REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Technical Report GL-79-13	
EVALUATION OF SAMPLE DISTURBANCE ON SOILS USING THE CONCEPT OF "REFERENCE STRAIN"	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final report
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
- AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
Vincent P. Drnevich	Contract No. DACW39-78-C-0046
Civil Engineering Department	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky 40506	Work Unit CWIS 31145
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army	September 1979
Washington, D. C. 20314	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Geotechnical Laboratory	Unclassified
	158 DECLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADING
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	SCHEDULE
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi	ted.
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, H different	ted.
 P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	ted. from Report)
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ted. from Report)
 P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Science strain Shear stress	ted. from Report)
 P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reference strain Shear stress Stress-strain curves)	ted. from Report)
 P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimi 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number Reference strain Shear stress Stress-strain curves Sample disturbance Triaxial shear tests 	ted. from Report)

Reference strain is a shear strain value that is obtained by dividing the shear strength by the initial tangent shear modulus. On a shear stress versus shear strain plot, it can be thought of as the shear strain at which the initial tangent shear modulus line, if extended, intersects the horizontal line through the point of maximum shear stress. If each shear strain value of a shear stress versus shear strain curve is divided by the reference strain and if each shear stress value is divided by the maximum shear stress, a normalized stress-strain curve is obtained. (Continued)

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

20.

ABSTRA

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

For laboratory tests where undrained conditions exist or for other loadings such as in triaxial tests, it is possible to adjust the stress-strain data obtained on the basis of the type of loading and the effective stress path to obtain an equivalent, drained, normalized shear stress versus normalized shear strain curve.

Resonant column tests can most accurately measure the initial tangent shear modulus. An ultra sensitive, quasistatic torsional simple shear apparatus also can be used. It gives essentially the same values of initial tangent shear modulus on a given soil as does the resonant column apparatus which indicates that the initial tangent shear modulus is insensitive to strain rate. Extensive testing of a variety of normally consolidated or near normally consolidated, cohesive soils in this study shows that the initial tangent shear modulus is quite sensitive to sample disturbance, mean effective confining stress, and time in secondary compression.

Results of torsional simple shear tests and of triaxial compression tests give essentially the same normalized shear stress versus normalized shear strain curve. Use of reference strain provides normalized stress-strain curves which are independent of sample disturbance. These normalized curves may be used to establish insitu stress-strain behavior by making use of initial tangent shear moduli obtained in the field from seismic tests and by using undrained shear strengths (only needed for undrained field loading conditions) obtained by conventional field tests. This procedure utilizes the "sense" of the soil behavior from the normalized laboratory curve, and the "magnitude" of the behavior is obtained from field measurements.



PREFACE

This report was prepared by Professor Vincent P. Drnevich, University of Kentucky, under Contract DACW39-78-C-0046 (Negotiated), as part of the on-going work at the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES). The research was sponsored by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), U.S. Army, under CWIS 31145 Work Unit entitled "Liquefaction Potential of Dams and Foundations during Earthquakes." The work was directed by Dr. W. F. Marcuson III, Research Civil Engineer, Earthquake Engineering and Geophysics Division (EE & GD), Geotechnical Laboratory (GL). General guidance was provided by Dr. P. F. Hadala, Chief, EE & GD, and Mr. J. P. Sale, Chief, GL. Mr. Ralph R. W. Beene, OCE, is the technical monitor for this CWIS work unit.

Directors of WES during this study and preparation of this report were COL John L. Cannon, CE, and COL Nelson P. Conover, CE. Technical Director was Mr. F. R. Brown.

Efforts on this research topic had begun at the University of Kentucky approximately ten months prior to the start of this contract with WES. The basic ideas associated with this research had been germinating for some time in the minds of the principal investigator and the co-principal investigator (Dr. Bobby O. Hardin). Dr. Hardin completed a state-of-the-art report in April 1978 on the Nature of Stress-Strain Behavior for Soils for the ASCE Specialty Conference in

Pasadena (see HARDIN 1978 in References) and acted as a resource person throughout the contract. His ideas, comments and suggestions were invaluable for the execution of the project.

The topic of this research was an important element in attracting Dr. K. Rainer Massarsch to the University as a visiting professor from

Sweden where he was associated with the Royal Institute of Technology. A portion of Dr. Massarsch's support prior to and during the contract until his year at the University concluded in August 1978 was provided by the Swedish Building Research Council. Dr. Massarsch made many valuable contributions to the research, procured many of the Scandanavian soils, and was responsible for the resonant column tests reported herein.

Contributions to this problem prior to the contract with WES were also made by Dr. Ramkumar Beniwal, a post doctoral student sponsored by the Department of Civil Engineering at the University.

Two graduate students, Joseph P. Koester and Stephen H. Bickel were actively involved through most of the contract. Mr. Koester performed the torsional simple shear tests reported herein and was involved in the data analysis. In a similar fashion Mr. Bickel was involved with the resonant column/triaxial tests. The hard work, long hours, and contributions of these gentlemen are most appreciated. Both will use their results in writing theses for the M.S. degree.

The ingenuity and resourcefulness of the Laboratory Technician, Woodrow W. Thurman, are especially appreciated. Among many other things, he designed and built from scratch the controller for the torsional simple shear apparatus that allowed for either stress amplitude controlled or strain controlled testing.

No project of this nature can be executed without a wide variety of

good quality "undisturbed" soil specimens. The contributions of specimens by a number of organizations and individuals need to be 2

acknowledged. They are:

Kentucky Department of Transportation (Henry A. Mathis and Douglas Smith) McClelland Engineers, Inc. (Ronald J. Ebelhar) Woodward-Clyde Consultants (Faiz Makdisi) Det Norske Veritas, Oslo Norway (Rune Dahlberg) Royal Institute of Technology of Sweden (Bengt B. Broms)

The efforts of three undergraduate students need to be recognized. Johnson Toritsemotse and Charles Rivette were involved with data handling, manipulation and plotting including the writing of computer programs. Frances Wang, a part time secretary in the final four months of the project, not only mastered the use of a computer terminal and a text editing language but also typed this report (including equations) using this system and drafted many of the final figures. Her efforts have been truly remarkable.

Engineering provided the laboratory The Department of Civil facilities, the vast majority of the laboratory equipment, the computing terminals and data acquisition equipment and the principal and coprincipal investigators salaries during the academic year. Without question, this has been a major contribution. It is most gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, the support, suggestions, and interest of the project's technical monitor, Dr. William F. Marcuson, III need to be recognized.

His visit to the University during the project resulted in many helpful His time and effort in reviewing this report comments and suggestions. is sincerely appreciated.

3

Dr. Vincent P. Drnevich, P.E. Professor of Civil Engineering and Principal Investigator

CONTENTS

PREFACEl
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
TABLE OF CONVERSION FACTORS
PART I: INTRODUCTION
PART II: THEORY ASSOCIATED WITH THE INVESTIGATION
PART III: TESTING EQUIPMENT, PROGRAMS, AND RESULTS.28General.28Resonant Column Tests.28General.28Description of Apparatus.29Testing Sequence and Results.30Quasistatic Torsional Simple Shear Tests.31Description of Apparatus.32Torsional Simple Shear Testing of Undisturbed Samples.36Test Results for Simple Shear Tests on "Undisturbed" Specimens.38Simple Shear Testing of Remolded Specimens.39Triaxial Compression/Resonant Column Tests.42Procedure for Data Acquisition and Reduction.45Description of the Testing.46Results of the Resonant Column/Triaxial Compression Tests.49
PART IV: DISCUSSION AND APPLICATION

4

Figures 1-59

TABLES 1-3

APPENDIX A: COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR REDUCING DRNEVICH RESONANT COLUMN DATA
Program RC5 FORTRAN
Subroutine RCSUB FORTRAN
APPENDIX B: COMPUTER PROGRAMS ASSOCIATED WITH TORSIONAL
SIMPLE SHEAR TESTS
Program SADCYC.BB (BASIC)BI
Program SADLT.BA (BASIC)
Program NORMAL.DA (BASIC)
APPENDIX C: DESCRIPTION OF REMOLDED SPECIMENS
APPENDIX D: COMPUTER PROGRAMS FOR REDUCING TRIAXIAL/RESONANT
COLUMN DATAD1
Program RC6B FORTRAND1
Program TRIAXA.KA (BASIC)D7
APPENDIX E: NOTATIONE1

LIST OF TABLES

Table

Title

- 1 Values of Coefficient K for Hardin Equation
- 2 Description of Soils Used in Resonant Column and Torsional Simple Shear Tests
- 3 Data of "Undisturbed" Soils Tested in Torsional Simple Shear
- C1 Summary of Soil Properties

LIST OF FIGURES

- 1 The Concept of Reference Strain
- 2 Definition of Maximum Applied Shear Stress and Stress Path for Drained Pure Shear Loading
- 3 Effect of Volumetric Strain on G
- 4 Definition of Maximum Applied Shear Stress and Stress Path for Undrained Pure Shear Loading
- 5 Drnevich Torsional Resonant Column Apparatus
- 6 Initial Tangent Shear Modulus at End of Primary Consolidation from Resonant Column Tests
- 7 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample KYDIA
- 8 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample JW3260
- 9 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample S-19
- 10 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample A-1 (Drammen)
- 11 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample JW5337 (Valen)
- 12 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample JW6825 (Backebol)
- 13 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample JW6825b
- 14 Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time, Resonant Column Sample 6249 (Norrkoping)
- 15 Results of Resonant Column Test KYDIA
- 16 Results of Resonant Column Test JW3260
- 17a Results of Resonant Column Test S-19 at First Confining Stress

17b Results of Resonant Column Test S-19 at Second Confining Stress 18 Results of Resonant Column Test A-1 (Drammen Clay) 19 Results of Resonant Column Test JW5337

7

20 Results of Resonant Column Test JW6825

21 Results of Resonant Column Test SGI (6249)

- 22 Overview of Torsional Simple Shear Apparatus and Electronics
- 23 Specimen, Supporting Clamp, and Transducers of Torsional Simple Shear Apparatus
- 24 Close-up View of Gear Reduction System
- 25 Typical Recordings at Low Shear Strain Amplitudes to Measure Initial Tangent Moduli with Torsional Simple Shear
- 26 Typical Recording of Large Amplitude Torsional Simple Shear Test
- 27 Typical Stress Paths for Torsional Simple Shear Tests on Undisturbed Soils
- 28 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests VAL121 and VAL130 Shear Stress versus Shear Strain
- 29 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests VAL121 and VAL130 Shear Modulus versus Shear Strain
- 30 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests VAL121 and VAL130 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain
- 31 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests KYD120 and KYD130 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain
- 32 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests MAC20 and MAC30 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain
- 33 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests JW020 and JW030 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain
- 34 "Undisturbed" Sample Tests DRAM40 and DRAM50 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain
- 35 Comparison of Initial Tangent Shear Moduli from Torsional Simple Shear and Resonant Column
- 36 Stress Paths for Torsional Simple Shear Tests on Remolded Soil
- 37 Remolded Sample SP6 Variation of G with Testing Procedures
- 38 Initial Tangent Shear Moduli for Remolded Soil Specimens

39 Remolded Samples SP4, SP5, SP6 Shear Stress versus Shear Strain 40 Technique for Estimating Shear Strength

41 Remolded Sample SP4, SP5, SP6, SP8 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain

8

42 Overall View of Triaxial/Resonant Column System

- 43 Triaxial Cell with Hardin Oscillator
- 44 Close-up of Hardin Oscillator in Cell
- 45 Triaxial/Resonant Column Stress Paths Undrained Tests
- 46 Triaxial/Resonant Column Undrained Test, Init. Eff. Conf. Stress 150 kPa
- 47 Triaxial/Resonant Column Undrained Test, Init. Eff. Conf. Stress 100 kPa
- 48 Triaxial/Resonant Column Undrained Test. Init. Eff. Conf. Stress 200 kPa
- 49 Triaxial/Resonant Column Undrained Test, Init. Eff. Conf. Stress 100, 150, 200 kPa
- 50 Triaxial/Resonant Column Stress Paths Drained Tests
- 51 Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Tests, Eff. Conf. Stress 50 kPa
- 52 Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 75 kPa
- 53 Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 100 kPa
- 54 Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 125 kPa
- 55 Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Tests, Eff. Conf. Stress 50, 75, 125 kPa
- 56 Comparison of Normalized Data
- 57 Range in Stress Path for Class 1 Loadings
- 58 Effective Stress Paths Insitu for Undrained Conditions
- 59a Description of Undrained Stress Path for Normally Consolidated Soils
- 59b Definition of H Stress Path Parameter

CONVERSION FACTORS, METRIC (SI) TO U.S. CUSTOMARY UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Metric (SI) units of measurement used in this report can be converted to U.S. customary units as follows:

Multiply	*Ву	To Obtain
millimeter	•0394	inch
milliliter	•0295	fluid ounces
kilopascal	.145	pounds (force) per square inch
megapascal	4.5	pounds (force) per square inch
millimeter per minute	•0394	inches per minute
cubic meter	•0624	pounds (force) cubic foot
centistokes	1.08×10^{-5}	square feet



EVALUATION OF SAMPLE DISTURBANCE ON SOILS USING

THE CONCEPT OF "REFERENCE STRAIN"

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. In June 1977, the National Science Foundation sponsored a workshop on "Research Needs and Priorities for Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Applications" at the University of Texas (LEE et al. 1977). The workshop addressed seven topic areas. A list of research needs was established in each of these areas. Many of those expressed needs directly or indirectly related to the problem of sample disturbance, particularly as it affects the understanding and determination of insitu soil behavior.

2. More recently, a symposium on "Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing" (SILVER et al. 1978) was held at the 1978 Annual Meeting of ASCE in Chicago. The three state-of-the-act papers by Broms, Mori, and Horn reviewed the current knowledge of the topic in Europe, Japan, and North America, respectively. These reports point out the significance of the problem and show that the approach almost exclusively has been to improve sampling equipment and techniques, sample transportation and handling, and finally sample trimming and

placement in test apparatus. Additionally, efforts have been to recreate insitu conditions by specialized laboratory loadings such as incorporated in the SHANSEP approach (LADD AND FOOTT 1974 and LADD et al. 1977).

3. Reducing sample disturbance has come to mean that sophisticated sampling techniques be used and that exotic laboratory testing be performed. The procedure is very expensive and results still turn out to be less than satisfactory. It is no wonder that many practitioners are forsaking laboratory results for empirical or semi empirical field tests. However, some field tests, especially those designed to obtain stress-strain behavior, e.g. self boring pressure meters (WROTH 1975) and high amplitude borehole dynamics tests (MILLER et al. 1975) can also be expensive and cumbersome. They also have significant limitations.

4. The nature of the problem of sample disturbance in cohesive soils has been illustrated in two classic papers (SKEMPTON AND SOWA 1963 and NOORANY AND SEED 1965). The key point made by these papers is that sample disturbance consists of two components, one associated with mechanical disturbance caused by the sampler, handling, etc. and the other is due to the release of total stresses. The term "perfect sample" is used to describe the sample that undergoes changes in stress but no mechanical disturbance. SKEMPTON and SOWA 1963 point out that the stress release (especially when soils are normally consolidated and insitu states of stress are anisotropic) significantly affects the undrained stress path but has little effect on undrained shear strength and virtually no effect on the shear strength parameters in terms of effective stress. The effect of mechanical disturbance is seen on both

the undrained stress path and on the undrained shear strength but has very little effect on the shear strength parameters in terms of effective stress.

5. SKEMPTON AND SOWA 1963 attribute the change in behavior associated with sample disturbance to changes in the "micro structure" of the soil. It will be shown that the initial tangent shear modulus is sensitive to the change in behavior associated with sample disturbance because it is measured at non destructive strain levels.

6. In the early 1970's significant work was done (AFFIFI 1970, ANDERSON 1974) showing that shear modulus is significantly affected by time in secondary compression. It was suggested that the increase in shear modulus with log-of-time be determined with resonant column tests in the laboratory and that the modulus insitu be estimated from laboratory determined values extrapolated to the times since deposition insitu. This procedure has some obvious limitations but gives some rational basis for the differences between field determined and laboratory determined initial tangent moduli (ANDERSON AND WOODS 1975).

7. With the recent developments in the use of seismic methods for the insitu determination of initial tangent shear moduli (WOODS 1978), the practicality of the method proposed herein is increased. Furthermore, the effect of time on modulus is automatically accounted for.

8. The approach investigated in this project is different from previous ones in that it aimed at accounting for sample disturbance rather than trying to remove or eliminate it. An over view of the approach was given by DRNEVICH AND MASSARSCH (1978). The method makes use of conventional field as well as laboratory test data. Reasonably

undisturbed specimens are tested in the laboratory. The laboratory results are normalized by use of the concept of reference strain. Reference strain is a value of shear strain that is determined by dividing the maximum shear stress that can possibly be applied by the initial tangent shear modulus. Application of the concept involves dividing the shear stress values by the maximum shear stress and dividing the shear strain values by the reference shear strain. One of the goals of this project was to show that these curves are essentially independent of sample disturbance. Conventional field tests are then used to establish initial tangent moduli and shear strength insitu. These are then used to establish insitu stress-strain behavior from the normalized laboratory determined curve by inverting the process used to obtain the normalized stress-strain curve. Another way of expressing this process is to say that the laboratory tests describe the general behavior but that behavior requires field determined "calibration factors" in order to establish specific insitu stress-strain behavior.

Previous Research

9. The idea for this approach was generated from the work reported by HARDIN AND DRNEVICH (1972) which first describes the use of reference strain. It was found that for a given soil, normalized shear modulus (secant modulus divided by initial tangent modulus) varied with

shear strain amplitude differently, depending on the confining stress. If, however, the values of shear strain amplitude were normalized by the use of reference strain, then a single curve could be used to describe the behavior of a given soil for all confining stresses. DRNEVICH (1975)

suggested that reference strain could be used to rationally describe insitu shear stress versus shear strain behavior. Continuing research at the University of Kentucky (HARDIN 1971, DRNEVICH 1972, HARDIN 1972, HARDIN 1978, and DRNEVICH AND MASSARSCH 1978) indicated the insensitivity of the normalized stress-strain (using reference strain) to sample disturbance caused by repeated loadings. The project reported herein is the first attempt to directly address the problem.

Scope of the Investigation

10. The purpose of this project is to study and evaluate the concept of reference strain for assessing effects of sample disturbance on normally consolidated cohesive soils. The first part of the investigation will be to demonstrate the nature and magnitude of sample disturbance. The torsional resonant column apparatus was used to test a variety of normally and near normally consolidated "undisturbed" specimens. Effects of stress history on the initial tangent shear modulus could be accurately monitored with this apparatus. Results from these tests could also be used to compare with measurement of initial tangent moduli measured on the same or similar specimens when tested in the quasi static torsional simple shear apparatus.

11. The next item involves the development of the theory and framework for analyzing the laboratory data. Although the general framework had already been applied to undrained loadings of cohesive soils (HARDIN AND DRNEVICH 1972), it was done without regard to changes in effective stress due to the applied shear. The procedures for

establishing normalized stress-strain data from simple shear and from triaxial data for drained or undrained stress paths were developed as a part of this project.

12. Two types of laboratory tests were performed to verify that the procedures established above were valid. The first involves both drained and undrained tests with the torsional simple shear apparatus. The second involves the use of a Hardin oscillator (HARDIN 1970) in conjunction with a conventional triaxial test. The Hardin oscillator provided values of initial tangent shear modulus for each point on the effective stress path. Both drained tests and undrained tests with pore pressure measurement were performed with this system.

13. Finally, the procedures for applying this process to establish shear stress-shear strain behavior insitu were established. The aims were to have a procedure that is both consistent and rational while also being simple enough to be practical.

PART II: THEORY ASSOCIATED WITH THE INVESTIGATION

Concept of Reference Strain

14. The concept of reference strain as first proposed by HARDIN AND DRNEVICH 1972 is illustrated in Fig. 1 which is a plot of shear stress versus shear strain. The intersection of the initial tangent shear modulus with the maximum shear stress occurs at a shear strain value which is termed the reference strain. Reference strain values are calculated from

$$r = \frac{T_{max}}{G_{max}}$$
(1)

15. Normalized stress-strain behavior is obtained by dividing the shear stress values by the maximum shear stress and by dividing the shear strain values by the reference strain. The resulting curve has a shape similar to the original curve. The abscissa values range from zero to one hundred or more and the ordinate values range from zero to one.

16. The original use of the concept of reference strain was for cases where excess pore pressures generated by shearing were small or assumed to be small. The value of maximum shear stress was usually not measured but was calculated from the shear strength parameters in terms

of effective stress assuming that no excess pore pressures were created.

The equation for the calculation is

$$T_{max} = [(p' \sin \phi' + c' \cos \phi)^2 - q_{i}^2]^{0.5}$$
(2)

where:
$$p' = p'_{i} = 0.5[(\sigma'_{1})_{i} + (\sigma'_{3})_{i}]$$

 $q_{i} = 0.5[(\sigma'_{1})_{i} - (\sigma'_{3})_{i}]$
 $\phi' = angle of shearing resistance$
in terms of effective stress

c' = cohesion in terms of effective stress

The subscript "i" in Eq. 2 refers to the "initial" state of stress which may be isotropic or anisotropic. For anisotropic states of stress, the maximum shear stress calculated by Eq. 2 is shown in Fig. 2. Note that it is the maximum applied shear stress and not the absolute maximum shear stress. The maximum applied shear stress will be equal to the absolute maximum only when the initial state of stress is isotropic.

17. The initial tangent shear modulus was usually measured by use of the resonant column apparatus or by very precise torsional simple shear apparatus at shear strains on the order of 10^{-3} percent or less. When it was not measured, it could be approximated by the Hardin

Equation. An improved version of this Equation (HARDIN 1978) is

$$G_{max} = \frac{625 (0 \text{ CR})^{\text{K}}}{0.3 + 0.7 \text{e}^2} (P_a \sigma_o)^{0.5}$$
(3)
 $e = \text{void ratio of the soil}$
 $OCR = Over Consolidation Ratio$

K = coefficient from Table 1

 $P_a = atmospheric pressure$

where:

$$\sigma'_{0} = (\sigma'_{1} + \sigma'_{2} + \sigma'_{3})/3$$

= mean effective confining stress

18. The value of initial tangent shear modulus was assumed to remain constant as shear stress was applied. This is consistent with the assumed pure shear stress path (see Fig. 2) since the mean effective confining stress does not change for such a stress path.

19. If both the maximum applied shear stress, T_{max} and the initial tangent shear modulus, G_{max} are constant, then by Eq. 1, the reference strain is constant for a given loading.

20. In order for the concept of reference strain to be useful in assessing sample disturbance, it is necessary to establish consistent definitions of maximum applied shear stress and initial tangent shear modulus for other commonly used laboratory tests and for effective stress paths other than pure shear. The effective stress paths will be

limited to those described by HARDIN 1978 as "Class 1 Loadings", where

the deviatoric component of loading has a major influence on the

19

resulting deformation.

Techniques for Special Loadings

Drained Pure Shear Loadings

21. For these loadings, the effective stress path is identical to the one assumed in Fig. 2 and the maximum applied shear stress is constant. The value of T_{max} may be determined directly if the test is carried to failure (excursion from point (p'_i, q_i) to point (p'_i, q_f)) or may be determined from Eq. (2).

22. The value of G_{max} is best determined by measurement; applying cyclic shear strains less than 10^{-3} percent either quasistatically or by means of resonant column testing. If G_{max} is not measured for every stress point on the stress path (it would be relatively awkward to do so), it could be measured prior to beginning the shear and then adjusted for subsequent points on the stress path. The adjustments are based on Eq. (3). Since the effective confining stress remains constant for the entire stress path, G_{max} will only vary with the change in volume associated with dilation. Values of G_{max} for stress points of these drained tests can be estimated from

$$G_{\max} = [G_{\max}]_{1} \frac{0.3 + 0.7(e_{1})^{2}}{0.3 + 0.7(e)^{2}}$$
(4)

where: [G_{max}] = G_{max} before shear loading begins

$e = e_{i} + (\Delta V/V) (1 + e_{i})$

e i

AV

V

= void ratio before shear loading begins

- = volume change due to shear loading
 - = volume of the specimen

Equation (4) has been used to prepare Fig. 3 which shows the effect of volumetric strain on G_{max} . In most typical tests, particularly for strains associated with the working stress range, volumetric strains are less than 2% and the correction can be neglected.

23. For each stress path increment, a shear strain increment is established from

$$(\Delta \gamma)_{j} = \gamma_{j} - \gamma_{j-1}$$
(5)

where: γ_j , γ_{j-1} = shear strains at stress point j and j-1

For this stress path increment, an average value of reference strain is calculated from

$$(\gamma_{r})_{j} = 0.5[(\gamma_{r})_{j} + (\gamma_{r})_{j-1}]$$
(6)

(7)

where $(\gamma_r)_j$, $(\gamma_r)_{j-1}$ = reference shear strains at stress points, j and j-1

The normalized strain at stress point j is calculated from

$(\gamma/\gamma_r)_j = (\gamma/\gamma_r)_{j-1} + \frac{J}{(\gamma_r)_j}$

The normalized stress-strain curve is obtained by plotting values of T/T_{max} for a given stress point versus the normalized shear strain as given by Eq. (7).

Undrained Pure Shear Loadings

24. When saturated or nearly saturated soils are subjected to pure shear loadings and undrained conditions exist or can be assumed to exist, the shearing action causes excess pore pressures to occur. Consequently, the effective stress path is no longer vertical but may be as shown in Fig. 4. For any given stress point, (p',q), along this effective stress path, the value of T_{max} is determined by assuming the stress path to be vertical (pure shear) and passing through the stress point. Equation 2 is also used for determining this instantaneous value of maximum applied shear stress. For the undrained stress path, the value of p' in Eq. 2 is the abscissa value of the stress point on the stress path. Recall that in the drained test, p' was constant and equal to p'₄ whereas for this case it is not.

25. Another advantage for defining the T_{max} in this manner is that it is consistent with the value obtained for drained tests at the beginning of shearing before excess pore pressures are generated and it is consistent at failure where T_{max} is identical to the applied shear stress required to cause failure.

26. The initial tangent shear modulus will also change for each point along the undrained effective stress path because the excess pore pressures cause changes in the mean effective confining stress (see Eq. (3)). It is recommended that the initial tangent shear modulus be

measured at the start of the test. The values of initial tangent shear 22

modulus for any stress point, (p',q) is approximately given by

$$G_{\max} = (G_{\max})_{i} [\sigma'_{o} / (\sigma'_{o})_{i}]^{0.5}$$
 (8)

where: (G_{max})_i = initial tangent shear modulus at (p'_i,q_i)

$$\sigma_{0}^{*} = [\sigma_{1}^{*} + \sigma_{2}^{*} + \sigma_{3}^{*}]/3$$

$$\sigma_{0}^{*} = [(\sigma_{1}^{*})_{i}^{*} + (\sigma_{2}^{*})_{i}^{*} + (\sigma_{3}^{*})_{i}^{*}]/3$$

Quite frequently, the intermediate and minor principal stresses are equal for the initial conditions. For this situation,

$$(\sigma'_{0})_{i} = p'_{i} - q_{i}/3$$
 (9)

For pure shear loadings, the intermediate principal stress, σ'_2 is affected only by changes in p'. The mean effective confining stress for these loadings can be calculated by an equation similar to (9) except that p' replaces p'_i. Thus, for the case of axially symmetric initial conditions and for pure shear loadings, Eq. (8) takes the form

$$G_{\max} = (G_{\max})_{i} \left[\frac{p' - q_{i}/3}{p'_{i} - q_{i}/3} \right]^{0.5}$$
(10)

27. It has been established that both the maximum applied shear stress and initial tangent shear modulus vary for undrained pure shear

loadings. By Eq. (1) then, the reference strain must vary with each point along the stress path. Instantaneous value of reference strain for each stress point is determined by use of Eq. (1) in conjunction with Eq. (2) and Eq. (8) or Eq. (10). 28. Normalized shear stress-shear strain behavior is established by

performing an undrained shear test, recording the excess pore pressures along with the applied shear stress and resulting shear strain. For each data point, the stress path coordinates are determined and values of maximum applied shear stress, initial tangent shear modulus, and finally reference strain are determined. From this point on, the normalization procedure is identical to that for Drained Pure Shear Loadings starting in paragraph 23.

Undrained Triaxial Compression Tests

29. Like the stress path for undrained pure shear loadings, the stress paths for undrained triaxial compression tests will be curved and variable. The procedure for normalizing these data is similar to the procedure for the undrained pure shear loadings in that for each stress point, values of G_{max} are determined by use of equation (8), T_{max} is determined from

$$T_{max} = c' \cos \phi' + p' \sin \phi' - q_i$$
(11)

(12)

Before normalization of stress-strain data can take place, the applied axial stress and the resulting axial strain must be converted to equivalent shear stress and equivalent shear strain. The equivalent shear stress is given by

 $T = 0.5 \sigma_{axial}$

where: σ_{axial} = axial stress applied to initial state of stress

and the shear strain is given by

$$\gamma = 1.5 \varepsilon_{axial}$$
(13)

where: $\epsilon_{axial} = axial strain associated with <math>\sigma'_{axial}$

30. The only unknown in applying this technique to triaxial data is the value of G_{max} at the start of the test. Several ways can be used to obtain G_{max} but the most convenient is to use a torsional resonant column device (HARDIN 1970) simultaneously with the triaxial test. (This was done for the triaxial tests performed in this research. They will be discussed in a later section.) Another way would be to use the Hardin Equation (Eq. (3)). Use of this equation, even though it only gives approximate values of G_{max} is superior to calculating G_{max} from the measured initial tangent Young's modulus unless this modulus is measured at axial strains on the order of 10^{-3} percent. (Such measurements are virtually impossible for conventional triaxial apparatus.)

32. Once the values of shear stress and shear strain are determined, the procedure is identical to that for Drained Pure Shear Loadings beginning in paragraph 23.

Drained Triaxial Compression Tests

33. Normalizing drained triaxial data are similar to that for undrained data except for a few minor differences due to the volume

changes that take place during the test. These changes affect both the

values of G and the determination of equivalent shear strain.

34. The value of G can be calculated from the value measured

before axial straining. This value must be corrected for both pressure and volume change. This correction is obtained by combining Equations (4) and (5)

$$G_{\max} = [G_{\max}]_{i} \frac{0.3 + 0.7(e_{i})^{2}}{0.3 + 0.7(e)^{2}} \left[\frac{\sigma_{o}}{(\sigma_{o}')_{i}} \right]^{0.5}$$
(14)

In the triaxial test, the initial mean effective confining stress, $(\sigma_0)_i$ is given by Eq. (6) and the value during axial loading is given by

$$\sigma' = p' - q/3$$
 (15)

where:

P

=
$$q_i + 0.5 \sigma_{axial}$$

q_i = stress path ordinate for initial anisotropic state of
 stress

Because the application of axial load causes an increase in the mean effective confining stress, specimen volume changes during loading of normally consolidated soils will be greater in the triaxial compression test than in the pure shear loading. Hence, the void ratio will change more and as a consequence, so will the value of G_{max}.

35. Measurement of specimen volume changes or of lateral deformation is important if accurate normalized data are to be obtained from the triaxial test. If specimen volume changes are measured, the

equivalent shear strain for the j-th stress point is calculated from

$$\gamma_{j} = \gamma_{j-1} + 1.5(\varepsilon_{j} - \varepsilon_{j-1}) + 0.5(V_{j} - V_{j-1})/V$$
 (16)

where: $\gamma_{j-1} = \text{equivalent shear strain for (j-1)th stress point}$ $\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j-1} = \text{axial strain for the j-th and (j-1) th stress points}$ $V_j, V_{j-1} = \text{specimen volumes for the j-th and (j-1)th stress points}$ V = initial specimen volume

If lateral deformation measurements are made, the equivalent shear strain for the j-th stress point is calculated from

$$\gamma_{j} = \gamma_{j-1} + (\epsilon_{j} - \epsilon_{j-1}) - [(\epsilon_{r})_{j} - (\epsilon_{r})_{j-1}]$$
 (17)

where $(\varepsilon_r)_j$, $(\varepsilon_r)_{j-1}$ = radial strains for the j-th and (j-1)th stress points

The development of Eqs. (16) and (17) is based on the discussion of plastic dilation by HARDIN, 1978 and on the use of the Mohr diagram for strain. The process is analogous to calculating a tangent Poisson's ratio and applying it to the axial strain increment to determine a shear strain increment. The total shear strain for that stress point is the shear strain for the previous stress point plus the increment in shear strain. Once the shear stress-shear strain behavior is established, the procedure for obtaining normalized behavior is identical to that for the

previous cases.

PART III: TESTING EQUIPMENT, PROGRAMS, AND RESULTS

General

36. Three different test apparatus were used in this research: Drnevich torsional resonant column apparatus, Kentucky torsional simple shear apparatus, and a triaxial apparatus that incorporated a Hardin oscillator. Associated with each apparatus is a testing program which was designed to accomplish specific objectives. In this part of the report, each apparatus is described, the testing procedures are given, a summary of the soils tested is presented and typical results are shown. Also, a brief analysis of the results is made. The computer programs referred to in this part are located in appendices of the report.

Resonant Column Test

General

37. The Resonant Column Test is used to determine the shear modulus and shear damping of cylindrical specimens of soil in the undisturbed and remolded conditions. In this series of tests, only undisturbed samples were tested.

38. The modulus and damping of a given soil as measured by the resonant column, depend upon the strain amplitude of vibration, the ambient state of effective stress, and the void ratio of a soil as well as other factors such as stress history, time effects, soil structure, overconsolidation ratio, etc.

39. The purpose of these tests was to establish values of initial

tangent shear moduli for use in the normalization process. In addition, the effects of consolidation time, confining stress history and vibratory strain history were also obtained for these normally consolidated, naturally occurring soils.

Description of Apparatus

40. A Drnevich torsional resonant column apparatus (See Fig. 5) was used for the tests reported in this section. A sinusoidal torsional vibration excitation device was attached to the active end platen while the passive end platen was fixed. Solid cylindrical specimens with diameter of 50 mm (2.0 inch) and length of 100 mm (3.9 inches) were used for all tests. Filter paper strips were used to facilitate drainage and pore pressure equalization. Double membranes were used to enclose the specimen and water was used as a confining medium. An air-water interface existed above the 0-rings on the upper platen. The torsional drive head was not submerged. Regulated compressed air was used to apply confinement.

41. Volume change was measured by use of burettes connected to the lower platen. Back pressuring was achieved by applying regulated compressed air to the air-water interfaces in the burettes.

42. Pore pressures were measured by a pressure transducer built

into the lower platen and specimen length changes were monitored with a

Linear Varying Differential Transformer (LVDT) located within the chamber.

43. Apparatus calibration and data reduction were done according to the procedures outlined by DRNEVICH 1978. The calculations for data

reduction were all performed by use of a FORTRAN program called RC-5, a listing of which is provided in Appendix A.

Testing Sequence and Results

44. Specimens were first consolidated and then backpressure saturated. If the backpressuring had been done first, the specimens would have had a chance to swell and associated with this is the likelyhood of additional disturbance.

45. The consolidation was carried out in two steps with the initial tangent shear modulus being measured as a function of time in each step. Usually, each confining stress was maintained for at least one log-cycle-of-time into secondary compression. The values of initial tangent shear modulus at the end of primary consolidation are given in Fig. 6. The slopes of the lines connecting the two points range from 0.3 to 0.63. According to the Hardin equation (Eq. (3)), the slope should be 0.5 when corrected for changes in void ratio. Slopes much less than 0.5 indicate that the soil is preconsolidated for those stress levels. Note that the effective confining stresses are relatively low for all specimens (less than 100 kPa (15 psi)).

46. The loading history of each specimen in the resonant column test is given in Figs. 7 through 14 where the initial tangent shear modulus is plotted versus the elapsed time from the beginning of the test. The significant points in the testing process are noted in each figure.

47. After consolidating and backpressuring, each specimen was allowed to stabilize for a period of time (usually overnight). Then

large amplitude vibratory strains were applied in increasing amounts until the limit of the apparatus was reached. The effects of large amplitude vibration are clearly evident in Figs. 7 through 14 and the results of normalized secant shear modulus versus normalized shear strain amplitude are given in Figs. 15 through 21. Values of initial tangent shear modulus and T_{max} , for each test, were assumed constant. Values of these are given on the figures.

48. These test results show that the initial tangent shear modulus is sensitive to changes in total stress, effective stress, and strain amplitude, all of which occur in even the most careful sampling operations. The resonant column test can also be used to give accurate initial tangent moduli for use in the normalization process proposed in this report.

Quasistatic Torsional Simple Shear Tests

General

49. These tests utilized a special apparatus developed at the University of Kentucky by HARDIN 1971. It was available for this project at no cost except that extensive modifications were made to the apparatus to accommodate softer soils and to increase its accuracy and

flexibility. The apparatus rotates the top of a cylindrical specimen that is fixed at the bottom. The sample is enclosed in a rubber membrane and has a confining stress applied in a fashion identical to a conventional triaxial test. Detailed information on this apparatus is given later in this section of the report.

50. Two test programs were carried out with this apparatus. The first was on a number of the same soils tested with the resonant column apparatus discussed previously. The purposes of these tests were to obtain accurate normalized shear stress-shear strain data for these soils and secondly, to show that the normalized curves are relatively independent of disturbance.

51. The second test program was on a remolded soil. The purpose of the program was to isolate the effects of sample disturbance and effective stress path. To do this, two types of tests were performed on nearly identical specimens. One set of tests was performed on saturated specimens with no drainage permitted. Measurement of pore pressures made it possible to establish the corresponding effective stress paths. The other set of tests, on specimens nearly identical to those used in the first set, was drained tests run slowly enough to allow all excess pore pressures to dissipate. The same soil was also used in the triaxial test program which will be described later.

Description of Apparatus

52. An overview of the Kentucky torsional simple shear apparatus and its accompanying electronics are given in Fig. 22. A close-up view of the components within the pressure chamber are given in Fig. 23. Only a small portion of the 50 mm (2.0 inch) diameter by 79 mm (3.11 inch) length specimen can be seen in this photo because the specimen is nearly surrounded by a frame which rigidly clamps the upper platen during assembly of the apparatus. A second frame (visible in the foreground) supports two Linear Varying Differential Transformers (LVDTs). The

cores of the LVDTs are suspended by very soft springs and impinge on arms attached to the top platen. A torque transducer is also attached to the top platen. Torque is applied by a gear motor and gear reduction system which is shown in Fig. 24. This system is attached to the rods that secure the pressure chamber lid and the torque is transmitted to the torque transducer by means of a stainless steel shaft that passes through a bushing and bearing system in the pressure chamber lid.

53. A reversible, variable speed motor was used as a source of torque. A special electronic control was constructed which reverses the motor at any preset level of torque (stress amplitude control) or of rotation (strain control) of the specimen top platen. The gear reduction is either 10^5 to 1 or 8 x 10^6 to 1 depending on whether or not an extra two gears are employed. Frequencies of loading can easily be adjusted from 0.5 cycles/second to approximately 0.2 cycles/day.

54. Torque and rotation are both measured inside the chamber. Shear stress is calculated from the torque assuming a linear variation of shear stress across the radius of the specimen. If the other extreme, uniform shear stress across the specimen is assumed, the relationship between torque and shear stress only varies by 12 percent. The most correct relation between torque and shear stress is shear strain dependent and lies between the two extremes. For the purposes of this research, it was felt that the linear assumption would provide

sufficiently accurate results.

55. Shear strain is related to the rotation of the top of the specimen and is based on a linear variation of strain with radius. The

shear strain values reported in this research are based on the average

shear strain energy in the specimen and are consistent with the shear

strains conventionally reported for torsional resonant column testing.

56. The specimen has filter paper strips around its perimeter (two are visible in Fig. 23) to facilitate drainage and pore pressure equalization. Porous bronze filter disks with razor blade vanes protruding 2 mm (0.08 inch) are fastened to each platen. The lower platen has connections to the volume change/back pressuring system and contains a differential pressure transducer. The other side of this transducer is connected to the pressurized air that applies confinement. The output of this transducer is a measure of the effective confining stress in the specimen.

57. Two membranes were used to enclose the specimen. The membranes and platens are submerged with silicone oil to restrict air migration into the specimen. The oil used is Dow-Corning 200 Fluid, a dimethylpolysiloxane having a viscosity of 100 centistokes. It is inert and harmless to membranes.

58. Axial deformation of the specimen is monitored with another LVDT located outside the chamber. It measures the vertical movement of the stainless steel rod that transmits the torque from the gear reduction system to the torque transducer.

59. A second pressure transducer is installed in the chamber base to monitor the chamber pressure. The maximum working chamber pressure is 700 kPa (100 psi).

60. Volume change measurements are accomplished by means of various

capacity burettes attached to the lower platen. The tops of the burettes

are attached to a regulated compressed air supply for backpressuring.

61. All transducers are connected to signal conditioning

electronics which are in turn connected to a computer controlled data
acquisition system that applies calibration factors and calculates the desired engineering parameters. All data are both printed out and are stored on magnetic tape. The stored data are subsequently combined with data from other portions of the same test or with data from other tests and automatically plotted.

62. The conditioned rotation and torque signals are also supplied to an X-Y pen recorder. These records are proportional to the strainstress behavior in the sample. Typical recordings are given in Figs. 25 and 26 which are taken directly from the X-Y recorder. At shear strains of approximately 2 percent, the LVDTs used in measuring rotation could no longer follow the deformation. Beyond that range, shear strains were estimated by use of elapsed time and rate of rotation which was constant. (The apparatus is currently being modified to measure these rotations directly.) Note that the specimen is near failure at a shear strain of 2 percent. The loss of the LVDTs for measuring strains beyond 2 percent accounts for the nearly vertical unloading portion of the curve. Loading in the opposite direction could only be carried to 2 percent shear strain because the LVDTs prevent further straining in that direction.

63. Two types of loading are performed in the course of testing: low amplitude cyclic loading at 1/10 cycle per second and long term, static cyclic loading. The former is used to obtain the values of G_{max} at shear strains on the order of 10^{-3} percent and the latter is used to obtain detailed data for points along the stress-strain curve (to failure, if desired). Separate data acquisition programs are used for each type; SADCYC.BB for the G_{max} determination and SADLT. BA for the stress-strain behavior. Both are contained in Appendix B. A third

program, NORMAL.BA (also given Appendix B), is used to provide normalized stress-strain information. It recalls the data stored by SADLT.BA and requires values of G_{max} and shear strength parameters to be input from the keyboard.

Torsional Simple Shear Testing of Undisturbed Samples

64. Eight of the soils tested in the resonant column tests were also tested in the "undisturbed" phase of this project. The torsional simple shear device was set up for strain-control tests. The majority of samples tested were previously used in a resonant column testing program, and were carefully retrimmed to conform to the shorter sample length requirements of the torsional simple shear apparatus. As a result of the prior testing, the samples were subjected to an initial disturbance, and hence were not precisely representative of insitu material. Although the ideal situation would have been to use a completely undisturbed soil for the shear testing sequence, the shear strain levels imposed by the resonant column tests were low (usually less than 0.1%). Besides, the resonant column tests measured changes in soil behavior by use of G_{max} measurements throughout testing. The steps in the testing program are as follows:

The sample was trimmed to conform to the geometry of the torsional simple shear device: sample length = 79 mm (3.11 inches), sample diameter = 50 mm (2.0 inches).

Sample weight and water content were determined.

(2) The trimmed sample was placed on the porous disk attached to the lower platen. The top platen with attached porous disk was placed on the specimen. The specimen was then surrounded by filter paper strips. Two thin rubber membranes were placed by use of a membrane expander. Double O-rings completed the seal between the membranes

and platens. The pneumatic clamp was bolted into place. Application of pressure to the pneumatic cylinders caused the top platen to be rigidly held.

- (3) Upon completion of apparatus assembly, a confining pressure of 30 kPa (4 psi) was applied to the sample, drainage was prevented, and the clamp was released.
- (4) The effective overburden stress insitu was estimated from the boring logs and a confining stress equal to 1.5 times this value was applied. (In several cases, it was suspected that the soil was preconsolidated insitu. For these cases, the confining stress applied was 1.5 times the estimated preconsolidation stress.
- (5) During the consolidation process, periodic quasi-static cyclic torsional simple shear tests were performed as often as time permitted, to monitor the behavior of the initial tangent shear modulus, G max.
- (6) Consolidation was allowed and G testing performed to a minimum of one log-cycle into secondary compression.
- (7) Saturation of the specimen was assured by backpressuring using 50- kPa increments. The "B" pore-pressure coefficient was determined for each cell pressure increase and values greater than 0.95 were deemed adequate. Minimum backpressures were arbitrarily set at 3 times the estimated insitu pore pressure and the maximum for the system was 400 kPa (58 psi). G testing was performed at each step in the backpressuring operation for two reasons: to obtain the initial tangent shear modulus and to free any adhesions in the axial mechanism of the torsion apparatus, which might inhibit length changes and adversely affect effective stress measurements.
- (8) The consolidated and backpressured specimen was lef: to stand overnight.
- (9) Following an overnight rest, G was again determined and it was determined again just prior to the first large-strain amplitude shear testing.

(10) Drainage valves were closed and the large-amplitude shear test was run to limits of rotational measurement each direction (shear strain of +/- 2%) and returned to zero stress-zero strain conditions. The rate of strain was set to ensure adequate pore pressure equalization throughout the specimen. A typical rate for this phase of testing was 0.11 percent shear strain per minute.

(11) Immediately following the undrained large-strain test, G was determined by low-amplitude cyclic shear

testing.

- (12) Pore water drainage valves were opened and the specimen was allowed to reconsolidate to the same initial effective confining stress. Once again G was monitored as often as time permitted until the "specimen was at least one-log cycle into secondary compression.
- (13) G was determined just prior to a second large-strain amplitude shear test, where the procedures of steps (10) and (11) were repeated.
- (14) A final set of apparatus readings is taken, and the device was dismantled.

(15) The post-test soil properties were determined.

Test Results for Simple Shear Tests on "Undisturbed" Specimens

65. To illustrate the nature of the data, results from tests on Valen clay, a soft sensitive clay from Gothenberg, Sweden will be used. The properties of this soil are given in Tables 2 and 3, and the results are plotted in Figs. 27 through 30. In each of these figures, the data points denoted by circles are for the first shear test applied to the specimen and the diamond points are for the second test performed after reconsolidation.

66. In Fig. 27, the effective stress paths are shown. The sloping line corresponds to a condition of failure with the effective angle of shearing resistance of 23 degrees. In this case, the once tested soil was reconsolidated to an effective confining stress slightly higher than the "undisturbed" soil. This was caused by drift in the air pressure

regulators. Note that the two effective stress paths are somewhat similar but that the "disturbed" and reconsolidated soil exhibited more positive excess pore pressures than did the "undisturbed" specimen. (It has been long recognized that disturbance affects the undrained

effective stress path behavior.)

67. The shear stress versus shear strain is presented in Fig. 28. Note that the shear stress for the "disturbed" and reconsolidated soil is lower than the shear stress for the "undisturbed" soil even though the former was reconsolidated to a slightly higher confining stress. The secant shear moduli associated with these tests are given in Fig. 29. The initial tangent shear modulus is significantly lower for the "disturbed" and reconsolidated specimen.

68. When the data of these tests are normalized by use of reference strain according to the procedure outlined in Part II of this report, the two curves essentially reduce to a single curve as shown in Fig. 30. Normalized shear stress versus normalized shear strain for the other soils tested are given in Figs. 31 through 34. Values of G_{max} for the initial part of each curve are given in Table 3. Values of T_{max} used in normalizing these data are based on values of angles of shearing resistance as given in Table 3.

69. There were cases where comparable effective confining stress and consolidation time conditions existed between these torsional simple shear tests and the previously reported resonant column tests. Initial tangent shear moduli data from both apparatus are compared in Fig. 35. It can be concluded that both tests give essentially the same values even though one apparatus is run at 0.1 Hz. and the other at 30 or so

Hz.

Simple Shear Testing of Remolded Specimens

70. The primary objective of these tests was to show that the

normalized stress-strain curve is independent of effective stress path. Four tests on nearly identical remolded specimens were performed. Details of the soil and preparation procedures are given in Appendix C. The effective confining stresses for each of the tests were 63 kPa, 94 kPa, 127 kPa, and 100 kPa, respectively. The first and last tests were run at a shear strain rate of 0.005 percent/min and full drainage was allowed. The stress paths for these test were vertical and are shown in Fig. 36. The other two tests were run at 0.006 percent per minute but drainage was not permitted and excess pore water pressures were measured (actually, effective stress was measured directly by use of the differential pressure transducer which was described earlier). The effective stress paths for these two tests are also shown in Fig. 36.

71. The procedure for testing was nearly identical to the procedure described earlier for the tests on undisturbed samples except that backpressuring was done before consolidating, the rate of loading was slower for these tests and larger strains were applied in the first quarter cycle of loading. The effect of these procedures on the initial tangent modulus was measured and a typical test is shown in Fig. 37. Primary consolidation was complete in about 100 minutes in these tests. The specimen was allowed to remain in secondary compression overnight before the application of large shear strains.

72. The data acquisition system malfunctioned through the last segment of the high amplitude phase of each test (shear stress values abruptly became inordinately large) and the data were taken manually from the voltmeter readings. At first it was thought that the data were unaffected by the malfunction. But when the data were compared with

those of the triaxial/resonant column tests (these will be presented

subsequently), it was found that the angle of shearing resistance was 27° whereas the triaxial data gave an angle of 36°. According to tests on clays reported by SAADA et al. 1978, the torsional simple shear data gave significantly larger angles of shearing resistance than did the triaxial tests. Furthermore, the initial tangent shear moduli were only 60 percent of those obtained by the triaxial/resonant column tests (See Fig. 38). When the torsional simple shear tests were run on the undisturbed soils, the values of initial tangent shear moduli were nearly identical with those obtained by the resonant column (See Fig. 35). It is believed that the initial tangent shear moduli from the triaxial/resonant column tests are the correct values because the resonance method is more accurate and because they compare extremely well with the Hardin Equation (Eq. 3) which is also plotted in Fig. 38.

73. The shear stress-shear strain curves for these tests are given in Fig. 39. In each of these tests, the shear stress is still slightly increasing at shear strains of 4 percent. One technique that is useful in obtaining the shear strength is to plot the ratio of shear strain to shear stress versus shear strain as is done in Fig. 40 for data from test SP-5. The inverse of the slope of the straight line fitted to the data gives a good estimate of the shear strength. This technique is commonly used and assumes that behavior is hyperbolic. It is recommended that the most weight be given to data in the range of

strains from two to four percent when the data give a line with some

curvature.

74. One very interesting fact associated with these tests is that the normalization process removes the problem of possibly incorrect shear stress calibration because the shear stress calibration is used in

determining both shear strength and the initial tangent modulus. Normalized stress-strain data are presented in Fig. 41 for these tests. Data from the four tests give essentially the same normalized curve and it can be concluded that at least for this soil and these stress paths, the normalized stress-strain curve is independent of effective stress path.

Triaxial Compression/Resonant Column Tests

Description of Apparatus

75. The test apparatus used in this phase of the program is shown in Fig. 42. It is essentially a triaxial test apparatus with a device for providing low amplitude dynamic vibrational loading to the sample. The main components are: a) the vibration excitation device, b) support for the vibration excitation device, c) top and bottom platens, d) the triaxial cell assembly, e) electronic measuring devices for recording the response to static and dynamic loadings, f) the control and readout instrumentation, g) the burette system with drainage lines, h) the axial loading press, i) the pressure system.

> (a) The Vibration Excitation Device - The dynamic loading was accomplished by the use of a Hardin oscillator (HARDIN 1970) as shown in Fig. 43. This device applied a torsional vibration to the top platen of the specimen. The

vibration was sinuoidally varying with time; the frequency and amplitude of vibration were adjustable.

(b) Support for the Vibration Excitation Device - The oscillator had to be continuously supported throughout the test to prevent unwanted compression of the specimen. To accomplish this, two methods of support for the device were needed. During the test setup a pneumatic cylinder support was utilized (see lower portion of Fig. 44). This

system supported the weight of the oscillator by the extension of piston rods when air pressure was applied to the pneumatic cylinders. During the test, the piston rods were withdrawn to allow for axial movement of the oscillator and support was provided by a counterbalance assembly connected to the axial loading piston that passed through the triaxial chamber lid.

- (c) The Specimen Cap and Base These elements, also known as the upper and lower platens were 5 cm (2 inches) in diameter and were machined from stainless steel and fitted with bronze filter disks. The disks were of sufficient roughness to ensure complete coupling between the specimen and the platens. The lower platen was provided with ports and fittings for the drainage and saturation lines. A pressure transducer was also connected to this lower platen.
- (d) The Triaxial Cell Assembly With a few exceptions this apparatus was similiar to commonly used triaxial cells. The plexiglass chamber and tie rods were longer than typical. The purpose of this was to accomodate the Hardin oscillator and wiring within the cell. A second difference was the top plate of the triaxial chamber was provided with electrical fittings to allow the signals between the instrumentation and the oscillator to be transferred through the chamber.
- (e) The Electronic Measuring Devices The measuring devices included: 1) a length change LVDT for reporting the specimen axial deformation, 2) a load cell mounted with the oscillator for measuring the axial load, 3) a differential pressure transducer connected between the chamber fluid and the pore water to give readings on the effective stress within the sample, 4) an acceleration transducer that produced an electrical output in proportion to the specimen cap rotational acceleration.
- (f) The Control and Readout Instrumentation For the dynamic loading and response measurement the following instruments were used: 1) a sine wave generator was necessary to provide the vibration excitation device with a sinusoidally varying power, 2) a power amplifier was connected in series with the sine wave generator for the

purpose of amplifying the sinusoidal current to the level required for sufficient rotational displacement of the top cap, 3) a frequency counter displayed the frequency of vibration for the top cap, 4) a charge amplifier conditioned the voltage output from the acceleration transducer, 5) an X-Y oscilloscope was utilized to visually display the values for acceleration versus power; resonant frequency was accomplished when the power and acceleration signals were 90° out of phase of when the trace appeared as a vertical ellipse, 6) two voltmeters

gave readouts for the voltage supplied to power the oscillator and the voltage provided by the acceleration transducer.

For the static loading and response measurement, the instrumentation included: 1) three signal conditioning devices, one for the axial deformation LVDT, one for the load cell and one for the effective stress transducer were required. The signal conditioning was needed to amplify the measuring devices output voltage to a level of maximum readout response and also keep the output signals within limits dictated by the data acquisition system specifications, 2) three voltmeters were used to display voltages in proportion to the measured values of axial deformation, axial load, and effective stress.

- (g) The Burette System This system consisted of two glass burettes, having different diameter, a storage reservoir, and the necessary plumbing. The large burette could be read to the nearest 0.5 ml (0.015 fluid ounces). It was used during the saturation phase of the test. During consolidation and the application of the axial load flow was diverted to the small burette. This one could be estimated to the nearest .01 ml without difficulty. The drainage lines were 1.6 mm (1/16 inch) I.D. rigid plastic tubing. It was felt that practically no expansion occurred at working pressure levels in this testing.
- (h) The Load Press To apply the axial load a screw-type gear driven triaxial press was used. This type of press provides a constant strain rate during the test. A wide range of strain rates was possible and values from 1.24 to 0.0003 mm/min (.049 to .000012 in/min) could be accomplished by changing the driving gear ratios.
- (i) The Pressure System Regulated compressed air was the means for providing confinement to the specimen, regulating valves, a dial gage, and the plumbing associated. An air-oil interface existed inside the chamber where an inert silicone oil (the same as used in the torsional simple shear tests) covered the membrane enclosed specimen. The maximum chamber pressure was 700 kPa (100 psi). Regulated compressed air, acting on water levels in the volume change burettes was used for back pressuring.

76. Calibration of the apparatus for resonance testing was according to the procedures set forth by DRNEVICH 1978.

Procedure for Data Acquisition and Reduction

77. The data from these tests are divided into two categories; static data and dynamic data. For each point along a stress path, the static data values recorded included: cell pressure, effective stress, axial load, axial deformation, and burette readings. The dynamic values measured were: torque, acceleration, and resonant frequency. The elapsed time was also recorded.

78. All data were taken manually with the sampling intervals ranging from one or two minutes in the initial portion of the tests to several hours or more near failure. In addition, the values for effective stress, axial load, and axial deformation were taken automatically by a Digital Equipment Corporation, PDP-8 Minicomputer data acquisition system. This was helpful for it provided an easy and quick means to compute axial strain, principal stress ratio, and effective stress point coordinates while the test was running. The PDP-8 ran a BASIC program named TRIAX.KA to acquire and reduce this static data. A copy of this program is included in Appendix D.

79. Each page of data contained up to eighteen data sets. The pages were used to create data files that were stored in the University's IBM 370 computer. After all of the files were stored, the measured data were ready to be reduced. For this purpose a FORTRAN IV program named RC6B

was used. This program was written by Dr. V. P. Drnevich and revised by S. H. Bickel to conform with the needs of this research. A copy of RC6B is given in Appendix D along with its accompanying subroutine RCSUB. This subroutine essentially consists of the FORTRAN program provided by

45

DRNEVICH 1978.

80. Using the measured data RC6B returned values for axial strain, principal stress ratio, void ratio, dynamic shear strain, dynamic shear modulus, damping ratio, and effective stress point coordinates. Because the dynamic strain was kept from exceeding 0.001%, the dynamic shear modulus was the initial tangent shear modulus, G_{max}.

81. The computations involved for reduction of the static data are typical for the common triaxial test. The method of calculation of the dynamic data is well outlined in other sources (DRNEVICH, 1978).

82. During the analysis, it was necessary to calculate a normalized shear stress-strain relationship for the drained tests. In order to accomplish this a BASIC program named KARDUF.Al was written by S. H. Bickel. This program calculated shear strain based on an instantaneous Poisson's ratio and incremental axial strain. The input data parameters were burette reading, axial strain, G_{max}, and effective stress point coordinates. The program returned values for normalized shear stress and normalized shear strain using the theory given earlier in this report.

Description of the Testing

83. Each test was run in five phases. They were: setup, saturation, consolidation, load application and takedown.

84. The test setup was concerned with placing the remolded specimen in the apparatus while causing minimum sample disturbance. The procedure used is described very completely in the literature (HARDIN, 1970) and (DRNEVICH, 1978). After the apparatus was assembled, a seating pressure of 20 kPa (2.8 psi) was applied to the specimen. 85. With the application of the seating stress, backpressure

saturation began. Backpressuring preceded consolidation in these tests because remolded specimens were being tested. The cell pressure was raised to 120 kPa (17 psi) and the increase in effective stress was noted. The "B" pore pressure coefficient was calculated. The back pressure was raised to 100 kPa (14.5 psi) and the drainage line was opened to allow water under the backpressure to flow into the sample. After several hours, the drain value was closed, the cell pressure raised 100 kPa (14.5 psi), a value for "B" computed, and the back pressure similiarly increased. This process was carried out in 100-kPa increments until the cell pressure was 420 kPa (61 psi). At this point the sample was allowed to saturate overnight under a backpressure if 400 kPa (58 psi). The "B" coefficient generally increased from about 0.7 -0.8 at the start of saturation to 0.95 - 0.97 at the end. The saturation phase usually took about twenty hours.

86. After saturation, the specimen was ready for the consolidation phase of the test. With the drain valve closed, the cell pressure was raised such that the difference between it and the backpressure was the initial effective confining stress. The burette reading and system resonant frequency were recorded. At the same time the drainage line was opened and a timer was started. Readings of the burette and the system resonant frequency were taken at predetermined elapsed times. The burette readings were plotted against the log-of-time and the square

root of time. The time for 100% primary consolidation, t_{100} , differed somewhat between the two methods. The log-time procedure generally gave larger values by a factor of about 1.4-1.5 over the square root of time method. In addition, G_{max} was plotted against the log-of-time. This curve became linear once secondary compression was reached. The

consolidation phase was considered complete when the curve of G_{\max} vs. the log-of-time was tangent for one log-cycle of time. The time for this portion of the test was about twenty hours.

87. The rate of deformation was an important consideration. In order to get a true representation of the effective stress path, it was desired to have pore-pressure equalization throughout the specimen fairly early in the test. For the undrained tests, the rate of axial strain was found by using the procedure outlined by BISHOP AND HENKEL 1962. Using a conservative t_{100} of 70 minutes, the rate of deformation was found to be 0.0064 mm/min (0.000253 in/min). This would allow for 95% pore pressure equalization at 1% axial strain. The actual rate used was 0.0056 mm/min (0.00022 in/min). To insure adequate pore pressure dissipation in the drained tests, procedures recommended by BISHOP AND HENKEL 1962 were also used. The required rate of axial deformation was 0.002 mm/min (0.00008 in/min). The actual rate of testing for the drained tests was 0.0023 mm/min (0.00009 in/min) or about one-third the rate for the undrained tests.

88. The loading phase was continued until failure occurred. Failure was defined as the point of maximum obliquity, i.e., maximum ratio of major effective principal stress to minor effective principal stress. In several tests maximum obliquity was not well defined. For these cases the axial loading was halted after the stress path had become sufficiently tangent to the K_f line. Generally the time of axial load

application for an undrained test was about 20 hours and 60 hours for a

drained test.

89. The test takedown phase was a reverse of the setup procedure.

After the apparatus was disassembled a final moisture content sample was

taken from the specimen. The excess oil was cleaned from the apparatus components, and the test area was prepared for the next test.

Results of Resonant Column/Triaxial Compression Tests

90. The soil tested was identically the same as the remolded soil used in the torsional simple shear tests and the soil is described in Appendix C. The primary objectives of these tests were to demonstrate the use of this type of test (whether drained or undrained) for establishing normalized shear stress-shear strain behavior and to show that the normalized stress-strain behavior was relatively independent of effective stress path.

91. The three undrained tests will be described first. Effective stress paths for these tests are given in Fig. 45. All three become tangent to a K_f -line that corresponds to an angle of shearing resistance of 36 degrees. The tests with the higher confining stresses exhibit greater relative amounts of positive excess pore pressure, i.e., larger values of the "A" pore pressure coefficient.

92. The axial stress versus axial strain data for the undrained tests are given in Figs. 46 through 48. These results are quite typical of conventional undrained triaxial tests.

93. These data were converted to shear stress versus shear strain

and then normalized by use of T_{\max} and reference strain using the

procedures given in Part II of this report. The normalized curves are

presented in Fig. 49 where it can be seen that, for practical purposes, a single curve exists.

49

94. For the four drained tests, the effective stress paths are given

in Fig. 50. The test with effective confining stress of 100 kPa (14.5 psi) was stopped before failure because of a time constraint. Had it continued, it would have been consistent with the other tests. The $K_{\rm f}$ -line for these tests corresponds to an angle of shearing resistance of 36 degrees which is identical to the angle obtained for the undrained tests.

95. The axial stress versus axial strain data for these four tests are given in Figs. 51 through 54. In each of these tests, a concerted effort was made to obtain data at small axial strains. In each of the curves, there appears to be a "yield" point at very low axial strains (< 0.05 percent). Since these tests were performed at a strain rate approximately one-third that of the undrained tests, it is believed that the "yield" point is the stress level at which creep effects begin to become significant. Beyond this point, the axial stress versus axial strain curves are much flatter than corresponding curves in the undrained tests. (For example, compare the drained curve in Fig. 51 with the undrained curve in Fig. 47. Both exhibit approximately the same shear strength but stress-strain behavior is significantly different.)

96. Next, the drained axial stress-axial strain data were converted to shear stress-shear strain and then they were normalized by use of T_{max} and reference strain. The normalized curves are given in Fig. 55. For practical purposes, a single curve could represent the data.

97. If the normalized curves for the drained tests (Fig. 55) are

compared with those for the undrained tests (Fig. 49), near perfect agreement is achieved for normalized strains less than 0.2 and there is agreement again for normalized strains greater than 150. In between there is a departure of the two with the drained curves being below the

undrained curves by a maximum of about 15 percent. It is unclear at the present time whether this difference is due to creep effects (the drained tests were run at one-third the rate of the undrained ones) or due to a shortcoming in the theory. This could be answered by performing several undrained tests at the slower rate. It should be pointed out that the normalized curves are in much closer agreement than the drained and undrained axial stress-axial strain curves for tests giving the same shear strength. For those, axial stress for a given axial strain may differ by 50 percent. If the difference in the normalized curves is found to be caused by creep, then their use would be an excellent way to describe creep behavior on a systematic basis.

98. One side benefit of performing these tests was to obtain measured values of initial tangent shear modulus for each stress point along the stress paths. In the theory presented in Part II of this report, only the value of initial tangent shear modulus prior to the start of axial loading is required. For subsequent points, the value of initial tangent shear modulus was adjusted by considering changes in mean effective confining stress and for the drained tests, changes in void ratio as well. Comparison of measured versus predicted values of initial tangent shear moduli for these tests shows agreement that is usually within ten percent. Better agreement could be achieved by refining the adjustment equation but, at least for the present, such

refinement is not warranted.

99. Finally, in Fig. 56, the normalized data from both the torsional simple shear tests and the triaxial/resonant column tests are compared. It can be seen that the torsional simple shear data and the undrained triaxial/resonant column data agree very well and a single

curve can be used to describe this normalized behavior. The drained triaxial/resonant column data lie somewhat below the first curve but still the difference is within acceptable limits.

1

PART IV: DISCUSSION AND APPLICATION

General

100. The test data presented in the previous part of this report has shown that for normally consolidated soils, the use of T_{max} and reference strain to normalize stress-strain data provides a normalized curve which is independent of effective stress path and sample disturbance. Hence, the normalized stress-strain curve should apply to insitu conditions as well. The next logical step is to apply the inverse of the normalization process to establish stress-strain behavior insitu.

101. To obtain stress-strain behavior from normalized stress-strain behavior, two items are needed: T_{max} and reference strain. For insitu stress-strain behavior, values of T_{max} and reference strain that reflect field conditions must be established. Fortunately, this can be done with reasonable accuracy by use of existing techniques of laboratory and field testing. The procedure employed varies somewhat depending on whether loading conditions in the field occur slowly enough such that drained conditions may be assumed to exist or whether undrained conditions must be assumed. Each will be handled separately.

102. Both methods require an estimate of the initial state of effective stress insitu. In the case of horizontal ground surface, this

means determining the vertical effective stress and the horizontal

effective stress or the coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest,

K ... The vertical effective stress can usually be estimated with

reasonable accuracy. Lateral effective stresses are more difficult to

establish. If insitu measurement of these is prohibitive, then they may

be estimated by use of the coefficient of earth pressure of rest, K_0 . Values of K₀ can be obtained from BROOKER AND IRELAND 1965

$$K_{o} = 0.95 - \sin \phi'$$
 (18)

where ϕ^* = effective angle of shearing resistance

or from ALPAN 1976

$$K_{-} = 0.19 + 0.233 \log (PI \%)$$
 (19)

where PI = plasticity index in percent

103. Determination of stress-strain behavior insitu also requires that the initial tangent shear modulus, G_{max} , be known. There is a variety of techniques currently available to do this with good accuracy. The recent review by WOODS 1978 discusses these in detail. The crosshole seismic method appears to be the most accurate and is to be preferred. In certain cases, the Hardin Equation (see Eq. 3) may provide sufficiently accurate estimates of G_{max} insitu but values obtained by measurement are strongly recommended.

Insitu Stress-Strain Behavior for Drained Conditions

104. It has been shown by SKEMPTON AND SOWA 1963, BROMS 1978, and others that for normally consolidated soils, the shear strength parameters in terms of effective stress, c' and \emptyset ', are quite accurately

determined for insitu conditions by means of laboratory tests performed on relatively undisturbed specimens. It is recommended that laboratory determined values be used in this procedure.

105. Next, the effective stress path insitu must be estimated. For drained conditions, where no excess pore pressures occur, the stress path for a given loading can be estimated assuming elastic behavior. (Although soil behavior is not elastic, many of the elastic solutions are independent of elastic parameters or have only a minor dependency on Poisson's ratio.) Charts presented by PERILOFF AND BARON 1978 may be especially helpful in this.

106. The effective stress paths for which this process is applicable are ones that are predominately shear in nature and would correspond to the "Class 1 Loadings" described by HARDIN 1978. See Fig. 57 for the range of these stress paths. Note that the stress paths emanate from the stress point that corresponds to the state of effective stress insitu. If the entire effective stress path is not vertical, values of T_{max} and G_{max} will change for each point along the stress path. Sufficiently accurate results are obtained for these situations if the stress path is described by ten to twenty points. The insitu stressstrain curve will be established by summing the shear strain increments associated with stress path increments from one stress point to the next.

107. For each stress point, j, the value of $(T_{max})_j$ is calculated 55 from

$$(T_{max})_{j} = [(q_{f})_{j}^{2} - q_{i}^{2}]^{0.5}$$
(20)

where
$$(q_{f})_{j} = c' \cos \phi' + p'_{j} \sin \phi'$$

 $p'_{j} = 0.5[(\sigma'_{1})_{j} + (\sigma'_{3})_{j}]$
 $q_{i} = 0.5[(\sigma'_{1})_{i} - (\sigma'_{3})_{i}]$
 $= \text{ ordinate of stress point}$
before loading becan

The applied shear stress at each stress point, T is determined from

$$T_{j} = [q_{j}^{2} - q_{i}^{2}]^{0.5}$$
(21)

For each stress path increment, j, the incremental normalized shear strain, $\Delta(\gamma/\gamma_r)_j$ can be established by entering the normalized stress-strain curve determined from laboratory tests with values of $T_j/(T_{max})_j$ and $T_{j-1}/(T_{max})_{j-1}$ and obtaining values of $(\gamma/\gamma_r)_j$ and $(\gamma/\gamma_r)_{j-1}$. The incremental normalized shear strain is given by

$$\Delta(\gamma/\gamma_r)_j = (\gamma/\gamma_r)_j - (\gamma/\gamma_r)_{j-1}$$
(22)

This value must be multiplied by a value of reference strain associated with that stress path increment to obtain an incremental shear strain.



Reference strain, from Eq. (1) requires values of T_{max} and G_{max} . The value of T_{max} for the increment can be obtained by averaging the values

56

for the stress points that define the increment.

$$[T_{max}]_{incr. j} = 0.5[(T_{max})_{j} + (T_{max})_{j-1}]$$
(23)

where $(T_{max})_{j}$ and $(T_{max})_{j-1}$ are obtained from Eq. (20).

108. The value of G_{max} associated with the stress path increment can be estimated from the value obtained from seismic measurements insitu adjusted for the change in mean effective confining stress changes associated with the middle of the stress path increment as given by

$$(\sigma'_{o})_{j} = 1/3[(\sigma'_{1})_{j} + (\sigma'_{2})_{j} + (\sigma'_{3})_{j}]$$
(24)

For plane strain loading conditions, this may be defined in terms of the stress point coordinate

$$(\sigma'_{o})_{j} = 0.5[p'_{j} + p'_{j-1}]$$
 (25)

For axis-symmetrical compression conditions, it can be described by

$$(\sigma'_{o})_{j} = 0.5[(p'_{j} - 2q_{j}/3) + (p'_{j-1} - 2q_{j-1}/3)]$$
 (26)

and for axis-symmetrical extension conditions by

 $(\sigma_{0}')_{j} = 0.5[(p_{j}' + 2q_{j}/3) + (p_{j-1}' + 2q_{j-1}/3)]$ (27)

The value of ${\tt G}_{\max}$ for the stress increment is given by

$$[G_{\max}]_{incr. j} = [G_{\max}]_{seismic} \times \left[\frac{(\sigma_{o})_{j}}{(\sigma_{o})_{i}}\right]^{0.5}$$
(28)

where $(\sigma'_{o})_{i}$ = the mean effective confining stress for insitu conditions before load application

The value of reference strain for each stress path increment is given by

$$[\Upsilon_r]_{incr. j} = \frac{[\Upsilon_{max}]_{incr. j}}{[G_{max}]_{incr. j}}$$
(29)

The shear strain increment is calculated from

$$\Delta \gamma_{j} = \Delta (\gamma / \gamma_{r})_{j} * [\gamma_{r}]_{incr. j}$$
(30)

The shear strain for each stress point is calculated from

$$\gamma_{j} = \gamma_{j-1} + \Delta \gamma_{j}$$
(31)

where γ_{j-1} = the total shear strain to the stress point "j-1". A value of zero is assigned to γ_{j-1} for the stress point corresponding to initial insitu conditions (before loading begins). A plot of T from Eq.

(21) versus Y from Eq. (31) will give the stress-strain curve for the

given loading.

Insitu Stress-Strain Behavior for Undrained Conditions

109. Undrained conditions differ from the previously discussed drained conditions in that the effective stress path cannot be estimated by use of elasticity because of the excess pore pressures created by soil attempting to dilate. In fact, it is recognized that the effective stress path is quite independent of total stress path for saturated undrained conditions (see LAMBE AND WHITMAN 1969, p. 400). Consequently, the shear stress-shear strain should also be independent of total stress path. For this situation, the problem reduces to 1) determining the effective stress path insitu and 2) determining the shear stress-shear strain behavior for that effective stress path.

110. One additional piece of information is required from insitu tests for this case; the undrained shear strength, S_u . This may be determined by any method that provides acceptable results. Methods such as vane shear, pressuremeter, cone penetrometer, borehole shear, etc., may be used according to the soil type, local experience, and preference. For a given soil, it is common to express the undrained shear strength in terms of S_u/σ'_v where σ'_v is the effective overburden stress. Typical values of S_u/σ'_v are given by LAMBE AND WHITMAN 1969, p. 452.

111. With this information and information on the state of stress insitu and the shear strength parameters c' and \emptyset ' from laboratory

tests, sufficient information is available to establish the starting and ending stress points on the insitu effective stress path. The starting point is simply established from the initial state of stress insitu (see Fig. 58). The ending point is determined by the intersection of the $K_{\rm f}$ line (line on stress-path diagram associated with Mohr Coulomb effective

stress failure envelope) and the insitu undrained shear strength (see Fig. 58).

112. There are a number of ways to estimate the stress path between the starting and ending points. The simplest is to use a straight line (long-dashed stress path in Fig. 58). Although the actual effective stress path is likely to be curved (short-dashed stress path in Fig. 58), the associated shear stress-shear strain behavior may not be significantly affected.

113. The more rational way to estimate the effective stress path is to fit the starting and ending points with a curve which is derived from the effective stress path measured in the laboratory when the undrained test was used to establish the normalized stress-strain behavior. The effective stress path from the undrained laboratory test may be described as shown in Fig. 59a where the parameter H is defined as the inverse of the slope of the secant connecting the initial stress point and any other stress point (see Fig. 59b). The value of H may be calculated from

$$H = -(p' - p_i')/(q - q_i)$$
(32)

114. The value of H associated with the intersection of the stress path and the K_f line (failure) is defined as H_f. For insitu conditions, the value of H_f is defined because the starting and ending points of the stress path are defined (see Fig. 58). The value of H_f is also uniquely determined by S_u/O'_v and ϕ' with the equation

 $H_{f} = 1/(S_{u}/O'_{v}) - 1/(\sin \phi')$ (33)

To obtain the intermediate stress points, choose values of T/T_{max} between zero and unity from the laboratory generated curve of $H/H_{\rm f}$ versus $T/T_{\rm max}$. The value of $H_{\rm insitu}$ corresponding to $T/T_{\rm max}$ is given by

$$H_{insitu} = (H/H_f)_{lab} \times (H_f)_{insitu}$$
(34)

The ordinate of the stress point insitu is given by

$$q = p'_{i} \frac{-T^{2}a_{1}a_{3} + \sqrt{T^{4}a_{1}^{2}q_{i}^{2} - T^{2}(a_{1}^{2}q_{i}^{2} + q_{i}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}) + q_{i}^{2}}{(1 - T^{2}a_{1}^{2})}$$
(35)

where:
$$a_1 = -(H) \sin \phi'$$

 $a_2 = (c') \cos \phi' - (p_i' + H q_i) \sin \phi'$
 $T = T/T_{max}$

The abscissa of the stress point is given by

$$p' = p_i' - H(q - q_i)$$
 (36)

and the coordinates of the stress point are established. From this point, the procedure for establishing the insitu shear stress-shear

strain behavior is identical to that for drained conditions.

PART V: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

115. A new approach has been outlined for handling the problem of sample disturbance. The technique makes use of laboratory tests to describe the "sense" of the stress- strain behavior and requires field measured results to establish the "magnitude" of the behavior. This process is analogous to classical plasticity which utilizes a plastic potential function to establish the direction of a plastic strain increment and hardening function to establish the magnitude of the increment. The normalized stress-strain curve determined in the laboratory is analogous to the plastic potential function and the field determined data are analogous to the work hardening law.

116. The procedures were developed for establishing the normalized stress-strain behavior by use of drained or undrained laboratory tests. Either a torsional simple shear test or a combination of resonant column and triaxial tests may be used. The procedures are consistent with each other and with normalization procedures previously published by the Principal Investigator and his colleagues.

117. Results of resonant column and torsional simple shear tests on normally consolidated cohesive soils were presented and compared. It was shown that a disturbance to the soil by applying as much as 2 percent shear strain did not significantly affect the normalized shear stress-shear strain but significantly affected the initial tangent shear

modulus and undrained effective stress path. It can be concluded from this that the normalized stress-strain curve would also apply to insitu conditions as well.

62

118. The topic of anisotropic states of stress has been fully

incorporated in this work and it should be possible to predict behavior of soil from anisotropic state of stress based on laboratory test results performed on isotropically consolidated specimens. Additional testing is in order to verify this. The topic of anisotropic structure has not been addressed except that if the direction of shear application in the laboratory is the same as that insitu, then the method is independent of structural anisotropy.

119. The normalized stress-strain curve appears to be relatively independent of effective stress path. To verify this, special torsional simple shear tests and triaxial resonant column tests were performed on specially remolded specimens. The normalized results from both types of tests agreed well with each other. Furthermore, the normalized behavior appears to be sensitive to creep. It is recommended that this normalization process be used to study creep on a systematic basis. Some additional study is needed on this topic.

120. The process of establishing the shear stress-shear strain behavior insitu is essentially the inverse of the process used in the laboratory to obtain the normalized behavior. Procedures for both drained conditions and undrained conditions insitu are detailed. The former only requires the initial tangent shear modulus from field testing, whereas the latter requires both the initial tangent shear modulus and the undrained shear strength. The procedures are based on

accepted principles of soil mechanics and as a result should give

acceptable results. Evaluation of the technique by use in actual cases

is in order.

121. Finally, the processes worked out herein are only applicable to normally or near normally consolidated soils. With modification,

these processes should be applicable to overconsolidated soils. Further work on this is strongly recommended.



REFERENCES

Afifi, S.E.A., "Effects of Stress History on the Shear Moduli of Soils," Dissertation presented in partial fulfillment for Ph.D., The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1970, 207 p.

Alpan, I., "The Empirical Evaluation of the Coefficient K and K "," Soil and Foundation, Jap. Soc. Soil Mech. Found. Eng., Vol. VII, No. "1, January 1976, pp.31.

Anderson, D.G., "Dynamic Modulus of Cohesive Soils," Dissertation presented in partial fulfillment for Ph.D., The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, June 1974, 311 p.

Anderson, D.G., Woods, R.D., "Comparison of Field & Laboratory Shear Moduli," Insitu Measurement of Soil Properties, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol I, 1975, pp. 69-92.

Bishop, A.W., and Henkel, D.J., "The Triaxial Test," Second Edition, Edward Arnold Publishers, London, 1962, 228p.

Broms, Bengt B., "European Experience in Soil Sampling and Its Influence on Dynamic Laboratory Testing," Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing, American Society of Civil Engineers, Preprint 3440, Oct. 1978, pp. 1-86.

Brooker, E.W., and Ireland, H.O., "Earth Pressures at Rest Related to Stress History," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. II, No. 1, Feb. 1965.

Drnevich, V.P., "The Resonant Column Test," Report No. S-78-6, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, Contract No. DACW39-77-M-1687, July, 1978, 61 p.

Drnevich, V.P., "Insitu Measurement of Initial Stresses and Deformation Characteristics," Geotechnical Engineering Division Specialty Conference on Insitu Measurement of Soil Properties, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. II, 1975, pp. 244-252.

Drnevich, V.P., "Location of Solution Channels and Sinkholes at Dam Sites and Backwater Areas by Seismic Methods," A-026-KY Soil Mechanics Series No. 15, University of Kentucky Water Resources Institute, Lexington, Kentucky, Part II, Aug. 1972, pp. 1-30.

Drnevich, V.P., and Massarch, K.R., "Effect of Sample Disturbance on Stress-Strain Behavior," Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing, American Society of Civil Engineers, Preprint 3440, Oct. 1978, pp. 212-243.

Hardin, Bobby O., "Constitutive Relations for Airfield Subgrade and Base Course Materials," UKY 32-71-CE 5 Soil Mechanics Series No. 4, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, Mar. 1971, pp. 1-66.

Hardin, Bobby O., and Drnevich, V.P., "Shear Modulus and Damping in Soils: Design Equations and Curves," Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 98, No. SM7, July 1972, pp. 667-692.

Hardin, Bobby O., "Effects of Strain Amplitude on the Shear Modulus of Soils," UKY TR 63-72-CE23 Soil Mechanics Series No. 12, College of Engineering, Univerity of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, Nov. 1972, pp. 1-63.

Hardin, Bobby O., "Programmed Simple Shear Testing of Soils," Technical Report UKY 40-71-CE8, Soil Mechanic Series No. 8, July, 1971, pp.14

Hardin, Bobby O., "Suggested Methods of Test for Shear Modulus and Damping of Soils by the Resonant Column," Special Procedures for Testing Soil and Rock for Engineering Purposes, 5th Edition, American Society for Testing and Materials, STP 479, June, 1970, pp. 516-529.

Hardin, Bobby O., "The Nature of Stress-Strain Behavior of Soils," Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 1, 1978, pp. 3-90

Horn, Harry M., "North American Experience in Soil Sampling and Its Influence on Dynamic Laboratory Testing," Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing, American Society of Civil Engineers, Preprint 3440, Oct. 1978, pp. 113-178.

Ladd, C.C., and Foott, R., "New Design Procedures for Stability of Soft Clays," Journal, Geotechnical Engineering Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 100, No. GT7, July 1974, pp. 763-786.

Ladd, C.C., Foott, R., Ishihara, K., Schlosser, F. and Poulos, H.G., "Stress Deformation and Strength Characteristics," Proceedings, 9th International Conference of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Vol. 2, 1977, pp. 421-494.

Lambe, T.W., and Whitman, R.V., Soil Mechanics, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1969, 553 p.

Lee, Kenneth L., Stokoe, K.H., Marcuson, W.F., and Yokel F.Y., "Research Needs and Priorities for Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Application," University of Austin, Texas, June 1978, 133 p.

Miller, Raymond P., Troncoso, J.H., and Brown, F.R., "Insitu Impulse Test for Dynamic Shear Modulus of Soils," Insitu Measurement of Soil Properties, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 1, 1975, pp. 319-335.

Mori, Hiroshi, "Japanese Experience in Soil Sampling and Its Influence on Dynamic Laboratory Testing," Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing, American Society of Civil Engineers, Preprint 3440, Oct. 1978, pp. 87-112.

Noorany, A.M., and Seed, H. Bolton, "In-situ Strength Characteristics of Soft Clays," Journal, Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Vol. 91, No. SM2, March 1965, pp. 49-80.

Perloff, W.H., and Baron, W., Soil Mechanics, Principles and Applications, The Ronald Press Company, New York, 1976, 745 p.

Saada, A.S., Bianchini, G.F., and Shook, L.P., "The Dynamic Response of Anisotropic Clay,", Proceedings of the Geotechnical Engineering Division Specialty Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, ASCE, June, 1978, pp. 777-801.

Silver, M.L., Anderson, D.G., and Pyke, R., Symposium on Soil Sampling and Its Importance to Dynamic Laboratory Testing, American Society of Civil Engineers Annual Meeting, Preprint 3440, Oct. 1978, pp. 244-286.

Skempton, A.W., and Sowa, V.A., "The Behavior of Saturated Clays During Sampling and Testing," Geotechnique, The International Journal of Soil Mechanics, Vol. XIII, No. 4, Dec. 1963, pp. 269-290.

Woods, R.D., "Measurement of Dynamic Soil Properties." Proceedings of Geotechnical Engineering Division Specialty Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, American Society of Civil Engineers, June, 1978, pp. 91-178.

Wroth, C.P., "Insitu Measurement of Initial Stresses and Deformation Characteristics," Geotechnical Engineering Div. Specialty Conference on Insitu Measurement of Soil Properties, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. II, 1975, pp. 181-230.

TABLE 1.

VALUES OF COEFFICIENT K FOR HARDIN EQUATION

PLASTICITY INDEX	К
0	0
20	0.18
40	0.30
60	0.41
80	0.48
>=100	0.50

SOIL NO.	TEST IDENT.	SOIL DESCRIPTION	UNIFIED CLASS.	WC (Z)	VOID RATIO	LL (%)	PL (2)	SP. GR.	SENS. **	SH. STR. (KPA)	SATUR. (Z)	
1	KYD1A (KYD1)*	KENTUCKY CLAY FROM DAVIESS COUNTY, GRAY IN COLOR. DEPTH IOM	ML-CL	28.1	0.76	35	23	2.72		65.8	99.12	
2	JW 3260 (SKA)*	SKA EDEBY POSTGLA- CIAL CLAY FROM SWEDEN. LIGHT COLORED STRATIFIED CLAY. DEPTH 4M	СН	93.0	2.59	70	25	2.79	10	6.16	100.0	
Э	SKA	SAME AS ABOVE	CH	72.5	2.59	70	25	2.79	10	6.16	100.0	
4	S-19 (MAC)*	MISSISSIPPI CANYON AREA CLAY. 7M BELOW SEAFLOOR.	MH	90.7	2.41	108	34	2.66	2	5.95	100.0	
5	DRAM	DRAMMEN CLAY FROM SUNDLAND NORWAY. DEPTH 7M	CL	47.4	1.16	59	32	2.45	14	27.9	100+0	
6	JW5337 (VAL)*	VALEN CLAY FROM GOTHENBERG SWEDEN 5%-6% ORGANIC CONTENT. DEPTH 5M	СН	111.9	2.8	104	37	2+5	9	15.2	100.0	
7	JW6825	BACKEBOL CLAY, NORTH GOTHENBERG. SOFT NORMALLY CONSOLIDATED CLAY AND HOMOGENEOUS. DEPTH 7M	мн	74.3	1.75	60	32	2.65	7	14.9	100.0	
8	JW6825B	SAME AS ABOVE	MH	60.2	1.61	60	2.5	2.68	7	27.9	100.0	
9	SA÷7	PACIFIC CLAY FROM CALIFORNIA. GRAY COLOR WITH SAND AND SHELL LAYERS. DEPTH 7M	CL	35.8	1.026	37	22	2.75		40.0	96.0	
10	6249 (SGI1)*	NORRKOPING CLAY SWEDEN. DEPTH 7M	СН	70.8	1.49	58	21	2.28	10	17.5	100.0	

TABLE 2. DESCRIPTION OF SOILS USED IN RESONANT COLUMN AND TORSIONAL SIMPLE SHEAR TESTS

* TORSIONAL SIMPLE SHEAR TEST DESIGNATION, ** BY SWEDISH FALL CONE

DEN. (KG/M*3)
1968.0
1498.5
1616.5
1485.9
1671.9
1411.9
1678.1
1643.2
1840.0
1565.5

TABLE 3. DATA OF "UNDISTURBED" SOILS TESTED IN TORSIONAL SIMPLE SHEAR

(SEE TABLE 2. FOR FOR SOIL PROPERTIE		MEAN EFFECTIVE CONFINING STRESS (KPA)				INITIAL TANGENT SHEAR MODULUS, G(MAX) (MPA)						
SOIL NAME	TEST IDENT.	ď	BEFORE AMPL.	LARGE TESTING	AFTER AMPL.	LARGE TESTING	END OF MARY C	PRI- ONSOL.	BEFORE AMPL.T	LARGE ESTING	AFTER AMPL.	LARGE TESTING
		DEG.	TEST 1	TEST 2	TEST 1	TEST 2	TEST 1	TEST 2	TEST 1	TEST 2	TEST 1	TEST 2
KY. CLAY FROM DAVIESS CO., KY.	KYD 1	35	263.7	262.8	156.9	170.2	56.7	58.9	73.5	72.0	45.3	61.2
NORRKOPING CLAY, SWEDEN	SGI1	27	77.5	-	76.8	-	-	-	12.1	-	7.7	-
VALEN CLAY FROM GOTHENBERG, SWEDE	VAL1	23	61.4	66.2	46.3	47.0	6.1	-	10.8	7.1	-	4.5
BACKEBOL CLAY	JW	29	40.6	38.0	33.9	30.1	5.5	6.3	8.5	6.4	5.2	5.2
DRAMMEN CLAY FROM NORWAY	DRAM	29	63.7	58.6	28.7	36.9	16.7	25.6	19.1	25.6	8.6	10.7
MISSISSIPPI CANYON CLAY GULF OF MEXICO	MAC	22	72.8	71.8	48.4	62.1	7.2	6.9	10.1	8.5	6.1	6.9
SKA EDEBY CLAY FROM SWEDEN	SKA	26	40.9	37.8	18.0	17.6	8.3	7.4	7.2	8.3	4.9	5.2
KY. CLAY FROM DAVIESS CO., KY.	KJK2	35	93.0	-	60.5	-	13.9	-	18.9	-	10.6	-






Fig. 2. Definition of Maximum Applied Shear Stress and Stress Path for Drained Pure Shear Loading



Fig. 3. Effect of Volumetric Strain on G_{max}



Fig. 4. Definition of Maximum Applied Shear Stress and Stress Path for Undrained Pure Shear Loading





Fig. 6. Initial Tangent Shear Modulus at End of Primary Consolidation from Resonant Column Tests



Fig. 7. Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time Resonant Column Sample KYDIA

(1) $Y = 0.74 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 64.4 \text{ (kPa)}$ (2) $Y = 0.51 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 115.3 \text{ (kPa)}$ (3) $Y = 0.36 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 248.1 \text{ (kPa)}$ (4) $Y = 0.38 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 248.8 \text{ (kPa)}$ (5) $Y = 0.30 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 264.8 \text{ (kPa)}$ (6) $Y = 0.76 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 248.3 \text{ (kPa)}$ (7) $Y = 96.43 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 243.1 \text{ (kPa)}$

 \bigtriangledown BEGIN BACKPRESSURE \triangle END BACKPRESSURE



 $\gamma = 7.28 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 13.8 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 5.02 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 62.5 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 2.75 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 55.2 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 2.67 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 57.1 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 2.75 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 54.9 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 118.97 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 53.9 (kPa)$ $\gamma = 698.92 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 46.1 (kPa)$

∇ BEGIN BACKPRESSURE Δ END BACKPRESSURE



Fig. 9. Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time Resonant Column Sample S-19

(1) $Y = 11.24 \times 10^{p}$

(1) $\gamma = 11.24 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 33.2 \text{ (kPa)}$ (2) $\gamma = 10.0 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 29.4 \text{ (kPa)}$ (3) $\gamma = 523.84 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 28.2 \text{ (kPa)}$ (4) $\gamma = 3.58 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 60.7 \text{ (kPa)}$ (5) $\gamma = 100.01 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 59.6$

> BEG IN BACKPRESSURE END BACKPRESSURE



Elapsed Time (min)

Fig. 10. Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time Resonant Column Sample A-1 (Drammen) Y = 1.57 x $10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma_{o} = 14.7 \text{ (kPa)}$ Y = 1.32 x $10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 31.0 \text{ (kPa)}$ Y = 1.32 x $10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 4.9.9 \text{ (kPa)}$ Y = 1.40 x $10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 49.4 \text{ (kPa)}$ Y = 92.95 x $10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 52.0 \text{ (kPa)}$

BEGIN BACKPRESSURE END BACKPRESSURE



Resonant Column Sample JW5337 (Valen)

 $Y = 3.05 \times 10^{-3}\%$ σ_{o} = 26.6 (kPa) $Y = 3.87 \times 10^{-3} \%$ $\sigma = 23.8 (kPa)$ $Y = 4.74 \times 10^{-3} \%$ $\sigma = 50.0 \, (kPa)$ $Y = 4.18 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 47.9 (kPa)$ $Y = 472.5 \ge 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 45.7 (kPa)$ $y = 3.89 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 46.6 \,(\text{kPa})$ $\gamma = 202.2 \times 10^{-3} \%$ $\sigma = 44.1 \, (kPa)$ $\gamma = 3.88 \times 10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 48.0 \, (kPa)$

BEGIN BACKPRESSURE







Fig. 13. Shear Modulus versus Elapsed Time Resonant Column Sample JW6825B

Y = 4.33 x $10^{-3}\%$ $q_5 = 52.8 (kPa)$ $v = 2.05 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 52.1 (kPa)$ $v = 1.07 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 51.4 (kPa)$ $v = 1.06 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 51.1 (kPa)$ $v = 1.01 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 50.0 (kPa)$ $v = 62.75 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 51.0 (kPa)$ $v = 288.29 x <math>10^{-3}\%$ $\sigma = 49.0 (kPa)$

BEGIN BACKPRESSURE END BACKPRESSURE



 $\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 1.88 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma_0 &= 16.8 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ v &= 0.99 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 50.2 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ v &= 0.06 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 49.3 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ \gamma &= 3.21 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 47.3 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ \gamma &= 2.99 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 47.7 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ \gamma &= 3.32 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 49.0 \ (\text{kPa}) \\ \gamma &= 2.73 \pm 10^{-3}\% \\ \sigma &= 48.0 \ (\text{kPa}) \end{aligned}$

BEGIN BACKPRESSURE END BACKPRESSURE



Fig. 15. Results of Resonant Column Test KYD1A

80





Fig. 17a. Results of Resonant Column Test S-19 at First Confining Stress



Fig. 17b. Results of Resonant Column Test S-19 at Second Confining Stress











Fig. 22. Overview of Torsional Simple Shear Apparatus and Electronics



Fig. 23. Specimen, Supporting Clamp, and Transducers of Torsional Simple Shear Apparatus



Fig. 24. Close-up View of Gear Reduction System



Moduli with Torsional Simple Shear



1.12-



K_f - LINE $\sin \phi$



70 80

VAL121 AND VAL130



Shear Stress versus Shear Strain



12.5 10.0 SHUAR 7.5 M ODULUS 5.0 2.5-MPA 0 8 8 8 8 8 0 (C) 0.0-0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 SHEAR STRAIN (%) Fig. 29. "Undisturbed" Sample Tests VAL121 and VAL130 Shear Modulus versus Shear Strain

VALIZI AND VALIJO



VAL121 AND VAL130



Fig. 30. "Undisturbed" Sample Tests VAL121 and VAL130 Normalized Shear Stress versus Normalized Shear Strain















Initial Tangent Shear Moduli for Various Soils, As Determined by Resonant Column and Quasi-Static Torsional Simple Shear at Comparable Consolidation Conditions

○ KYDI (DA VIESS CO.)
◇ SGI (NORRKOPING)
→ VAL-1 (VALEN)
□ JW (BACKEBOL)

 \triangle DRAM (DRAMMEN) ∇ MAC (GULF OF MEXICO) \times SKA (SKA - EDEBY)

Fig. 35. Comparison of Initial Tangent Shear Moduli from Torsional Simple Shear and Resonant Column


Fig. 36. Stress Paths for Torsional Simple Shear Tests on Remolded Soil









STHAR SFRESS KP A



Fig. 40. Technique for Estimating Shear Strength







Fig. 42. Overall View of Triaxial/Resonant Column System



Fig. 43. Triaxial Cell With Hardin Oscillator



Fig. 44. Close-up View of Hardin Oscillator in Cell



KP



Fig. 46. Triaxial/Resonant Column Undrained Test, Init. Eff. Conf. Stress 150 kPa







ZORE NTHAR STRESS







Fig. 52. Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 75 kPa



Fig. 53. Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 100 kPa

- 2





Fig. 54. Triaxial/Resonant Column Drained Test, Eff. Conf. Stress 125 kPa







Fig. 57. Range in Stress Path for Class 1 Loadings











Fig. 59b. Definition of H Stress Path Parameter

C**	******	***** RC5 FORTRAN ************************************	RC500010
C	DATA REDUCT	TION PROGRAM FOR DRNEVICH LONG-TOR RESONANT COLUMN APP.	RC500020
С	USING THE E	EXACT VISCOELASTIC THEORY ACCORDING TO THE PAPER	RC500030
С	BY DRNEVICH	1, 1978	RC500040
C			RC500050
С	WRITTEN BY	V.P. DRNEVICH	RC500060
C			RC500070
C	MAY 1978 VE	RSION	RC500080
С			RC500090
C			RC500100
C	PARAMETER I	DEFINITIONS	RC500110
C	APPARAT	US CONSTANTS	RC500120
С	MA	=TOP CAP AND OSCILLATOR(S) MASS (KG)	RC500130
C	JA	=APPARATUS POLAR MASS INERTIA (KG-M**2)	RC500140
C	LCFA	=LONG. MOTION C.F. FOR LONG. VIB. (M/VRMS)	RC500150
С	RCFA	=ROT. MOTION C.F. FOR TORS. VIB. (RAD/VRMS)	RC500160
C	ADCL	=APPARATUS DAMPING COEFF. FOR LONG. MOTION (KG-HZ)	RC500170
C	ADCT	=APPARATUS DAMPING COEFF. FOR TORS. MOTION (KG-M**2-HZ)	RC500180
C	FCF	=FORCE-CURRENT FACTOR FOR LONGITUDINAL MOTION (N/VRMS)	RC500190
C	TCF	=TORQUE-CURRENT FACTOR FOR TORSIONAL MOTION (N-M/VRMS)	RC500200
C	MODE	=TYPE OF VIB. (LONG. OR TORS.)	RC500210
С	LONG	=LONGITUDINAL MOTION (CODE =LONG)	RC500220
C	TORS	=TORSIONAL MOTION (CODE =TORS)	RC500230
С	GENERAI	CONSTANTS	RC500240
С	PI	=3.14159	RC500250
С	UWAT	=UNIT WEIGHT OF WATER = 1000. (KG/M**3)	RC500260
С	SPECIME	EN VARIABLES	RC500270
С	W	=SPECIMEN WEIGHT (GMS)	RC500280
С	SD	=SPECIMEN DIAMETER (MM)	RC500290
С	SL	=SPECIMEN LENGTH (MM)	RC500300
С	SG	=SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF SPECIMEN SOLIDS	RC500310
С	WC	=WATER CONTENT OF SPECIMEN (PERCENT)	RC500320
С	UM	=MASS DENSITY OF SPECIMEN (KG/M**3)	RC500330
С	SJ	=SPECIMEN POLAR INERTIA (M**4)	RC500340
С	SINR	=SPECIMEN MASS POLAR INERTIA (KG-M**2)	RC500350
С	E	=SPECIMEN VOID RATIO	RC500370
С	SAT	=DEGREE OF SATURATION (PERCENT)	RC500370
C	SYSTEM	VARIABLES	RC 500380
C	LRI	=INITIAL LENGTH DIAL READING (UNITS)	RC500/00
C	LCF	=LENGTH CHNG. CAL. FACT. (MM/UNIT) (+ FOR LENGTH DECREASE)	RC500410
C	LR	=LENGTH READING (UNITS) (COMPRESSION IS POSITIVE)	RC 500420
C	BRI	=INITIAL BUREITE READING (UNITS)	10000420

APPENDIX A: COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR REDUCING DRNEVICH RESONANT COLUMN DATA

DUT BCF =BUR. CAL. FACTOR (ML/UNIT) (+ FOR PORE VOL. INCREASE) RC500430 =BURETTE READING (UNITS) (INCR. FOR PORE VOL. INCR.) RC500440 BR RC500450 TTOR =SYSTEM POLAR MASS INERTIA RATIO RC500460 TLON =SYSTEM MASS INERTIA RATIO RC500470 TIME =TIME OF VIBRATION (MIN) RC500480 =CELL PRESSURE (UNITS) CP RC500490 =BACK PRESSURE OR PORE PRESSURE (UNITS) BP PCF =PRESSURE CALIBRATION FACTOR (KPA/UNIT) RC500500 RC500510 CRRMS=TORQUE READING (MV-RMS) RC500520 TORMS=ACCELEROMETER OUTPUT (MV-RMS)

A1

С

С

С

С

С

С

С

С

C

C

```
C
          FSYS =SYSTEM UNDAMPED NATURAL FREQUENCY (HZ)
                                                                             RC500530
C
        CALCULATED SOIL PARAMETERS
                                                                             RC500540
C
          V
                =VOLUME (M**3)
                                                                             RC500550
C
                =LENGTH (M)
                                                                             RC500560
          L
C
                =AXIAL STRAIN (PERCENT) (+ FOR COMPRESSION)
                                                                             RC500570
          AS
          E, VR =VOID RATIO
                                                                             RC500580
C
C
               =AVERAGE SHEAR OR ROD STRAIN AMPLITUDE IN SPECIMEN (%)
          SS
                                                                             RC500590
C
          G
                =ROD MODULUS OR SHEAR MODULUS (MPA)
                                                                             RC500600
C
          DR
                =DAMPING RATIO (PERCENT)
                                                                             RC500610
C
          CYC =CYCLES OF VIBRATION
                                                                             RC500620
C
          SO
                =MEAN EFFECTIVE PRINCIPLE STRESS (KPA)
                                                                             RC500630
C
        TEST PARAMETERS
                                                                             RC500640
C
          TEST =TEST NAME (MAX.=40 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
                                                                             RC500650
С
          TESTN=TEST NUMBER (MAX.=16 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
                                                                             RC500660
C
          DATE =DATE (MO/DA/YR)
                                                                             RC500670
          SOIL =SOIL TYPE (MAX.=40 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
C
                                                                             RC500680
C
          NDP =NUMBER OF DATA POINTS IN TEST (MAX.=100)
                                                                             RC500690
C
          OPER = OPERATOR: S NAME (MAX. = 16 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
                                                                             RC500700
C
          LCT =NUMBER OF DATA LINES
                                                                             RC500710
C
          NP
                =NUMBER OF PAGES REQUIRED FOR DATA REDUCTION
                                                                             RC500720
C
          NSETS =NUMBER OF TIMES PROGRAM IS TO BE RUN (MAX=9)
                                                                             RC500730
C
                                                                             RC500740
C
                                                                             RC500750
      INTEGER NDP, LCT, NP, OD, TORS
                                                                             RC500760
      REAL LRI, LCF, LR (100), L (100), OPER, LCFA, MA, JA, MMF
                                                                             RC500770
      DIMENSION TEST(10), OPER(4), SOIL(10), TESTN(4), DATE(2)
                                                                             RC500780
      DIMENSION TIME(100), CP(100), BP(100), CRRMS(100), TORMS(100)
                                                                             RC500790
      DIMENSION MODE (100), BR (100), FSYS (100), AS (100)
                                                                             RC500800
      DIMENSION V(100), VR(100), SO(100), CYC(100), SS(100), G(100), DIA(100) RC500810
      DIMENSION DR(100), MN(100)
                                                                             RC500820
      COMMON /VALS/P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA, AMP, D, F, SF/MAP/MAL/ALARM/KALARM
                                                                             RC500830
      DATA LONG/4HLONG/, TORS/4HTORS/
                                                                             RC500840
C
                                                                             RC500850
C
                                                                             RC500860
C
    SETTING INPUT AND OUTPUT DEVICE CODES
                                                                             RC500870
C
                                                                             RC500880
      ID=5
                                                                             RC500890
      OD=6
                                                                             RC500900
C
                                                                             RC500910
    5 \text{ FORMAT}(10A4, 10A4)
                                                                             RC500920
   10 FORMAT (2A4, 4A4, 4A4)
                                                                             RC500930
   15 FORMAT(8F8.0)
                                                                             RC500940
   20 FORMAT(F8.2, 3F8.3, F8.2)
                                                                             RC500950
   25 FORMAT (2F8.2, F8.4)
                                                                             RC500960
```

30 FORMAT (F8.3, F8.2, I3) 40 FORMAT (4F8.2, F8.3, A4, 3F8.2) 50 FORMAT (1H1, 5X, 61HDRNEVICH LONGITUDINAL AND TORSIONAL RESONANT COLURC500990 IMN APPARATUS/15X, 37HPROGRAMMED BY DR. VINCENT P. DRNEVICH/22X, 22HPRC501000 2ROGRAM DATE MAY, 1978///18X, 30HRESONANT COLUMN DATA REDUCTION) RC501010 55 FORMAT (1H , 2X, 11HTEST NAME: ,10A4/3X, 18HSOIL DESCRIPTION: ,10A4///RC501020 12X, 12H TEST DATE: ,2A4, 11X, 26H TEST NAME AND/OR NUMBER: ,4A4/2X, 11RC501030 2H OPERATOR: ,4A4///) 65 FORMAT (1H ,3X, 30H APPARATUS CALIBRATION FACTORS, 12X, 23H SYSTEM CHARC501050 IRACTERISTICS//) RC501060

70 FORMAT(1H	,1X,11HMA	=, F7.5, 1X, 37HKILOGRAMS	LENGTH RC 501070
1CHNG. C.F.	=, F7.3, 1X, 7HM/UN	IT)	RC 501080
75 FORMAT(1H	,1X,11HLCFA*F**2	=, F7.5, 1X, 37H (M/VRMS)*(HZ)**2	INIT. LRC501090
lENGTH RDG.	=, F7.3, 1X, 8HUNIT	S)	RC501100
80 FORMAT(1H	,1X,11HADCL	=, F7.5, 1X, 37HKG-HZ	BUR . C.RC501110
1F.	=, F7.3, 1X, 8HML/U	NIT)	RC501120
85 FORMAT (1H	, 1X, 11HFCF	=, F7.4, 1X. 37HN/VRMS	INIT. BRC501130
IUR. RDG.	=, F7. 2, 1X, 8HUNIT:	S)	RC501140
90 FORMAT(1H	,1X,11HJA	=. F7. 5. 1X. 37HKG-M**2	PRESS, RCS01150
1C.F.	=, F7. 3, 1X, 8HKPA/1	JNIT)	PC501160
92 FORMAT (1H	,1X,11HRCFA*F**2	=, F7.5, 1X, 37H (RAD /VRMS)*(H7)*	k2 LONGTTURC 501170
IDINAL T	=, F7.2)	,,,,, (num) (num)	RC501180
94 FORMAT (1H	, IX, 11HADCT	=, F7.5, 1X, 37HKG-M**2-H2	TORSTONRC501190
IAL T	=, F7.2)	,,.,.,	RC501200
96 FORMAT(1H	, 1X, 11HTCF	=, F7, 4, 1X, 37HN-M/VRMS	NO. OF RC501210
IDATA PTS.	=,4X,13//)	, , ,	RC501220
100 FORMAT(1H	,23X,24HSPECIMEN	CHARACTERISTICS//)	RC 501220
102 FORMAT(1H	,1X,16HMASS	=, F7, 5, 1X, 32HKG	DECREE OFRC 501240
1 SAT.	=, F6.2, 2H %)	,,,	RC501250
103 FORMAT (1H	, IX, 16HDIAMETER	=, F7, 5, 1X, 29HM	MASS DENSRC501260
lITY =, H	10.1.1X. 7HKG/M**	3)	RC501270
104 FORMAT(1H	,1X,16HLENGTH	=, F7, 5, 1X, 32HM	VOID RATIRC501280
10	=, F6.3)	,,,	RC 501 290
106 FORMAT(1H	.1X.16HSPECIFIC	GRAV. = F5. 3. 15X. 20HROTATIONAL	INFRTIA =RC 501 300
1)	,,	,	RC 501 310
108 FORMAT (1H	. 1X. 16HWATER CONT	TENT = F6.2.2X.1H2.28X.F10.7	IX. 6HK-M**RC 501 320
12)	,,	,,,,,,,,,.	RC 501 330
110 FORMAT(1HO	. 1X. 11HINPUT DAT	://12X.60H CELL BACK	BUR, LENRC 501340
IGTH LONG.	CUR. ACCEL.	RES. /7X. 66HTIME PRESS. PRES	S. RDGRC 501350
2. RDG.	OR RDG.	RDG. FREO. /1X. 71HLINE (MIN)	(UNITS) (RC501360
JUNITS) (UN	UTS) (UNITS) TOP	S. (MV-RMS) (MV-RMS) (HZ))	RC 501 370
120 FORMAT(1H	.13.1X.F7.2.1X.F	7.2.1X.F7.2.1X.F7.2.1X.F8.3.1X.	A4.1X. RC 501 380
1F7.2.1X.F7	.2.1X.F7.2)		RC 501 390
130 FORMAT(1HO	.1X.16HCALCULATE	DATA://14X.55H AX. EFI	. LONG. RC501400
ICYCLES	DYN. DYN. D/	MP. /7X. 63HTIME STR. VOID	CONF. ORRC501410
2 OF	STR. MOD.	RATIO /IX.67HLINE (MIN)	(%) RATTORC 501420
3 (KPA) TOR	S. VIB.	(2) (MPA) (2))	RC 501430
140 FORMAT(1H	.13.1X.F7.2.1X.F	5. 3. 1X, F5. 3. 1X, F5. 1. 1X, A4, 1X, F1	8.0.1X. RC501440
1F8. 5. 1X. F7	. 2. 1X F5. 2)		RC 501450
			RC 501460
TEST IDENTIE	ICATION INPUT		RC501470
A LOW & A LO LOT & A L	A OTTA A WAT ALTE UL		RC501480
1 READ (TD 5	FND=999) (TEST(T)	T=1 10) (SOTL(T) $T=1$ 10)	RC 501490
6 READ (TD 10) $DATE(1) DATE(2)$	(TESTN(T), T=1, 4), (OPER(T), T=1	(.4) RC 501 500
a manual tradito	/ DATE(1), DATE(2)	13(19010(1)31-134)3(0190(1)31-1	00001510

APPARATUS CALIBRATION FACTOR INPUT READ(ID, 15)MA, LCFA, ADCL, FCF, JA, RCFA, ADCT, TCF SYSTEM CALIBRATION FACTOR INPUT READ(ID, 25)LCF, BCF, PCF SPECIMEN PARAMETER INPUT

CCC

C

C

С

С

С

С

С

C

RC501520 RC501530 RC501530 RC501550 RC501550 RC501560 RC501570 RC501580 RC501590 RC501600

С		RC501610
	READ(ID, 20)W, SD, SL, SG, WC	RC501620
С		RC501630
С	INITIAL BURETTE, LENGTH READING, AND NUMBER OF DATA SETS INPUT	RC501640
С		RC501650
	READ(ID, 30)BRI, LRI, NDP	RC 501 660
С		RC501670
С	TEST DATA INPUT	RC501680
С		RC 501 690
	READ (ID, 40) (TIME(I), $CP(I)$, $BP(I)$, $BR(I)$, $LR(I)$, $MODE(I)$, $CRRMS(I)$.	TORMSRC501700
	1(I), FSYS(I), I=1, NDP)	RC 501710
	LCT=0	RC 501 720
	NP=1	RC 501 730
С		RC 501740
С	WRITE TITLES	RC 501 750
C	the second se	RC 501760
	WRITE(OD, 50)	RC 501770
	WRITE (OD, 55) (TEST(T), T=1, 10), (SOTL(T), T=1, 10), DATE(1), DATE(2)	(TESRC 501780
	ITN(I), I=1, 4, (OPER(I), $I=1, 4$)	RC 501 790
C		RC 501800
C	CALCULATION OF SPECIMEN CHARACTERISTICS	RC 501810
C		RC 501820
~	PT=3,14159	RC 501830
	SL=SL/1000.	RC 501840
	SD=SD/1000.	RC501850
	LCF = LCF / 1000	RC 501860
	W = W / 1000	RC501870
	VOL=0, 25*(SD**2)*PT*ST	RC501880
	IM=W/VOI	PC 501800
	WSOI = W/(1 + 0.01 * WC)	RC501090
	IWAT = 1000	RC501900
	VSOL=WSOL / (SC*IIWAT)	RC501910
	F = (VOI - VSOI) / VSOI	RC501920
	WWAT=W-WSOL	RC501950
	WAT-W-WOOL WJAT-WJAT/IIJAT	RC501940
	SAT = WAT / (VOI = VSOI) * 100	RC501950
	STNR=U*(SD**2)/8	RC501900
	TLON-MA/W	RC501970
	TTOP = TA / STNP	RC501980
C	TIOR-JA/SINK	RC501990
C	UPTTE ADDADATUS SVETEM AND SDECTMEN CHADACTEDISTICS UTTU UPAT	KC502000
C	WALLE ATTACATOS, SISTER, AND SPECIFIEN CHARACTERISTICS - WITH HEAL	PC502020
0	WRITE(OD 65)	RC502020
	WRITE(OD 70)MA LCE	RC502030
	URITE(OD 75)ICEA IRI	RC502040
	WALLE (OD, 1) DOFA, LAL	RC302030

WRITE(OD, 80)ADCL, BCF WRITE(OD, 85)FCF, BRI WRITE(OD, 90)JA, PCF WRITE(OD, 92)RCFA, TLON WRITE(OD, 94)ADCT, TTOR WRITE(OD, 96)TCF, NDP WRITE(OD, 100) WRITE(OD, 102)W, SAT WRITE(OD, 103)SD, UM RC502060 RC502070 RC502080 RC502090 RC502100 RC502110 RC502120 RC502130 RC502140

```
WRITE(OD, 104)SL, E
                                                                               RC502150
       WRITE(OD, 106)SG
                                                                               RC502160
       WRITE(OD, 108)WC, SINR
                                                                               RC502170
C
                                                                               RC502180
     WRITE HEADINGS FOR INPUT DATA
C
                                                                               RC502190
C
                                                                               RC502200
   200 CONTINUE
                                                                               RC502210
    45 WRITE(OD, 50)
                                                                               RC502220
       WRITE(OD, 55)(TEST(I), I=1, 10), (SOIL(I), I=1, 10), DATE(1), DATE(2), (TESRC502230
      lT(I), I=1, 4), (OPER(I), I=1, 4)
                                                                               RC502240
       WRITE(OD, 110)
                                                                               RC502250
C
                                                                               RC502260
C
     WRITE INPUT DATA
                                                                               RC502270
C
                                                                               RC502280
       IF(NP.EQ.1) ISTART=1
                                                                               RC502290
       IF (NP.GT.1) ISTART=LCT+1
                                                                               RC502300
       IF(NP.EQ.1) ISTOP=15
                                                                               RC502310
       IF(NP.GT.1) ISTOP=15*NP
                                                                               RC502320
       IF (ISTOP.GT.NDP) ISTOP=NDP
                                                                               RC502330
       DO 250 I=ISTART, ISTOP
                                                                              RC502340
       LCT=I
                                                                              RC502350
       WRITE(OD, 120)LCT, TIME(I), CP(I), BP(I), BR(I), LR(I), MODE(I), CRRMS(I), RC 502360
      ITORMS(I), FSYS(I)
                                                                               RC502370
   250 CONTINUE
                                                                              RC502380
C
                                                                              RC502390
C
     WRITE TITLES FOR CALCULATED DATA
                                                                              RC502400
C
                                                                              RC502410
       WRITE(OD, 130)
                                                                              RC502420
C
                                                                              RC502430
    CALCULATION OF INSTANTANEOUS VALUES OF LENGTH, AXIAL STRAIN, VOLUME,
C
                                                                              RC502440
    VOID RATIO, EFFECTIVE CONFINING PRESSURE, AND CYCLES OF VIBRATION
C
                                                                              RC502450
C
                                                                              RC502460
      DO 300 I=ISTART, ISTOP
                                                                              RC502470
      L(I) = SL - (LR(I) - LRI) * LCF
                                                                              RC502480
      AS(I)=((SL-L(I))/SL)*100.
                                                                              RC502490
      DVOL=(BRI-BR(I))*BCF/10.**6
                                                                              RC502500
      IF (DVOL.EQ.0.) DVOL=AS(I)*3.*VOL/100.
                                                                              RC502510
      V(I)=VOL-DVOL
                                                                              RC502520
      VR(I) = E - ((VOL - V(I))/VSOL)
                                                                              RC502530
      SO(I) = (CP(I) - BP(I)) * PCF
                                                                              RC502540
      IF(I.NE.1) GO TO 280
                                                                              RC502550
      DTIME=TIME(1)
                                                                              RC502560
      GO TO 290
                                                                              RC502570
  280 DTIME=TIME(I)-TIME(I-1)
                                                                              RC502580
  290 CYC(I)=FSYS(I)*DTIME*60.
                                                                              RC502590
  300 CONTINUE
                                                                              RC502600
C
```

C CALCULATION OF DIMENSIONLESS FREQUENCY, MODULUS, DAMPING, MODE SHAPE FACTOR, AND STRAIN AMPLITUDE C JPA=0 P=10000. D0 400 I=ISTART,ISTOP IF(FSYS(I).LE.1.) G0 TO 400

A5

RC 502610 RC 502620 RC 502630 RC 502640 RC 502650 RC 502660 RC 502670 RC 502680

		DIA(I) = SQRT(4.*V(I)/(L(I)*PI))	RC502690
		WI = W - (BRI - BR(I)) * BCF / 10 * * 6	RC502700
		RHO = WT/V(I)	RC502710
		IF (MODE (I) + EQ + LONG) GO TO 310	RC502720
		GO TO 320	RC502730
	310	T=MA/WT	RC502740
		ADF=ADCL/(2.*PI*FSYS(I)*WT)	RC502750
		MMF=(LCFA*TORMS(I)/(FCF*CRRMS(I)))*WT*(2.*PI)**2	RC502760
		CALL RCSUB	RC502770
		IF(MAL.NE.O.OR.KALARM.NE.O)GO TO 400	RC502780
		G(I) = ((RHO*(2.*PI*L(I))**2)*(FSYS(I)/F)**2)/10.**6	RC502790
		DR(I)=D	RC502800
		SS(I) = (LCFA/(FSYS(I)**2))*TORMS(I)*(SF/L(I))/10.	RC502810
	220	GO TO 400	RC502820
	320	SINR=WT*DIA(I)*DIA(I)/8.	RC502830
		T=JA/SINR	RC502840
		ADF=ADCT/(2.*PI*FSYS(I)*SINR)	RC502850
		MMF=(RCFA*TORMS(I)/(TCF*CRRMS(I)))*SINR*(2.*PI)**2	RC502860
		CALL RCSUB	RC502870
		IF (MAL.NE.U.OR.KALARM.NE.O)GO TO 400	RC502880
		G(1) = (RHO*(2.*P1*L(1))**2)*(FSYS(1)/F)**2/10.**6	RC502890
			RC502900
	100	SS(1) = (RCFA/(FSYS(1)**2))*TORMS(1)*SF*(DIA(1)/(3.*L(1)))/10.	RC502910
	400	CONTINUE	RC502920
	LTD	THE OLD OUT LINES DAME	RC502930
	WIX	TIE CALCULATED DATA	RC502940
5		DO EOO T TOTADE TOTAD	RC502950
		DO DOU I=ISTART, ISTOP	RC502960
		LUI=I	RC502970
		WRITE(OD, 140)LCT, TIME(1), AS(I), VR(I), SO(I), MODE(I), CYC(I), SS(I), G	RC502980
	500	(1), DK(1)	RC502990
	200	CONTINUE LCT-ISTOD	RC503000
		IE (ISTOP FO NDD) CO TO (OC	RC503010
		NP-NP+1	RC503020
			RC 503030
	600	CO TO 1	RC503040
	999	STOP	RC503050
	111	END	RC 503060
			RC503070

C*	*******	*****************	DCC00010
SUBROUTINE RCSUB			
c	COMPUTER	CURROUTINE FOR DECONTINUE COLUMN FUEL FERRES	RCS00040
0.	COMPUTER	SUBROUTINE FOR RESONANT COLUMN DATA REDUCTION	RCS00050
C			RCS00060
C	SUBROUTIN	E IS BASED ON PROGRAM WRITTEN BY V. P. DRNEVICH AND	RCS00070
С	D. J. SHI	PPY, MARCH, 1977. SUBROUTINE DATE MAY, 1978.	RCS00080
C			RCS00090
C			RCS00100
C-		DEFINITIONS OF INPUT-OUTPUT VARIABLES	RCS00110
С			RCS00120
C	ADF	APPARATUS DAMPING FACTOR (ADF > 0.)	RCS00120
C	D	SPECIMEN DAMPING RATIO (0.01% < D < 35%)	RCS00150
c	FPSD	ERPOR CRITERION FOR D (DEFAULT VALUE, 0.0001)	RC500140
C	EDEE	ERROR CRITERION FOR D (DEFAULT VALUE: 0.0001)	RCS00150
0	LFSF	ERROR CRITERION FOR F (DEFAULT VALUE: 0.01)	RCS00160
C	F	FREQUENCY FACTOR	RCS00170
C	ITERD	MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ITERATIONS ALLOWED FOR D (DEFAULT	RCS00180
C		VALUE: 40)	RCS00190
C	ITERF	MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ITERATIONS ALLOWED FOR F (DEFAULT	RCS00200
C		VALUE: 40)	RCS00210
С	JPA	INDICATOR OF END WHERE MEASUREMENTS WERE TAKEN:	RCS00220
C		JPA = 0 FOR MEASUREMENTS AT THE ACTIVE END;	RCS00230
С		JPA = 1 FOR MEASUREMENTS AT THE PASSIVE END.	RCS00240
С	MMF	MODIFIED MAGNIFICATION FACTOR (MMF > 0.)	RCS00250
C	Р	PASSIVE-END INERTIA RATIO (P > 0.: IF JPA = 0. THEN	RCS00260
C		P > 100, AND $P > T$)	RCS00270
C	SF	STRAIN FACTOR	RCS00280
C	T	ACTIVE-END INFETIA FACTOR $(T > -10)$	RCS00200
C	*	ACTIVE-END INERTIA FACTOR (1 > -10.)	RCS00290
c			RCS00300
0-			RCS00310
C			RCS00320
C	GIV	EN VALUES OF T, P, ADF, MMF, AND JPA, THIS PROGRAM CALCU-	RCS00330
С	LATES VAL	UES OF F, D, AND SF AND WILL PRINT VALUES OF ALL THESE	RCS00340
С	PARAMETER	S.	RCS00350
С			RCS00360
С			RCS00370
С			RCS00380
	DIMENS	ION C(4), UP(11)	RCS00390
	REAL M	MF, MMFCAL	RCS00400
	INTEGE	R OD	RCS00410
	COMMON	/VALS/P.T. ADF. MMF. JPA. AMP. D. F. SF /DLIM/DL. DR	RCS00420
	COMMON	ALARM/KALARM / EPSIT / EPSE, ITERE, EPSD, ITERD	RC500430
	COMMON	/DAD/DI OI C/MAD/MAT	RCS00440

COMMON /CRIT/MMFCAL,PHASE EXTERNAL DELAMP 1110 FORMAT(49H * * * * * W A R N I N G * * * * * POSSIBLY, 1 23H NOT ENOUGH ITERATIONS) 1115 FORMAT(50H TO OBTAIN SPECIFIED ACCURACY FOR D WITH THE ABOVE, 1 18H PARAMETER VALUES.) 1117 FORMAT(30H TRY A LARGER VALUE OF ITERD.) 1120 FORMAT(46H * * * * * E R R O R * * * * * THE VALUE, 1 19H OF D FOR THE ABOVE) 1125 FORMAT(46H PARAMETERS LIES OUTSIDE THE ALLOWABLE RANGE, ,

RCS00450 RCS00460 RCS00470 RCS00480 RCS00490 RCS00500 RCS00510 RCS00520 RCS00520 RCS00530 RCS00540

```
RCS00550
        14H0.001 TO 0.35.)
    1
1220 FORMAT(3(1X, F9.4), 1X, F9.5, 1X, I1, 2(1X, F9.6), 1X, 1PE9.3,
                                                                        RCS00560
                                                                        RCS00570
    1 2(1X, OPF9.5))
                                         * * * * *
                                                     MMF MUST BE .GT.O.)RCS00580
1225 FORMAT (55H * * * * *
                            ERROR
1230 FORMAT (53H * * * * *
                                         * * * * *
                                                     P MUST BE .GE.O.) RCS00590
                            ERROR
                                         * * * * *
                                                     IF(JPA.EQ.0),
                                                                         RCS00600
1240 FORMAT(50H * * * * *
                            ERROR
                                                                         RCS00610
    1 19H P MUST BE .GE.100.)
1250 FORMAT(50H * * * * *
                                                                        RCS00620
                                         * * * * *
                                                     IF(JPA.EQ.0),
                             ERROR
                                                                         RCS00630
    1 17H P MUST BE .GE. T)
                                                     T MUST BE .GE.-10.)RCS00640
1260 FORMAT (55H * * * * * E R R O R
                                         * * * * *
1270 FORMAT(55H * * * * * E R R O R
                                         * * * * * ADF MUST BE .GE.O.)RCS00650
1280 FORMAT (54H * * * * * E R R O R
                                         * * * * *
                                                     MF MUST BE .GT.O.) RCS00660
1310 FORMAT (49H * * * * * WARNING
                                                         POSSIBLY,
                                                                         RCS00670
                                             * * * * *
                                                                         RCS00680
    1 23H NOT ENOUGH ITERATIONS )
1315 FORMAT (50H TO OBTAIN SPECIFIED ACCURACY FOR F WITH THE ABOVE,
                                                                         RCS00690
                                                                         RCS00700
    1 12H PARAMETERS.)
 1317 FORMAT (30H TRY A LARGER VALUE OF ITERF.)
                                                                         RCS00710
1320 FORMAT (48H * * * * * E R R O R
                                                                         RCS00720
                                         * * * * *
                                                     THERE IS NO,
                                                                         RCS00730
    1 10H RESONANCE)
1330 FORMAT (51H (DISPLACEMENT ONE-QUARTER CYCLE OUT OF PHASE WITH,
                                                                         RCS00740
                                                                         RCS00750
        19H FORCING FUNCTION) )
     1
                                                                         RCS00760
1340 FORMAT (25H FOR THE ABOVE PARAMETERS)
                                                         BECAUSE OF.
                                                                         RCS00770
 1350 FORMAT(51H * * * * * W A R N I N G
                                             * * * * *
                                                                         RCS00780
    1 16H LARGE APPARATUS)
1360 FORMAT (49H DAMPING, THE CALCULATED AMPLITUDE IS RELATIVELY,
                                                                         RCS00790
    1 15H INSENSITIVE TO)
                                                                         RCS00800
 1365 FORMAT (49H SPECIMEN DAMPING. CONSEQUENTLY, THE CALCULATED,
                                                                         RCS00810
                                                                         RCS00820
     1 17H SPECIMEN DAMPING)
                                                                         RCS00830
 1367 FORMAT (37H RATIO ABOVE MAY BE VERY INACCURATE.)
                                                                         RCS00840
C SET INPUT AND OUTPUT DEVICE CODES
                                                                         RCS00850
      ID = 5
                                                                         RCS00860
      OD = 6
                                                                         RCS00870
      EPSD = 1 \cdot E - 4
                                                                         RCS00880
      ITERD = 40
                                                                         RCS00890
     EPSF = 1 \cdot E - 2
                                                                         RCS00900
      ITERF = 40
                                                                         RCS00910
   10 CONTINUE
                                                                         RCS00920
      MAL = 0
                                                                         RCS00930
      IF (MMF.GT.0.) GO TO 15
                                                                         RCS00940
      MAL = MAL + 1
                                                                         RCS00950
      IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD, 1220) P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA
                                                                         RCS00960
      WRITE(OD, 1225)
                                                                         RCS00970
   15 IF(P.GE.O.) GO TO 20
                                                                         RCS00980
      MAL = MAL + 1
```

IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD,1220) P,T,ADF,MMF,JPA WRITE(OD,1230) 20 IF(JPA.EQ.1.OR.P.GE.100.) GO TO 30 MAL = MAL + 1 IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD,1220) P,T,ADF,MMF,JPA WRITE(OD,1240) 30 IF(JPA.EQ.1.OR.T.LE.P) GO TO 40 MAL = MAL + 1 IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD,1220) P,T,ADF,MMF,JPA WRITE(OD,1250)

RCS00990 RCS01000 RCS01010 RCS01020 RCS01030 RCS01040 RCS01050 RCS01060 RCS01060 RCS01070 RCS01080

40 IF(T.GE.-10.) GO TO 50 RCS01090 MAL = MAL + 1RCS01100 IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD, 1220) P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA RCS01110 WRITE(OD, 1260) RCS01120 50 IF (ADF.GE.O.) GO TO 60 RCS01130 MAL = MAL + 1RCS01140 WRITE(OD, 1220) P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA IF (MAL. EQ. 1) RCS01150 WRITE(OD, 1270) RCS01160 60 IF(MMF.GT.0.) GO TO 70 RCS01170 MAL = MAL + 1RCS01180 IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD, 1220) P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA RCS01190 WRITE(OD, 1280) RCS01200 70 IF(MAL.NE.0) GO TO 190 RCS01210 KALARM = 0RCS01220 DL = 0.0001RCS01230 DR = .35RCS01240 CALL RTMI2(D, AMPDEL, DELAMP, DL, DR, EPSD, ITERD, IER) RCS01250 IF(KALARM.NE.1) GO TO 80 RCS01260 MAL = MAL + 1RCS01270 IF(MAL.EQ.1) WRITE(OD, 1220) P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA RCS01280 WRITE(OD, 1320) RCS01290 WRITE(OD, 1330) RCS01300 WRITE(OD, 1340) RCS01310 GO TO 190 RCS01320 80 IF(IER.NE.2) GO TO 90 RCS01330 MAL = MAL + 1RCS01340 IF (MAL.EQ.1) WRITE (OD, 1220) P.T. ADF. MMF. JPA RCS01350 WRITE(OD, 1120) RCS01360 WRITE(OD, 1125) RCS01370 GO TO 190 RCS01380 90 CONTINUE RCS01390 DO 180 I=1,11 RCS01400 XR = (I-1)/10.RCS01410 QLX = QL * XRRCS01420 PLX = PL*XRRCS01430 CC = COSH(QLX) * COS(PLX)RCS01440 SC = SINH(QLX) * COS(PLX)RCS01450 SS = SINH(QLX) * SIN(PLX)RCS01460 CS = COSH(QLX) * SIN(PLX)RCS01470 UP(I) = C(1)*(-PL*CS+QL*SC) + C(2)*(-PL*SS+QL*CC) +RCS01480 C(3)*(PL*SC+QL*CS) + C(4)*(PL*CC+QL*SS)RCS01490 1 **180 CONTINUE** RCS01500 GAM = (UP(1)+UP(11)+4*(UP(2)+UP(4)+UP(6)+UP(8)+UP(10))RCS01510 +2.*(UP(3)+UP(5)+UP(7)+UP(9)))/30.RCS01520 1 SF = ABS(GAM*F**2/MMF)RCS01530 RCS01540 D = D * 100.RCS01550 IF(IER.NE.1) GO TO 110 RCS01560 WRITE(OD, 1110) RCS01570 WRITE(OD, 1115) RCS01580 WRITE(OD, 1117) RCS01590 GO TO 120 RCS01600 WRITE(OD, 1350) RCS01610 WRITE(OD, 1360) RCS01620

```
110 IF(KALARM.NE.2)
    WRITE(OD, 1365)
```

	WRITE(OD, 1367)	RCS01630
120	IF(KALARM.NE.4) GO TO 140	RCS01640
	WRITE(OD, 1310)	RCS01650
	WRITE(OD, 1315)	RCS01660
	WRITE(OD, 1317)	RCS01670
140	CONTINUE	RCS01680
190	RETURN	RCS01690
	END	RCS01700
C****	*****	RCS01710
	FUNCTION DELAMP(D)	RCS01720
C****	******	RCS01730
	COMMON /VALS/P.T.ADF.MMF.JPA.AMP.DD.F.SF /DLIM/DL.DR	RCS01740
	COMMON /ALARM/KALARM /EPSIT/EPSF, ITERF, EPSD, ITERD	RCS01750
	EXTERNAL VECN	RCS01760
	INTEGER OD	RCS01770
	REAL MMF	RCS01780
	OD = 6	RCS01790
	DD = D	RCS01800
	IF(T, LT, 0,) GO TO 30	RCS01810
	$\Delta A = -48 \times T \times P - 7 \times (T + P) - 1$	RCS01820
	$BB = 48 \times T \times P + 20 \times (T + P) + 5$	RCS01820
	$CC = -4 \times (T+P) - 4$	RCS01850
	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	RCS01040
	$\overline{V} = COPT(12*(-PD2A + 2) COPT(PD2A + 2) CC(AA)))$	RCS01850
	F = SQRT(T2*(-DD2A-SQRT(DD2A**2-CC/AA))) $FT = SQRT(T2*(-DD2A-SQRT(DD2A**2-CC/AA)))$	RCS01860
	$r_L = \cdot 0^{n}r$ $r_P = 1.2 \pm r$	RC501870
	$FK = 1 \cdot 2^{n}F$	RCS01880
20	F(T T - 1) = CO TO (O)	RCS01890
50	P(1 + L1 + - + 1) = GO = 10 + 40	RCS01900
	$r_{\rm L} = 1.4$	RCS01910
	$r_{\rm K} = 1.5$ $r_{\rm L}$	RCS01920
10	GO 10 60	RCS01930
40	fL = 1.73	RCS01940
10	FK = I.J*FL	RCS01950
60	CONTINUE	RCS01960
	CALL RIMI(F, V, VFCN, FL, FR, EPSF, ITERF, IER)	RCS01970
	IF(IER.NE.2) GO TO 70	RCS01980
	FL = .99 * FR	RCS01990
	FR = 1.5 * FR	RCS02000
	IF(FL.LE.8.) GO TO 60	RCS02010
	KALARM = 1	RCS02020
	GO TO 120	RCS02030
70	$IF(IER \cdot EQ \cdot 1)$ KALARM = 4	RCS02040
90	CONTINUE	RCS02050
	V = VFCN(F)	RCS02060
	A - AMD	00000070

AM = MMF/F**2 DELAMP = A - AM IF(D.EQ.DL) DELL = DELAMP IF(D.EQ.DL) AML = A IF(D.NE.DR) GO TO 120 DELR = DELAMP AMR = A DIF = AML - AMRRELDIF = DIF/AML RCS02070 RCS02080 RCS02090 RCS02100 RCS02110 RCS02120 RCS02130 RCS02140 RCS02150 RCS02160

	IF (RELDIF.LE.0.20) KALARM = 2	PCC02170
120	CONTINUE	RCS02170
	RETURN	RC502100
	END	RCS02190
C****	******	RC502200
	FUNCTION VFCN(F)	RC502210
C****	*****	RCS02220
	DIMENSION A(4,4),C(4)	RC502230
	INTEGER OD	RCS02240
	REAL MMFCAL	RC502250
	COMMON /VALS/P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA, AMP, D, FF, SF	RCS02270
	COMMON /PAR/PL, QL, C	RC502270
	COMMON /CRIT/MMFCAL, PHASE	RC502290
	OD = 6	RC502300
	BETA = SQRT(1.+(2.*D)**2)	RCS02310
	PL = F*SQRT((BETA+1.)/2.)/BETA	BCS02320
	OL = F*SORT((BETA-1.)/2.)/BETA	RCS02330
	SNHQ = SINH(QL)	RCS02340
	CSHQ = COSH(QL)	RCS02350
	SNP = SIN(PL)	RCS02360
	CSP = COS(PL)	RCS02370
	CS = CSHQ*SNP	RCS02380
	SC = SNHQ*CSP	RCS02390
	SS = SNHQ*SNP	RCS02400
	CC = CSHQ * CSP	RCS02410
	CSSC = -PL*CS + QL*SC	RCS02420
	SSCC = -PL*SS + QL*CC	RCS02430
	SCCS = PL*SC + QL*CS	RCS02440
	CCSS = PL*CC + QL*SS	RCS02450
	PF2 = P * F * 2	RCS02460
	A(1,1) = T*F**2	RCS02470
	A(1,2) = QL - 2*D*PL	RCS02480
	A(1,3) = -ADF*F**2	RCS02490
	A(1, 4) = PL + 2*D*QL	RCS02500
	A(2,1) = -A(1,3)	RCS02510
	A(2,2) = -A(1,4)	RCS02520
	A(2,3) = A(1,1)	RCS02530
	A(2,4) = A(1,2)	RCS02540
	A(3,1) = CSSC - 2.*D*SCCS - PF2*CC	RCS02550
	A(3,2) = SSCC - 2.*D*CCSS - PF2*SC	RCS02560
	A(3,3) = SCCS + 2.*D*CSSC - PF2*SS	RCS02570
	A(3,4) = CCSS + 2.*D*SSCC - PF2*CS	RCS02580
	A(4,1) = -A(3,3)	RCS02590
	A(4, 2) = -A(3, 4)	RCS02600

```
A(4,3) = A(3,1)
A(4,4) = A(3,2)
C(1) = 0
C(2) = -1.
C(3) = 0
C(4) = 0
EPS = 1.E-5
NN = 4
CALL GELG(C,A,NN,1,EPS,IER,NN*NN,NN)
U0 = C(1)
```

RCS02610 RCS02620 RCS02630 RCS02640 RCS02650 RCS02660 RCS02660 RCS02670 RCS02680 RCS02690 RCS02690

	V0 = C(3)	RCS02710
	AMPLO = SORT(UO**2 + VO**2)	RCS02720
	PHASEO = ATAN2(V0, U0)	RCS02730
	IF(PHASEO.LT.O.) PHASEO = PHASEO + 6.283185	RCS02740
	UL = C(1)*CC + C(2)*SC + C(3)*SS + C(4)*CS	RCS02750
	VL = C(3)*CC + C(4)*SC - C(1)*SS - C(2)*CS	RCS02760
	AMPLL = SQRT(UL **2 + VL **2)	RCS02770
	PHASEL = ATAN2 (VL, UL)	RCS02780
	IF(JPA.EQ.0) VFCN = PHASEO - 3.141593	RCS02790
	IF(JPA.NE.O) VFCN = PHASEL	RCS02800
	$IF(JPA \cdot EQ \cdot 0) AMP = AMPLO$	RCS02810
	IF(JPA.NE.0) AMP = AMPLL	RCS02820
	MMFCAL = AMP*F**2	RCS02830
	PHASE = VFCN	RCS02840
	RETURN	RCS02850
	END	RCS02860
***	*******	RCS02870
	SUBROUTINE RTMI2(X, F, FCT, XLI, XRI, EPS, IEND, IER)	RCS02880
***	*********	RCS02890
	COMMON /ALARM/KALARM	RCS02900
	IER=0	RCS02910
	XL=XLI	RCS02920
	XR=XRI	RCS02930
	X=XL	RCS02940
	TOL=X	RCS02950
	F=FCT(TOL)	RCS02960
	IF(KALARM.EO.1) RETURN	RCS02970
	IF(F)1,16,1	RCS02980
	1 FL=F	RCS02990
	X=XR	RCS03000
	TOL=X	RCS03010
	F=FCT(TOL)	RCS03020
	IF (KALARM.EO.1) RETURN	RCS03030
	IF(F)2,16,2	RCS03040
3	2 FR=F	RCS03050
	IF(SIGN(1.,FL)+SIGN(1.,FR))25,3,25	RCS03060
3	3 I=0	RCS03070
	TOLF=100.*EPS	RCS03080
4	4 I=I+1	RCS03090
	DO 13 K=1. IEND	RCS03100
	X=.5*(XL+XR)	RCS03110
	TOL=X	RCS03120
	F=FCT (TOL)	RCS03130
	IF (KALARM.EO.1) RETURN	RCS03140

IF(F)5,16,5
5 IF(SIGN(1.,F)+SIGN(1.,FR))7,6,7
6 TOL=XL
 XL=XR
 XR=TOL
 TOL=FL
 FL=FR
 FR=TOL
7 TOL=F-FL
 A=F*TOL

RCS03150 RCS03160 RCS03170 RCS03180 RCS03190 RCS03200 RCS03210 RCS03220 RCS03220 RCS03220
	A=A+A	20000000
	IF(A-FR*(FR-FL))8,9,9	RCS03250
8	IF(I-IEND)17,17,9	RCS03260
9	XR=X	RCS03270
	FR=F	RCS03280
	TOL=EPS	RCS03290
	A=ABS(XR)	RCS03300
	IF(A-1, 1) = 11, 10	RCS03310
10	TOL=TOL*A	RCS03320
11	TF(ABS(XR-XL)-TOL)12 12 13	RCS03330
12	IF(ABS(FR-FI)-TOIF)14 14 12	RCS03340
13	CONTINUE	RCS03350
10	IFR=1	RCS03360
14	TE(ARS(FR) - ARS(FI)) = 16 = 15	RCS03370
15	Y-YI	RCS03380
1.2	E-EI	RCS03390
16		RCS03400
17	A-FD-F	RCS03410
17		RCS03420
	DA = (A - AL) + FL + (I + F + (A - TOL) / (A + (FR - FL))) / TOL	RCS03430
	AM=X	RCS03440
	rM=r V_VT DV	RCS03450
	X=XL-DX	RCS03460
	TOL=X	RCS03470
	F=FCT(TOL)	RCS03480
	IF (KALARM.EQ.1) RETURN	RCS03490
	IF(F)18,16,18	RCS03500
18	TOL=EPS	RCS03510
	A = ABS(X)	RCS03520
	IF(A-1.)20,20,19	RCS03530
19	TOL=TOL*A	RCS03540
20	IF(ABS(DX)-TOL)21,21,22	RCS03550
21	IF(ABS(F)-TOLF)16,16,22	RCS03560
22	IF(SIGN(1.,F)+SIGN(1.,FL))24,23,24	RCS03570
23	XR =X	RCS03580
	FR=F	RCS03590
	GO TO 4	RCS03600
24	XL=X	RCS03610
	FL=F	RCS03620
	XR =XM	RCS03630
	FR=FM	RCS03640
	GO TO 4	RCS03650
25	IER=2	RCS03660
	RETURN	RCS03670
	END	RCS03680

A13

APPENDIX B: COMPUTER PROGRAMS ASSOCIATED WITH TORSIONAL SIMPLE SHEAR TESTS 100 REM SADCYC.BB DATA ACQUISITION FOR QUASISTATIC CYCLIC STRAIN CONTROL 110 REM SHEAR TESTS WHERE BOTH CELL PRESSURE AND CELL PRESSURE 115 REM MINUS PORE PRESSURE ARE MEASURED. ORIG. PROGRAM QSCSHA.BA WRITTEN BY R.J.EBELHAR, 1/31/77 120 REM REVISED BY J.D.OSTROW, 1/4/78 125 REM REVISED AND RENAMED BY V.P.DRNEVICH, 2/23/78. 130 REM MODIFIED BY V.P.D., 7/14/78 FOR STRAIN CONTROL 135 REM CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROGRAM: 140 REM VARIABLE FREQUENCY (MAXIMUM FREQUENCY = 0.25 HZ) 145 REM ROTATION TRANSDUCERS CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 1 150 REM LOAD (TORQUE) TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 2 160 REM DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 3 170 REM AXIAL DEFORMATION TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL 4 180 REM CELL PRESSURE TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 5 185 REM START-STOP SWITCH W/ OUTPUT > 0.8 VOLT CONNECTED TO CHAN.NO.0 190 REM 240 DIM A(110), B(110), G(110), S1(110), P1(110), L1(110), P(110), R(110) 250 DIM H7(110), V9(110), E\$(72) 260 DIM A\$(15),C\$(20),F\$(15),F1\$(15),F2\$(15),C9\$(10),G\$(72),H\$(72) 270 UDEF INI(N), PLY(Y), DLY(N), DIS(S, E, N, X) 280 UDEF SAM(C,N,P,T), CLK(R,O,S), CLW(N), ADC(N) 290 PRINT\PRINT"SADCYC.BB - CYCLIC SHEAR TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM" 300 PRINT"TEST NUMBER (6 SYMB. MAX.)"; \INPUT A\$\PRINT"DATE"; \INPUT C\$ 310 PRINT"SPECIMEN INFORMATION"\PRINT"DIAMETER IN MM."; \INPUT D2 330 PRINT"LENGTH IN MM."; \INPUT D3\PRINT"CALIBRATION DATA" 335 PRINT"INPUT INITIAL LENGTH LVDT RDG. (VOLTS)"; \INPUT A9 340 PRINT"ROTATION C.F. (RAD/VOLT)"; \INPUT R6 350 PRINT"TORQUE C.F. (N-M/VOLT)"; \INPUT B6 355 PRINT"DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE TRANS. C.F. (KPA/VOLT)"; \INPUT C6 360 PRINT"CELL PRESSURE TRANS. C.F. (KPA/VOLT)"; \INPUT C8 365 PRINT"AXIAL DEFORM. C.F. (MM/VOLT)"; \INPUT A6 367 PRINT"PERIOD IN SECONDS"; \INPUT S5\S7=S5/50. 370 PRINT"ELAPSED TIME IN MINUTES "; \INPUT SO\D4=D2*D2*D2*D2 371 PRINT"REMARKS (72 CHAR. MAX.)"\INPUT E\$ 372 PRINT"USE START SWITCH TO INITIALIZE READINGS, THEN SWITCH OFF" 375 T9=ADC(0) \IF ABS(T9)>400 THEN 376 \GO TO 375 376 R9=ADC(1)\T9=ADC(0)\Z5=ADC(5)\IF ABS(T9)>400 THEN 376 377 Z5=Z5*C8/511\Z5=INT(Z5*10+0.5)/10 378 PRINT"CELL PRESSURE = ";Z5;" KPA" 379 PRINT\PRINT"READINGS INITIALIZED, USE START SWITCH TO BEGIN" DAMP.RATIO" 380 GS="CYCLE SH.STRAIN SH.MOD. EFF.STRESS DEFORM. (%)" (MM) (KPA) (MPA) (%) 390 H\$=" NO. 400 PRINT\PRINT G\$;H\$\PRINT\J9=0\T0=0\Y=CLK(5,1000,0)

```
410 T9=ADC(0) \IF ABS(T9) <400 THEN 410
420 R0=ADC(1)\R0=R0-R9\IF R0<0 THEN 420
425 B(0)=ADC(2)\TO=0
426 IF B(0)<0. THEN 427\B(0)=B(0)*B6/511\GO TO 430
427 B(0) = B(0) \times B6/512
430 T1=0.\A8=0.\P8=0.\M1=0.\V2=0.\R(0)=0.
435 T1=0.
440 Y=CLW(0)\T1=T1+1\T7=T1/100.\IF T7<S7 THEN 440\T0=T0+1
450 T9=ADC(0) R(T0)=ADC(1) B(T0)=ADC(2) P(T0)=ADC(3) A(T0)=ADC(4)
460 R(TO)=R(TO)-R9
470 IF T9>400 GO TO 480\IF T9<-400 GO TO 480\GO TO 660
```

```
480 IF A(TO)<0 GO TO 490\A(TO)=(A(TO)/511-A9)*A6\GO TO 500
490 A(T0) = (A(T0)/512-A9)*A6
500 IF B(T0)<0 GO TO 510\B(T0)=B(T0)*B6/511\GO TO 520
510 B(T0)=B(T0)*B6/512
520 IF P(TO)<0 GO TO 530\P(TO)=P(TO)*C6/511\GO TO 540
530 P(T0)=P(T0)*C6/512
540 IF R(TO)<0 THEN 550\R(TO)=R(TO)*R6/511\GO TO 555
550 R(TO)=R(TO)*R6/512
555 B3=R(T0)*R(T0) B4=R(T0)-R(T0-1)
557 IF B4<0. THEN 558\B(TO)=B(TO)-0.00155\GO TO 560
558 B(T0)=B(T0)+0.00155
560 A8=A8+A(T0)\P8=P8+P(T0)\IF M1>B3 THEN 570\M1=B3\J7=T0
570 IF R(TO)<0. THEN 580\IF R(TO-1)<0. THEN 590\GO TO 435
580 IF R(TO-1)>0. THEN 590\GO TO 435
590 J9=J9+1 L1 (J9)=A8/T0 P1 (J9)=P8/T0 M4=(B(T0)-B(T0-1))/(R(T0)-R(T0-1))
600 B2=B(T0)-M4*R(T0) (C7=B(J7)-(B2+B(0))/2. (K0=C7/R(J7))
610 V3=0.5*R(T0-1)*(B2+B(T0-1))\L4=D3-L1(J9)\G(J9)=10.18592*K0*L4/D4
640 H4=0.5*R(J7)*C7\S1(J9)=R(J7)*D2/(3.*L4)\R(0)=0.\B(0)=B2\V2=V2-V1+V3
650 H7(J9)=15.915*V2/H4\IF J9=101 THEN 660\T0=0\GO TO 430
660 J=J9/2 \ J=INT(J)
670 FOR I=1 TO J = 2*I A(I) = (ABS(S1(J8)) + ABS(S1(J8-1)))/2
672 B(I)=(G(J8)+G(J8-1))/2. P(I)=(P1(J8)+P1(J8-1))/2.
673 A(I)=A(I)*100.\B(I)=B(I)*1000.
674 \text{ R}(I) = (L1(J8)+L1(J8-1))/2 \cdot V9(I) = (H7(J8)+H7(J8-1))/2 \cdot VEXT I
676 FOR I=1 TO J\PRINT TAB(2); I; TAB(8); A(I); TAB(20); B(I);
680 PRINT TAB(30); P(I); TAB(43); R(I); TAB(57); V9(I) \NEXT I
681 X0=0\X1=0\X2=0\X3=0\X4=0
682 FOR I=1 TO JXO=XO+A(I)XI=XI+B(I)
683 X2=X2+P(I)X3=X3+R(I)X4=X4+V9(I)NEXT I
684 \times \frac{1}{\lambda} 
685 PRINT\PRINT"AVERAGE VALUES"
686 PRINT TAB(8); X0; TAB(20); X1; TAB(30); X2; TAB(43); X3; TAB(57); X4
690 PRINT\PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO WRITE THIS TEST ON TAPE? Y=YES, N=NO":
700 INPUT Q$\IF Q$="N" THEN 750\F1$="DTA1:"\F2$=".QS"\F$=F1$&A$&F2$
710 FILEV#1:F$\PRINT#1:A$\PRINT#1:C$\PRINT#1:(J+1)
720 PRINT#1:D2,D3,S5\PRINT#1:A6,B6,C6,R6,C8
730 FOR K=1 TO J PRINT#1:K, A(K), B(K), P(K), R(K), V9(K) NEXT K
735 PRINT#1:K, X0, X1, X2, X3, X4\CLOSE#1
740 PRINT"FILE ";F$;" HAS BEEN CREATED.";
750 PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO RUN ANOTHER TEST? Y=YES, N=NO"; \INPUT Q$
760 IF Q$="N" THEN 890
770 PRINT"LINE FEED PAPER TO TOP OF NEXT SHEET, PUSH (CR) TO CONTINUE"
780 INPUT 09
785 PRINT"SADCYC.BA - CYCLIC SHEAR TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM"
```

790 PRINT"TEST NUMBER (6 SYMB. MAX.)";\INPUT A\$
795 PRINT"DATE ";C\$
800 PRINT"SPECIMEN INFORMATION:"\PRINT"DIAMETER IN MM = ";D2
805 PRINT"LENGTH IN MM = ";D3
810 PRINT"CALIBRATION DATA:"\PRINT"INIT. LENGTH LVDT RDG.(VOLTS) = ";A9
815 PRINT"ROTATION C.F.(RAD/VOLT) = ";R6
825 PRINT"TORQUE C.F. (N-M/VOLT) = ";B6
830 PRINT"DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE TRANS. C.F. (KPA/VOLT) = ";C6
835 PRINT"CELL PRESSURE TRANSDUCER C.F. (KPA/VOLT) = ";C8
837 PRINT"AXIAL DEFORM. C.F. (MM/VOLT) = ";A6

```
840 PRINT"PERIOD IN SECONDS = "; S5
842 FOR I=1 TO 109\R(I)=0.\B(I)=0.\A(I)=0.\P(I)=0.\V9(I)=0.\NEXT I
845 GO TO 370
890 END
```

READY

```
1 PRINT\PRINT"SADLT.BA, DATA ACQUI FOR LARGE SHEAR TEST & USES DIFF. TRANS"
5 PRINT"INITIAL POSITION OF ROTATION DEC. SWITCH SHOULD BE AT 1."
8 REM PROG. FORMERLY CALLED QSCHLD.BA. MOD. 7/14/78 BY V.P.D.
10 DIM M(200), T(200), D(200), L(200), C(200), P(200), E(200), S(200)
15 DIM R(200), Z(200), R1(200)
20 DIM B$(20),C$(50),E$(72),G$(72),F$(72),H$(72),I$(15)
23 UDEF INI(N), PLY(Y), DLY(N), DIS(S, E, N, X)
26 UDEF SAM(C,N,P,T),CLK(R,O,S),CLW(N),ADC(N)
30 PRINT"QUASISTATIC CYCLIC SHEAR LONG TERM TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM"
40 PRINT\PRINT"TEST NUMBER (6 SYMBOLS MAX.)"; \INPUT A$\PRINT"DATE"; \INPUT B$
75 PRINT"SPECIMEN INFORMATION"
80 PRINT"SPECIMEN DIAMETER (MM)"; \INPUT D1 \PRINT"SPECIMEN LENGTH (MM)";
85 INPUT L1\PRINT"CALIBRATION DATA"
95 PRINT"INITIAL LENGTH LVDT READING"; \INPUT L9
100 PRINT"ROTATION C.F. (RAD/VOLT)"; \INPUT R6\PRINT"TORQUE C.F. (N-M/VOLT)";
110 INPUT T6\PRINT"DIFFER. PRESSURE C.F. (KPA/VOLT)"; \INPUT P6
120 PRINT"CELL PRESSURE C.F. (KPA/VOLT)"; \INPUT C6\PRINT"DEF. C.F. (MM/VOLT)";
130 INPUT D6\PRINT"INITIAL CELL PRESSURE (KPA)"; \INPUT C7
132 PRINT"GMAX (MPA)"; \INPUT G1\PRINT"ESTIMATED TMAX (KPA)"; \INPUT T1
134 PRINT"SPEC. WEIGHT (GRAMS)"; \INPUT W1\A1=32/(3*3.14159*(D1/1000)^3)
140 PRINT"READING INTERVAL (MIN)"; \INPUT M1\PRINT"APPROX.PERIOD (MIN)";
142 INPUT S8\PRINT"SOIL TYPE"; \INPUT C$\PRINT"ELAPSED TIME (MIN)";
144 INPUT D$\PRINT"REMARKS (72 CHAR. MAX.)"\INPUT E$
150 M2=M1-0.03\PRINT
160 F $="TIME
               EFF.
                        SHEAR
                                                                    T/TMAX"
                                   SHEAR
                                             AXIAL
                                                        P.P.
170 G$="(MIN)
               STRESS
                        STRESS
                                   STRAIN
                                             STRAIN
                                                        CHANGE"
180 H$="
               (KPA)
                                               (%)
                                                        (KPA)"
                         (KPA)
                                    (%)
190 PRINT"USE START SWITCH TO INITIALIZE READINGS, THEN SWITCH OFF."
200 M9=ADC(0) \IF ABS(M9)>400 THEN 210 \GO TO 200
210 R9=ADC(1)\T9=ADC(6)\C9=ADC(5)\P9=ADC(3)\M9=ADC(0)\IF ABS(M9)>400 THEN 210
211 IF C9<0 THEN 212\C9=C9*C6/511\GO TO 213
212 C9=C9*C6/512
213 IF P9<0 THEN 214\P9=P9*P6/511\GO TO 215
214 P9=P9*P6/512
215 E8=P9
220 PRINT"READINGS INITIALIZED. USE START SWITCH TO BEGIN."
230 PRINT\PRINT\PRINT F$\PRINT G$\PRINT H$
240 M9=ADC(0) \IF M9>400 THEN 250 \IF M9<-400 THEN 250 \GO TO 240
250 N0=0M3=0M4=0M5=0S1=1.0I=0Y=CLK(3,600,0)
255 GO TO 280
260 Y=CLW(0)\M9=ADC(0)\IF M9>400 THEN 270\IF M9<-400 THEN 270\GO TO 900
270 M4=M4+1.\M5=M4/100.\IF M5<M2 THEN 260
280 M9=ADC(0) R=ADC(1) T=ADC(6) P=ADC(3) D=ADC(4) C=ADC(5)
```

```
290 I=I+1\R1(I)=ABS(R)\IF S1<.2 THEN 400\X0=.2*R1(I-1)

295 IF R1(I)<X0 THEN 300\GO TO 400

300 PRINT"SWITCH ON ROTATION OUTPUT HAS BEEN SET TO 0.1X POSITION"

315 R6=R6*10.\S1=0.1\R9=R9*.1\GO TO 400

400 R=R-R9\T=T-T9

500 IF R<0 THEN 502\R(I)=R/511\GO TO 520

502 R(I)=R/512

520 R=R(I)*R6

525 IF T<0 THEN 530\T=T*T6/511\GO TO 540

530 T=T*T6/512
```

```
540 IF P<0 THEN 550\P=P*P6/511\GO TO 560
550 P=P*P6/512
560 IF C<0 THEN 570\C=C*C6/511\GO TO 580
570 C=C*C6/512
580 IF D<0 THEN 590\D=(D/511-L9)*D6\GO TO 600
590 D = (D/512 - L9) * D6
600 NO=NO+1
700 M(NO)=M3T(NO)=T*A1/1000L2=L1-DD(NO)=R*D1*100/3/L2L(NO)=(D/L1)*100
750 C(NO)=C\setminus P(NO)=P\setminus E=P\setminus E(NO)=E8-E\setminus S(NO)=T(NO)/T1
755 Z(NO)=E\Z(NO)=INT(Z(NO)*10^1+0.5)/10^1
760 M(NO)=INT(M(NO)*10^3+0.5)/10^3\T(NO)=INT(T(NO)*10^3+0.5)/10^3
770 D(N0)=INT(D(N0)*10^5+0.5)/10^5\L(N0)=INT(L(N0)*10^3+0.5)/10^3
780 E(N0)=INT(E(N0)*10^3+0.5)/10^3\S(N0)=INT(S(N0)*10^4+0.5)/10^4
800 PRINT M(NO); TAB(10); Z(NO); TAB(17); T(NO); TAB(27); D(NO); TAB(37); L(NO);
810 PRINT TAB(47); E(NO); TAB(60); S(NO)
820 M4=0\M5=0\M3=M3+M1\G0 T0 260
900 PRINT\PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO WRITE RESULTS ON TAPE (Y=YES, N=NO)";
910 INPUT Q$\IF Q$="N" THEN 970\F1$="DTA1:"\F2$=".BB"\I$=F1$&A$&F2$
920FILEV#1:I$\PRINT#1:A$\PRINT#1:B$\PRINT#1:C$\PRINT#1:D$\PRINT#1:E$
930 PRINT#1:G1,T1,D1,L1,W1\PRINT#1:R6,T6,P6,D6,C6\PRINT#1:N0,C7,S8,L9
940 FOR K=1 TO NO\PRINT#1:M(K),T(K),D(K),L(K),Z(K)
950 PRINT#1:E(K),S(K),C(K),P(K)\NEXT K\CLOSE#1
960 PRINT"FILE ";1$;" HAS BEEN CREATED."
970 PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO RUN ANOTHER TEST (Y=YES, N=NO)"; \INPUT Q$
980 IF QS="N" THEN 1000\PRINT"ADVANCE TO NEXT PAGE, HIT RETURN TO BEGIN."
990 INPUT 09\GO TO 10
1000 END
```

READY

```
100 REM NORMAL.DA IS A PROGRAM TO CALCULATE NORMALIZED VALUES
105 REM OF SHEAR STRESS, STRAIN, SHEAR MODULUS FROM QUASISTATIC
110 REM SIMPLE SHEAR TESTS DURING FIRST ONE-QUARTER OF LOADING CYCLE.
115 REM DATA IS WRITTEN INTO FILES WITH SADLT.BA PROGRAM.
120 REM WRITTEN BY V.P.D. AND J.D.O., FEB.16, 1978.
130 REM MODIFIED AND UP DATED BY V.P.D. AND J.P.K., AUG.15, 1978.
135 REM FURTHER MOD. 8/22/78 TO ACCOUNT FOR SLIGHTLY POS. STRAIN
136 REM FOR FIRST DATA SET. INPUT FROM FILE ALSO CLEANED UP.
200 DIM E$(72), I$(15), T(200), D(200), E(200), Z(200), Q(200)
220 DIM D7(200), T7(200), G6(200), G7(200), H$(72), L$(72), M$(72)
250 PRINT"NORMALIZED STRESS-STRAIN DATA"\PRINT
300 PRINT"INPUT TEST DESIGNATION-"; \INPUT A$
305 PRINT"INPUT NUMBER OF DATA POINTS-"; \INPUT I
310 F1$="DTA1:"\F2$=".BB"\I$=F1$&A$&F2$
320 FILE#1: I$\INPUT#1: E$\INPUT#1: E$\INPUT#1: E$\INPUT#1: E$\INPUT#1: E$, C
330 INPUT#1:C4,C4,C4,C4,C4,C4,C,L\INPUT#1:C4,C4,C4,C4,C4,C4,C,L
340 INPUT#1:C4,C4,C4,C4,C4,C,L
350 FOR K=1 TO I\INPUT#1:C2, T(K), D(K), C2, C2, C, L
360 INPUT#1:E(K),C2,C2,Z(K),C,L\NEXT K
370 CLOSE#1
400 PRINT"ANGLE OF SHEARING RESISTANCE (EFF. STRESS) IN DEGREES-";
405 INPUT P1
410 PRINT"COHESION (EFF. STRESS) IN KPA-"; \INPUT C1
415 REM CALC. INITIAL CONFINING STRESS
420 \text{ SO}=Z(1)
425 REM CALC. REF. TMAX FROM HARDIN & DRNEVICH.
430 P2=3.14159
435 T9=S0*SIN(P1*P2/180.)+C1*COS(P1*P2/180.)
437 PRINT"INITIAL REFERENCE STRENGTH, TMAX, IN KPA = ";T9
438 PRINT"INITIAL TANGENT MODULUS, GMAX, IN MPA-"; \INPUT G9
439 D9=T9/(G9*1000.) \REM INITIAL REFERENCE STRAIN.
440 PRINT"ANY CHANGES FOR SADLT.BA DATA"; \INPUT Q$
441 \text{ FOR } J = 1 \text{ TO } I
442 IF Q$ = "N" THEN 459
443 REM DATA CORRECTIONS FOR SHEAR STRESS, SHEAR STRAIN, EFF. STRESS.
445 PRINT"EFF. CONF. STRESS = "; \PRINT Z(J) \PRINT
446 PRINT"CHANGES? (Y OR N)"; \INPUT X$
448 IF X$ = "N" THEN 459
450 PRINT" ARE YOU SURE"; \INPUT Y$
451 IF Y$ = "N" THEN 446
455 PRINT "INPUT SHEAR STRESS, SHEAR STRAIN, EFF. STRESS";
456 INPUT T(J), D(J), Z(J)
457 PRINT" ARE THE NUMBERS CORRECT"; \INPUT Z$
458 IF ZS = "N" THEN 455
459 D8 = D9*SQR(Z(J)/SO) \REM REF.STRAIN CORR. FOR PRESSURE.
460 T8=T9*(Z(J)/SO) REM SHEAR STRENGTH CORR. OFR PRESSURE.
465 D7(J)=(D(J)/100.)/D8\REM GAMMA/GAMMA REF.
470 T7(J)=T(J)/T8\REM T/TMAX
475 G8=G9*SQR(Z(J)/SO) REM GMAX CORR FOR PRESSURE.
477 IF ABS(D(J)) > 0.0005 THEN 480
478 G6(J)=G9\ GO TO 482
480 G6(J)=(T(J)/D(J))/10. REM SECANT SHEAR MODULUS.
482 G7(J)=G6(J)/G8
```

```
483 Q(J)=Z(J)
484 Q(J)=INT(Q(J)*10+0.5)/10\T(J)=INT(T(J)*10^2+0.5)/10^2
485 D(J)=INT(D(J)*10^5+0.5)/10^5\G6(J)=INT(G6(J)*10^2+0.5)/10^2
486 T7(J)=INT(T7(J)*10^4+0.5)/10^4\D7(J)=INT(D7(J)*10^4+0.5)/10^4
487 G7(J)=INT(G7(J)*10~4+0.5)/10~4
488 NEXT J
490 PRINT\PRINT
500 HS="EFF.CONF.
                     SHEAR
                               SHEAR
                                                   T/TMAX S/SMAX G/GMAX"
                                         SHEAR
505 L$=" STRESS
                     STRESS
                              STRAIN
                                        MODULUS"
510 M$=" (KPA)
                    (KPA)
                                (%)
                                         (MPA) "
515 PRINT HS
520 PRINT LS
525 PRINT MS
550 FOR J=1 TO I
555 PRINT Q(J); TAB(11); T(J); TAB(21); D(J); TAB(31); G6(J);
560 PRINT TAB(41); T7(J); TAB(51); D7(J); TAB(61); G7(J)
562 NEXT J
563 PRINT
564 PRINT "DO YOU WISH TO STORE THESE DATA ON TAPE? (Y=YES, N=NO)"; \INPUT Q$
566 IF Q$ = "N" THEN 600
567 F2$=".NO"\I$=F1$&A$&F2$\FILEV#2:I$
568 PRINT#2:1, P1, C1, G9
569 FOR J=1 TO I
570 PRINT#2:Q(J),T(J),D(J),G6(J)
575 PRINT#2:T7(J),D7(J),G7(J)
580 NEXT J
585 CLOSE#2
590 PRINT"FILE "; I$;" HAS BEEN STORED ON TAPE."
600 PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO RUN PROGRAM AGAIN? (Y=YES, N=NO)"; \INPUT Q$
605 IF Q$ = "N" THEN 999
610 PRINT "ADVANCE PAPER TO NEXT SHEET, PUSH CR."; \INPUT Q$
615 GO TO 250
999 END
```

```
READY
```

87

APPENDIX C: DESCRIPTION OF REMOLDED SPECIMENS

1. For the testing program to isolate the effects of stress path from those of disturbance, it was necessary to have a number of soil specimens with similar values of density, moisture content void ratio, particle size distribution, specific gravity, and isotropy. The only means to fulfill this requirement was to remold the samples from a common soil.

2. It was originally planned to use a residual clay from Fayette County, Kentucky. This clay was classified by the Unified System as a CL material with properties given in Table Cl. Several specimens were formed and one was consolidated in a triaxial cell to a mean effective confining stress of 50 KPa. The time for 100% primary consolidation, obtained by plotting volume change (burette reading) against the logof-time, was on the order of 700 minutes. It is believed that this long time for consolidation was due to the remolding process creating a dispersed clay structure with a resulting low permeability. In any event, it was decided that the soil was unsuitable to use as it was. The strain rates would have had to have been extremely low to achieve good pore pressure equalization over the sample (95% or better) at 1% strain using this clay.

3. In order to increase the permeability to an acceptable level two other constituents were added to the clay. The first component was flyash from a coal fired electric generating plant. This flyash was composed predominately of silt-size material. The second part was a monterey sand. The three elements were combined in a ratio calculated to insure that the final soil would have the highest permeability and still

C1

be classified as cohesive. The properties for the final soil are also given in Table Cl.

4. The composite soil was blended thoroughly with the amount of water necessary to bring its moisture content to about 18%. This moisture content would result in specimens with a high initial degree of saturation. It would also insure that the samples have an overconsolidation ratio near 1 (normally consolidated). After mixing, the soil was sealed in a plastic container and allowed to cure for 48 hours. This increased the probability of an even distribution of soil moisture. The soil was now ready for the compaction process.

5. The material was compacted in a length of plastic tube which was contained within a split-shell specimen mold. The inside of the tube was given a light coating of mineral oil to facilitate the extrusion of the sample. The sample mold was fixed firmly to the laboratory table top by a series of clamps.

6. Two soil compactors were used. The first had a flat head with a diameter of 318 mm (1.5 inches). This compactor was used to compress the soil into the mold. The second one had a rounded head 131 mm (0.5 inch) in diameter. It was used as a penetration device to disturb the smooth surfaces between layers that could possibly act as planes of weakness.

7. The soil was placed into the mold in four lifts. The first lift received 25 strokes with the compressing compactor. The second layer got 310 strokes, the third 315 and the fourth 40. It is believed that this

increase in the amount of energy the lifts acquired would result in a more uniform density over the length of the sample. After the specimen was compacted it was carefully extruded from the mold. The rough ends

were trimmed, and these trimmings were used to obtain moisture contents.

C2

Each specimen was weighed, and measured for length and diameter. It was dipped in hot wax to prevent loss of moisture during storage. The top end of each sample was labeled so that they could be tested in the same orientation in which they were compacted.

8. Using the dimension measurements, weight and moisture content the void ratio for each specimen was calculated. The mean void ratio for all the samples was found. Only specimens that had a void ratio within two percent of the mean were used in the testing program.

9. The samples were stored for at least one week before being tested. This was to insure that any excess pore pressures formed during remolding would have time to dissipate.

63

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF SOIL PROPERTIES

	SOIL FROM FAYETTE COUNTY, KENTUCKY	SOIL AFTER ADDITION OF SAND AND FLYASH
LIQUID LIMIT	42	21
PLASTIC LIMIT	24	15
SPECIFIC GRAVITY	2.76	2.68
PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS		
% PASSING		
NO. 10	100	100
NO. 40	83	79
NO. 200	79	57
.002 MM	36	20
CLASSIFICATION		te belegitter at the f
UNIFIED	CL	CL-ML
AASHTO	A7-6(11)	A4(5)
TEXTURAL	SILTY-CLAY	CLAY-SAND
AVERAGE COMPACTED DENSITY	2005.5 KG/M	2085.3 KG/M ³
MEAN VOID RATIO	.7061	.5214
MEAN WATER CONTENT	24.1%	18.4%

	*******	****** RC6B FORTRAN ************************	RC600014
C	DATA REDUCT	TION PROGRAM FOR HARDIN TORSIONAL RESONANT COLUMN APP.	RC600010
C			RC600030
C	WRITTEN BY	V.P. DRNEVICH	RC600040
C			RC600050
C	JULY 1978 \	TERSION	RC600060
C			RC600070
C	REVISED BY	S.H. BICKEL NOVEMBER 27, 1978	RC600080
C	REVISED BY	S.H. BICKEL JANUARY 11, 1979	RC600090
C			RC600100
C	PARAMETER I	DEFINITIONS	RC600110
С	APPARAT	TUS CONSTANTS	RC600120
C	MA	=TOP CAP AND OSCILLATOR(S) MASS (KG)	RC600130
C	JA	<pre>#APPARATUS POLAR MASS INERTIA (KG-M**2)</pre>	RC600140
C	RCFA	=ROT. MOTION C.F. FOR TORS. VIB. (RAD/VRMS)*(HZ**2)	RC600150
C	ADCT	=APPARATUS DAMPING COEFF. FOR TORS. MOTION (KG-M**2-HZ)	RC600160
C	TCF	=TORQUE-CURRENT FACTOR FOR TORSIONAL MOTION (N-M/VRMS)	RC600170
C	ALCF	=AXIAL LOAD CAL. FACTOR (NEWTONS/UNIT)	RC60018
C	KO	=APPARTUS SPRING CONSTANT (N-M/RADIAN)	RC600190
C	GENERAL	L CONSTANTS	RC600200
C	PI	=3.14159	RC60021
C	UWAT	=UNIT WEIGHT OF WATER = 1000. (KG/M**3)	RC60022
C	SPECIMI	EN VARIABLES	RC60023
С	W	=SPECIMEN WEIGHT (GMS)	RC60024
C	SD	=SPECIMEN DIAMETER (MM)	RC600250
C	SL	=SPECIMEN LENGTH (MM)	RC600260
C	SG	=SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF SPECIMEN SOLIDS	RC600270
C	WC	=WATER CONTENT OF SPECIMEN (PERCENT)	RC600280
C	UM	=MASS DENSITY OF SPECIMEN (KG/M**3)	RC600290
C	SJ	=SPECIMEN POLAR INERTIA (M**4)	RC600300
C	SINR	=SPECIMEN MASS POLAR INERTIA (KG-M**2)	RC600310
C	SPVR	=SPECIMEN VOID RATIO	RC600320
C	SAT	=DEGREE OF SATURATION (PERCENT)	RC600330
C	SYSTEM	VARIABLES	RC600340
C	ALI	=INITIAL AXIAL LOAD RDG. (UNITS)	RC600350
C	LRI	=INITIAL LENGTH DIAL READING (UNITS)	RC600360
C	LCFM	-LENGTH DIAL CALIBRATION FACTOR (MM/UNIT)	RC600370
0	LR	-TRITING READING (UNITS) (COMPRESSION IS POSITIVE)	RC600380
0	BRI	-INITIAL BUKETTE READING (UNITS)	RC600390
C	BCF	-BURETTE CALIBRATION FACTOR (ML/UNIT)	RC600400
c	DK	-BUKEITE KEADING (UNIIS)	0/600410
0	TIOR	=SYSTEM POLAK MASS INEKIIA KATIO	RC600420
C	TLON	TIME OF UIRDATION (MIN)	RC600430
0	TIME	-CELL DEPERSIDE (VC (CM++2))	RC600440
6	DOP	-PERFECTIVE STRESS CALTERATION PACTOR (VDA/UNIT)	RC600450
C	FUE	-EFFECTIVE STRESS CALIBRATION FACTOR (AFA/DATT)	RC600470
CCC		-AVIAL LOAD READING (UNITE)	RC600480
CCCC	LIFFOL		
00000	ALR	TOPOUE READING (VOLTS_PMS)	RC600490
000000	ALR CRRMS	S=TORQUE READING (VOLTS-RMS)	RC600490 RC600500
0000000	ALR CRRMS TORMS	S=TORQUE READING (VOLTS-RMS) S=ACCELEROMETER OUTPUT (VOLTS-RMS) =SYSTEM UNDAMPED NATURAL FREQUENCY (HZ)	RC600490 RC600500 RC600510
00000000	ALR CRRMS TORMS FSYS	S=TORQUE READING (VOLTS-RMS) S=ACCELEROMETER OUTPUT (VOLTS-RMS) =SYSTEM UNDAMPED NATURAL FREQUENCY (HZ)	RC600490 RC600500 RC600510 RC600520

```
RC600530
                =VOLUME (M**3)
C
          V
                                                                             RC600540
C
                =LENGTH (M)
          L
                                                                             RC600550
                =AXIAL STRAIN (PERCENT)
C
          AS
                                                                             RC600560
                =VOID RATIO
C
          VR
                                                                             RC600570
                =PRINCIPAL STRESS RATIO
C
          PSR
                =AVERAGE SHEAR OR ROD STRAIN AMPLITUDE IN SPECIMEN (%)
                                                                             RC600580
C
          SS
                                                                             RC600590
                =ROD MODULUS OR SHEAR MODULUS (MPA)
          G
C
                                                                             RC600600
                =DAMPING RATIO (PERCENT)
          DR
C
                                                                             RC600610
                =CYCLES OF VIBRATION
C
          CYC
                                                                             RC600620
                =MEAN EFFECTIVE PRINCIPLE STRESS (KPA)
C
           SO
                                                                             RC600630
                =YOUNG'S MODULUS (MPA)
           E
C
           EMAX =INITIAL YOUNG'S MODULUS FOR AXIAL COMPRESSION (MPA)
                                                                             RC600640
C
           ENRM =YOUNG'S MOD./INITIAL YOUNG'S MOD.
                                                                             RC600650
C
           PEFF =AXIAL STRESS/2 + EFFECTIVE STRESS
                                                                             RC600660
C
                                                                             RC600670
           QEFF =AXIAL STRESS/2
C
                                                                             RC600680
        TEST PARAMETERS
C
                                                                             RC600690
           TEST =TEST NAME (MAX.=40 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
C
           TESTN=TEST NUMBER (MAX.=16 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
                                                                             RC600700
C
                                                                             RC600710
           DATE =DATE (MO/DA/YR)
C
           SOIL =SOIL TYPE (MAX.=40 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
                                                                             RC600720
C
                =NUMBER OF DATA POINTS IN TEST (MAX.=100)
                                                                             RC600730
С
           NDP
                                                                             RC600740
           OPER = OPERATOR: S NAME (MAX.=16 ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS)
C
                                                                             RC600750
               =NUMBER OF DATA LINES
C
           LCT
                =NUMBER OF PAGES REQUIRED FOR DATA REDUCTION
                                                                             RC600760
           NP
С
                                                                             RC600770
           NSETS =NUMBER OF TIMES PROGRAM IS TO BE RUN (MAX=9)
C
                                                                             RC600780
C
                                                                             RC600790
C
                                                                             RC600800
      INTEGER NDP, LCT, NP, OD
                                                                             RC600810
      REAL LRI, LCF, LR(100), L(100), OPER, LCFA, MA, JA, MMF, KO, LCFM
                                                                             RC600820
      DIMENSION TEST(10), OPER(4), SOIL(10), TESTN(4), DATE(2), TIME(100)
                                                                             RC600830
      DIMENSION CP(100), EFFST(100), CRRMS(100), TORMS(100)
                                                                             RC600840
      DIMENSION PEFF(100), QEFF(100), ENRM(100)
                                                                              RC600850
      DIMENSION ALR(100), BR(100), FSYS(100), AS(100)
      DIMENSION V(100), VR(100), SO(100), CYC(100), SS(100), G(100), DIA(100) RC600860
                                                                             RC600870
      DIMENSION DR(100), PSR(100), MN(100)
                                                                             RC600880
       COMMON /VALS/P, T, ADF, MMF, JPA, AMP, D, F, SF/MAP/MAL/ALARM/KALARM
                                                                              RC600890
C
                                                                             RC600900
C
                                                                              RC600910
C
     SETTING INPUT AND OUTPUT DEVICE CODES
                                                                              RC600920
C
                                                                              RC600930
       ID=5
       0D=6
                                                                              RC600940
                                                                              RC600950
C
                                                                              RC600960
     5 FORMAT (10A4, 10A4)
                                                                              PC600070
```

10	FURMAI (2A4, 4A4, 4A4)	KC000970
15	FORMAT (5F8.0)	RC600980
20	FORMAT (F8. 2, 3F8. 3, F8. 2)	RC600990
25	FORMAT(2F8.2,2F8.4)	RC601000
30	FORMAT(F8.3, 2F8.2, 13)	RC601010
40	FORMAT(9F8.0)	RC601020
50	FORMAT(1H1, 5X, 42 HHARDIN TORSIONAL RESONANT COLUMN APPARATUS /20	X RC601030
	1,54H PROGRAMMED BY DR. VINCENT P. DRNEVICH AND TERRI LORENZ/37X,2	3HRC601040
-	2PROGRAM DATE JULY, 1978/20X, 54HREVISED FOR SAMPLE DIST. RESEARCH	PRC601050
	3ROJ. BY S.H. BICKEL/38X, 27HREVISION DATE JANUARY, 1979///18X, 30H	RERC601060

4SONANT COLUMN DATA REDUCTION) RC601070 55 FORMAT(1H , 2X, 11HTEST NAME: , 10A4/3X, 18HSOIL DESCRIPTION: , 10A4///RC601080 12X, 12H TEST DATE: , 2A4, 11X, 26H TEST NAME AND/OR NUMBER: , 4A4/2X, 11RC601090 2H OPERATOR: , 4A4///)RC601100 65 FORMAT(1H , 3X, 30H APPARATUS CALIBRATION FACTORS, 12X, 23H SYSTEM CHARC601110 IRACTERISTICS//) RC601120 80 FORMAT(1H ,1X,11HBUR. C.F. =, F7.3, 1X, 5HUNITS, 14X, 17HAX. LOAD C.F. RC601130 1 = F8.4, 1X, 12HNEWTONS/UNITRC601140 85 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 11HBRI =, F7.2, 1X, 5HUNITS//) RC601150 90 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 11HJA =, F7.5, 1X, 37HKG-M**2 PRESS. RC601160 1C.F. =, F7.3, 1X, 8HKPA/UNIT) RC601170 92 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 11HRCFA*F**2 =, F7.2, 1X, 18H (RAD/VRMS)*(HZ)**2, 1X, 17HLRC601180 IENGTH CHG. C.F.=, F7.3, 1X, 7HMM/UNIT) RC601190 94 FORMAT(1H, 1X, 11HADCT =, F7.5, 1X, 10HKG-M**2-HZ, 9X, 18HINIT. LENRC601200 1GTH RDG =, F7.3, 1X, 5HUNITS) RC601210 96 FORMAT(1H, 1X, 11HTCF =, F7.4, 1X, 37HN-M/VRMS NO. OF RC601220 1DATA PTS = , 4X, I3)RC601230 100 FORMAT(1H , 23X, 24HSPECIMEN CHARACTERISTICS//) RC601240 102 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 16HMASS =, F7.5, 1X, 32HKGDEGREE OFRC601250 =, F6.2, 2H %) 1 SAT. RC601260 103 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 16HDIAMETER =, F7.5, 1X, 29HMMASS DENSRC601270 =, F10.1, 1X, 7HKG/M**3) lITY RC601280 104 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 16HLENGTH =, F7.5, 1X, 32HM VOID RATIRC601290 10 =, F6.3)RC601300 106 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 16HSPECIFIC GRAV. =, F5.3, 15X, 20HROTATIONAL INERTIA =RC601310 1) RC601320 108 FORMAT(1H , 1X, 16HWATER CONTENT =, F6. 2, 2X, 1H%, 28X, F10. 7, 1X, 6HK-M**RC601330 12) RC601340 110 FORMAT(1HO, 1X, 11HINPUT DATA://16X, 61HCELL EFF. AXIAL LENGTRC601350 CUR. ACCEL. RES. /8X, 70HTIME PRESS. STRESS LRC601360 1H BUR 20AD RDG. RDG. RDG. RDG. FREQ. /77H LINE (MIN) RC601370 3 (KPA) (UNITS) (UNITS) (UNITS) (V-RMS) (V-RMS) (HZ)) RC601380 , 14, 1X, F7. 2, 1X, F7. 2, 1X, F7. 3, 1X, F7. 3, 1X, F8. 3, 1X, F7. 3, 1RC601390 120 FORMAT(1H 1X, F7.4, 1X, F7.3, 1X, F7.2) RC601400 EFF. PRIN. RC601410 AX. 130 FORMAT(1HO, 1X, 16HCALCULATED DATA://4X, 68H SHEAR SHEAR DAMP. ENORM P Q/6X, 69HTIME STR. CONF.RC601420 1 VOID EFF./1X, 75HLINE (RC601430 EFF. 2 STR. RATIO STRAIN MOD. RATIO (KPA) (KRC601440 3MIN) (%) (KPA) RATIO (%) (MPA) (%) RC601450 4PA)) RC601460 140 FORMAT (1H , I3, 1X, F6.1, 1X, F4.2, 1X, F6.2, 1X, F4.2, 1X, F6.4, 1X, F7.5, 1X, FRC601470 RC601480 16.2, 1X, F5.2, 1X, F6.4, 1X, F6.2, 1X, F6.2) RC601490 RC601500 TEST IDENTIFICATION INPUT RC601510 RC601520 1 READ(ID, 5, END=999) (TEST(I), I=1, 10), (SOIL(I), I=1, 10) 6 READ(ID, 10) DATE(1), DATE(2), (TESTN(I), I=1, 4), (OPER(I), I=1, 4) RC601530 RC601540 RC601550 APPARATUS CALIBRATION FACTOR INPUT RC601560 RC601570 READ(ID, 15) JA, RCFA, ADCT, TCF, FDEV RC601580 RC601590 SYSTEM CALIBRATION FACTOR INPUT RC601600



C

C

```
RC601610
      READ(ID, 25)LCFM, BCF, PCF, ALCF
                                                                                RC601620
C
                                                                                RC601630
C
    SPECIMEN PARAMETER INPUT
                                                                                RC601640
C
                                                                                RC601650
      READ(ID, 20)W, SD, SL, SG, WC
                                                                                RC601660
C
     INITIAL AXIAL LOAD, BURETTE, LENGTH READING, AND NUMBER OF DATA
                                                                                RC601670
C
                                                                                RC601680
C
     SETS INPUT
                                                                                RC601690
C
                                                                                RC601700
      READ(ID, 30)ALI, BRI, LRI, NDP
C
                                                                                RC601710
                                                                                RC601720
C
    TEST DATA INPUT
                                                                                RC601730
C
      READ(ID, 40)(TIME(I), CP(I), EFFST(I), ALR(I), LR(I), BR(I), CRRMS(I), TORRC601740
                                                                                RC601750
      1MS(I), FSYS(I), I=1, NDP
                                                                                RC601760
      DO 145 I=1, NDP
                                                                                RC601770
  145 \text{ CP}(I) = (\text{CP}(I)/1.02) \times 100.
                                                                                RC601780
      LCT=0
      NP=1
                                                                                RC601790
                                                                                RC601800
C
C
                                                                                RC601810
    WRITE TITLES
                                                                                RC601820
C
                                                                                RC601830
      WRITE(OD, 50)
      WRITE(OD, 55)(TEST(I), I=1, 10), (SOIL(I), I=1, 10), DATE(1), DATE(2), (TESRC601840
                                                                                RC601850
      1TN(I), I=1, 4), (OPER(I), I=1, 4)
C
                                                                                RC601860
                                                                                RC601870
C
   CALCULATION OF SPECIMEN CHARACTERISTICS
C
                                                                                RC601880
                                                                                RC601890
       PI=3.14159
                                                                                RC601900
       SL=SL/1000.
       SD=SD/1000.
                                                                                RC601910
       LCF=LCFM/1000.
                                                                                RC601920
                                                                                RC601930
       W=W/1000.
       VOL=0.25*(SD**2)*PI*SL
                                                                                RC601940
       UM=W/VOL
                                                                                RC601950
                                                                                RC601960
       WSOL = W / (1 + 0 + 01 + WC)
                                                                                RC601970
       UWAT=1000.
                                                                                RC601980
       VSOL=WSOL/(SG*UWAT)
                                                                                RC601990
       SPVR=(VOL-VSOL)/VSOL
                                                                                RC602000
       WWAT=W-WSOL
                                                                                RC602010
       VWAT=WWAT/UWAT
                                                                                RC602020
       SAT=VWAT/(VOL-VSOL)*100.
       SINR=W*(SD**2)/8.
                                                                                RC602030
       KO = 4*PI**2*FDEV**2*JA
                                                                                RC602040
C
                                                                                RC602050
```

D4

WRITE(OD, 65) WRITE (OD, 80) BCF, ALCF WRITE(OD, 90) JA, PCF WRITE(OD, 92)RCFA, LCFM WRITE(OD, 94)ADCT, LRI WRITE(OD, 96)TCF, NDP WRITE(OD, 85)BRI

C C WRITE APPARATUS, SYSTEM, AND SPECIMEN CHARACTERISTICS - WITH HEADINGSRC602060

RC602070 RC602080 RC602090 RC602100 RC602110 RC602120 RC602130 RC602140

```
WRITE(OD, 100)
                                                                             RC602150
      WRITE(OD, 102)W, SAT
                                                                             RC602160
      WRITE(OD, 103)SD, UM
                                                                             RC602170
      WRITE(OD, 104)SL, SPVR
                                                                             RC602180
      WRITE (OD, 106)SG
                                                                             RC602190
      WRITE(OD, 108)WC, SINR
                                                                             RC602200
C
                                                                             RC602210
    WRITE HEADINGS FOR INPUT DATA
C
                                                                             RC602220
C
                                                                             RC602230
  200 CONTINUE
                                                                             RC602240
   45 WRITE(OD, 50)
                                                                             RC602250
      WRITE(OD, 55)(TEST(I), I=1, 10), (SOIL(I), I=1, 10), DATE(1), DATE(2), (TESRC602260
     1TN(I), I=1, 4), (OPER(I), I=1, 4)
                                                                             RC602270
      WRITE(OD, 110)
                                                                             RC602280
C
                                                                             RC602290
C
    WRITE INPUT DATA
                                                                             RC602300
C
                                                                             RC602310
      IF(NP.EQ.1) ISTART=1
                                                                             RC602320
      IF(NP.GT.1) ISTART=LCT+1
                                                                             RC602330
      IF(NP.EQ.1) ISTOP=15
                                                                             RC602340
      IF(NP.GT.1) ISTOP=15*NP
                                                                             RC602350
      IF(ISTOP.GT.NDP) ISTOP=NDP
                                                                             RC602360
      DO 250 I=ISTART, ISTOP
                                                                             RC602370
      LCT=I
                                                                             RC602380
      WRITE(OD, 120)LCT, TIME(I), CP(I), EFFST(I), ALR(I), LR(I), BR(I), CRRMS(IRC602390
     1), TORMS(I), FSYS(I)
                                                                             RC602400
  250 CONTINUE
                                                                             RC602410
C
    WRITE TITLES FOR CALCULATED DATA
                                                                             RC602420
C
                                                                             RC602430
      WRITE(OD, 130)
                                                                             RC602440
C
                                                                             RC602450
    CALCULATION OF INSTANTANEOUS VALUES OF LENGTH, AXIAL STRAIN, VOLUME,
C
                                                                             RC602460
C
    VOID RATIO, EFFECTIVE CONFINING PRESSURE, AND CYCLES OF VIBRATION
                                                                             RC602470
C
                                                                             RC602480
      DO 300 I=ISTART, ISTOP
                                                                             RC602490
      L(I)=SL+(LRI-LR(I))*LCF
                                                                             RC602500
      AS(I) = ((SL-L(I))/SL)*100.
                                                                             RC602510
      DVOL=(BRI-BR(I))*BCF/10.**6
                                                                             RC602520
      IF(DVOL.EQ.0.) DVOL=AS(I)*3.*VOL/100.
                                                                             RC602530
      V(I)=VOL-DVOL
                                                                             RC602540
      VR(I)=SPVR-((VOL-V(I))/VSOL)
                                                                             RC602550
      SO(I)=EFFST(I)*PCF
                                                                             RC602560
      IF(I.NE.1) GO TO 280
                                                                             RC602570
      DTIME=TIME(1)
                                                                             RC602580
```

GO TO 290 280 DTIME=TIME(I)-TIME(I-1) 290 CYC(I)=FSYS(I)*DTIME*60. 300 CONTINUE

P = 1000.

C

C

C

C

CALCULATION OF DIMENSIONLESS FREQUENCY, MODULUS, DAMPING, MODE SHAPE FACTOR, STRAIN AMPLITUDE, P-EFFECTIVE, Q-EFFECTIVE, YOUNG'S MODULUS, INITIAL YOUNG'S MODULUS, AND NORMALIZED RATIO JPA = 0 RC602590 RC602600 RC602610 RC602620 RC602630 RC602640 RC602650 RC602650 RC602660 RC602660

		DO 400 I=ISTART, ISTOP	RC602690
		DIA(I)=SQRT(4.*V(I)/(L(I)*PI))	RC602700
		AXLD=(ALR(I)+ALI)*ALCF	RC602710
		AXS=4*AXLD/(1000.*(PI)*(DIA(I))**2)	RC602720
		PEFF(I)=AXS/2+SO(I)	RC602730
		QEFF(I)=AXS/2.	RC602740
		PSR(I) = (SO(I) + AXS) / SO(I)	RC602750
		SO(I)=SO(I) + AXS/3.	RC602760
		WT=W-UWAT*(BRI+BR(I))*BCF/10.**6	RC602770
		RHO=WT/V(I)	RC602780
	320	SINR=WT*DIA(I)*DIA(I)/8.	RC602790
		T = (JA/SINR)*(1-(FDEV/FSYS(I))**2)	RC602800
		ADF=ADCT/(2.*PI*FSYS(I)*SINR)	RC602810
		MMF=(RCFA*TORMS(I)/(TCF*CRRMS(I)))*SINR*(2.*PI)**2	RC602820
		CALL RCSUB	RC602830
		IF(MAL.NE.O.OR.KALARM.NE.O)GO TO 400	RC602840
		G(I)=(RHO*(2.*PI*L(I))**2)*(FSYS(I)/F)**2/10.**6	RC602850
		DR(I)=D	RC602860
		SS(I)=(RCFA/(FSYS(I)**2))*TORMS(I)*SF*(DIA(I)/(3.*L(I)))*100.	RC602870
		EMAX=G(I)*3.	RC602880
		IF(AS(I).NE.0.)GO TO 350	RC602890
		E=EMAX	RC602900
		GOTO 360	RC602910
	350	E=AXS/(10.*AS(I))	RC602920
	360	ENRM(I)=E/EMAX	RC602930
	400	CONTINUE	RC602940
3			RC602950
3	WI	RITE CALCULATED DATA	RC602960
3			RC602970
		DO 500 I=ISTART, ISTOP	RC602980
		LCT=I	RC602990
		WRITE(OD, 140)LCT, TIME(I), AS(I), SO(I), PSR(I), VR(I), SS(I), G(I), DR(I)RC603000
		1, ENRM(I), PEFF(I), QEFF(I)	RC603010
	500	CONTINUE	RC603020
		LCT=ISTOP	RC603030
		IF(ISTOP.EQ.NDP) GO TO 600	RC603040
		NP=NP+1	RC603050
		GO TO 45	RC603060
	600	GO TO 1	RC603070
	999	STOP	RC603080
		END	RC603090

SEE APPENDIX A. FOR THE SUBROUTINE RCSUB.



```
10 REM TRIAXA.KA DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM FOR UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL
          TESTS WHERE CELL PRESS. - PORE PRESS. IS MEASURED.
30 REM
        WRITTEN BY V.P. DRNEVICH, APRIL 11, 1975
50 REM
        REVISED FOR PROGRAMMABLE REAL TIME CLOCK, 07/15/76
90 REM
105 REM REVISED TO RUN SEGMENTS OF TEST S BICKEL 1/3/79
         CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROGRAM:
110 REM
           DEFORMATION TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 1
130 REM
           LOAD TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 2
150 REM
        PORE PRESSURE TRANSDUCER CONNECTED TO CHANNEL NO. 3
170 REM
        START-STOP SW. W/OUTPUT > 0.8 VOLT CONNECTED TO CHAN.NO.0
190 REM
        RDGS. TAKEN AT EQUAL INTERVALS OF TIME
210 REM
           INIT. DEFORM. RDGS. SUBTRACTED FROM ALL SUBSEQUENT DEF. RDGS.
230 REM
           IF DESIRED, ALL DATA ARE WRITTEN INTO A FILE THAT IS LABELED
250 REM
             WITH THE TEST DESIGNATION AND EXTENSION ".FF".
270 REM
290 DIM B$(15),C$(15),D$(15),F1$(10),F$(15),T$(68),U$(68),V$(45),W$(40)
310 DIM A(100), B(100), C(100), D(100), E(100), P(100), P1(100), T(100)
330 DIM G$(19), H$(40), O$(15), I$(100, 72)
350 UDEF INI(N), PLY(Y), DLY(N), DIS(S, E, N, X)
370 UDEF SAM(C,N,P,T),CLK(R,O,S),CLW(N),ADC(N)
371 PRINT"DO YOU NEED HELP TO RUN THIS PROGRAM (Y=YES, N=NO)";
372 INPUT XS
373 IF X$="N" THEN 396
374 O$="DTA1: TRIAX. HH"
375 FILE#1:0$
376 INPUT#1:R.C.L
377 FOR X=1 TO R
378 INPUT#1:I$(X)
379 NEXT X
380 CLOSE#1
381 PRINT\PRINT
382 FOR S=1 TO R
383 PRINT I$(S)
384 NEXT S
385 O$="DTA1:TRIAX.HE"
386 FILE#1:0$
387 INPUT#1:R,C,L
388 FOR X=1 TO R
389 INPUT#1: I$(X)
390 NEXT X
391 CLOSE#1
392 FOR S=1 TO R
393 PRINT I$(S)
```

394 NEXT S 395 INPUT A9 396 PRINT 397 PRINT 400 PRINT"TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM" 410 PRINT"TYPE TEST AND SPECIMEN INFORMATION AS REQUESTED" 430 PRINT"INPUT TEST DESIGNATION (6 SYMBOLS MAX.)";\INPUT A\$ 450 PRINT"OPERATOR";\INPUT B\$ 470 PRINT"DATE";\INPUT C\$ 490 PRINT"SOIL TYPE";\INPUT D\$ 510 PRINT"SPECIMEN INFORMATION"\PRINT"NO. OF DIAMETERS";\INPUT B2\C2=0

530 PRINT"DIAMETERS IN CM."; \FOR K=1 TO B2\INPUT D2\C2=C2+D2\NEXT K 550 C2=C2/B2 570 PRINT"NO. OF LENGTHS"; \INPUT B3\C3=0 590 PRINT"INPUT LENGTHS IN CM."; \FOR K=1 TO B3\INPUT D3\C3=C3+D3\NEXT K 610 C3=C3/B3 630 A3=C2*C2*3.14159*C3/4. 650 PRINT"WEIGHT IN GRAMS"; \INPUT A4\PRINT "MOIS. CONTENT IN PERCENT"; 670 INPUT B4\PRINT"SPECIFIC GRAVITY":\INPUT C4 690 PRINT"CALIBRATION DATA" 710 PRINT"DEF. CAL. FACT. IN CM./VOLT (NORM. VAL. = 5.08)"; \INPUT A6 730 PRINT"LOAD CAL.FACT.IN NEWTONS/VOLT (NORM.VAL.= 2224.)"; \INPUT B6 750 PRINT"PRESSURE TRANS.CALIB.FACT.IN MPA/VOLT (NORM.VAL.=0.6895)"; 770 INPUT C6\REM PRESS. CAL. FAC. 790 PRINT"DATA RDG. INTERVAL IN MINUTES"; \INPUT T6\T3=T6-0.03 810 PRINT"STOP TIME IN MINUTES (MAX. = 99*RDG. INT.)"; \INPUT SO 820 PRINT "INITIAL DEFORMATION VOLTAGE READING"; \INPUT A9 825 PRINT "INITIAL PRESSURE READING"; \INPUT ZO 830 G\$="RDG.NO. TIME(MIN) " 850 H\$="DEF. (CM) FORCE (N) E.STRESS(MPA)" 870 PRINT\PRINT G\$;H\$;" USE START SW. TO BEGIN" 890 T9=ADC(0) 910 IF T9>400 GO TO 930\IF T9<-400 GO TO 930\GO TO 890 930 T0=0\T2=0.\REM INITIALIZE RDG. NO. AND TIME 950 A(TO) = ADC(1)951 B(T0) = ADC(2)953 IF A9=0 THEN 955 954 GO TO 956 955 A9=A(TO) 956 A(T0) = A(T0) - A9957 PRINT\PRINT "INITIAL DEFORM. VOLTAGE RDG.:";A9\PRINT 970 P(TO)=ADC(3)\REM PRESS. RDG. 975 IF P(TO)=0 THEN 980\GO TO 990 980 P(T0)=.0001 990 GO TO 1230 1010 T1=0.\T7=0. 1030 S9=S0/T6\IF T0=S9 THEN 1380 1050 Y=CLK(3,600,0) 1070 Y = CLW(0)1090 T1=T1+1\T7=T1/100.\IF T7 < T3 THEN 1070 1110 TO=TO+1 \REM RDG. NO. 1130 T2=T2+T6\REM TIME IN MINUTES\T(T0)=T2 1150 IF T2>S0 G0 TO 1380 1170 T9 = ADC(0) A(T0) = ADC(1) B(T0) = ADC(2) A(T0) = A(T0) - A91190 P(TO)=ADC(3)

```
1195 IF P(T0)=0 THEN 1200\GO TO 1210
1200 P(T0)=.0001
1210 IF T9>400 GO TO 1250\IF T9<-400 GO TO 1250\GO TO 1380
1230 IF A(T0)<0 GO TO 1250\A(T0)=A(T0)*A6/511\GO TO 1270
1250 A(T0)=A(T0)*A6/512
1270 IF B(T0)<0 GO TO 1290\B(T0)=B(T0)*B6/511\GO TO 1330
1290 B(T0)=B(T0)*B6/512
1310 IF P(T0)<0 GO TO 1330\P(T0)=P(T0)*C6/511\GO TO 1350
1330 P(T0)=P(T0)*C6/512
1350 T2=INT(T2*10^3+.5)/10^3
```

```
1355 PRINT TAB(2); (TO+1); TAB(10); T2; TAB(19); A(TO); TAB(31); B(TO); TAB(43);
1356 PRINT P(TO)
1370 GO TO 1010
1380 PRINT"TYPE 1, LINE FEED TO TOP OF NEXT PAGE, HIT RETURN.";
1385 INPUT A9
1390 PRINT\PRINT\PRINT
1395 A2=A3/C3
1400 A5=A4/A3
1405 B5=A5/(1+B4/100)
1410 C5=C4/B5-1
1415 PRINT"UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY"
1420 PRINT"
                      TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST"
1425 PRINT
1430 PRINT"TEST INFORMATION"
1435 PRINT"TEST NUMBER:";A$
1440 PRINT"OPERATOR:";B$
1445 PRINT"DATE:";C$
1450 PRINT"SOIL TYPE:";D$
1455 PRINT"SPECIMEN INFORMATION"
1460 PRINT"AVERAGE DIAMETER = ";C2;" CM"
1465 PRINT"AVERAGE LENGTH = ";C3;" CM"
1470 PRINT"WEIGHT = ";A4;" GRAMS"
1475 PRINT"VOLUME = ";A3;" CUBIC CM"
1480 PRINT"MOISTURE CONTENT = ";B4;"%"
1485 PRINT"SPECIFIC GRAVITY = ";C4
1490 PRINT"WET DENSITY = ";A5;" GRAMS/CUBIC CM"
1495 PRINT"DRY DENSITY = "; B5; " GRAMS/CUBIC CM"
1500 PRINT"VOID RATIO = ";C5
1505 PRINT"CALIBRATION DATA"
1510 PRINT"DEFORMATION CALIBRATION FACTOR = ";A6;" CM/VOLT"
1515 PRINT"FORCE CALIBRATION FACTOR = "; B6; " NEWTONS/VOLT"
1520 PRINT"PRESSURE CALIBRATION FACTOR = ";C6;" MPA/VOLT"
1525 PRINT\PRINT
1530 T$="TIME DEFORM. A-PP
                                             OBLIQUITY"
                                 EFF.STRESS
                              STRAIN"
1535 U$=" P-EFF Q-EFF
1540 V$="(MIN) (CM.) COEFF. (MPA)
                                            (UNITLESS)"
                               (%)"
1545 W$=" (MPA)
                   (MPA)
1550 PRINT T$;U$
1555 PRINT V$;W$
1558 C9=T0
1560 FOR I=0 TO C9
1565 C(I)=A(I)*100./C3
1570 D(I)=A2/(1.-C(I)/100.)
```

1575 E(I)=(B(I)/D(I)/20.)/10 1580 P1(I)=P(I)+E(I) 1585 D(I)=(P1(I)+E(I))/(P1(I)-E(I)) 1590 C(I)=INT(C(I)*10^4+0.5)/10^4 1595 D(I)=INT(D(I)*10^4+0.5)/10^4 1600 E(I)=INT(E(I)*10^4+0.5)/10^4 1605 P1(I)=INT(P1(I)*10^4+0.5)/10^4 1610 A(I)=INT(A(I)*10^4+0.5)/10^4 1613 T(I)=INT(T(I)*10^3+0.5)/10^3 1615 IF ZO<>0 THEN 1617

```
1616 ZO = P(0)
1617 P9=Z0
1620 B(I) = (P9 - P(I)) / (2 \cdot E(I) + \cdot 0001)
1625 B(I)=INT(B(I)*10<sup>4</sup>+0.5)/10<sup>4</sup>
1628 P(I)=INT(P(I)*10^5+0.5)/10^5
1630 PRINT T(I); TAB(7); A(I); TAB(15); B(I); TAB(26); P(I); TAB(37); D(I);
1635 PRINT TAB(47); P1(I); TAB(56); E(I); TAB(65); C(I)
1640 NEXT I
1645 PRINT
1650 PRINT
1655 PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO STORE RESULTS (Y=YES, N=NO)";
1660 INPUT Q$
1665 IF Q$="N" THEN 1780
1670 PRINT"STORE RESULTS ON DISK OR TAPE (D OR T)";
1675 INPUT JS
1680 IF J$="D" THEN 1700
1685 IF J$="T" THEN 1710
1690 PRINT"WRONG INPUT. D OR T ONLY!"
1695 GO TO 1670
1700 F1$="RXA1:"
1705 GO TO 1715
1710 F1$="DTA1:"
1715 F2$=".FF"
1720 F$=F1$&A$&F2$
1725 FILEV#1:F$
1730 PRINT#1:AS
1735 PRINT#1:B$\PRINT#1:C$\PRINT#1:D$\PRINT#1:(C9+1)
1740 PRINT#1:C2,C3,A3,A4
1742 PRINT#1:B4,C4,A6,B6,C6
1745 PRINT#1:A5,B5,C5
1750 FOR K=0 TO C9
1755 PRINT#1:T(K),A(K),B(K),P(K)
1760 PRINT#1:C(K),D(K),E(K),P1(K)
1765 NEXT K
1770 CLOSE#1
1775 PRINT"FILE ";F$;" HAS BEEN CREATED. USE TRIAX.CY TO RECALL"
1780 PRINT"DO YOU WISH TO RUN AGAIN (Y=YES, N=NO)";
1785 INPUT Q$
1790 IF Q$="N" THEN 1850
1795 PRINT"TYPE 1, LINE FEED TO TOP OF NEXT PAGE, HIT RETURN.";
1800 INPUT A9
1805 GO TO 10
1850 END
```

APPENDIX E: NOTATION

^a 1, ^a 2, ^a 3	Coefficients in Equation (35)
В	Skempton pore pressure coefficient
c*	Cohesion in terms of effective stress
е	Void ratio
e _i	Void ratio for initial state of stress
G _{max}	Initial tangent shear modulus
(G _{max}) ₁	Initial tangent shear modulus for initial state of stress
Н	Inverse of slope of the secant connecting the initial stress point and any other stress point
H _f	Value of H where other stress point corresponds to failure
I.D.	Inside diameter
K	Coefficient in Hardin Equation that depends on plasticity index
ĸ _f	Line on stress path diagram associated with Mohr-Coulomb failure
Ko	Coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest
LVDT	Linear varying differential transformer displacement transducer
OCR	Overconsolidation ratio
p*	Stress path abscissa in terms of effective stress
Pa	Atmospheric pressure
p'i	Stress path abscissa for initial state of stress
p'j	Stress path abscissa corresponding to stress point i

Stress path ordinate

Plasticity Index in percent

PI

q

qf

qj

Su

Stress path ordinate corresponding to state of failure

Stress path ordinate corresponding to stress point j

E1

Undrained shear strength

Т	T/T _{max}
v	Specimen volume
ΔV	Change in specimen volume
Y	Shear strain
Υ _j	Shear strain at stress path point j
Υr	Reference strain given by T _{max} /G _{max}
Δγ	Shear strain increment
$\Delta(\gamma/\gamma_r)_j$	Incremental normalized shear strain at stress path point j
£	Axial strain
^c axial	Axial strain in triaxial test associated with O axial
εj	Axial strain at stress path point j
e r	Radial strains in triaxial test
(e _r)j	Radial strain in triaxial test at stress path point j
σ _{axial}	Axial stress in triaxial test in addition to initial state of stress
ď" 0	Mean effective confining stress
σ [•] 1, σ [•] 1, σ [•] 2, σ [•] 3	Principal stresses in terms of effective stress
$(0^{\prime}_{1})_{1}, (0^{\prime}_{2})_{1}, (0^{\prime}_{3})_{1}$	Effective principal stresses for initial state of stress
σ″ _v	Effective overburden stress
т	Shear stress
Tmax	Maximum shear stress applied in addition to initial shear stress

Angle of shearing resistance in terms of effective stress

E2

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE1979-640 289/35

ø

In accordance with letter from DAEN-RDC, DAEN-ASI dated 22 July 1977, Subject: Facsimile Catalog Cards for Laboratory Technical Publications, a facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Drnevich, Vincent Paul

Evaluation of sample disturbance on soils using the concept of "reference strain" / by Vincent P. Drnevich, Civil Engineering Department, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky. Vicksburg, Miss. : U. S. Waterways Experiment Station ; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1979.

67, [99] p. : ill. ; 27 cm. (Technical report - U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ; GL-79-13)

Prepared for Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army, Washington, D. C., under Contract No. DACW39-78-C-0046, Work Unit CWIS 31145.

References: p. 65-67.

 Reference strain. 2. Resonant column tests. 3. Sample disturbance. 4. Shear modulus. 5. Shear strain. 6. Shear stress.
 Stress-strain curves. 8. Triaxial shear tests. I. Kentucky. University. Dept. of Civil Engineering. II. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. III. Series: United States. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. Technical report ; GL-79-13. TA7.W34 no.GL-79-13