6.60 | 8.50 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.80 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 6.52 | 6.70 | 6.45 | 6.63 | 8.44 | 5.83 | 6.16 | 6.29 | 5.32 | 6.86 | 7.09 | 7.28 | 6.54 |
| Standard deviation | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.14 | . 42 | 1.10 | . 89 | 1.01 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.40 | 1.25 |
| WAVE DIRECTON NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS |  | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 69.3 | 48.1 | 32.3 | 36.7 | 59.6 | 51.6 | 45.2 | 38.7 | 13.8 | 22.6 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 35.2 |
| - $\begin{aligned} & \\ &=90\end{aligned}$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| $<90$ | 38.7 | 51.9 | 67.7 | 83.3 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 54.8 | 61.3 | 86.2 | 77.4 | 93.3 | 87.1 | 64.8 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 233 | 248 | 238 | 173 | 180 | 256 | 156 | 187 | 189 | 160 | 173 | 179 | 199 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 362 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST HIND RECORDED AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 18.0 9.5 | 16.0 9.0 | 18.0 10.2 | 19.0 10.3 | 18.0 8.5 | 16.0 10.8 | 15.0 9.2 | 14.0 10.0 | 18.0 11.0 | 16.0 8.5 | 18.0 9.5 | 22.0 7.7 | 22.0 9.5 |
| Standard deviation | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.9 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS percent occurrence from | 30 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 363 |
| NORTH | 10.0 | 3.7 | 13.3 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 5.8 |
| northeast | 16.7 | 3.7 | 10.0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 16.1 | 20.0 | 19.4 | 26.7 | 32.3 | 13.5 |
| EAST | 3.3 | 19.5 | 3.3 | . 0 | 6.5 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.2 | 10.0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 |
| SOUTHEASt | . 0 | 3.7 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 3.2 | 19.4 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 33.3 | 9.7 | 12.4 |
| SOUTH | 6.7 | 14.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| southmest | 30.0 | 33.3 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 45.2 | 77.4 | 71.0 | 19.4 | 26.7 | 16.1 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 33.6 |
| WEST | 26.7 | 7.4 | . 0 | 3.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.7 | 25.8 | 5.7 | 22.6 | 3.3 | . 0 | 9.1 |
| NORTHWEST | 6.7 | 11.1 | 25.7 | 36.7 | 9.7 | . 0 | 9.7 | 10.1 | . 0 | 9.7 | 13.3 | 22.6 | 13.5 |
| CALM | - 0 | 3.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 3.6 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 76 | -.97 .84 | -1.01 .27 | . .99 .26 | .884 .22 | -.95 .24 |  | -.74 .16 | . .96 .27 | -.79 .18 | -.70 .10 | -.83 .29 | -.90 .25 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION NUM. Of OBS. (TO LEFT) | .24 18 | . 24 | - 27 10 | - 11 | .22 14 | .24 16 | - 14 | -11 | - 4 | -18 | - 2 | - 4 | 123 |
| Avg to right (ft/SEC) (2) | .71 | . 70 | . 78 | . 65 | . 80 | . 85 | .76 | . 85 | . 36 | .76 | . 88 | . 78 | -79 |
| StANDARD DEVIATION | . 18 | . 22 | . 25 | .13 | .21 | . 26 | . 20 | . 25 | . 26 | . 22 | . 29 | . 32 | . 25 |
| NUM. Of OSS. (TO RIGHT) | 13 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 26 | 236 |
| AVG. NET Current (2)(3) | -. 26 | -. 10 | . 20 | . 05 | . 04 | -. 11 | . 02 | . 29 | . 61 | . 45 | . 78 | . 57 | . 21 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 359 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Conc1uded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | S JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | $A \cup G$ | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MaxIMUM SLOPE | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | ) 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 362 |
| sediment transport volume METHOD 1 | ccualc | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yaros | -38941 | -54211 | -13620 | $-14783$ | -14389 | -25243 | $-24245$ | 8179 | 23668 | 8852 | 26148 | 35970 | -87620 |
| NUM OF OgSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| TOTAL LEFT CuBic yos | -47742 | -64366 | -41594 | -26344 | -29574 | -54433 | -32647 | -16300 | -8783 | -11075 | -1915 | -9307 | -344100 |
| NuM Of O3S TO LEFt | 19 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 128 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS | 8300 | 10154 | 22973 | 11555 | 15204 | 29190 | 8491 | 24479 | 32452 | 19928 | 28063 | 45277 | 256476 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 12 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 237 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CuBIC Yards - | -108068 | -164633 | -18566 | -47215 | 10991 | -22702 | -33961 | 87634 | 65366 | 46716 | 101646 |  | 32065 |
| Num of observations | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 30 | 28 | + 30 | 356 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC YdS - NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | -141705 18 | -196251 13 | $\begin{array}{r} -114393 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | -70430 11 | -44264 14 | -143738 16 | -50756 14 | -15703 11 | -13618 4 | -13689 | -639 1 | -27829 | $\begin{array}{r} -836015 \\ 122 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YOS NUM OF OGS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 33636 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31613 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95826 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | 23264 | 55246 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 121036 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | 16744 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 104387 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | 78984 24 | 60405 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 102286 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144651 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 868078 \\ 234 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) a minus sign (-) indicates current movement to the left

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIG YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "Shore protection manual" (SPM)) are used to calculate the transport volume negative VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHJD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX GEQUATION 4-3B) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE OAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND angle of approach have been recorded. then an average flux for each month is calculated. and FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-5 O Z$ AND DIVIDED OY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIOVS 4-51, 4-S2, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO OYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROGEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF .OO6 SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION 4-5?.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 39098. Ocean Isle Beach, North Carolina

Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 87 to 31 Dec 87

|  | JAN | FE3 | March | APPIL | MAY | JUNE | July | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF osservations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 3.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 2.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.06 | 2.34 | 1.68 | 1.73 | 1.71 | 1.75 | 1.77 | 1.82 | 1.76 | 1.48 | 2.17 | 2.00 | 1.89 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 83 | . 95 | . 79 | . 75 | . 67 | . 63 | . 61 | . 72 | .93 | . 43 | . 97 | . 89 | . 81 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 3.65 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 3.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 8.60 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOO(SEC) (1) | 6.47 | 6.17 | 6.86 | 0.20 | 6.54 | 6.50 | 6.25 | 6.34 | 6.23 | 6.66 | 6.33 | 6.63 | 6.44 |
| Standard deviation | 1.21 | . 94 | 1.27 | . 92 | 1.11 | 1.04 | . 78 | . 89 | 1.66 | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.23 | 1.15 |
| WAVE directon NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 363 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 64.5 | 39.3 | 19.4 | 46.7 | 32.3 | 76.7 | 61.3 | 32.3 | 39.3 | 22.6 | 30.0 | 45.2 | 42.4 |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }} 0$ | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| <90 | 35.5 | 60.7 | 80.6 | 53.3 | 67.7 | 23.3 | 38.7 | 67.7 | 60.7 | 77.4 | 70.3 | 54.8 | 57.6 |
| AVG. LONE WIOTH (FT) (2) | 220 | 256 | 172 | 192 | 162 | 167 | 188 | 178 | 175 | 125 | 221 | 204 | 188 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 363 |
| WIND OGSERVATIONS <br> HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 22.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 10.1 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 9.0 |
| Standard deviation | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 4.8 365 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| NORTH | 12.9 | 7.1 | 19.4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 3 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| northeast | 16.1 | 29.6 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 3.2 | - 0 | 13.3 | 29.0 | 10.0 | 12.9 | 12.1 |
| EASt | 6.5 | 10.7 | . 0 | 20.0 | - 0 | 6.7 | . 7 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | ${ }_{33}{ }^{\circ}$ | -0 | 4.4 19 |
| southeast | 9.7 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 29.0 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 22.6 | 30.0 | 22.6 | 33.3 | 6.5 | 19.7 |
| SOUTH | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 13.3 | . 0 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . | 3.3 |
| Southwest | 9.7 | 17.9 | 19.4 | 26.7 | 29.0 | 33.3 | 71.0 | 29.0 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 16.1 | 23.3 |
| WEST | 6.5 | . 0 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 10.0 | . 0 | 9.7 | 6.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 6.0 |
| NORTHWEST | 19.4 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 32.3 | 16.7 |
| CALM | 16.1 | 10.7 | 16.1 | 3.3 | 16.1 | . 0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 11.0 |
| CURRENT OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 74 | -1.04 | -. 87 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 98 | -. 86 | -. 84 | -.84 .32 | . .73 .16 | -.83 .26 | -. 88 | -.82 .25 | -. 86 | . .30 | . .23 | . 34 | . 29 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 35 .30 | - 28 11 | . 22 | .32 14 | -16 | .26 23 | . 19 | - 10 | - 11 | - 8 | - 8 | 14 | 154 |
| NUM. OF O9S. (TO LEFT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 92 | . 97 | . 71 | . 75 | . 79 | . 73 | . 93 | . 84 | . 89 | . 73 | .92 | .75 | . 82 |
| Standard deviation | . 34 | . 30 | . 19 | . 23 | . 23 | . 19 | . 27 | . 28 | . 34 | . 20 | . 29 | - 27 | - 28 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 11 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 204 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | -. 30 | . 25 | . 41 | . 01 | . 30 | -. 46 | -. 21 | . 29 | .23 | . 29 | . 45 | -. 08 | .09 358 |
| NUMSER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | . 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 23 | 30 | 358 |
| NUMBER Of CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

(Continued)
(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | S JAN | FE9 | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUS | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | ) 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| NUMBER OF ORSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 361 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME <br> METMOD 1 | cousic | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | -3208s | 6021 | 103 | -15002 | 17137 | -35524 | -23564 | 15804 | 4010 | 4616 | 29232 | -29982 | -58635 |
| NUM Of OGSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 363 |
| total left cubic yos | -50836 | -35301 | -19054 | -34240 | -6905 | -41402 | -38710 | -19074 | -21486 | -8293 | -15661 | -47913 | -330875 |
| NUM OF OSS TO LEFT | 20 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 154 |
| total regt cubic yos | 18749 | 41922 | 99158 | 19238 | 24043 | 5877 | 15145 | 26378 | 25497 | 12910 | 44894 | 17931 | 272242 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 11 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 21 | $?$ | 12 | 21 | 17 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 209 |
| METHOD 2 <br> NET CUBIC YARDS <br> NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | $\begin{array}{r} -28350 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143892 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23218 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10782 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77146 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -61407 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5648 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93410 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70042 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9459 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 173560 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -71615 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 417925 \\ 357 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC ydS - NUM OF OGS TO LEFt | $\begin{array}{r} -132686 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -84472 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $-48484$ | $\begin{array}{r} -83865 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5779 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -73233 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -53212 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -14102 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -41122 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13399 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11246 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -146685 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -709335 \\ 153 \end{array}$ |
| total rght cusic yos NUM of oas to RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 104336 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 228365 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71702 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73083 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83926 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | 11875 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 47564 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112513 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111164 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22859 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184807 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75069 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1127263 \\ 204 \end{array}$ |

(1) Calms, If any. included in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN ( - ) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
ates current movement to the pight
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUGIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND $4-509$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE MEIGHT AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION 4-5OB AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUME IS CALCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52. AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OSSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. AND DISTANCE TO JYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD $9 E$ USED IN EQUATION 4-52.


| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | S JAN | FE 3 | MARC4 | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | july | aug | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | ) 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| sEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD 1 | ccubic | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VET CUSIC Yards | -6283 | -44743 | -20420 | -69539 | -20505 | -924 | -24537 | -27822 | 9158 | -5056 | -24680 | -13299 | -248700 |
| num of ogservations | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| total left cubic yos | -35464 | -62506 | -47913 | -82573 | -36257 | -23478 | -45576 | -70027 | -16376 | -34813 | -45942 | -33536 | -534481 |
| NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\bigcirc$ | 13 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 141 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS | 29180 | 17753 | 27492 | 13033 | 15751 | 22554 | 29999 | 42205 | 25554 | 29756 | 21262 | 20236 | 285775 |
| NuM OF OBS TO QIGHT | 22 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 225 |
| METHOD 2 NET CUBIC YARDS | -18272 | -74139 | -2567 | -199714 | -42186 | 65869 | -2670 | -61799 | 67692 | 12391 | -91937 | -42076 | -389408 |
| NUM OF OgSERVATIONS | 30 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 360 |
| total left cubic yos NUM OF OSS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -109334 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -116824 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -118881 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -233412 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | -82753 12 | -36332 | -77118 16 | -231347 14 | $\begin{array}{r} -22374 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | -94743 10 | -142314 | -104676-1 10 | $\begin{array}{r} -1370108 \\ 139 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL QGHT CUBIC YOS NUM OF OBS TORIGHT | 91062 21 | 42684 | 115314 17 | 33697 15 | 40566 19 | 102202 21 | 74488 15 | 169547 16 | 90067 20 | 107135 21 | 50376 21 | 62600 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 980698 \\ 221 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IV AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUOED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION YANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSOORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS $4-38$ AND $4-50 B$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST (ALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECOPDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH TS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUGSTITUTED INTO EQUATION G-SOB AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPOR
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-5i, 4-52. AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE OATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: PECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF .OOS SHOULD SE USED IN EQUATION 4-S2.

LEO Data Summary: Sta 39098, Ocean Isle Beach. North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 26^{\circ} 7.8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 29 Jul 80 to 31 Dec 88

(Concluded)

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A minus sign (-) indicates current movement to the left

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIEED IN SECTION 4 OF the "Shore protection manual" (Spm)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative values indicate transport to the left.
METHOD 1. THIS METHJD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND $4-503$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-3B) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT ANO angle of approach have been recorded, then an average flux for each month is calculateo. and
 GET THE NEY MONTHLY SEOIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEOIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS LALCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS EASED ON EZUATIONS 4-S1, 4-SZ, AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPME USING RECORDED OBSERVA IIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT. WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONSSHORE GURRENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDITATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF .OO6 SHOULD BE USEO IN EQUATION 4-52.

Data Collected from 19 May 80 to 31 Dec 80

| SURF OBSERVATIONS | JAN | F¢9 | MARCH | APRIL | may | JUvE | JULY | aug | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 26 | 18 | 31 | 190 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | . 00 | . 00 | . 03 | . 00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | 1.73 | 1.85 | 1.33 | 1.23 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.44 | 1.10 | 1.33 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 30 | . 48 | . 64 | . 59 | . 49 | . 62 | . 47 | . 60 | . 59 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 09 | 15.20 | 8.20 | 8.20 | 8.20 | 7.20 | 8.70 | 7.40 | 12.00 | 15.20 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | .09 | 9.30 | 6.59 | 6.20 | 5.84 | 5.64 | 6.12 | 6.04 | 7.66 | 6.50 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 3.35 | . 93 | . 57 | . 69 | . 76 | 1.56 | .78 | 2.18 | 1.73 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 31 | 189 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 18.2 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 8.0 | . 0 | 16.7 | 22.6 | 13.2 |
| $=90$ | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 63.5 | 47.4 | 76.7 | 43.3 | 68.0 | 84.0 | 66.7 | 22.6 | 57.7 |
| $<90$ | .0 | .3 | . 0 | . 2 |  | 36.8 |  | 36.7 | 24.0 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 54.8 | 29.1 |
| AVG. LONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 171 | 149 | 144 | 125 | 125 | 104 | 78 | 131 |
| Number of osservations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 31 | 189 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST HIND RECORDED | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.9 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS PERGENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 18 | 31 | 192 |
| NORTH | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 18.5 | 5.6 | 32.3 | 8.7 |
| northeast | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 15.1 |
| EASt | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | $\bigcirc .1$ | 10.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 7.4 | 5.6 | . 0 | 3.1 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 34.6 | 7.4 | 33.3 | . 0 | 13.5 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 18.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 13.3 | 19.2 | 11.1 | . 0 | 3.2 | 7.8 |
| Southwest | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 54.5 | 78.9 | 76.7 | 50.0 | 26.9 | 3.7 | 33.3 | 38.7 | 44.3 |
| WEST | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| NORTHWEST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| CALM | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | .0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 18.5 | .0 | . 0 | 6.3 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| avg to Left (FT/SEC) (2) | . 03 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | -. 23 | -. 38 | -. 78 | -. 80 | -. 78 | -. 58 | -. 78 | -. 34 | -. 60 |
| Standard deviation | . 0.0 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | . 21 | . 21 | . 43 | . 19 | . 15 | .12 | .31 | . 19 | . 35 |
| Num. Of OBS. (TO LEFT) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 60 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | .77 | . 67 | .70 | .90 | . 89 | . 76 | . 77 | . 38 | . 71 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 30 | .00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 27 | . 20 | . 34 | . 35 | . 28 | . 20 | . 25 | . 27 | . 33 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 13 | 15 | 21 | 18 | 11 | 24 | 113 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 03 | . 00 | . 00 | .00 | -. 03 | .14 | . 14 | . 03 | . 68 | . 57 | . 22 | . 24 | .27 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 29 | 29 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 30 | 178 |
| NU:MBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FES | MAPCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | July | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | maximum slope | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | MINIMUM SLOPE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
|  | NUMBER Of OBSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 18 | 31 | 192 |
|  | SEDIRENT TRANSPORT VOLUME (CUBIC YARDS)(4) METHOD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET CUBIC Yards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2743 | -3894 | 1303 | 373 | 846 | 306 | -616 | 4559 | 5620 |
|  | NuM of observations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 18 | 31 | 190 |
|  | TOTAL LEFT CUBIC YDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1560 | -6177 | -131 | -909 | -67 | 0 | -889 | -722 | -10547 |
|  | NUM OF O3S TO LEFT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 25 |
|  | total rght cubic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4303 | 2284 | 1434 | 1373 | 914 | 306 | 272 | 5231 | 16167 |
|  | NUM OF O日S TO RIGHT | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 55 |
|  | METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET CUBIC YARDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12145 | 8978 | 8702 | -5805 | 23566 | 18491 | -1352 | 5045 | 43480 |
|  | Num of observations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 29 | 29 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 30 | 177 |
|  | total left cubic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18981 | -10125 | -10261 | -18263 | -2186 | -3548 | -10597 | -574 | -74535 |
|  | NuM OF OBS TO LEFT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 60 |
|  | TOTAL RGHT CUEIC YDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6835 | 19104 | 16963 | 12458 | 25752 | 22040 | 9245 | 5619 | 118016 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \AA \end{aligned}$ | NUM Of O3S TO RIGHT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 15 | 21 | 17 | 11 | 24 | 117 |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALYS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHOPE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE
METHOD 1. VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT. (EQUATION $4-33$ ) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT ANO ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-50 Z$ AND DIVIDED $3 Y$ Y 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOO IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51. 4-52. AND 4-50S FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVAIONS OF WAVE HEGHT, WIDTH OF SURF LONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM POOCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FIVDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OJ6 SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

Latitude $33^{\circ} 52^{\prime \prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 28.8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 81 to 31 Dec 81

| SURF OBSERVATIONS | JAN | 859 | MARC4 | APRIL | may | June | juty | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of observations | 30 | 27 | 31 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 24 |  |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 0 | 347 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 2.50 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.33 | 2.07 | 2.00 | 1.37 | 2.18 | 1.73 | 2.39 | 2.25 | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.25 | 3.50 2.23 | 4.50 2.09 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 65 | .74 | . 79 | . 54 | . 65 | . 56 | . 68 | . 275 | . 60 | . 85 | .59 | 2.84 .84 | 2.09 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 15.00 | 11.80 | 16.00 | 11.00 | 12.50 | 9.20 | 8.80 | 10.00 | 9.00 | 8.80 | 8.70 | 15.20 | 16.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 8.66 | 7.66 | 7.39 | 7.50 | 7.10 | 6.21 | 6.46 | 7.00 | 7.30 | 6.87 | 6.94 | 7.64 | 7.21 |
| Standard deviation | 2.61 | 2.03 | 2.18 | 1.54 | 1.52 | .94 | 1.06 | 1.13 | 1.01 | . 62 | . 85 | 2.12 | 1.70 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 27 | 31 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 24 | 347 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>70$ | 40.0 | 25.7 | 25.3 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 63.3 | 61.3 | 25.8 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 43.3 | 45.8 | 35.7 |
| $=90$ | 20.0 | 18.5 | 20.0 | 26.1 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 22.5 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 25.9 |
| $<90$ | 40.0 | 55.6 | 45.2 | 43.5 | 30.0 | 23.3 | 22.6 | 51.6 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 26.7 | 29.2 | 38.3 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 87 | 70 | 71 | 78 | 68 | 67 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 99 | 77 | 66 | 78 |
| Number of observations | 30 | 25 | 31 | 24 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 24 | 343 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 10.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 5.4 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 3.7 |
| number of observations PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 30 | 28 | 31 | 24 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 25 | 352 |
| NORTH | 36.7 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 4.2 | 25.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 12.0 | 14.5 |
| northeast | 3.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | 16.1 | 32.3 | 23.3 | 45.2 | 26.7 | 28.0 | 16.5 |
| EAST | 3.3 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | . 0 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 13.3 | . 0 | 7.7 |
| SOUTHEASt | . 0 | 10.7 | . 0 | 25.0 | 15.1 | 10.0 | 12.9 | . 0 | 0.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 7.4 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | 10.7 | 19.4 | 15.? | 6.5 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 3.3 | . 0 | 10.2 |
| Southwest | 36.7 | 28.6 | 32.3 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 53.3 | 54.8 | 29.0 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 20.0 | 24.0 | 32.1 |
| WEST | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 4.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| NORTHAEST | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 4.8 |
| CALM | 10.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | 6.5 | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 3.4 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 53 | -. 35 | -. 34 | -. 32 | -. 23 | -. 33 | -. 44 | -. 45 | -. 28 | -. 40 | -. 36 | -. 41 | -. 39 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | -19 | -14 | . 15 | - 29 | . 16 | . 24 | . 31 | . 24 | . 25 | . 33 | . 24 | . 23 | . 25 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 17 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 130 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) |  | . 35 |  |  |  | . 45 | . 42 | . 48 | . 37 | . 40 | . 61 | . 50 | . 40 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 36 | . 18 | . 18 | . 16 | .17 | . 34 | . 35 | . 39 | . 32 | .36 | . 54 | . 25 | . 32 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO PIGHT) | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 21 | 20 | 8 | 7 | 159 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | -. 13 | . 13 | . 00 | . 00 | - 10 | . 00 | -. 11 | . 12 | .22 | . 24 | . 01 | -. 01 | . 05 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 22 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 16 | 289 |
| number of calm obs. | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 63 |

(Continued)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | Juty | $A \cup G$ | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MaxImum SLOPE | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| MINIMUM SLIOPE | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 29 | 27 | 31 | 24 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 349 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHJD | ccusic | ARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | 199 | 18341 | 10588 | 13099 | 2662 | -3250 | -3052 | 7602 | 2444 | 13488 | -2202 | -53 | 59866 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 27 | 31 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 25 | 349 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC YDS NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -3999 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | -5258 7 | $\begin{array}{r} -3628 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | -3453 7 | -3619 9 | $\begin{array}{r} -7665 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17087 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9505 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3749 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3442 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10675 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10569 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -82658 \\ 125 \end{array}$ |
| total rght cugic yos NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 4199 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23610 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14217 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15553 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 6280 9 | 4414 | $\begin{array}{r} 14034 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17108 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6193 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16931 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8473 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10515 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142527 \\ 134 \end{array}$ |
| METHOD 2 NET CUBIC YARDS NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | $\begin{array}{r} 28122 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14796 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | 730 26 | $\begin{array}{r} 13151 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5917 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2118 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6743 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | 1688 24 | 6269 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 21427 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | 4304 21 | $\begin{array}{r} 227 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87770 \\ 282 \end{array}$ |
| total left cubic yds Num of obs to left | $\begin{array}{r} -9171 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | -1825 7 | $\begin{array}{r} -3631 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | -3223 11 | -2429 8 | -4174 14 | $-13473$ | -5150 9 | -1235 | -1054 4 | -5715 13 | -7501 9 | -58581 127 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUSIC YOS NUM OF OZS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 37293 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16621 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4361 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96374 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | 8347 14 | 2056 11 | 6730 10 | 6839 15 | 7504 21 | $\begin{array}{r} 22481 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10019 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 7728 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 146353 \\ 155 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVEQAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES GURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEft
no sign indicates curaent movement to the right
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHOOS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF fhe "Shore protection manual" (Spy)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHJD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-509 FROM THE SDM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EGUATION 4-38) IS first calculated for only the days of the movth where wave height and ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED. THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCJLATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION 4-5OS AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED ZY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF. OOS SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

| SURF OBSERVATIONS | JAN | FED | MARCH | APRIL | May | june | JJLY | AUG | SEPT | OCt | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF OBSEQVATIONS | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 31 | 343 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.24 | 2.17 | 1.80 | 1.82 | 1.43 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.73 | 2.02 | 1.75 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 70 | . 63 | . 67 | . 72 | . 50 | . 45 | . 51 | . 65 | . 58 | . 88 | . 65 | . 26 | . 73 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 11.00 | 12.00 | 11.90 | 10.00 | 9.40 | 9.70 | 8.40 | 7.80 | 7.30 | 7.20 | 7.40 | 10.10 | 12.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 7.23 | 8.52 | 7.90 | 7.04 | 7.84 | 7.09 | 7.21 | 6.30 | 6.75 | 6.36 | 6.45 | 6.23 | 7.14 |
| Standard deviation | 1.45 | 1.39 | 1.73 | . 91 | . 96 | 1.12 | . 85 | . 65 | . 63 | . 43 | . 47 | 1.36 | 1.32 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 31 | 343 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 44.8 | 17.2 | 13.3 | 40.0 | 46.7 | 42.9 | 56.7 | 32.3 | 16.7 | 3.3 | 13.3 | 19.4 | 29.4 |
| - $\quad=0$ | 13.8 | 20.7 | 16.7 | 13.3 | 40.3 | 14.3 | 23.3 | 12.9 | 10.7 | 36.7 | 20.0 | 35.5 | 22.2 |
| $<0$ | 41.4 | 62.1 |  | 46.7 |  |  |  | 54.8 | 66.7 | 60.0 | 66.7 | 45.2 | 48.4 |
| AVG. LONS WIDTH (FT) (2) | 101 | 70 | 63 | 71 | 70 | 77 | 84 | 80 | 67 | 59 | 63 | 76 | 74 |
| Number of observaticns | 30 | 28 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 15 | 31 | 343 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECOPDED | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 16.9 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEEO (MPH) (1) | 6.1 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| number of observations | 30 | 29 | $\geq 1$ | 30 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 14 | 30 | 342 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH | 13.3 | 27.6 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 3.3 | . 0 | 16.7 | 25.8 | 7.1 | 23.3 | 11.4 |
| NORTHEAST | 16.7 | 20.7 | 9.7 | 13.3 | . 0 | 11.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 29.0 | 57.1 | 20.0 | 15.8 |
| EAST | 6.7 | .0 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 7.0 |
| Southeast | 3.3 | . 0 | 15.1 | 46.7 | 36.7 | 14.8 | 6.7 | 30.0 | 16.7 | 9.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 15.8 |
| SOUTH | 16.7 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 20.3 | 29.6 | 30.0 | 13.3 | 10.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.0 | 13.5 |
| SOUTHWEST | 10.0 | 13.8 | 35.5 | 10.0 | 26.7 | 14.8 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 21.3 |
| WEST | 16.7 | 3.4 | . 0 | . 5 | 6.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 2.6 |
| NORTHWEST | 10.0 | 20.7 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.3 | 5.8 |
| CALM | 6.7 | 10.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | . 0 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 6.7 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 56 | -. 30 | -. 47 | -. 35 | -. 27 | -. 29 | -. 54 | -. 30 | -. 14 | -. 33 | -. 31 | -. 27 | -. 37 |
| Standard deviation | . 36 | .16 | . 26 | . 26 | . 15 | .18 | . 31 | .15 | . 04 | . 00 | . 07 | . 03 | . 26 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 12 | 5 | 6 | $\varepsilon$ | 11 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 89 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 35 | .33 | . 35 | .41 | .27 | . 47 | . 26 | . 38 | . 34 | . 45 | . 49 | . 42 | . 38 |
| Standard deviation | .21 | . 23 | .19 | . 24 | . 06 | . 30 | .13 | . 16 | . 12 | . 20 | . 17 | . 19 | . 21 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (to pight | 12 | 17 | 22 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 21 | 173 |
| AVG. NET CURREVT (2) (3) | -. 10 | .13 | .17 | . 14 | -. 10 | . 07 | -. 25 | . 13 | . 29 | .42 | . 22 | . 29 | . 13 |
| NUMZER OF OBSERVATIONS | 24 | 22 | 28 | 23 | 16 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 26 | 262 |
| NUMESR OF CALM 095. | 6 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 80 |


| foreshore slope ogservatns | JAN | FEE | MAPCH | APRIL | MAY | juve | july | aus | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE（2） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| NUMBER OF OSSERVATIOVS | 30 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 15 | 31 | 347 |
| sediment transport volume METHOD 1 | ccueic rar | YARDS）（6） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | 1516 | 8617 | 6470 | 1675 | －698 | －232 | －5195 | 127 | 3658 | 3627 | 8834 | 7598 | 46017 |
| NUM OF Oaservations | 30 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 23 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 31 | 345 |
| total left cueic yds | －8201 | －2720 | －970 | －45？1 | －2210 | －3119 | －5397 | －4149 | －1201 | －207 | －1913 | －1779 | －36787 |
| NLY OF OES TO LEFT | 13 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 101 |
| total rght cusic yds | 9717 | 11338 | 7341 | 6196 | 1521 | 2356 | 701 | 4277 | 9869 | 3835 | 10747 | 9377 | 82805 |
| NUM OF 03S TO RIGHT | 13 | 1\％ | 21 | 14 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 10 | 14 | 168 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUGIC YARDS | －8691 | 4866 | 15847 | 2536 | －1791 | －407 | －5965 | 256 | 4713 | 7442 | 1148 | 5881 | 24696 |
| NuM of observations | 24 | 21 | 28 | 23 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 12 | 26 | 259 |
| Total left cubic ros Num of obs to left | $\begin{array}{r} -14605 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1211 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1683 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2500 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2932 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3294 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3018 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | -2330 9 | -192 2 | -86 1 | $\begin{array}{r} -1991 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1522 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -40419 \\ 88 \end{array}$ |
| total rght cubic yos | 5914 | 5377 | 17538 | 5096 | 1140 | 2887 | 1051 | 2636 | 4906 | 7528 | 3139 | 7404 | 65118 |
| NUM OF OFS TO RIGHT | 12 | 15 | $? 2$ | 15 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 21 | 171 |

（1）CALMS，IF ANY，INCLUDEO IN AVERAGS CALCULATION
（2）CAL＇AS NOT INCLUPED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
隹 THE FIGHT
（4）ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS．TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OE THE＂SHOPE PROTECTIJN＂ANUAL＂（SDM））ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPJRT VOLUME．NEGATIVE HALUES TMDICATE TRANSPOPT TS THE LEFT．
METHOD 1．THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJUATIONS 4－39 AND 4－5O3 FRDM THE SPY．A LJNGSYOZE EVERGY FLUX （EJUATION 4－33）IS FIRST CALCULATED FDP ONLY THE DAYS OF TME MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND AVGLE OF APPRJACH HAVE BEEN DECORDED，THEN AN AV：RAGE FLUX FOD EAGH MONTH IS GALCULATED，AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX AGE SUヨSTITUTED INTO EJUATIOV $4-50$ A AND DIVIDED $9 Y$ Y 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEOIMENT TPAASPORT VOLUMES．THE YEARLY JEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUME IS CAL－ CULATED BY SUMMINJ THE YOVTHLY VALUES．
METHOD 2．THIS METHOO IS JASED JN EQUATICNS 4－51，4－シ2，AND 4－50E FROM THE SPM，USING RECORDED OBSEAVA－ TIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT，WIDTH OF SUPF ZDNE，LONGSHORE CURRENT，AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHJRELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1．NOTE：PECENT FIVDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF ．ODS SHOULD JE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

LEO Data Summary; Sta 39099, Sunset Beach, North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 52^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 28^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 83 to 31 Dec 83

(Concluded)

|  | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | JULY | Aug | SEPT | OCt | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORESHORE SLOPE OGSERVATNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 29 | 31 | 138 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD 1 | çualc | RDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUGIC Yards | 8728 | 7532 | 13926 | -470 | -5952 | 11774 | -1484 | 894 | 3544 | 12677 | -732 | 7000 | 57427 |
| NUM OF OGSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 345 |
| total left cusic yos | -1893 | -1776 | -5290 | -9216 | -7592 | -2308 | -8334 | -6350 | -2717 | -3948 | -4102 | -2302 | -55818 |
| Num of ojs to left | 4 | 3 | 9 | 15 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 111 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YOS | 10622 | 9309 | 19206 | 8745 | 1630 | 14082 | 6849 | 7245 | 6262 | 16625 | 3369 | 9303 | 113248 |
| NUM OF O9S TO RIGHT | 22 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 150 |
| METHOD 2 NET CUEIC YARDS NUM OF OGSERVATIONS | 6579 26 | 7693 21 | 18504 20 | -581 22 | -2026 9 | 1667 23 | 877 25 | -325 23 | 536 21 | 1824 27 | 61 17 | $\begin{array}{r} -1703 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35226 \\ 254 \end{array}$ |
| total left cubic yos NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -4447 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2091 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $-1644$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3682 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2833 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | -1051 6 | -866 11 | $\begin{array}{r} -1578 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -742 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -241 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -734 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $-2624$ | $\begin{array}{r} -22333 \\ 101 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS | 11046 | 11785 | 20249 | 2901 | 806 | 2719 17 | 1743 14 | 1253 | 1278 12 | 2066 21 | 796 4 | 921 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 57563 \\ 153 \end{array}$ |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 22 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 4 | 13 | 153 |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEfT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the "Shore protection manual" (spm)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative values indicate transport to the left.
METHOD THIS METHOD IS BASED OV EQUATIONS 4-3B AND $4-50 B$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX ANGLE Of APPROACH HAVE GEEN REGORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION 4-5OB AND DIVIDED EY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHJRE GURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOG SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

| SURF OSSERVATIONS | JAN | FE | MARCA | APRIL | may | june | JULY | Aus | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 21 |  |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 3.50 | 3.59 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.85 | 1.86 | 1.79 | 1.85 | 1.66 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.42 | 1.74 | 1.77 | 2.12 | 2.14 | 1.71 |
| Standard deviation | . 06 | . 85 | . 70 | . 67 | . 63 | . 59 | . 43 | . 57 | . 81 | . 65 | . 80 | . 62 | . 73 |
| Longest period recorded | 7.10 | 6.90 | 6.80 | 7.20 | 6.90 | 6.90 | 6.70 | 6.80 | 6.70 | 6.80 | 6.70 | 6.50 | 7.20 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 6.05 | 6.93 | 5.97 | 3.11 | 6.10 | 6.27 | 0.12 | 6.22 | 6.07 | 6.04 | 5.99 | 5.97 | 6.08 |
| Standaro deviation | . 54 | . 50 | . 43 | . 40 | . 35 | . 31 | . 35 | . 25 | . 32 | . 38 | . 38 | . 26 | . 39 |
| wave directon <br> NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 21 | 352 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENGE >90 | 29.0 | 27.6 | 45.2 | 50.0 | 54.8 | 44.4 | 74.2 | 64.5 | 34.5 | 35.5 | 40.0 | 61.9 | 46.6 |
| $=90$ | 35.5 | 41.4 | 16.1 | 26.7 | 19.4 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 27.6 | 38.7 | 26.7 | 14.3 | 23.3 |
| $<90$ | 35.5 | 31.0 | 38.7 | 23.3 | 25.3 | 37.0 | 19.4 | 29.0 | 37.9 | 25.8 | 33.3 | 23.8 | 30.1 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 27 | 27 | 25 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 25 |
| NUMBER OF OSSEPVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 21 | 350 |
| WIND OBSERVATIJNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 99.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 99.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 4.4 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 16.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
| number of orservations PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 21 | 340 |
| NORTH | 35.5 | 6.0 | 16.1 | 3.4 | 20.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 20.7 | 16.1 | 37.9 | 23.8 | 15.5 |
| northeast | 19.4 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 10.3 | . 0 | 7.4 | . 0 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 9.2 |
| EAST | . 0 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 7.4 |
| southeast | 3.2 | 13.8 | 16.1 | 13.9 | . 0 | 14.8 | 16.9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.6 |
| SOUTH | 6.5 | 13.3 | 6.5 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 40.7 | 19.4 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 25.8 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 15.8 |
| SOUTHWEST | 12.9 | 27.6 | 25.8 | 24.1 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 51.6 | 45.2 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 10.3 | 23.8 | 26.6 |
| WEST | . 0 | 3.4 | 9.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.4 | . 0 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| NORTHWEST | 3.2 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 13.8 | . 0 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| CALM | 10.4 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 20.0 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 15.1 | 3.4 | 16.1 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 13.2 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 30 | -. 31 |  |  | -. 23 | -. 22 | -. 32 | -. 23 | -. 21 | -. 14 |  | -. 19 | -. 25 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 24 | . 16 | . 07 | . 10 | . 10 | . 08 | . 18 | . 09 | . 17 | . 04 | . 25 | . 07 | . 15 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 7 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 151 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 29 | . 32 | . 29 | .27 | . 23 | . 31 | . 26 | . 26 | . 35 | . 32 | .27 | .30 | . 29 |
| Standard deviation | . 13 | . 17 | . 10 | .12 | . 09 | .17 | . 06 | .10 | . 12 | .21 | . 10 | .12 | . 14 |
| NUM. OF OSS. (TO RIGHT) | 13 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 114 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 09 | -. 03 | . 06 | -. 10 | -. 07 | . 00 |  |  |  |  | . 01 | . 03 |  |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 20 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 26 | 29 | 20 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 265 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OSS. | 11 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 79 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


(1) CALMS, if any, included in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATEs Current movement to the left no sign indicates current movement to the right
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YardS. TWO METHODS COESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE
METHOD 1. THIS METHJD

- THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIOVS 4-38 AND $4-50 B$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX EEGUTION 4 IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APDROACH HAVE BEEN PECORDED. THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EJUATION $4-50 B$ AND DIVIDED EY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52. AND 4-503 FROM THE SPM USING RECORDED DBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. ANO DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF .OO6 SHOULD SE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

| SURF OBSERVATIONS | JAN |  | MARCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | July | Aug | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NuMEER OF observations | 31 | 21 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 356 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OES. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | 3.50 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.11 | 2.29 | 2.37 | 1.77 | 1.63 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 1.53 | 1.75 | 2.24 | 1.73 | 1.56 | 1.82 |
| Standard deviation | .74 | . 98 | . 37 | .73 | . 58 | . 62 | . 57 | . 80 | . 85 | . 91 | . 97 | . 62 | . 84 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 0.83 | 0.50 | 6.50 | 6.40 | 6.30 | 6.40 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 6.00 | 5.80 | 6.30 | 6.10 | 6.80 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 5.99 | 5.92 | 5.31 | 5.75 | 5.71 | 5.76 | 5.55 | 5.50 | 5.38 | 5.22 | 5.48 | 5.42 | 5.62 |
| Standard deviation | . 33 | . 31 | . 35 | . 43 | . 30 | .39 | . 25 | . 35 | . 25 | . 27 | . 40 | .33 | . 40 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NuMber of observations | 31 | 21 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 39 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 356 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 80.6 | 33.3 | 54.8 | 50.0 | 71.0 | 63.3 | 83.9 | 45.2 | 50.0 | 35.5 | 42.9 | 51.6 | 55.9 |
| $=90$ | 9.7 | 42.9 | 22.6 | 13.3 | 22.6 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 22.6 | 39.3 | 22.6 | 17.4 |
| $<90$ |  |  |  | 36.7 | 6.5 | 26.7 | 12.9 | 51.6 | 43.3 | 41.9 | 17.9 | 25.8 | 26.7 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 28 | 30 | 34 | 36 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 28 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 32 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 21 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 355 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 12.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 20.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 6.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| STANJARD DEVIATIJN | 3.0 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 21 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 354 |
| NORTH | 22.6 | 33.3 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 3.3 | . 0 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 15.1 | . 0 | 19.4 | 11.9 |
| NORTHEAST | 3.2 | . 3 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | . 0 | 16.7 | 26.7 | 12.9 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 8.5 |
| EAST | . 0 | 14.3 | 6.5 | 16.7 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 3.2 | . 0 | 20.0 | 12.9 | 3.7 | . 0 | 7.6 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 23.3 | 6.5 | 23.3 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 11.1 | . 0 | 8.2 |
| SOUTH | 9.7 | 9.5 | 12.9 | 33.3 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 19.4 | 11.1 | . 0 | 13.0 |
| SOUTHWEST | 22.5 | 14.3 | 29.0 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 16.7 | 67.7 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 25.9 | 35.5 | 27.4 |
| WEST | 9.7 | . 0 | . 2 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.7 |
| NORTHIEST | 25.8 | 4.8 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.7 | 22.6 | 6.5 |
| CALM | 5.5 | 23.8 | 15.1 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 19.4 | 37.0 | 19.4 | 13.3 |
| CURRENT OASERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 28 | -. 44 | -. 44 | -. 33 | -. 31 | -. 26 | -. 28 | -. 29 | -. 20 | -. 25 | -. 32 | -. 38 | -. 31 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 15 | . 36 | . 21 | . 16 | . 20 | . 14 | . 10 | . 10 | . 05 | . 12 | . 15 | .13 | . 16 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 24 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 169 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 38 | . 24 | . 29 | .33 | .29 | . 34 | . 22 | . 34 | .45 | . 26 | .23 | . 25 | . 30 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 07 | . 11 | . 15 | . 20 | . 14 | . 10 | . 06 | . 13 | . 17 | . 15 | . 06 | . 09 | . 15 |
| NUM. OF 03S. (TO RIGHT) | 5 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 17 | 140 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | -. 17 | . 07 | -. 06 | . 01 | -. 10 | -. 07 | -. 18 | . 0 | .19 | . 11 | -. 09 | . 02 | -. 03 |
| Number of obseqvations | 29 | 16 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 27 | 308 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 46 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | july | AUG | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Number of observations | 30 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 354 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD 1 | ccuerc | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | -17433 | -19852 | -1842 | 1750 | -6882 | -6452 | -9795 | -3312 | 4423 | 8039 | -6669 | -6970 | -64005 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 21 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 356 |
| total left cubic yos | -17893 | $-22875$ | -10483 | -6365 | -7183 | -7320 | -895? | -6977 | -6141 | -4658 | -7087 | -9282 | -115221 |
| NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | 25 | 7 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 19 | 26 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 199 |
| total rght cugic yos | 400 | 3022 | 8640 | 8116 | 300 | 367 | 161 | 3664 | 10564 | 12748 | 417 | 2311 | 51210 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 95 |
| METHJD ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| net cusic yards | -1140 | -1287 | -808 | 164 | -564 | -717 | -902 | -728 | 1277 | 1134 | -1259 | -456 | -5286 |
| Num of observations | 29 | 16 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 27 | 307 |
| total left cuaic yos | -1455 | -21s3 | -1807 | -1180 | -1065 | -1074 | -1070 | -1158 | -330 | -407 | -1656 | -1178 | -14544 |
| Num of oas to left | 24 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 168 |
| total rght cusic yos | 316 | 875 | 998 | 1344 | 501 | 356 | 168 | 429 | 1607 | 1541 | 396 | 722 | 9253 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHy | 5 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 17 | 139 |

(1) CALMS, If ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMEVT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECIION 4 Of THE "SHORE DROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 9. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES JF FLUX ARE SUESIITUTED INTO EQUATION G-SOB AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUME IS CALCULATED by SUmming the monthly values
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-5i, 4-52. AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURQENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM ( NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOS SHOULD SE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

# LEO Data Summary: Sta 39099. Sunset Beach, North Carolina 

## Latitude $33^{\circ} 52^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$,

Data Collected from 1 Jan 86 to 31 Dec 86

|  | JAN | fE 3 | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 23 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 0 | 31 0 | 28 0 | 31 0 | 23 0 | 31 0 | 349 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.09 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.12 | 1.90 | 1.54 | 1.42 | 1.21 | 1.58 | 1.64 | 1.61 | 1.25 | 1.85 | 1.76 | 1.84 | 1.83 |
| Staidoard deviation | . 61 | . 54 | . 69 | . 63 | . 58 | . 75 | . 63 | . 66 | . 53 | . 64 | . 69 | . 77 | . 70 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 5.80 | 5.70 | 5.60 | 5.70 | 5.80 | 5.80 | 5.70 | 5.90 | 5.70 | 5.90 | 5.50 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| AVG Wave Period (SEC) (1) | 5.25 | 5.23 | 5.33 | 5.27 | 5.23 | 5.13 | 5.27 | 5.25 | 5.40 | 5.37 | 5.25 | 5.41 | 5.28 |
| Standard deviation | .21 | . 20 | . 19 | . 23 | . 25 | . 24 | . 24 | . 26 | . 24 | . 26 | . 17 | . 26 | . 25 |
| WAVE DIRECTON | 30 | 28 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 340 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | 55.7 | 46.4 | 40.7 | 63.3 | 45.2 | 43.3 | 62.1 | 29.0 | 17.9 | 22.6 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 39.5 |
| $=90$ | 25.7 | 45.4 | 18.5 | 23.3 | 25.3 | 26.7 | 31.0 | 35.5 | 28.6 | 22.6 | 34.8 | 41.9 | 30.1 |
| $<90$ | 16.7 | 7.1 | 43.7 | 13.3 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 6.9 | 35.5 | 53.6 | 54.8 | 43.5 | 35.5 | 30.4 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 35 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 34 |
| NLMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 30 | $2{ }^{\circ}$ | 31 | 27 | 31 | 21 |  | 348 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS <br> HIGHEST WIND RECJRDED | 14.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 5.2 |
| Standard deviation | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| NUMBER OF D日SEZVATIONS PERCENT OCCURPENCE FROM | 30 | 23 | 23 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 34. |
| NORTH | 6.7 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | . 0 | 9.7 | 21.7 | 19.4 | 8.6 |
| Northeast | 16.7 | 3.6 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 13.8 | . 0 | 12.9 | 10.7 | 19.4 | 21.7 | 32.3 | 13.2 |
| EAST | 3.3 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 16.1 | 10.7 | $\bigcirc$ | 4.3 | 12.9 | 7.2 |
| southeast | 6.7 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 10.3 | . 0 | 16.1 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 13.2 |
| SOUTH | 3.3 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 17.2 | 27.6 | 17.4 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 4.3 | 12.9 3.2 | 32.1 |
| southwest | 23.3 | 35.7 | 39.3 | 36.7 | 48.4 | 41.4 | 59.7 | 25.8 | 50.0 | 22.6 | 4.3 | 3.2 .0 | 32.1 2.0 |
| WEST | 6.7 | 3.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | . 0 | - 0 | 3.2 | - | - 0 | 3.0 |
| NORTHWEST | 13.3 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 10.0 | . 0 | - 0 | 3.4 | . 0 | - 0 | . | 3.8 | 19.0 | 13.2 |
| CALM | 20.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 6.7 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.7 | 25.8 | 34.8 | 19.4 | 13.2 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS | -. 26 | -. 15 | -. 20 | -. 23 | -. 23 | -. 27 | -. 29 | -. 20 | -. 18 | -. 25 | -. 21 | -. 25 | -. 23 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) STANDARD DEVIATION | -. 12 | . .15 | . 207 | . 07 | .09 | . 11 | . 09 | . 08 | . 08 | . 08 | . 04 | . 06 | . 09 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 13 | 12 |  | 17 | 9 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 108 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | .26 | . 1 ? | . 21 | . 16 | . 25 | .24 | . 21 | . 25 | .23 | . 28 | . 26 | . 30 | . 24 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 07 | . 08 | . 07 | . 05 | -12 | . 09 | . 05 | . 03 | . 09 | - 09 | . 18 | - 22 | 198 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 15 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 22 |  |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2) (3) | . 01 | -. 02 | . 07 | -. 10 | . 07 | . 10 | -. 06 | . 10 | . 14 | . 16 | . 22 | . 21 | . 08 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 23 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 29 | 20 | 26 | 306 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 41 |

[^2](ConcIuded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE JBSEqVATNS | JAN | FEg | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | july | aug | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 20 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| number of observations | 31 | 29 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 350 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD : | ccubic | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yaqds | -3552 | -4129 | 1302 | -6188 | -908 | 1326 | -1854 | 5231 | 12586 | 28434 | 8789 | 2322 | 44459 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 28 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 349 |
| total left cubic yos | -9243 | -4842 | $-3398$ | -6602 | -2153 | -1722 | -2624 | -803 | -5681 | -1967 | -1876 | -1489 | -41200 |
| NuM Of oss to left | 17 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 138 |
| total rght cuaic yds | 4581 | 712 | 4790 | 413 | 1344 | 3049 | 770 | 6835 | 18268 | 30402 | 10665 | 3812 | 85661 |
| Num of oss to right | 5 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 106 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUSIC YAROS | 1560 | -113 | 3345 | -683 | 166 | 623 | -201 | 947 | 729 | 1304 | 1339 | 1474 | 10485 |
| num of observations | 28 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 29 | 18 | 26 | 303 |
| total left cubic ros Num of dos to left | -834 13 | -435 12 | -233 | -843 17 | -410 9 | -399 7 | -746 14 | -285 9 | -135 5 | -275 7 | -117 2 | -301 | -5050 108 |
| total rght cubic yos | 2394 | 373 | 3579 | 155 | 582 | 1022 | 545 | 1213 | 364 | 1580 | 1457 | 1776 | 15540 |
| NuM of oas to alght | 15 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 22 | 195 |

(1) Calms, if any included in average calculation
(1) CALMS, IF ANY INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULAT
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURAENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MJVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VGLUMES ArE GIVEN in cubic yards. TWO methods coescribed in section 4 of THE "SHORE DROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE values indicate transport to the left.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS gASEO ON EMUATIONS 4-38 AND $4-503$ from the SPM. A LONGShORE ENERGY fLUX (EQUATION $4-38$ ) IS FIRST GALCULATED FOR ONLY ThE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF ADDRJACH HAVE GEEN RECORDED, YHEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-S O B$ AND DIVIUED BY 12 TO GET THE VET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEOIMENT TRANSPJRT VOLUME IS CALCULATED OY SUMMING THE MOVTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EJUATIONS 4-Si。 $4-52$, AND $4-50$ F FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF IDNE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE DATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLJWING THE SAME PROGEDURE AS METHOD I. NOTE: RECENT FINOINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOG SHOULD EE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

|  | JAN | FE3 | MARCH | ADPIL | may | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  | 26 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 337 |
| NUMEER OF ORSERVATIONS NUMBER OF CALM OGS. | 31 0 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 26 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 4.09 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.19 | 1.76 | 1.58 | 1.22 | 1.13 | 1.48 | 1.24 | 1.61 | 1.44 | 1.89 | 1.96 | 1.72 | 1.61 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | .90 | .71 | .75 | . 40 | . 43 | . 56 | . 42 | . 59 | . 70 | . 62 | . 95 | . 99 | . 78 |
| LONGEST PERIOO QECORDED | 6.00 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 6.10 | 5.09 | 5.90 | 5.90 | 5.80 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.20 | 5.90 | 6.20 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 5.43 | 5.57 | 5.65 | 5.65 | 5.61 | 5.50 | 5.51 | 5.40 | 5.42 | 5.61 | 5.50 | 5.47 | 5.53 |
| Standard deviation | . 32 | .33 | . 31 | . 19 | . 18 | . 25 | -19 | . 24 | . 24 | . 16 | . 26 | . 20 | . 26 |
| WAVE directon |  |  |  | 30 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 337 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | $20^{25}$ | 6. 5 | 30.0 | 38.5 | 68.0 | 45.2 | 61.3 | 37.5 | 21.4 | 18.5 | 25.0 | 34.4 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >PO | 41.9 | 20.0 | 8.5 58.1 | 30.0 50.0 |  |  | 45.2 | 29.0 | 41.7 | 35.7 | 63.0 | 50.0 | 41.8 |
| $=90$ | 35.5 | 32.0 | 58.1 35.5 | 50.0 20.3 | 30.8 30.3 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 9.7 | 20.8 | 42.9 | 18.5 | 25.0 | 23.7 |
| $<90$ | 22.5 | 48.0 | 35.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG. 2ONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 36 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 34 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 336 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 14.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| Standard deviation | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 30 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 29 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 333 |
| NORTH | 20.0 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 3.3 | . 0 | 5.5 | 13.3 | . 0 | 25.9 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 11.7 |
| NORTHEAST | 16.7 | 23.0 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 12.9 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 15.0 |
| EASt | . 0 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 5.7 | 11.5 | . 0 | 9.7 | 3.4 | 29.2 | 11.1 | 14.8 | . 0 | 9.0 |
| southeast | 3.3 | . 1 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 23.1 | 20.0 | . 0 | 6.9 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 9.6 |
| SOUTH | 6.7 | . 0 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 17.2 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 9.6 |
| SOUTHWEST | 16.7 | 32.0 | 22.5 | 20.0 | 38.5 | 48.0 | 51.6 | 41.4 | 29.2 | . 0 | 7.4 | 25.0 | 27.6 |
| WEST | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 7.4 | . 0 | 1.5 |
| NORTHAEST | 0.7 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | - 3 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 5.1 |
| CALM | 26.7 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 6.7 | . 0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 22.2 | 14.3 | 10.8 |
| GURRENT OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 00 | -. 22 | -. 27 | -. 26 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 30 | -. 33 | - 00 |  |  |  | . .107 | . 12 |  | . 00 | . 04 | . 07 | . 09 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | - 08 | -14 | - 00 | - 00 | . 04 | - 8 | - 4 | - 3 | - 2 | - 0 | 3 | 7 | 46 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 10 | 3 | , |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO RIGHT(ft/SEC) (2) | . 34 | . 34 | . 25 | . 21 | . 22 | . 25 | . 23 | . 27 | .27 | . 27 | . 24 | . 26 | . 26 |
| STANDARD DEVIATIJN | . 14 | . 09 | . 10 | . 05 | . 07 | . 09 | . 07 | . 09 | - 10 | . 06 | -11 | - 08 | -19 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO QIGHT) | 21 | 21 | 25 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 14 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 229 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 14 | . 25 | . 25 | . 14 | .17 | . 04 | . 14 | . 10 | .21 | .27 | .18 | . 11 | -18 |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 16 | 24 | 22 | 25 |  |
| NUMEEP OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 62 |

[^3]| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | f® 3 | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | aug | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| NUMGER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 337 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME <br> METHOD 1 | cougic | ROS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | -3697 | 6735 | 3396 | -314 | 326 | -7919 | -3733 | -8769 | 2135 | 1227 | 13815 | 4056 | 7208 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 337 |
| total left cubic yos | -12002 | -2574 | -307 | -847 | -1127 | -7965 | -4117 | -12340 | -7421 | -2870 | -1306 | -6908 | -59806 |
| NUM Of OBS TO LEFT | 13 | j | 2 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 19 | , | 6 | 5 | 7 | 116 |
| total rght cubic yos | 8304 | 9330 | 3703 | 533 | 1455 | 45 | 334 | 3571 | 9557 | 4098 | 15122 | 10964 | 67016 |
| NUM JF OGS TO RIGHt | 7 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 80 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUSIC YARDS | 1435 | 1737 | 1426 | 464 | 850 | 373 | 351 | 884 | 1036 | 1648 | 1391 | 503 | 12108 |
| NUM OF OSSERVATIONS | 31 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 16 | 24 | 22 | 25 | 273 |
| total left cubic yds | -724 | -331 | 0 | -164 | -100 | -447 | -324 | -372 | -107 | 0 | -212 | -555 | -3336 |
| NUM OF OaS to Left | 10 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 46 |
| total rght cubic yos | 2159 | 2058 | 1426 | 628 | 961 | 821 | 675 | 1257 | 1144 | 1648 | 1604 | 1059 | 15450 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 21 | 21 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 14 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 227 |

(1) CALMS, If ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN ( - ) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
(4) NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the "Shore protection manual" (sdm)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative VALUES INDICATE TRANSDORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJUATIONS 4-38 ANO 4-SOB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR CNLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONFH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX APE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-503$ ANO DIVIDED gY 12 TO GET THE NET MUNTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED SY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHJD 2. THIS METHOD IS SASED ON EJUATIONS 4-51, 4-52. AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH JF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOS SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION $4-52$.

LEO Data Summary; Sta 39099. Sunset Beach, North Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 52^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$,
Data Collected from 1 Jan 88 to 30 Sep 88

|  | JAN | fe 3 | MARCH | APRIL | may | june | JULY | aug | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OSS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 4.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | 4.50 |
| QVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.89 | 1.54 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 1.25 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.88 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | 1.45 |
| standard deviation | . 70 | . 59 | . 52 | . 55 | . 50 | . 58 | . 25 | . 63 | . 66 | . 00 | .00 | . 00 | . 67 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 6.30 | 0.20 | 6.30 | 6.20 | 6.00 | 5.90 | 5.70 | 5.70 | 5.80 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 6.30 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 5.62 | 5.59 | 5.49 | 5.49 | 5.44 | 5.45 | 5.38 | 5.42 | 5.40 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 5.48 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 27 | .27 | . 33 | . 23 | . 27 | . 29 | . 18 | . 18 | . 26 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 27 |
| WAVE DIRECTON NUMEER OF OZSERVATIONS | 31 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 12.9 | 30.8 | 11.5 | 29.2 | 15.4 | 29.2 | 53.6 | 53.3 | 25.0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 27.6 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $\begin{aligned} & \\ &=90\end{aligned}$ | 67.7 | 61.5 | 85.4 | 62.5 | 09.2 | 62.5 | 40.4 | 40.9 | 64.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 61.0 |
| $<90$ | 17.4 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 8.3 | 15.4 | 8.3 | . 0 | 6.7 | 10.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 11.4 |
| AVG. ZONE WIOTH (FT) (2) | 32 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 27 | 15 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| WIND OgSERVATIONS |  |  |  | 12.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 14.0 |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 14.0 6.3 | 4.4 | 12.0 5.8 | 12.0 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 4.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 5.2 |
| Standard deviation | 4.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | 2.8 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 |
| percent occurrence from NORTH | 35.5 | 23.1 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 4.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | - 0 | 10.6 |
| NORTHEAST | 16.1 | . 0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 14.3 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | 5.3 |
| EAST | 5.5 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 7.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.6 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 11.5 | 4.2 | . 0 | 33.3 | 17.9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.1 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 33.3 | 30.8 | 13.3 | 7.1 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | 11.0 |
| SOUTHMEST | 16.1 | 19.2 | 25.9 | 33.3 | 53.8 | 37.5 | 61.5 | 46.7 | 28.6 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | 34.8 |
| WEST | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | - 0 | . 0 | ${ }^{.0} 5$ |
| NJRTHHEST | 9.7 | 34.6 | 14.8 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 14.3 | - 0 | - 0 | - 0 | 11.5 |
| CALM | 16.1 | 11.5 | 14.8 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 4.2 | . 0 | 6.7 | 17.9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 10.1 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | . 00 | -. 21 | . 00 | -. 17 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | -. 26 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) STANDARD DEVIATION | -.33 .12 | -.32 .02 | . .00 | -. 21.34 | .00 | . 00 | . 04 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 10 |
| Num. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| avg to righteft/sec) (2) | . 31 | . 28 | . 26 | . 26 | .22 | . 24 | . 23 | . 26 | . 26 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 26 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | .11 | . 08 | . 06 | . 06 | . 06 | . 08 | . 03 | . 06 | - 09 | . 00 | . 00 | -00 | -08 +153 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 23 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| Avg. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | . 24 | . 23 | . 26 | . 20 | .22 | . 24 | .17 | . 26 | .24 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 23 |
| NUMEER OF OESERVATIONS | 26 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |

(Conc1uded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FES | March | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUS | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Number of observations | 31 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 |
| sediment transport volume METHOD 1 | CCUBIC | ROS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET Cugic yards | -1402 | -2783 | -179 | -1302 | -759 | 263 | -2672 | -1221 | -1757 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11812 |
| num of observations | 31 | 23 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 |
| total left cubic yos NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -3755 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3361 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -654 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1692 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1398 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1613 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2672 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1643 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4732 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} -21535 \\ 63 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YOS NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 2353 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 577 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 399 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 639 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1876 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 426 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2974 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 9718 \\ 26 \end{array}$ |
| METHOD 2 NET CUBIC YARDS NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 1875 25 | 1109 22 | 6476 16 | 694 16 | 704 | 989 15 | 636 15 | 812 13 | 1166 18 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 14451 159 |
| total left cubic yds NUM OF OSS TO LEFT | -205 3 | -153 2 | 0 | -112 2 | 0 0 | 0 | -103 2 | 0 0 | -61 1 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 | -644 10 |
| total rght cubic yos | 2080 | 1273 | 3476 | 797 | 734 | 989 | 739 | 812 | 1227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15097 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 22 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 |

(1) CALMS, If any, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NJT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCPIPED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO (ALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-33 AND 4-509 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS F:RST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND angle of apprjach have géen pecorded. then an average flux for each month is calculated, and finally these monthly values of flux are sugstituted into equation m-sos and oivided by iz to get the net monthly seoiment transport volumes. the yearly sediment transport voluye is calCulated ay summing the monthly values.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS SASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-S2. AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWYNG THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

|  | JAN | FEg | March | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUS | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSEPVATIONS | 244 | 213 | 238 | 227 | 231 | 243 | 272 | 262 | 253 | 237 | 200 | 227 | 2847 |
| NUMEER OF CALM O3S. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDED | 4.50 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.98 | 1.91 | 1.83 | 1.61 | 1.53 | 1.54 | 1.55 | 1.59 | 1.69 | 1.90 | 1.84 | 1.78 | 1.72 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 78 | .79 | . 83 | . 70 | . 64 | . 60 | . 67 | .71 | . 73 | . 82 | . 82 | . 85 | . 76 |
| LONGEST DERIOD RECORDED | 15.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 11.00 | 15.20 | 10.20 | 8.80 | 10.00 | 9.00 | 8.80 | 8.70 | 15.20 | 16.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 6.41 | 0.47 | 6.35 | 6.20 | 6.33 | 6.10 | 6.05 | 6.08 | 6.01 | 5.99 | 5.97 | 6.18 | 6.17 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 1.63 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.08 | 1.49 | . 97 | . 85 | . 90 | .87 | . 82 | . 75 | 1.49 | 1.21 |
| Wave directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OSSERVATIONS | 244 | 213 | 236 | 227 | 231 | 243 | 272 | 262 | 253 | 236 | 200 | 227 | 2846 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >90 | 39.3 | 26.3 | 29.6 | 43.6 | 42.4 | 44.0 | 54.0 | 41.2 | 27.7 | 21.2 | 34.0 | 32.2 | 36.6 |
| $=90$ | 23.3 | 35.2 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 35.5 | 25.9 | 29.4 | 23.3 | 34.4 | 33.1 | 38.5 | 30.8 | 31.2 |
| $<90$ | 32.0 | 38.5 | 33.2 | 26.9 | 22.1 | 30.0 | 16.5 | 35.5 | 37.9 | 45.8 | 27.5 | 37.0 | 32.2 |
| AVG. ZONE WIOTH (FT) (2) | 56 | 50 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 53 | 57 | 57 | 50 | 53 | 47 | 48 | 52 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 246 | 209 | 238 | 225 | 233 | 241 | 271 | 260 | 249 | 237 | 197 | 227 | 2834 |
| WIND OgSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 99.0 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 34.0 | 99.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED (MPH) (1) | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS percent occurrence from | 244 | 213 | 241 | 227 | 230 | 241 | 270 | 256 | 255 | 239 | 197 | 228 | 2841 |
| NORTH | 35.9 | 30.1 | 13.4 | 9.4 | 13.3 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 21.5 | 14.4 |
| NORTHEAST | 17.3 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 14.5 | 20.5 | 29.3 | 17.3 | 18.9 | 13.8 |
| EAST | 4.7 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 6.9 | 13.8 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 11.8 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 9.2 |
| SOUTHEAST | 2.0 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 25.6 | 19.4 | 12.9 | 5.9 | 25.1 | 16.4 | 5.0 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 11.8 |
| SOUTH | 5.7 | 6.6 | 13.7 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 35.4 | 28.1 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 10.9 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 15.5 |
| SOUTHWEST | 25.5 | 28.7 | 38.5 | 35.3 | 52.5 | 45.9 | 67.3 | 54.2 | 34.7 | 12.1 | 19.8 | 24.1 | 37.6 |
| WEST | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 4 | . 3 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| NORTHWESt | 13.4 | 22.4 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 5.5 | 3.9 | . 7 | . 4 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 7.5 |
| CALM | 13.5 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 20.3 | 13.2 | 11.8 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| avg to left (fitsec) (2) | -. 38 | -. 27 | -. 32 | -. 28 | -. 26 | -. 29 | -. 39 | -. 35 | -. 28 | -. 29 | -. 35 | -. 30 | -. 32 |
| Standard deviation | . 24 | . 18 | . 19 | . 18 | . 16 | . 18 | . 27 | . 24 | . 21 | . 19 | . 25 | . 15 | . 22 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 90 | 48 | 62 | 87 | 74 | 86 | 115 | 76 | 49 | 36 | 62 | 58 | 863 |
| AVG to righteftsec) (2) | . 34 | .32 | . 30 | . 29 | .27 | . 35 | . 36 | .39 | . 40 | . 39 | . 38 | . 33 | . 35 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | -19 | . 18 | $\cdot 17$ | . 18 | . 14 | . 22 | . 25 | . 28 | . 28 | . 25 | . 28 | . 19 | . 23 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 124 | 123 | 135 | 95 | 94 | 109 | 105 | 131 | 152 | 152 | 87 | 131 | 1438 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2) (3) | . 04 | . 15 | . 10 | . 02 | . 03 | . 07 | -. 03 | . 07 | . 24 | . 28 | . 08 | . 14 | . 10 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 214 | 171 | 197 | 182 | 153 | 195 | 220 | 227 | 201 | 188 | 149 | 189 | 2301 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 31 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 64 | 44 | 50 | 34 | 51 | 46 | 47 | 37 | 533 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Conc1uded)

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDIGATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE SIVEV IN CUQIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the "Shore protection manual" (SPM)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative Valuss indicate transoort to the left.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED OY EQUATIONS 4-39 AND 4-509 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FDR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT ANO ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE GEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALGULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MJNTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTEO INTO EJUATION $4-50 J$ ANO DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEAPLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHJD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJJATIONS 4-5i, 4-52. AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVA TIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE GURRENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOS 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOS SHOULD SE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach, South Carolina

## Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\circ} 58.2^{\prime \prime}$.

Data Collected from 20 May 80 to 31 Dec 80

(ConcIuded)

| Foreshore slope observatns | JAN | FE. | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUG | SSPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum slope | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 1.4 |
| Number of observations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 223 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME (CUSIC YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METHJD 1 , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27551 | -96314 | -1776 | -328 | -4587 | 11373 | 22468 | 15309 | -26806 |
| num of ogservations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 27 | 27 | 206 |
| total left cusic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8420 | -104024 | -17527 | -9719 | -8228 | -10670 | -9029 | -5904 | -173519 |
| Num of obs to left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 82 |
| total rght cueic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35971 | 7210 | 15751 | 9390 | 3639 | 22043 | 31496 | 21214 | 146714 |
| NuM of OBS to right | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 45 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7134 | -32829 | -17137 | 3741 | -1603 | 24769 | 3171 | 7607 | -14415 |
| NUM Of OSSERVATIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 23 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 125 |
| total left cuaic yos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -7315 | -39826 | -23389 | -5044 | -6166 | -6964 | -5487 | -2312 | -96503 |
| NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 77 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUBIC YDS NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 181 1 | 6996 3 | 6252 | 8756 5 | 4563 8 | 31734 | 13659 12 | 9920 | 82091 |

(1) CALMS, If any, INCLUDED in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) IND.CATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE PIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YAROS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHOPE PROTECTION MANJAL" (SPY)) ARE USED TO GALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
*. TOD 1. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EOUATIONS 4-3B AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE BEEN RECCRDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES JF FLUX ADE SUBSTITU GET THE NET MONTHLY SEOIMENT MCANSPORT VOLUMES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-59, 4-52, AND 4-508 FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT. WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND OISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM TIONS FRICTION FACTOR OF. 006 SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

## LEO Data Summary; Sta 48002, Cherry Grove Beach, South Carolina

Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\circ} 58^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 81 to 31 Dec 81

|  | JAN |  | MAPCH | APRIL | May | June | JULY | aug | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OSSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of observations | 23 | 22 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 344 |
| number of calis oas. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECORDEO | 1.50 | 4.50 | 5.50 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 1.50 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIUHT(FT) (1) | . 80 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.01 | . 83 | . 94 | 1.27 | 1.36 | 1.15 | 1.43 | 1.43 | 1.53 | 1.18 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 32 | . 95 | 1.04 | . 55 | . 63 | .37 | .62 | . 67 | 1.05 | 73 | 1.05 | . 99 | . 83 |
| lungest oeriod recorted | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.73 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 4.28 | 4.34 | 4.71 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.10 | 3.93 | 4.26 |
| Standard deviation | . 25 | . 23 | .is | . 32 | . 82 | . 24 | . 33 | . 23 | . 25 | . 24 | . 80 | 1.09 | . 58 |
| Wave directon Number of orservations | 23 | 22 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 339 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE >OC | 34.8 | 9.1 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 27.6 | 53.6 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 20.7 | 28.6 | 25.1 |
| PERCNT OCCURENE $\begin{aligned} & =00\end{aligned}$ | 53.5 | 53.5 | 60.0 | 63.3 | 60.0 | 58.6 | 35.7 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 46.7 | 48.3 | 50.0 | 51.6 |
| $<70$ | 8.7 | 27.3 | 13.3 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 63.3 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 31.0 | 21.4 | 23.3 |
| AVG. LONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | $6 ?$ | 75 | 30 | 98 | 30 | 100 | 138 | 150 | 124 | 158 | 169 | 184 | 119 |
| NUMESR OF ORSERVATIONS | 23 | 24 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 342 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 16.0 | 29.0 | 11.0 | 29.0 |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 12.0 | 15.0 |  | 10.0 3.5 | 13.0 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 18.0 5.9 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.7 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.1 4.5 | 3.5 3.1 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | $2 ?$ | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM NORTH | 6.5 | 10.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | 6.5 | 2.5 |
| NORTHEAST | 29.0 | 32.1 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.2 | 46.7 | 23.3 | 35.5 | 30.0 | 32.3 | 20.9 |
| EASt | . 0 | 7.1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.3 | ${ }^{16.0}$ | . 0 | . 0 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| SOUTHEASt | . 0 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 9.9 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 23.3 | 3.2 | 50.0 | 25.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 19.4 | . 0 | - 0 | 11.3 |
| SOUTHWEST | 22.5 | 7.1 | 19.4 | 23.3 | 22.6 | 16.7 | 48.4 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 18.4 |
| WEST | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 8 | 3.6 |
| NORTHWEST | 6.5 | 3.6 | . 0 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . | 25.8 | 3.6 |
| calm | 35.5 | 28.6 | 48.4 | 30.0 | 51.5 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 13.3 | 50.0 | 19.4 | 40.7 | 19.4 | 31.3 |
| CURRENT OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 44 | -. 20 | -. 25 | -. 22 | -. 33 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 28 | -. 33 | -.39 .23 | -. 4.44 | -.33 .20 | -. 27 | -.39 .13 | . .12 | . 20 | . 06 | . 15 | . 11 | . 20 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | -10 | -12 3 | - 8 | - 7 | - 8 | 8 | 15 | 5 | , | 4 | 8 | 9 | 87 |
|  | . 25 | . 34 | . 33 | .27 | . 25 | . 33 | . 25 | . 25 | . 20 | . 35 | . 26 | . 23 | . 28 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 06 | . 11 | . 25 | . 14 | . 05 | . 06 | . 12 | . 11 | . 05 | . 08 | . 16 | . 14 | -13 |
| NuM. Of OES. (TO RIGHT) | 4 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 5 | , | 3 | 20 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 102 |
| AVG. NET CURRENT (2)(3) | -. 12 | . 21 | -. 14 | -. 11 | -. 11 | -. 07 | -. 29 | .11 | . 06 | .22 | . 02 | . 00 | .00 |
| NUMESR OF OBSERVATIONS | 13 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 18 |  |
| Number of calm obs. | 18 | 12 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 174 |

(Conc1uded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | $J A N$ | FEB | MARCH | APRIL | May | June | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $?$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD 1 | (CU:ic | $4 R 0 S)(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUGIC YaODS | -1155 | 13237 | 5776 | -1157 | -7375 | -786 | -5273 | 163 | -9827 | 4830 | 3739 | -3175 | -1964 |
| Num of ogservaticns | こ5 | 22 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 341 |
| total left cubic yos | -1426 | -504 | -7728 | . -2434 | -7564 | -1887 | -9796 | -6310 | -11583 | -2615 | -7695 | -7054 | -65586 |
| NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | 9 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 86 |
| total rght cuaic ros | 261 | 13741 | 13504 | 1277 | 187 | 1101 | 2512 | 6474 | 1756 | 7496 | 11435 | 3879 | 63623 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 79 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | -615 | 6432 | 5720 | -402 | -18014 | -1553 | -17044 | 555 | -16171 | 15798 | 20650 | -1424 | -6158 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 13 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 189 |
| total left cugic yds NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -1091 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | -485 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -9890 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3974 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18782 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3030 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18591 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | -9342 | $\begin{array}{r} -23580 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | -2167 4 | $\begin{array}{r} -18310 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11406 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -119638 \\ 87 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAC RGHT CUBIC YDS NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 475 | $\begin{array}{r} 6917 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | 14500 | 3481 7 | 767 5 | 1477 | 1547 | 9898 20 | 7408 11 | 17966 13 | 38981 | 9982 | $\begin{array}{r} 113479 \\ 102 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALYS, If any, included in average calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVEqAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE PIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUEIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULAYE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE values indicate transport to the left.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED JN EQUATIONS 4-38 AND $4-50 B$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE SEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-503$ AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS GALCULATED EY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM Shoreline and following the same procedure as method i. note: recent findings indicate a FRICTION FACTOR OF. 000 SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION $4-52^{\circ}$.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach. South Carolina

Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58.2^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 82 to 31 Dec 82

|  | JAN | FE 3 | MARCH | APRIL | May | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OSSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 30 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 359 |
| NuMger of calm oss. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| highest wave pecorded | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 6.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 6.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 1.56 | 2.05 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 1.19 | 1.88 | 1.69 | 1.68 | 1.37 | 2.16 | 2.22 | 2.42 | 1.81 |
| Standard deviation | 1.02 | . 79 | . 6 ? | 1.19 | .60 | 1.17 | 1.27 | . 86 | . 55 | 1.44 | . 78 | . 81 | 1.04 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.53 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 4.12 | 4.34 | 4.14 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.43 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.23 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.29 | 4.28 |
| Standard deviation | .8? | . 23 | . 26 | . 27 | . 81 | . 25 | .28 | .90 | . 25 | . 84 | . 26 | . 25 | . 53 |
| WAVE DIRECTON NUMBER OF OZSERVATIONS | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 355 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 20.7 | 17.9 | 6.9 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 37.9 | 48.3 | 23.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 22.6 | 20.3 |
|  | 51.7 | 50.0 | 62.1 | 53.3 | 53.3 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 46.7 | 63.3 | 60.0 | 36.7 | 41.9 | 50.7 |
| $<0$ | 27.6 | 32.1 | 31.0 | 30.0 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 6.9 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 35.5 | 29.0 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (ET) (2) | 175 | 213 | 156 | 243 | 158 | 222 | 220 | 224 | 169 | 279 | 271 | 296 | 220 |
| NUM3ER OF OBSERVATIONS | 29 | 28 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 355 |
| WIVD OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 17.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 4.0 | 22.0 6.6 | 10.0 3.4 | 22.0 6.4 | 25.0 5.6 | 16.0 4.6 | 10.0 3.7 | 22.0 6.1 | 18.0 5.1 | 12.0 5.1 | 25.0 5.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED (MPH) (1) | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 6.6 7 | 3.4 | 6.4 6.4 | 5.6 6.3 | 4.6 4.2 | 3.7 3.0 | 6.1 | 5.1 5.1 | 5.1 4.1 | 5.0 5.2 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 3.0 30 | 7.1 31 | 5.1 30 | 4.1 | 5.2 365 |
| NUMGER OF OGSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| NORTH | . 0 | 10.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.6 |
| NORTHEASt | 45.2 | 35.7 | 35.5 | 3.3 | 9.7 | . 0 | 3.2 | 19.4 | 50.0 | 32.3 | 43.3 | 48.4 | 27.1 |
| EASt | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 8 |
| SOUTHEASt | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 12.9 | 23.3 | 6.5 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 16.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | 6.5 | 7.4 |
| SOUTHWEST | 9.7 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 19.4 | 33.3 | 35.5 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 15.1 |
| WEST | 9.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | 1.1 |
| NORTHWEST | . 0 | 17.9 | 15.1 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 |
| CALM | 32.3 | 25.0 | 32.3 | 43.3 | 35.5 | 30.0 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 30.0 | 48.4 | 43.3 | 29.0 | 35.1 |
| CURRENT OGSERVATIONS |  | -. 20 | -. 14 | -. 35 | -. 15 | -. 41 | -. 28 | -. 21 | -. 27 | -. 23 | -. 42 | -. 21 | -. 25 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | -. .105 | . .09 | . 03 | . 16 | . 02 | . 28 | . 21 | .13 | . 05 | . 13 | .00 | . 07 | . 18 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 15 | , | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 80 |
| avg to right (fi/sec) (2) | .13 | . 20 | . 16 | . 28 | .13 | . 28 | . 15 | . 26 | . 16 | .26 | . 24 | .21 | .21 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 07 | . 12 | . 05 | .17 | . 01 | .08 | . 02 | . 09 | . 06 | . 17 | . 07 | . 05 | .117 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 11 | 117 |
| Ave. Net current (2)(3) | . 03 | . 09 | .07 | -. 03 | -. 07 | -. 17 | -. 23 | . 02 | .11 | . 21 | .21 | . 00 | . 02 |
| NUM3ER OF OBSERVATIONS | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 197 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OBS. | 14 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 168 |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | J 4 N | fee | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| NUMSER OF OBSETVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| sediment transport volume YETHOD 1 | CCU3IC | ODS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CLEIC YARD | -90 | 5726 | 4375 | -1924 | 950 | -32182 | -26:73 | 1774 | 2823 | 18585 | 15557 | 1005 | -7567 |
| NUM OF O3SEFVATİNS | 27 | 28 | 23 | 32 | 30 | 29 | $2 \geqslant$ | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 355 |
| tatal left cjaic yos | -4323 | -4075 | -317 | -13767 | $-1703$ | -35446 | -26543 | -7133 | -1139 | -19035 | -2151 | -10575 | -127403 |
| num of C3s io leaf | - | 5 | ? | 5 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 72 |
| total aght cusic yju | 4729 | 10333 | 5213 | 11562 | 2743 | 3263 | 364 | 8908 | 3963 | 37721 | 18708 | 11580 | 119837 |
| NuM Of OYS TO GIGHt | 3 | 9 | , | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 103 |
| METHOD 2 NET CUEIC YAPDS | -3175 | 16473 | 4234 | -17842 | 1263 | -120928 | $-145851$ | 2449 | 4897 | 139502 | 29635 | -6865 | -95938 |
| Num of oss mrvations | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 196 |
| total left cueic yas | -9990 | -5885 | -1793 | -61505 | -3057 | -127996 | $-146357$ | -19319 | -1809 | $-11260$ | -7278 | -20765 | -416014 |
| NUM OF OFS TJ LEFT | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 79 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUSIC YOS | 5814 | 22358 | 6047 | 43662 | 4321 | 7067 | 755 | 21768 | 6706 | 150762 | 36913 | 13900 | 320073 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 11 | 117 |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVEQAGE CALCULATION
(2) GALMS NOT INCLUDED IA AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO ThE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TPANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORY VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSDORT TO THE LEFT.
METHJD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJUATIONS 4-38 AND $4-50 B$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED for only the days of the month where wave heiaht and ANGLE OF APDROACH KAVE GEEN RECJRDED. THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED. AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES CF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION 4-SOB AND DIVIDED $9 Y$ Y 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUME IS CALCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-SOS FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND fOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINOINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . OOG SHOULD SE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

LEO Data Summary; Sta 48002, Cherry Grove Beach, South Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\circ} 58.2^{\prime \prime}$,
Data Collected from 1 Jan 83 to 31 Dec 83

(Concluded)

| FCRESHORE SLOPE OGSERVATNS | JAN | FER | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JJUY | AU3 | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLIOPE | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 3.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| NUMSER OF OSSERVATIONS | 31 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | + 30 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 43 | 3.6 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME | ccuelc r | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METHOD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUSIC YARDS | 30377 | 40499 | 3709 | -6395 | -17889 | 3696 | -15110 | -9339 | -1982 | -9299 | -24840 | -17290 | -18864 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 364 |
| total left cueic yos | -3678 | -4606 | -17340 | -19381 | -30809 | -9402 | -15110 | -10755 | -10192 | -18476 | -28456 | -31162 | -199367 |
| NuM OF OBS TO LEft | 3 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 119 |
| total rght cueic yos | 34055 | 45105 | 21049 | 12984 | 12919 | 18099 | 0 | 1416 | 8209 | 9177 | 3615 | 13871 | 180500 |
| NUY Of OBS TO RIGHt | 16 | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 83 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YARDS | 56055 | 170379 | 7507 | -53275 | -58610 | -1609 | -57355 | -23256 | -7050 | 55808 | 6131 | -21694 | 82531 |
| Num of cbservations | 18 | 14 | 18 | 21 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 217 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC YOS NUM OF OGS TJ LEFT | $\begin{array}{r} -5346 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | -5736 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -70108 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -73837 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -83 c 56 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | -51771 | $\begin{array}{r} -57355 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -26793 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $-19705$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2262 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -31385 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -53305 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -481709 \\ 114 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL PGHT CUEIC YDS NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 61902 15 | 185606 19 | 77615 7 | 20531 | 24445 | 50161 13 | 0 | 3537 1 | 12655 | 58070 15 | 37517 | $\begin{array}{r} 32110 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 564239 \\ 103 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALYS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERASE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NCT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARF GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE

METHOD 1. THIS METHJD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS $4-38$ ANO $4-509$ FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APGROACH HAVE GEEN RECOFDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED. AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUSSTITUTED INTO EOUATION 4-5OB AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO get the net monthly sediment transport volumes. the yearly sediment transport volume is calCULATED BY SUMMING THE MCNTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-50S FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS CF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, ANO DISTANGE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF .OOG SHOULD JE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach. South Carolina

Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58.2^{\prime \prime}$,
Data Collected from 1 Jan 84 to 31 Dec 84

| Surf ozservations | JAN | FE 3 | MARCH | APRIL | may | June | JULY | aus | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number of observattons | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | 4.50 | 4.59 | 8.50 | 5.50 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 8.50 | 5.50 | 5.00 | 3.50 | 8.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.76 | 2.48 | 2.77 | 2.93 | 2.85 | 2.02 | 2.98 | 2.83 | 3.32 | 2.35 | 3.15 | 2.21 | 2.71 |
| STANDARD DEVIATIJN | . 83 | 1.12 | 1.55 | 1.07 | . 93 | . 64 | . 56 | . 67 | 1.80 | 1.04 | .72 | . 72 | 1.10 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDEO | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| avg wave period (SEC) (1) | 4.34 | 4.53 | 4.10 | 4.02 | 4.21 | 4.48 | 4.35 | 4.42 | 4.08 | 4.24 | 4.13 | 4.40 | 4.28 |
| StANDARD DEVIATIJN | . 27 | . 41 | -3? | . 35 | . 35 | . 09 | . 23 | . 18 | . 45 | .31 | . 22 | . 20 | . 34 |
| WAVE DIRECTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OGSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 3.9 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>70$ | 41.9 | 20.7 | 33.7 | 13.3 | 35.5 | 10.0 | 54.8 | 32.3 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 30.0 | 41.7 | 28.4 |
| =90 | 48.4 | 48.3 | 32.3 | 70.0 | 38.7 | 70.0 | 41.9 | 61.3 | 40.0 | 45.2 | 50.0 | 58.1 | 50.3 |
| $<0$ | 9.7 | 31.0 | 29.0 | 15.7 | 25.8 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 53.3 | 41.9 | 20.0 | . 0 | 21.3 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 324 | 303 | 325 | 348 | 327 | 238 | 333 | 274 | 375 | 283 | 355 | 256 | 312 |
| NUMGER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED | 13.0 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 6.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 |
| AVG. WINO SPEED(MPH) (1) | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 |
| Standard deviation | 3.5 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.2 |
| NUMBER OF OSSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 |
| NORTHEAST | 16.1 | 6.9 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 36.7 | 35.5 | 16.7 | 22.6 | 15.4 |
| EAST | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 |
| SOUTHEASt | . 0 | 3.4 | . 0 | . 0 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 16.1 | . 0 | 26.7 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.0 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 12.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | . 0 | 4.9 |
| southwest | 15.1 | 27.5 | 32.3 | 2. 3 | 35.5 | 13.3 | 45.2 | 19.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 23.2 |
| WEST | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 |
| NORTHWEST | 32.3 | 10.3 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 33.3 | . 0 | 6.8 |
| CALM | 32.3 | 51.7 | 41.0 | 43.3 | 35.5 | 53.3 | 25.8 | 61.3 | 33.3 | 48.4 | 20.0 | 54.8 | 41.8 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 25 | -. 43 | -. 33 | -. 22 | -. 33 | -. 23 | -. 26 | -. 13 | -. 19 | -. 20 | -. 36 | -. 26 | -. 29 |
| Standard deviation | . 03 | . 20 | . 16 | . 05 | . 15 | . 08 | . 10 | . 04 | . 04 | . 02 | . 11 | . 06 | . 13 |
| num. of OaS. (TO LEFT) | 4 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 92 |
| AVG TO RIGHT (FT/SEC) (2) |  | . 30 | . 28 | .41 | . 18 | . 23 | . 20 | . 20 | . 40 | . 28 | .37 | . 30 | . 30 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 05 | . 09 | . 11 | . 16 | . 05 | . 07 | . 00 | . 04 | . 20 | . 10 | - 12 | . 05 | . 14 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 16 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 109 |
| AVg. Net curaent (2)(3) | .14 | . 02 | -. 03 | . 10 | -. 11 | . 08 | -. 23 | -. 02 | . 34 | .19 | -. 04 | . 04 | .03 |
| NU.MBER OF OBSERVATIJNS | 20 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 21 | 9 | 22 | 12 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 201 |
| Number of calm obs. | 11 | 13 | 9 | 18 | 10 | 21 | 。 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 165 |

(Conc1uded)

|  | JAN | feb | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JuLY | aue | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | $\delta$ |
| Minimum slope | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| NUMEER OF OESERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | ? 0 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPJRT VOLUME METHJD 1 | ccueic | YADDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUEIC YARD: | $-16378$ | 2413 | -30904 | 12036 | -214>0 | 1017 | -24405 | -8756 | 90154 | 17768 | -11765 | -16267 | -5657 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| total left cuaic yos | -17590 | -16963 | -4266? | -5313 | $-23793$ | -3954 | $-27312$ | -12255 | -2927 | -3228 | -24809 | -16267 | -203878 |
| NUM OF OBS TO LEFT | 13 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 104 |
| total rght cugic yos | 3211 | 19276 | 11757 | 18255 | 7322 | 4871 | 2907 | 3498 | 93081 | 20996 | 13043 | 0 | 198217 |
| NUM OF OBS to fight | 3 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 78 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC YAPDS | 22121 | 790 | -78061 | 47039 | $-56580$ | 3406 | -42903 | -820 | 225136 | 33651 | 4426 | 11974 | 170229 |
| num of observations | 20 | 10 | 21 | 12 | $21$ | 9 | 22 | 12 | $22$ | 16 | 16 | 13 | 200 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUSIC YOS NUM OF OSS TO LEFT | -7186 | -33110 | $\begin{array}{r} -94442 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | -15867 | $\begin{array}{r} -63304 \\ 1 ? \end{array}$ | -8948 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -45450 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10520 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | -2465 | -4196 3 | -34279 9 | -11078 6 | $\begin{array}{r} -335845 \\ 91 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL RGHT CUAIC yos | 29308 | 39901 | 15381 | 62907 | 6724 | 12355 | 2547 | 9700 | 227659 | 37848 | 38706 | 23053 | 506081 |
| NUM OF O3S TO RIGHT | 16 | 10 | \% | 6 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 109 |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDCD IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT YJVEMENT TO THE LEFT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IV CUEIC YARDS. TWO METMODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the "SHORE DROTECTION MANJAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPDRT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS SASED OV EQUATIOVS 4-38 AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSYORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-3 ) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOQ ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPRCACH HAVE BEEN RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION G-5O3 AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED GY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS 3ASED ON EQUATIONS 4-5i, 4-52, AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND fOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1 . NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF. OO6 SHOULD GE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach. South Carolina

Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$.
Data Collected from 1 Jan 85 to 31 Dec 85

(Concluded)

|  | J AN | FEe | MARCH | APRIL | May | June | july | AUG | SEPT | OCT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreshore slope odservatns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum slope | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| minimum slope | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| average slope (2) | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| NUMEER OF OESERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD 1 | coubic | YARDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VET CUBIC Yards | -36051 | -44077 | -1954 | -16351 | 1245 | -9704 | -23697 | -580 | 25049 | 21675 | 6934 | -222 | -77733 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| total left cubic yds | -37463 | -46437 | -15503 | -20803 | -7104 | -15466 | -25622 | -7895 | -776 | -2309 | -5318 | -7451 | -192277 |
| NuM Of cas to Left | 17 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 14 |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 194 |
| total pght cuaic yos | 1411 | 2479 | 13548 | 4542 | 8350 | 5762 | 1925 | 7305 | 25825 | 23985 | 12252 | 7228 | 114542 |
| NUM OF 035 TO RIGHT | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 84 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUSIC YARDS | -53122 | -74882 | 2065 | -31053 | 1124 | $-8599$ | $-51728$ | $-3727$ | $33794$ | $52049$ | $14100$ | $-5376$ | $-131065$ |
| Num of observations | 20 | 22 | 10 | $14$ | $11$ | $15$ | $19$ | $18$ | $20$ | $19$ | $15$ | $15$ | $207$ |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC ydS NuM Of OBS TO LEFt | $\begin{array}{r} -57074 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $-77344$ | -25959 12 | $\begin{array}{r} -43733 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17658 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | -21948 11 | $\begin{array}{r} -54146 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $-18621$ | -192 1 | $\begin{array}{r} -3258 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $-10565$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13543 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -344041 \\ 116 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL QGHT CUBIC YOS NUM Of OBS TORIGHT | 3951 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 2651 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28025 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 11769 | 18782 | 13348 | 2418 3 | 9893 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 33987 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | 55308 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 24666 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | 8167 | $212975$ |

(1) CALMS, IF any, INCLUDED in averaje calculation
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TJ THE LEFT

No SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPN)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TO THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-38 AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND
 GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CAL culated by summing the monthly values. METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS SASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-50B FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVA TIONS JF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD GE USED IN EOUATION $4-52$.

## LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach. South Carolina

## Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\circ} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58.2^{\prime \prime}$

Data Collected from 1 Jan 86 to 31 Dec 86

|  | JAN | FEq | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | Juty | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF C3SERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of observations | 31 | 23 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
| NUMEER OF CALM ORS. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE PECERDED | 4.50 | 3.517 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 6.50 | 6.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.44 | 2.23 | 2.19 | 2.13 | 2.19 | 2.23 | 2.26 | 2.53 | 2.23 | 2.40 | 2.98 | 2.48 | 2.36 |
| Standard deviation | . 80 | . 57 | . 65 | - 5 | . 39 | . 74 | . 72 | . 54 | . 68 | . 64 | . 66 | . 94 | . 75 |
| LONGEST DERIOD RECORDED | 4.50 | 4.53 | 5.03 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIJO(SEC) (1) | 4.34 | 4.61 | 4.41 | 4.65 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.38 | 4.37 | 4.43 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.44 | 4.40 |
| Standard deviation | . 23 | .12 | .23 | . 24 | . 21 | .74 | . 31 | . 21 | . 17 | . 15 | . 22 | . 21 | . 29 |
| WAVE directon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NuMger of observations | 31 | 28 | 32 | 39 | ミ1 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
|  | 45.2 | 17.9 | 9.4 | 63.3 | 48.4 | 36.7 | 64.5 | 35.5 | 3.3 | 13.0 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 29.1 |
| $=20$ | 41.9 | $60 . ?$ | 62.5 | 33.3 | 33.7 | 40.0 | 32.3 | 48.4 | 50.0 | 43.3 | 27.6 | 71.0 | 45.9 |
|  | 12.9 | 21.4 | 28.1 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 23.3 | 3.2 | 16.1 | 40.7 | 46.7 | 65.5 | 22.6 | 25.0 |
| AVG. ZONE WICTA (FT) (2) | 256 | 246 | 239 | 243 | 239 | 256 | 254 | 269 | 253 | 268 | 336 | 283 | 262 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| WIND O9SERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND RECURDED | 10.0 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 3.0 2.8 | 9.0 |  |
| AVG. WIND SPEEO (MPH) (1) | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 2.9 | 1.4 2.7 | 3.1 3.2 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 23 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NORTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 3 |
| northeast | 3.2 | 28.6 | 15.6 | 5.7 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 12.9 | 46.7 | 41.9 | 43.3 | 16.1 | 18.0 |
| EAST | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | 21.9 | 3.3 | . 0 | 20.0 | . 0 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| SCUTH | 0.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 9.7 | - 0 | 3.2 | 6.0 |
| southwest | 29.0 | 14.3 | 15.6 | 33.3 | 38.7 | 30.0 | 54.3 | 38.7 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | . 0 | 22.7 |
| WEST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 5 |
| northwest | 25.9 | 7.1 | . 0 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 6.0 |
| CALM | 32.3 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 34.7 | 41.9 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 35.5 | 46.7 | 38.7 | 46.7 | 74.2 | 42.3 |
| CURRENT OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG to Left (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 29 | -. 18 | -. 24 | -. 25 | -.26 .09 | -.30 .10 |  |  |  |  | . 27 | . 00 | . 10 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION NUM. OF OES. (TO LEFT) | . 14 | . 05 | . 08 | -119 | - 15 | $\cdot 11$ | 19 -17 | - 11 | -1 | -03 | - 2 | 1 | 108 |
| AVG to right (ft/SEC) (2) | . 22 | . 18 | . 26 | . 18 | . 23 | . 26 | . 28 | . 23 | .23 | .19 | . 24 | .21 | . 23 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 06 | . 05 | . 06 | .21 | .10 | . 07 | . 00 | . 03 | . 05 | . 06 | . 09 | .13 | . 08 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 9 | 95 |
| Avg. NEt current (2)(3) | -. 15 | .010 | . 96 | -. 21 | -. 16 | -. 10 | -. 25 | -. 03 | . 20 | . 13 | . 20 | -17 | -.03 |
| NUMSER OF OESERVATIONS | 17 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 203 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OBS. | 12 | 16 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 21 | 163 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OBSERVATNS | JAN | FE3 | YARCH | , APQIL | May | June | July | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| minimum slope | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 4.5 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| NUMBER OF OBSFRVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME (CUBIC YARDS)(4) METHOD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUPIC YaRts | -19153 | -1034 | 5366 | -19720 | -11376 | -3605 | -18551 | -3883 | 17723 | 13869 | 30426 | 27125 | 17897 |
| NUM OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 28 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 364 |
| total left cuaic yos | -22014 | -6579 | -4116 | -20251 | -14455 | -11726 | -20033 | -11700 | -531 | -2386 | -4451 | -1713 | -120855 |
| NuM of 03S TO LEFT | 14 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 106 |
| TOTAL FGHT CUGIC yos | 3960 | 5545 | 9483 | 531 | 3078 | 3120 | 2082 | 7817 | 13254 | 16256 | 34877 | 23839 | 138742 |
| NUM OF OSS TO RIGHT | 4 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 19 | 7 | 91 |
| METHOD 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUAIC YARDS | $-22039$ | -1445 | 3396 | -20860 | -18016 | -12235 | -25738 | $-3032$ | 25944 | 15557 | 37782 | 59686 | 29129 |
| NUM OF OESERVATIONS | 19 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 203 |
| total left củic ros | $-28082$ | $-9711$ |  | $-31004$ | $-22378$ | $-22394$ | $-28519$ | -16792 | -377 | -2713 | -4618 | -1706 | -180042 |
| NUY OF OSS TO LEFT | $14$ | $6$ | $6$ | $10$ | $15$ | $11$ | $19$ | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 108 |
| total qght cubic yos NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 5072 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $3265$ | 14955 | 1233 | 4362 4 | 10158 6 | $2891$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12860 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26321 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18270 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42400 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | 61392 | $\begin{array}{r} 209169 \\ 95 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS. IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUJED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEfT

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGMT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUYES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VCLUME. NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE TRANSOORT TO THE LEET.
METHOO 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EJUATIONS 4-3. AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-3B) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE ZEEN RECORDED. THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, ANO FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EQUATION $4-50 B$ AND DIVIDED BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VCLUME IS CAL
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-5i, 4-52, AND $4-503$ FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTOR OF . 006 SHOULD BE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

|  | JAN | FE3 | MAPCH | APRIL | MAY | June | JULY | aug | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| number of observations | 31 | 23 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OGS. | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| highest wave recorded | a. 00 | 5.00 | 6.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 5.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 8.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 3.42 | ?. 83 | 3.23 | 2.05 | 2.58 | 3.08 | 2.32 | 2.71 | 2.39 | 1.68 | 2.57 | 2.35 | 2.73 |
| standard deviaticin | 1.20 | 1.12 | . 27 | . 72 | . 74 | . 89 | . 99 | 1.66 | . 91 | . 74 | . 81 | 1.08 | 1.04 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 4.50 | 5.07 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| avg wave period (ee) (1) | 4.24 | 4.35 | 4.74 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.37 | 4.40 | 4.63 | 4.47 | 4.53 | 4.42 |
| STANDAPD UEVİTiJM | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | .27 | .77 | . 30 | . 20 | . 25 | . 25 | . 28 | . 20 | . 25 | . 22 | . 31 | . 27 |
| WAVE DIRECTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 63 | 31 | 51 | 31 | $32^{31}$ | 30 6 | 31 | 30 | 12.9 | 366 208 |
| PERCENT OCCUPRENCE >PO | 29.0 | . 3 | 19.4 | 60.0 | 29.0 | 54.8 | 3.2 | 32.3 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 12.9 | 20.8 |
| - $=$ 0 | 41.9 | 71.4 | 16.1 | 20.0 | 41.9 | 35.5 | 64.5 | 43.4 | 80.0 | 77.4 | 50.0 | 45.2 | 49.2 |
| <93 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 34.5 | 20.0 | 29.3 | 9.7 | 32.3 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 22.6 | 50.0 | 41.9 | 30.1 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 306 | 33. | 357 | 309 | 282 | 337 | 251 | 296 | 318 | 183 | 295 | 254 | 298 |
| NUMBER OF Qaseavations | 31 | 23 | $\geq 1$ | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| WIND OBSERVATIONS |  | 21.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 21.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 5.2 | 24.3 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 4.3 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| nUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FRJM | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NORTH | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 7 | . 8 |
| northeast | 25.3 | 17.9 | 35.5 | 20.0 | 3.2 | - 0 | 6.5 | - 0 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 36.7 | 9.7 | 15.3 |
| EASt | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | .0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 0 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 22.6 | 16.1 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | 7.4 |
| SOUTH | . 0 | . 0 | . C | 6.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 2.7 |
| SOUTHWEST | 22.6 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 32.3 | 58.1 | 6.5 | 32.3 | 6.7 | 3.2 | . 0 | 6.5 | 20.5 |
| WEST | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 25.8 | 2.5 |
|  | 16.1 | 21.4 | 22.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 6.6 |
| CALM | 35.5 | 53.6 | 17.4 | 13.3 | 35.5 | 29.0 | 58.1 | 48.4 | 70.0 | 74.2 | 53.3 | 41.9 | 44.3 |
| CURRENT OBSERVATIONS <br> AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | -. 31 | -. 18 | -. 27 | -. 31 | -. 26 | -. 31 | -. 18 | -. 23 | -. 23 | . 00 | . 00 | -. 27 | -. 28 |
| STANDARD OEVIATION | . 08 | . 00 | . 12 | . 11 | . 07 | . 11 | . 00 | . 08 | . 07 | . 00 | . 00 | . 08 | . 10 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO LEFT) | , | 1 | 6 | 19 | 10 | 16 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 81 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (?) | . 31 | . 35 | . 28 | . 29 | . 18 | . 20 | .22 | . 26 | . 34 | .22 | .27 | . 26 | . 26 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | .14 | . 09 | . 08 | .11 | . 04 | . 06 | . 06 | . 07 | . 11 | . 04 | . 07 | . 04 | . 111 |
| NJM. OF OBS. (TO RIGYT) | 10 | 3 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 111 |
| qug. net current (2)(3) | . 01 | . 29 | . 15 | -. 96 | -. 04 | -. 21 | . 15 | -. 07 | . 13 | . $2 ?$ | .27 | .19 | . 03 |
| NUMBER OF OESERVATIONS | 19 | 9 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 192 |
| NUMEER OF CALM OSS. | 12 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 13 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 14 | 172 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE OSSERVATVS | $J A N$ | FEe | MERCH | APRIL | May | juve | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maximum slope | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| MINIMUM SLIJPE | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 2.3 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| NUMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME YETHOD 1 | scuelc | $Y A O D S)(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUBIC Yaqdi | 23567 | ? 2323 | 13225 | -19719 | -1107 | -36158 | 15615 | -7799 | 11220 | 7207 | 27184 | 13230 | 85893 |
| NUM JF OBSEPVATIONS | 31 | 23 | 31 | 3 r | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 365 |
| total left cjaic re; | -2134 | 0 | -176?1 | -30196 | -13245 | -40171 | -807 | -20422 | -5155 | 0 | 0 | -8299 | -159420 |
| NuM of jas to left | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 76 |
| total rght cugic ras | 55012 | 30328 | 32910 | 10474 | 12139 | 4012 | 16.512 | 12622 | 16376 | 7207 | 27184 | 21529 | 245311 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 110 |
| METHOD NET CUSIC YAqOS | 59190 | 117543 | 9440 | -27263 | -6878 | -60537 | 24355 | -8487 | 59716 | 22943 | 48224 | 13279 | 252521 |
| NUM OF OBSEPVATIONS | 19 | 9 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 190 |
| total left cuaic yos Num of ozs to left | $\begin{array}{r} -34209 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2892 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -27978 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30405 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17330 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -65621 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3412 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -23361 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17656 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 0 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} -13956 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -250910 \\ 80 \end{array}$ |
| total rght cusic yds NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 84491 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120425 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37418 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12137 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10460 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 5083 4 | 27768 | $\begin{array}{r} 19873 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 87372 5 | 22943 | $48224$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27236 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 503429 \\ 110 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, IF ANY, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCIJLATION
(3) A Minus sign (-) indicates current movement to the left

NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPJRT VQLUAES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YGRDS. TWO METHODS CDESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the "Shore protiction manual" (Spm)) are used to calculate the transport volume inegative values indicate transport to the left.
METHOD 1. TYIS METHOD IS GASED ON EQUATIONS 4-3R AND 4-SOB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY fLUX GEOUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE OAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND angle of aporcach have reev recgrdeor then an average flux for each month is calculated. and FINALLY THESG MONTHLY VALUES JF FLUX ARE SUBSTITJTED INTO EQUATION $4-50 Q$ AND DIVIDED $9 Y 12$ TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALculated ay summing the monthly values.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS SASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-S2, AND 4-5OA FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED ORSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT. WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: RECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A GRICTION FACTOR OF .OO6 SHOULD AE USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

LEO Data Summary: Sta 48002. Cherry Grove Beach, South Carolina
Latitude $33^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime}$. Longitude $78^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$. .
Data Collected from 1 Jan 88 to 31 Dec 88

| Surf observations | JAN | FE? | MARCH | APRIL | MAY | June | July | AUS | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NuMEER OF observations | 31 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NuMEER OF CALM JRS. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIGHEST WAVE RECJRDED | 4.30 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 6.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 6.00 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.65 | 2.26 | 2.05 | 2.27 | 2.37 | 2.22 | 2.50 | 2.32 | 2.08 | 2.31 | 2.25 | 2.16 | 2.28 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 90 | . 8.3 | 1.15 | . 88 | . 79 | . 80 | . ${ }^{7}$ | 1.07 | .72 | . 80 | . 92 | . 78 | . 90 |
| LONGEST PERIJo recorted | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.01 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOO(jEC) (1) | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.50 | 4.52 | 4.47 | 4.53 | 4.47 | 4.45 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.48 | 4.56 | 4.50 |
| STANDARD DEVIATIJN | . 22 | .21 | . 35 | . 20 | . 25 | . 26 | . 28 | . 27 | .13 | . 20 | . 24 | .17 | . 24 |
| WAVE directon NuMaEr of observations | 31 | 20 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| PERGENT OCCUORENCE $>90$ | . 0 | 20.7 | 6.5 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 51.6 | 16.1 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 10.0 | 29.0 | 18.0 |
| $=70$ | 51.6 | $6 ? .1$ | 74.2 | 40.0 | 67.7 | 60.0 | 49.4 | 61.3 | 53.3 | 54.8 | 50.0 | 35.5 | 54.9 |
| < 0 | 48.4 | 17.2 | 19.4 | 40.3 | 15.1 | 23.3 | . 0 | 22.6 | 36.7 | 25.8 | 40.0 | 35.5 | 27.0 |
| AVG. LONE WIDTH (FT) (?) | 300 | 251 | 230 | 253 | 202 | 235 | 275 | 259 | 245 | 248 | 256 | 238 | 254 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| WIND OGSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHEST WIND PECORDED | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 16.0 |
| AVG. WIND SPEEJ(MPH) (1) | 3.6 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| NUMAER OF OBSERVATIONS PERCENT OCCURRENCE FROM | 31 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| NORTH | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| NORTHEAST | 29.0 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.5 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 20.0 | 12.9 | 10.1 |
| EAST | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.2 | . 5 |
| southeast | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 3.3 | .0 | 12.9 | 10.0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | 3.8 |
| SOUTH | . 3 | . 0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 0 | 6.5 | 3.0 |
| SOUTHWESt | . 0 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 51.6 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 15.8 |
| WEST | 12.9 | 17.2 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.6 |
| NORTHWEST | 25.8 | 17.2 | 5.5 | 10.0 | . 3 | 6.7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 19.4 | 8.7 |
| CALM | 32.3 | 48.3 | 74.2 | 50.0 | 71.0 | 70.0 | 45.2 | 54.9 | 56.7 | 51.6 | 60.0 | 38.7 | 54.4 |
| current orservations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) | . 00 | -. 27 | -. 25 | -. 24 | -. 29 | -. 16 | -. 28 | -. 29 | -. 23 | -. 21 | -. 28 | -. 15 | -. 24 |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | . 00 | . 08 | . 07 | . 04 | . 07 | . 04 | . 06 | .14 | . 09 | . 05 | .11 | . 05 | . 09 |
| NUM. OF JBS. (TO LEFT) | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 66 |
| avg to right (fi/SEC) (2) | . 23 | . 25 | .29 | . ? ? | . 29 | . 14 | . 00 | . 20 | . 23 | . 22 | . 23 | . 18 | .23 |
| STANDARD DEVIATIJN | .06 | . 36 | .13 | .11 | . 14 | . 04 | . 00 | . 05 | . 07 | . 09 | . 07 | . 07 | . 109 |
| NUM. OF jss. (TO RIGHT) | 19 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 106 |
| AVG. NET GURRENT (2)(3) | . 23 | .15 | . 09 | . 10 | .00 | -. 01 | -. 28 | . 00 | . 08 | . 04 | . 12 | . 03 | . 05 |
| NuMEER OF OBSERVATIONS | 19 | 12 | 10 | 19 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 172 |
| NUMBER OF CALM OgS. | 13 | 17 | 21 | 12 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 194 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Concluded)

| FORESHORE SLOPE ORSERVATNS | JAN | FE3 | MARCH | APRIL | Mar | JUNE | july | AUG | SEPT | OCT | nov | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.6 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 365 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME METHOD ? | coubic | RDS)(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CJBIC Yards | 26082 | $-204$ | 5642 | 10433 | -1420 | 2524 | -28694 | -5772 | 9794 | 5661 | 15430 | 6817 | 46118 |
| NUM OF OGSERVATIONS | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 366 |
| TOTAL LEFT CUBIC yos | 0 | -3323 | -432\% | -5344 | -9238 | -2507 | -28694 | -14413 | -3213 | -5521 | -4946 | -6368 | -92590 |
| Num of obs to left | 0 | 6 | 2 | $\epsilon$ | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 66 |
| total rght cubic yos | 26032 | 8028 | 9965 | 16283 | 7817 | 5031 | 0 | 8640 | 12917 | 11182 | 19576 | 13185 | 138706 |
| NUM OF OBS TO RIGHT | 15 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 99 |
| METHOD 2 NET CUBIC YARDS | 37847 | 28787 | 17744 | 21943 | -3884 | 128 | -53164 | -33552 | 9027 | 12092 | 22842 | 7489 | 67299 |
| NuM Jf ObSERVATIONS | 18 | 12 | 10 | 1 \% | 10 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 172 |
| TOTAL RGHT CUJIC YOS NUM OF OGS TJ RIGHT | $\begin{array}{r} 37847 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33335 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32848 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20330 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | 25492 | 4572 6 | 0 0 | 14798 | 17735 11 | 20883 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 31087 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12335 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260272 \\ 106 \end{array}$ |

(1) CALMS, If any, includeo in average calculation
(2) CALMS IF NOT INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(3) A MINUS SIG: (-) INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT
(3) SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MCVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUBIC YARDS. TWO METHODS CDESCAIBED IN SECTION 4 OF THE "SHORE PROTECTION MANUAL" (SPM)) ARE USED TO CALCULATE THE TRANSPORT VOLUME. NEGATIVE METHOLUES INDICATE TRANSPORT TJ THE LEFT.
METHOD 1. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-33 aND 4-5O3 FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENEPGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIRST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH HHERE WAVE REIGHT AND angle of approach have been qecorded. then an average flux for each month is calculated, and FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VRLUES JF FLUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EGUATION G-SO3 AND DIVIDED $9 Y$ I 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SESIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SUMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHCD 2 . THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EZUATIONS 4-51, 4-S2. AND 4-5OS FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OSSERVA TIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, WIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT. AND DISTANCE TO OYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLOWING THE SAME PROCEDURE AS METHOD 1. NOTE: PECENT FINDINGS INDICATE A FRIGTION FACTOR OF. 006 SHOULD 3E USED IN EQUATION 4-52.

|  | JAN | FE3 | varch | ADOIL | may | June | july | AUS | $S E P T$ | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURF OSSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NUMGER of ogservations | 237 | 220 | 245 | 240 | 260 | 265 | 266 | 267 | 270 | 268 | 263 | 273 | 3077 |
| VUMzer of Calm ozs. | 1 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| HIGHEST HAVE RECJRDEs | 8.00 | 6.00 | 8.50 | 5.50 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 8.50 | 6.50 | 5.00 | 6.50 | 8.50 |
| AVG. WAVE HEIGHT(FT) (1) | 2.43 | 2.35 | 2.26 | 2.20 | 2.10 | 2.13 | 2.18 | 2.11 | 2.07 | 2.15 | 2.45 | 2.20 | 2.22 |
| Standard deviation | 1.17 | 1.12 | 1.24 | 1.11 | . 99 | 1.02 | . 97 | . 93 | 1.19 | 1.00 | . 93 | . 97 | 1.07 |
| LONGEST PERIOD RECORDED | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.50 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.50 | 6.00 |
| AVG WAVE PERIOD(SEC) (1) | 4.31 | 4.39 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.36 | 4.37 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.32 | 4.38 | 4.36 |
| Standard deviation | . 40 | . 42 | . 33 | . 3 ? | . 53 | . 42 | - 39 | . 38 | . 30 | . 39 | . 42 | . 46 | 40 |
| WAVE DIRECTON NUMEER OF OFSERVAIIONS | 239 | 219 | 246 | 240 | 253 | 265 | 265 | 266 | 270 | 267 | 262 | 271 | 3067 |
| PERCENT OCCURRENCE $>90$ | 29.4 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 34.2 | 33.7 | 36.2 | 47.5 | 29.3 | 12.2 | 13.9 | 17.9 | 26.6 | 26.8 |
| Percer occurnence $\begin{aligned} & =03\end{aligned}$ | 45.8 | 54.8 | 52.0 | 47.1 | 46.9 | 44.9 | 44.2 | 46.6 | 51.1 | 51.7 | 44.3 | 43.3 | 48.1 |
| $<80$ | 24.3 | 25.5 | 27.5 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 8.3 | 24.1 | 36.7 | 34.5 | 37.8 | 25.1 | 25.2 |
| AVG. ZONE WIDTH (FT) (2) | 272 | 259 | 253 | 250 | 239 | 232 | 245 | 234 | 236 | 242 | 275 | 245 | 248 |
| NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | 232 | 221 | 246 | 240 | 258 | 264 | 264 | 266 | 266 | 270 | 263 | 271 | 3067 |
| $\cdots$ IND OBSERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | 25.0 | 18.0 | 32.0 | 22.0 | 29.0 | 16.0 | 32.0 |
| HIGHEST WIND RECORDED AVG. WIND SPEED(MPH) (1) | 17.0 4.6 | 27.0 4.5 | 19.9 | 22.0 4.4 | 18.0 | 22.0 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| STANDAPD DEVIATION | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Number of observations | 248 | 226 | 249 | 240 | 260 | 270 | 279 | 277 | 270 | 279 | 264 | 279 | 3141 |
| percent occurrence from NORTH | 3.2 | 3.1 | - 3 | . 4 | . 8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.1 | . 4 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| NORTHEASt | 36.7 | 26.1 | 23.4 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 12.4 | 32.3 | 35.4 | 39.8 | 26.5 | 21.6 |
| EAST | . 0 | 1.3 | . 4 | 1.4 | . 0 | 2.6 | , 0 | 15.4 | 11.9 | -0180 | .0 5.1 | 1.2 2.2 | 7.8 |
| SOUTHEAST | . 4 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 7.5 | 15.5 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 2.2 4.8 | 6.8 |
| SOUTH | 1.2 | . 3 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 3.0 15.0 | 4.8 22.3 | 6.3 25.3 |
| SOUTHWEST | 16.1 | 17.4 | 23.? | 35.1 | 32.7 | 35.4 | 52.9 | 27.5 | 14.7 | 10.0 | 15.2 | 22.3 2.9 | 25.3 2.4 |
| WEST | 6.3 | 9.2 | 3.7 | . 9 | - 3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | . 0 | 2.3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| northwest | 24.9 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 3.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 13.7 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {. }} 8$ |
| CALM | 38.4 | 53.7 | 50.5 | 52.9 | 61.1 | 53.0 | 40.2 | 57.3 | 59.9 | 57.9 | 54.2 | 48.3 | 53.8 |
| CURRENT OESERVATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 29 | -. 27 | -. 28 | -. 25 | -. 34 | -. 27 | -. 30 |
| AVG TO LEFT (FT/SEC) (2) STANDARD DEVIATION | -.30 .10 | -. 32 | -.29 .15 | -.38 .18 | -. .14 | . .18 | . 14 | . 12 | . 14 | . 12 | . 15 | .13 | . 15 |
| NUM. OF OES. (TO Left) | -61 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 64 | 89 | 94 | 75 | 133 | 76 | 33 | 28 | 41 | 71 | 824 |
| AVG TO RIGHT(FT/SEC) (2) | . 23 | . 28 | . 23 | . 29 | . 23 | . 29 | . 24 | . 25 | . 27 | . 31 | .29 | . 26 | . 27 |
| Standaro oeviation | . 09 | . 12 | . 13 | . 14 | . 11 | . 24 | -10 | . 10 | .14 | -17 | -13 | . 14 | 814 |
| NUM. OF OBS. (TO RIGHT) | 83 | 73 | 71 | 50 | 52 | 52 | 21 | 67 | 113 | 109 | 110 | 82 |  |
| AVG. NET Current (2)(3) | . 01 | . 06 | . 01 | -09 | -. 10 | -. 12 | -. 22 | -. 02 | . 14 | .19 | -12 | - 01 | . 1700 |
| NUMEER JF OBSERVATIONS | 164 | 116 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 147 | 151 | 143 | 146 | 137 | 151 | 153 | 1707 |
| Number of calm obs. | 104 | 110 | 114 | 102 | 114 | 122 | 126 | 133 | 124 | 142 | 107 | 123 | 1421 |

(Concluded)

| Foreshore slope observatis | J AN | $F \leq 9$ | MARCH | APRIL | may | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OTT | Nov | DEC | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM SLOPE | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 9 |
| MINIMUM SLOPE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
| AVERAGE SLOPE (2) | 3.4 | $\cdots$ | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| NUMGER OF OBSERVATIONS | 243 | 226 | 24. | 240 | 260 | 268 | 279 | 277 | 270 | 278 | 270 | 278 | 3143 |
| SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME | (CUEIC YATDS $\times 1900)(6)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METHOD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET Cusic yards | 2 | 6 | 1 | -5 | -t | -18 | -16 | -4 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
| Num of observations | $\geq 40$ | 219 | 240 | 240 | 258 | 268 | 273 | 275 | 270 | 257 | 265 | 272 | 3093 |
| total left cusic yos | -14 | -11 | -16 | -15 | -14 | -25 | -19 | -11 | -5 | -7 | -10 | -11 | -156 |
| NuM of OSS TO LEft | 71 | 43 | 50 | 82 | 87 | 97 | 126 | 79 | 33 | 37 | 47 | 73 | 825 |
| total pght cuzic yds | 17 | 17 | 15 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 13 | 154 |
| NUM OF OSS TO PIGHT | 59 | 56 | 68 | 45 | 50 | 50 | 22 | 64 | 99 | 92 | 99 | 68 | 772 |
| methjo 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET CUSIC Yards | 11 | 23 | -7 | -16 | -25 | -30 | -46 | $-7$ | 44 | 44 | 21 | 4 | 16 |
| num of oaservations | 144 | 116 | 133 | 138 | 144 | 144 | 150 | 143 | 146 | 137 | 151 | 153 | 1699 |
| total left cuzic yos | -19 | -23 | -37 | -36 | -36 | $-43$ | -49 | -19 |  |  |  | -16 | -304 |
| num of oes to left | 61 | 43 | 52 | 98 | 93 | 92 | 130 | 76 | 33 | 28 | 41 | 71 | 818 |
| rotal qght cubic yos | 30 | 46 | 30 |  | 11 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 52 | 49 | 35 | 20 | $324$ |
| NUM OF O3S TO RIGHT | 83 | 73 | 71 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 20 | 67 | 113 | 109 | 110 | 82 | 881 |

(1) CALMS. IF any, INCLUDED IN AVERAGE CALCULATION
(2) CALMS NOT included in average calculation
(3) A MINUS SIGN (-) INOICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE LEFT NO SIGN INDICATES CURRENT MOVEMENT TO THE RIGHT
(4) ESTIMATED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES ARE GIVEN IN CUAIC YARDS. TWO METHODS (DESCRIBED IN SECTION 4 OF the shJre protection manual" (SPM)) are used to calculate the transport volume. negative VALUES indicate transport to the left.
METHOD 1. THIS METHJD IS JASED ON EQUATIONS 4-33 AND 4-5OB FROM THE SPM. A LONGSHORE ENERGY FLUX (EQUATION 4-38) IS FIPST CALCULATED FOR ONLY THE DAYS OF THE MONTH WHERE WAVE HEIGHT AND ANGLE OF APPROACH HAVE $3 E E N$ RECORDED, THEN AN AVERAGE FLUX FOR EACH MONTH IS CALCULATED, AND FINALLY THESE MONTHLY VALUES OF ELUX ARE SUBSTITUTED INTO EJUATION G-SJB AND DIVIDEO BY 12 TO GET THE NET MONTHLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUMES. THE YEARLY SEDIMENT TRANSPORT VOLUME IS CALCULATED BY SJMMING THE MONTHLY VALUES.
METHOD 2. THIS METHOD IS BASED ON EQUATIONS 4-51, 4-52, AND 4-503 FROM THE SPM, USING RECORDED OBSERVATIONS OF WAVE HEIGHT, MIDTH OF SURF ZONE, LONGSHORE CURRENT, AND DISTANCE TO DYE PATCH FROM SHORELINE AND FOLLONING THE SAME RROCEDURE AS METHDD 1. NOTE: RECENT EINDINGS INDICATE A FRICTION FACTEQ OF. 006 SHOULD 3 E USED IN EQUATION 4-52.


[^0]:    *A table of factors for converting non-SI units of measurement to SI (metric) units is presented on page 3.

[^1]:    * Profile line deleted after October 1983.

[^2]:    (Continued)

[^3]:    (Continued)

