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## PREFACE

The investigations reported herein comprise a collection of pertinent literature applicable to one-dimensional stress wave propagation in soils. The work was performed in connection with research on propagation of ground shock through earth media beine conducted by personnel of the Soils Division, WES, for DASA.
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This 1999 report is a reprint of a technical paper prepared by the author in 1968 in partial fulfillment of the requirements in a graduate course offered by the Department of Civil Engineering, Texas A\&M University, College Station, Texas. The work was performed in connection with research on propagation of ground shock through earth media conducted by members of the staff of the Structures Laboratory (SL), U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, MS, a complex of five laboratories of the Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), for the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, Alexandria, VA.

The work was accomplished by Dr. Behzad Rohani, Geomechanics and Explosion Effects Division (GEED), SL, WES, during February through August 1968 under the supervision of Dr. J. S. Zelasko and the general direction of Messrs. W. J. Turnbull, A. A. Maxwell, R. W. Cunny, and Dr. J. G. Jackson, Jr., GEED. Dr. Bryant Mather was Director, SL, during the publication of this report.

The purpose of the study was to assemble pertinent theoretical literature applicable to onedimensional stress wave propagation in soils, rewrite the mathematics in detail using consistent notations and terminology, computerize each solution, prepare instructions for each computer program, and to make comparative studies with the various mathematical models for a wave propagation problem. The theoretical developments were documented in a format that can be used for engineering training and selfstudy and are published for such purposes. The computer programs, based on the technology of the 1960's, are published here for historical and reference purposes.

Commander of ERDC during the publication of this report was COL Robin R. Cababa, EN. This report was published at the WES complex of ERDC.

## Introduction

## A. Background

The first major research concerning the behavior of soils under transient loadings was sponsored by the United States Army Corps of Engineers to aid in the design of underground protective structures. The work was carried out at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) under the directions of D. W. Taylor and R. V. Whitman. A brief summary of this work was published by Whitman (1) in 1957; it states

A hydraulic apparatus and special instrumentation were constructed to test triaxial soil samples. Failure was achieved in times as short as 0.001 second. Curves of compressive strength versus strain rate (rapidity of loading) were determined for cohesive soils, dry sand, and saturated sands. Transient pore-water pressures were recorded during tests on saturated sands.

Another apparatus was constructed to study wave propagation. Soil samples, 2 in. in diameter and 32 in. long, were struck at one end by a ram. Results for a dry sand were compared with theoretical solutions for the wave propagation problem.

Other tests were devised to study creep and relaxation phenomena in dry sand, and to study the permeability of saturated sands to pressure gradients applied suddenly.

Whitman compared the results of the wave propagation experiments with the one-dimensional rate-independent plastic wave propagation theory developed by Von Karman and Duwez (see Chapter II, Section -D). The theory did not predict the initial peak stress or spike at the impact end of the sand column (fig. I-I) and he concluded that lateral inertia effects were responsible for the occurrence of the stress peak. In 1961, B. R. Parkin (2) developed a rate-dependent elastic-plastic theory to study
one-dimensional stress wave propagation in sand. This theory predicted the occurrence of the impact stress peak of the MIT experiments without considering lateral inertia effects (fig. I-I). Parkin concluded that the initial peak stress was due entirely to interaction of strain-rate sensitivity and static stress-strain properties of the medium with the elastic compliance of the impacting stress gage. Parkin's conclusion aroused much interest and resulted in a symposium (3) on impact waves in sand.

The subject of wave propagation in soils was given much attention after Parkin's work. New advances in nuclear technology and space ventures, in particular, provided considerable impetus towards this effort. Presently (1968) a number of research organizations are working on different phases of the problem with an overall objective of predicting wave propagation phenomena in an in situ soil mass.
B. The problem

The problem to be considered in wave propagation in soils is that of predicting the form and the effect of an input wave after it has propagated through the soil, at a specified point in space and time. In particular, the attenuation of peak stress and particle velocity with depth is of interest. The solution of this problem, like any other boundary value problem, requires a knowledge of:
a. the equations governing the motion of the soil mass,
b. the equation of continuity which expresses the conservation of mass,
c. the constitutive equations which relate stress to strain and strain rate, and
d. the boundary and initial conditions.


Fig. I-1. Impact stress-time history for Ottawa sand.

The solution of the equations of motion with specified boundary and initial conditions and constitutive equations is the solution to the problem. Major difficulties arise when attempts are made to solve such problems for soils. The fact that the stress-strain relations for soils are highly non-linear, hysteretic and rate-dependent makes the task of obtaining an analytical solution a difficult one. The problem becomes even more complicated when one considers the inhomogeneity of in situ soil masses and the fact that a soil medium is not strictly a continuum. C. Equations of motions and continuity

The equations of motion expressing the equality of applied force and time-rate of change of momentum, neglecting body forces, are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{x}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x z}}{\partial z} \\
& \rho \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{y}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{y x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{y y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{y z}}{\partial z} \\
& \rho \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{z}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{z x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}}{\partial z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho \text { is the mass density of the material, } \\
& \dot{u}_{x}, \dot{u}_{y}, \dot{u}_{z} \text { are the velocity components in the } x, \\
& y, \text { and } z \text { Cartesian directions } \\
& \text { respectively, and }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{x y}, \sigma_{x z}, \sigma_{y x}, \text { etc., } & \text { are components of the stress tensor in } \\
& \text { Cartesian coordinates. }
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations of motion will hold, irregardless of the stress-strain behavior of the medium.

The equation of continuity expressing the conservation of mass in Cartesian coordinates is given by

$$
\frac{d \rho}{d t}+\rho\left(\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{z}}{\partial z}\right)=0
$$

Equations I-1 and I-2 are 4 equations in 10 unknowns ( 6 stress components, 3 velocity components, and the mass density of the medium). To solve Equations I-1 and I-2 six additional equations are needed. These additional equations must be obtained from the constitutive relations of the material.

## D. Constitutive relations

Constitutive relations are equations which relate in some manner the respective components of the stress and strain tensors. These relations must be determined from property tests conducted on samples of the material of interest to measure the appropriate material properties. For a linearly elastic, isotropic and homogeneous material only two constants are needed to completely formulate the constitutive equations. These constants can be determined by performing appropriate property tests. The most conventional property test is the simple tension test from which both Young's modulus of elasticity, E, and Poisson's ratio, $\nu$, can be obtained. These constants are related to other elastic material parameters as follows:

$$
M=\frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}=\frac{3 K(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)}=\frac{2 G(1-\nu)}{(1-2 \nu)}
$$

where
$M$ is the constrained modulus of elasticity

K is the bulk modulus of elasticity
$G$ is the shear modulus
The constitutive equations for a linearly elastic, isotropic and homogeneous material can be written in terms of any two of these elastic constants. In terms of Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, these equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x x}=\frac{E}{(1-2 \nu)(1+\nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \varepsilon_{x x}+\nu\left(\varepsilon_{y y}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)\right] \\
& \sigma_{y y}=\frac{E}{(1-2 \nu)(1+\nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \epsilon_{y y}+\nu\left(\varepsilon_{x x}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)\right] \\
& \sigma_{z z}=\frac{E}{(1-2 \nu)(1+\nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \epsilon_{z z}+\nu\left(\varepsilon_{x x}+\epsilon_{y y}\right)\right] \\
& \sigma_{x y}=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \varepsilon_{x y} \\
& \sigma_{x z}=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \varepsilon_{x z} \\
& \sigma_{y z}=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \varepsilon_{y z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\epsilon_{x x}, \epsilon_{x y}, \epsilon_{x z}, \epsilon_{y x}$, etc., are components of the strain tensor in Cartesian coordinates.

Stress-strain relations for soils are not unique and in general they assume a variety of forms depending upon many factors such as state of stress, previous stress history, rate of loading, degree of saturation, etc. Unlike the linear elastic material, each property test for soils will yield a highly non-linear relation between the appropriate stress and strain components. There are no representative equations that can
completely relate these components in a rigorous manner as is done in the classical theory of linear elasticity (equation I-4).

In order to minimize rate effects, soil property tests for dynamic problems should be conducted at rates appropriate to the type of dynamic problem investigated. Two types of dynamic soil property tests currently in use are the uniaxial strain test (fig. I-2) and the triaxial compression test (fig. I-3). Radial symmetry is established in both of these tests. The slope of the uniaxial strain test defines the constrained modulus $M$ while the slope of the triaxial compression test curve defines the shear modulus $G$ where radial strain is known. The complexity of formulating constitutive equations for soils is illustrated by the diverse nature property test results.

## E. Boundary loads

The dynamic boundary loads which are of interest in wave propagation studies in soils are shown in fig. I-4. These loads may be generated by explosions or vibrating machinery. Fig. I-4a depicts a typical
overpressure-distance curve resulting from a nuclear explosion. This type of loading is probably the most complicated boundary load that has to be dealt with in solving a dynamic problem. The fact that the velocity of the traveling shock wave changes as it moves away from ground zero is a major component of the complexity of this problem.

Fig. I-4b shows a single pulse characterized by a peak stress at the shock front and an exponentially decaying behavior thereafter. This type of loading may be generated by a single charge explosion.

A steady-state type input generated by vibrating machinery is shown


Fig. I-2. Typical load-unload stress-strain curve for soils in uniaxial strain.


Fig. I-3. Typical load-unload stress-strain curves for soils in triaxial compression.

(a) Traveling shock waves due to nuclear detonation

Peak overpressure

(b) Single pulse loading

(c) Steady-state pressure on a vibrating foundation

Fig. I-4. Typical boundary loads.
in fig. I-4c. This type of loading is usually of low amplitude and relatively long duration.

## F. Scope of the present study

In this study, the response of a semi-infinite homogeneous body of soil due to a time-dependent pressure wave applied at the free surface of the medium is to be studied. The loading is assumed to be applied uniformly over the entire surface of the medium. Under this assumption, onedimensional geometry is obtained, i.e., there are no lateral deformations and the equations of motion (equation I-1) and continuity (equation I-2) simplify as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial z}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The subscripts have been dropped since only vertical components are to be considered.

The stress-strain relation required by the one-dimensional geometry is the uniaxial strain relation (fig. I-2). This relation is not unique and there are a number of regions in this curve in which different types of material behavior are dominate. For example, for dry cohesionless materials, during the early stages of loading, the stress-strain curve may be concave downward reflecting a rearrangement of particles. As the load is increased the particles begin to lock together and the strains that take place are due primarily to the deformation of the particles at the points of contact. As the stress continues to increase, the contact forces become so large that the particles begin to crush. As the stress is
further increased, the particles lock again and the curve becomes concave to the stress axis with the particles smaller and more angular than before resulting in a progressively higher modulus. During unloading, the curve exhibits a higher modulus than the loading curve and permanent strain occurs. For cohesive soils, rate-dependency is also a factor to be considered in utilizing the stress-strain relation of fig. I-2.

To overcome the mathematical difficulties in the solution to these problems, real soil stress-strain response may be idealized by various linear and/or nonlinear hysteretic approximations as shown in fig. I-5. A considerable body of scientific literature on one-dimensional stress wave propagation for such models has been published in recent years by various researchers both in the United States and abroad. It was the aim of this study to assemble all pertinent literature applicable to wave propagation in soils, rewrite the mathematics in detail, computerize each solution, prepare operating instructions for each computer program, and to make comparative studies with the various models for a wave propagation problem. In Chapter II, the elements of elastic, plastic, visco-elastic and shock-wave propagation are reviewed in detail as a background for the remainder of the report.

## (s) <br> Linear hysteretic



$\sigma$




Fig. I-5. Idealized stress-strain curves for soils in uniaxial state of strain.

Elements of One-Dimensional Stress Wave Propagation

## A. Historical background

Interest in the theory of propagation of longitudinal waves dates from the l7th century when Newton attempted to solve the problem of sound wave propagations, a solution finally completed by Lord Rayleigh in the 19th century (4). Much of the theory in this period was developed for materials with highly idealized stress-strain relations. The theory of propagation of longitudinal waves in elastic bars is presented in a number of publications (5). The solution for this case is virtually complete if the lateral inertial forces are negligible. Pochhammer solved the problem considering lateral inertia for a cylindrical bar (6). Stress wave propagation in visco-elastic materials has been studied by Kolsky (5) and Morrison (7) for linear visco-elastic materials. An excellent summary of one-dimensional wave propagation theory for standard models of viscoelasticity as well as three and four parameters models is given by Kolsky (1963). The first development of plastic wave propagation theory is credited to Donnell (8). He suggested that if the stress-strain curve for a plastic material could be approximated by two straight lines, then the time history of a wave propagating through that material can be deduced by superposition of the time histories of the two elastic waves. The complete theory of one-dimensional plastic wave propagation was developed during the Second World War. Solutions were obtained independently by Von Karman (9), Taylor (10), and Rokhmatulin (11). The theory was later extended by White and Griffis (12) to handle both plastic and shock wave
propagation and by Malvern to handle materials which exhibit strain rate effects (13).
B. Longitudinal elastic waves

In the simple theory of longitudinal wave propagation (no lateral strain) it is assumed that plane cross sections remain plane; only axial stresses are considered, being uniformly distributed over the cross section (fig. II-l). The cross-sectional area of the element is denoted by A, the thickness by $d z$ and the mass density by $\rho$. The displacement of the element in $z$ direction is given by $u$. Newton's second law of motion for the element of fig. II-l gives

$$
-\rho A d z \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=-A \sigma+A\left(\sigma+\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} d z\right)
$$

or

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the axial compressive stress. For infinitesimal strain, the equation of continuity in the one-dimensional case reduces to the definition of strain

$$
\epsilon=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the axial compressive strain. The constitutive relation for an elastic medium with no lateral motion allowed is given by

$$
\sigma=M_{0} \epsilon
$$

where $M_{0}$ is the constrained modulus of elasticity. $M_{o}$ is related to


Fig. II-I. One-dimensional wave propagation.

Lame's constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ or to Young's modulus $E$ and Poisson's ratio $v$ as follows

$$
M_{0}=\lambda+2 \mu=\frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}
$$

Substituting equation II-3 in equation II-4 and differentiating with respect to the space variable results in

$$
-\frac{\partial_{\sigma}}{\partial z}=M_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial_{z}^{2}}
$$

The substitution of equation II-6 into equation II-2 yields the well known equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=c_{o}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is the propagation velocity of longitudinal waves

$$
c_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{M_{o}}{\rho}}=\sqrt{\frac{E(1-v)}{\rho(1+v)(1-2 v)}}
$$

The general solution of equation II-7 is of the form

$$
u=f\left(t-\frac{z}{c_{0}}\right)+g\left(t+\frac{z}{c_{0}}\right)
$$

This solution represents the sum of two traveling waves. The first term represents a wave traveling in the positive $z$ direction, and the second term represents a wave traveling in the negative $z$ direction. For a medium of infinite extent where the waves travel only in the positive z direction the solution is given by

$$
u=f\left(t-\frac{z}{c_{0}}\right)
$$

Differentiating both sides of equation II-10 with respect to $z$ and $t$, respectively, gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=-\frac{1}{c_{0}} f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{c_{0}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{c_{0}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f^{\prime}$ denotes differentiation with respect to the argument $\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is the particle velocity $\dot{u}$. Combining equations II-II and II-12 gives

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-c_{0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}
$$

Using the definition of strain (equation II-3)

$$
\dot{\mathrm{u}}=C_{0} \epsilon
$$

Substituting for strain from equation II-4

$$
\sigma=\frac{M_{0}}{C_{0}} \dot{u}=\rho_{o} \dot{u}
$$

Equation II-14 relates particle velocity and strain; equation II-15 relates particle velocity and stress.

The function $f\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{O}}\right)$ must be evaluated from the boundary conditions. At $z=0^{-}$

$$
\sigma(0, t)=P(t)
$$

where $P(t)$ is a known arbitrary input pressure. A convenient time parameter, defined by

$$
t^{*}=\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)
$$

is usually used to adjust the real time at the surface, by the factor $\frac{z}{C_{0}}$, to account for the travel time of the wave to the point of interest. Substituting equation II-12 for particle velocity into equation II-15 and utilizing equation II-17 gives

$$
\sigma=\rho C_{o} f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)=\rho C_{0} f^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)
$$

conditions at the boundary, equation II-16, require that

$$
\rho C_{o} f^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)=P\left(t^{*}\right)
$$

where $P\left(t^{*}\right)$ is the surface overpressure at time $t=t^{*}$. An expression for $f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)$ can then be derived from equation II-19.

The expressions for stress, strain, and particle velocity, in terms of the surface overpressure are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma=P\left(t^{*}\right) \\
& \epsilon=P\left(t^{*}\right) / \rho c_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\dot{u}=P\left(t^{*}\right) / \rho C_{0}
$$

Equation II-20 demonstrates that there is a linear relation between the three given wave-form parameters and the surface overpressure $P(t)$, and that for conditions of one-dimensional wave propagation in an elastic homogeneous medium an unaltered wave form propagates through the medium.

The acceleration at a point can be determined from equation II-20 by differentiating the expression for particle velocity

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}} \frac{d}{d t}\left[P\left(t^{*}\right)\right]
$$

Equation II-21 demonstrates that the acceleration depends on the time rate of change of the surface overpressure.

The absolute displacement of a point, at time, $t_{a}$, can be obtained by integration of equation II-20 for particle velocity

$$
u=\frac{1}{\rho C_{o}} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(t^{*}\right) d t
$$

The integral

$$
\int_{0}^{t} P\left(t^{*}\right) d t
$$

in equation II-22 is the input impulse (area under the surface overpressuretime curve) between the times $t=\frac{z}{C_{0}}$ and $t=t_{a}$.
C. Longitudinal elastic waves in layered elastic media

Consider the semi-infinite body of fig. II-2 made up of two elastic media with different properties separated by a plane interface. The properties in the two layers are subscripted 0 and 1 . A wave in the first layer incident to the interface is given by equation II-10

$$
u=f\left(t-\frac{z}{c_{o}}\right)
$$

The corresponding incident stress, $\sigma_{i}$, is given by equation II-18

$$
\sigma_{i}=\rho_{0} C_{0} f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)
$$

At the interface a reflected wave will form and travel in the negative $z$


Fig. II-2. Reflection and transmission of a wave at a boundary.
direction in layer 1. The reflected wave is given by the second term of equation II-9

$$
u=g\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)
$$

The reflected stress wave is given as

$$
\sigma_{r}=-\rho_{0} C_{0} g^{\prime}\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)
$$

A transmitted wave

$$
u=h\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$

will also form at the interface and will travel in the positive $z$ direction in layer 2. The transmitted stress wave is given as

$$
\sigma_{t}=\rho_{1} C_{1} h^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$

The corresponding particle velocities for the incident, the reflected and transmitted waves, from equation II-18, are respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}} \\
& g^{\prime}\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{0}}\right)=\frac{-\sigma_{r}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}} \\
& h^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{t}}{\rho_{1} C_{l}}
\end{align*}
$$

There are two distinct conditions which must be satisfied on both sides of the interface
(a) For equilibrium

$$
\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{t}
$$

(b) For compatibility of particle velocity

$$
\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}}-\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}}=\frac{\sigma_{t}}{\rho_{1} C_{1}}
$$

II-30

The negative sign in front of the reflected particle velocity is due to the fact that its direction is in the negative $z$ direction. Substituting for $\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{i}$ from equation II-29 in equation II-30 and solving for $\sigma_{t}$ gives

$$
\sigma_{t}=2 \sigma_{i}\left(\frac{\rho_{1} C_{1}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}+\rho_{1} C_{I}}\right)
$$

Substituting for $\sigma_{t}$ in equation II-31 from equation II-29 and solving for $\sigma_{r}$ gives

$$
\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{i}\left(\frac{\rho_{1} C_{1}-\rho_{0} C_{0}}{\rho_{0} C_{0}+\rho_{1} C_{1}}\right)
$$

Interesting conclusions can be drawn from equations II-31 and II-32 regarding the nature of the reflected and transmitted waves at the interface. For instance, at a free surface, $\rho_{1} C_{1}=0$. From equation II-3l, $\sigma_{t}=0$; there is no transmitted wave. From equation II-32, $\sigma_{r}=-\sigma_{i}$; the reflected wave is equal in magnitude to the incident wave, but opposite in sign. Thus, a compressive wave is reflected as a tensile wave at a free boundary. At a rigid boundary, $\rho_{1} C_{1}=\infty$. From equation II-32, the reflected wave $\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{i}$; the reflected wave is equal in sign and magnitude
to the incident wave. Therefore, at a rigid boundary the stresses are doubled.

In general, from equation II-32, if $\rho_{0} C_{0}>\rho_{1} C_{1}$, a compressive wave is reflected as a tensile wave. If, on the other hand, $\rho_{0} C_{0}<\rho_{1} C_{1}$, a compressive wave is reflected as a compressive wave.
D. Propagation of plastic waves in strain-rate independent media

The first development of plastic wave propagation was published by Donnell (8) in 1930. Donnell suggested the use of the principle of superposition if the stress-strain curve for a plastic material can be approximated by two straight lines. Consider the plastic stress-strain curve and its approximation in fig. II-3a. If a step pulse of stress $\sigma_{0}$ is applied on the surface of a semi-infinite body having such a linearized stress-strain curve, the step pulse will propagate into the body with a velocity

$$
C_{0}=\sqrt{M_{0} / \rho}
$$

as shown in fig. II-3b. If a second step pulse of stress $\sigma_{1}$ is superimposed on the body it will propagate with a velocity

$$
C_{1}=\sqrt{M_{1} / \rho}
$$

Thus, at some time, $t$, the front of the wave $\sigma<\sigma_{0}$ would have traveled to a position

$$
z_{1}=C_{0} t
$$

while the remainder of the wave $\sigma>\sigma_{0}$ would have traveled only to a position


Fig. II-3. Donnell's approximation of plastic wave propagation.

$$
z_{2}=c_{1} t
$$

as shown in fig. II-3b. Donnell's development simply indicates that if the two stress pulses were applied simultaneously, the action would have been the same and the wave front would have the appearance shown in fig. II-3b. Thus, from Donnell's deductions, one would expect the higher stress levels (for the real plastic stress-strain curve) to travel at lower velocities. The gap $\left(C_{0} t-C_{1} t\right)$ between the two wave fronts, fig. II-3b, would increase with time and the sharp-fronted stress pulse would rapidly become softened. Obviously, stresses greater than the yield stress would not propagate in a plastic medium. From the above reasoning, one would expect that the wave front corresponding to the simultaneous action of two-step waves $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ on the surface of a medium having a stress-strain curve such as the one shown in fig. II-4a would have the form shown in fig. II-4b. The mathematical solution of plastic wave propagation obtained by Von Karman and others during the Second World War verifies the correctness of Donnell's superposition approach as will be shown subsequently.

Consider a semi-infinite body extending from $z=0$ to $z=\infty$, having a unique stress-strain curve which is plastic in character or concave to the strain axis, as shown in fig. II-5. The stress-strain curve can be given as

$$
\sigma_{P}=\sigma_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)
$$

where the subscript $P$ indicates that the curve is concave to the strain axis, or "plastic." The problem being one-dimensional, the equations of motion and continuity from the previous section are given as



Fig. II-4. Plastic wave propagation as deduced from Donnell's approximation.


Fig. II-5. Typical plastic stress-strain curve.

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial z}
$$

and

$$
\epsilon_{P}=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}
$$

For any point on the stress-strain curve, the constrained modulus, $M_{P}$, is

$$
M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)=\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial \epsilon_{P}}
$$

The initial tangent modulus is $M_{0}$. From equations II-37, II-3, and II-38

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}}{\partial \epsilon_{P}} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{P}}{\partial z}=-M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

Substituting equation II-39 into equation II-2 the equation of motion becomes

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

For boundary conditions, assume that a constant velocity $-\dot{u}_{1}$, corresponding to a compressive stress $-\sigma_{1}$, is suddenly imposed and maintained at the surface of the body $(z=0)$.

Then

$$
u=-\dot{u}_{1} t \text { at } z=0
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{u}=0 \quad \text { at } \mathrm{z}=\infty
$$

To integrate equation II-40, assume that the compressive strain $\epsilon_{P}$ is
a function of $z / t=\psi$, i.e.

$$
\epsilon_{P}=-f(\psi)
$$

Then $d z=t d \psi$ and $d t=-\frac{t^{2}}{z} d \psi$, the displacement $u$ is then determined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u=\int_{\infty}^{z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} d z & =\int_{\infty}^{z} \epsilon_{p} d z=-\int_{\infty}^{z} f(\psi) d z \\
& =-t \int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

The second space derivative, $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial_{z}{ }^{2}}$, becomes

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \epsilon_{p}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(\psi)=-\frac{f^{\prime}(\psi)}{t}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi-t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi
$$

But

$$
t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}=t \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{z}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}
$$

and

$$
-\frac{z}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi=-\frac{z}{t} f(\psi)=-\psi f(\psi)
$$

Substituting equation II-46 into equation II-44

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi+\psi f(\psi)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\psi f(\psi)-\int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left[\psi f(\psi)-\int_{\infty}^{\psi} f(\psi) d \psi\right] \\
& =-\frac{z}{t^{2}}\left[f(\psi)+\psi f^{\prime}(\psi)-f(\psi)\right] \\
& =-\frac{z}{t^{2}} \psi f^{\prime}(\psi)=-\frac{\psi^{2}}{t} f^{\prime}(\psi)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equations II-43 and II-48 into equation II-40 the equation of motion becomes

$$
\rho \frac{\psi^{2}}{t} f^{\prime}(\psi)=M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right) \frac{f^{\prime}(\psi)}{t}
$$

or

$$
f^{\prime}(\psi)\left[\rho \psi^{2}-M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)\right]=0
$$

Equation II-50 indicates that either

$$
f^{\prime}(\psi)=0 \text { or } f(\psi)=\text { constant }
$$

or

$$
M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)=\rho \psi^{2}
$$

The first solution given by equation II-5l indicates that there is a strain $\epsilon_{I}$ which is constant. The displacement, from equation II-42 and the boundary conditions, can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =-\dot{u}_{1} t-\epsilon_{1} z \\
& =-\dot{u}_{1}\left[t+\frac{z}{\left(\frac{\dot{u}_{1}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The second solution given by equation II-52 corresponds to

$$
\frac{z}{t}=\sqrt{\frac{M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)}{\rho}}
$$

The complete solution of the problem can be written as follows
(a) The strain $\epsilon_{P}=\epsilon_{I}=$ constant, from $z=0$ to $z=C_{I} t$ where $\epsilon_{1}$ is the plastic increment corresponding to stress $\sigma_{1}$ induced by the applied velocity $\dot{u}_{1}$.
(b) Between $z=G_{1} t$ and $z=C_{0} t$, the relation $\frac{z}{t}=\sqrt{\frac{M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)}{\rho}}$ holds. The strain varies and each strain increment propagates with a velocity $\sqrt{\frac{M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)}{\rho}} . \quad C_{0}$ is the elastic velocity $\sqrt{\frac{M_{0}}{\rho}}$.
(c) $\epsilon_{P}=0$ for $z>C_{0} t$.

This strain distribution is shown in fig. II-6. The elastic strain front travels with velocity $C_{o}$ and an amplitude $\epsilon_{o}$. The peak plastic strain front propagates with a velocity $C_{I}$ and a constant amplitude $\epsilon_{I}$. Between the two fronts the propagation velocity of any strain increment depends upon the local slope $M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)$ and the strain varies from $\epsilon_{o}$ at the elastic front to $\epsilon_{1}$ at the peak plastic front. The propagation velocity of the plastic wave peak, $C_{1}$, can be related to $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\dot{u}_{1}$ in the following way. From the boundary conditions and equation II-42

$$
\dot{u}_{1}=\frac{u(0, t)}{t}=-\int_{\infty}^{z=0} f(\psi) d \psi
$$



Fig. II-6. Strain distribution for a plastic pulse in a bar according to the strain-rate independent theory.
or

$$
\dot{u}_{I}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\psi) d \psi
$$

This integral is the area under the curve in fig. II-6. It may be written as

$$
\dot{u}_{1}=\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \psi d \epsilon=\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \frac{z}{t} d \epsilon
$$

Substituting for $\frac{z}{t}$ from equation II-54

$$
\dot{u}_{1}=\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)}{\rho}} d \epsilon
$$

The relation $M_{p}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)$ is known from the stress-strain curve for the medium. Equation II-58 gives the relation between $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\dot{u}_{1}$. The velocity $C_{1}$ is equal to the value of $\sqrt{\frac{M_{P}\left(\epsilon_{P}\right)}{\rho}}$ at $\epsilon_{I}$. The stress distribution is deduced from the distribution of strain and the stress-strain curve. E. Propagation of stress waves in linear visco-elastic media

Linear visco-elastic materials are generally defined by linear differential equations which relate stresses to strain and strain rate. The elasticity of the material is presented by linear springs while the viscosity of the material is presented by viscous elements called dashpots. The elastic and viscous elements are combined to form the constitutive equations of the material. The governing differential equations describing the propagation of one-dimensional stress waves in visco-elastic materials are obtained from the equilibrium equation of motion, equation II-2,
by substituting the appropriate constitutive equation for stress $\sigma$

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z}
$$

II-59

Various models of visco-elastic materials can be constructed by suitable combinations of springs and dashpots. The best known models are the twoelement Kelvin-Voigt and Maxwell solids and the three-element standard linear solid shown in fig. II-7. The constitutive relation for the KelvinVoigt model is, from fig. II-7,

$$
\sigma=M \epsilon+\eta \frac{d \epsilon}{d t} \quad \text { (Kelvin-Voigt) } \quad \text { II-60 }
$$

For the Maxwell model

$$
\sigma=\eta \frac{d \epsilon_{2}}{d t}=M \epsilon_{1}
$$

or

$$
\sigma=\eta \frac{d\left(\epsilon-\epsilon_{I}\right)}{d t}=\eta \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}-\eta \frac{d \epsilon_{I}}{d t}
$$

but

$$
\frac{d \epsilon_{I}}{d t}=\frac{1}{M} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}
$$

Substituting equation II-63 in equation II-62 results in

$$
\sigma=\eta \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}-\frac{\eta}{M} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}
$$

(Maxwell)

For the standard linear solid

$$
\sigma=M_{1} \epsilon_{1}+\eta \frac{d \epsilon_{1}}{d t}=M_{0} \epsilon_{2}
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2} \text { and }
$$



Fig. II-7. Models for linear visco-elastic materials.

$$
\frac{d \epsilon_{1}}{d t}=\frac{d \epsilon}{d t}-\frac{1}{M_{0}} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}
$$

equation II-65 becomes

$$
\sigma=\eta \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}-\frac{\eta}{M_{0}} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}+M_{1} \epsilon_{1}
$$

but

$$
\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon-\epsilon_{2}=\epsilon-\frac{\sigma}{M_{0}}
$$

therefore
$\sigma=\frac{M_{0} M_{1}}{M_{0}+M_{1}} \epsilon+\frac{\eta M_{0}}{M_{0}+M_{1}} \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}-\frac{\eta}{M_{0}+M_{1}} \frac{d \sigma}{d t} \quad$ (Stanaard Linear Solid) II-68

Substitution of equations II-60, II-64, and II-68 for $\sigma$, in equation II-59, will result in the following equations of motion for the KelvinVoigt, Maxwell, and standard linear solids, respectively (note that strain and displacement are related by equation II-3, that is, $\epsilon=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=M \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}+\eta \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial z^{2} \partial t} & \text { (Kelvin-Voigt) } & \text { II-69 } \\
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\eta\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial z^{2} \partial t}-\frac{\rho}{M} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right) & \text { (Maxwell) } & \text { II-70 }
\end{array}
$$

$\rho\left(M_{0}+M_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=M_{1} M_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\rho \eta \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}+\eta M_{0} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial z^{2} \partial t}$ (Standard Linear Solid) II-71
Equations II-69, II-70, and II-71 are not in general satisfied by a solution of the type $u=f\left|t \pm \frac{z}{C}\right|$ which satisfies the elastic wave equation (equation II-7). However, solutions for equations II-69, II-70, and II-71
can be obtained from the solution $u=f\left(t \pm \frac{z}{C}\right)$ of the elastic wave equation using the "correspondence principle" (14) provided the boundary conditions for the two problems are the same. The "correspondence principle" states that the solution to a visco-elastic problem may be obtained from the solution for the corresponding problem for an elastic case by applying the one-sided Fourier transform to the elastic solution, replacing the elastic constants by the corresponding visco-elastic moduli or compliances and finally inverting the transform. The complex viscoelastic compliances for the models in fig. II-7 are given as follows (see Bland, p. 114-115)

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(i \omega)=\frac{M}{M^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}}-\frac{i \omega \eta}{M^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}} \\
\text { (Kelvin-Voigt) } \\
J I-72 \\
J(i \omega)=\frac{I}{M}-\frac{i}{\omega \eta} \\
\text { (Maxwell) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$J(i \omega)=\frac{1}{M_{0}}+\frac{M_{1}}{M_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}}-\frac{i}{\omega \eta}\left(1-\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}}\right)$ (Standard Linear Solid) II-74
where $i=\sqrt{-1}$ and $\omega$ is the frequency (rad/sec) of the oscillating force.

The one-sided Fourier transform of a function such as $f\left(t \pm \frac{z}{C}\right)$ is defined by

$$
f(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega t} f\left(t \pm \frac{z}{c}\right) d t
$$

The inverse transform is

$$
f\left(t \pm \frac{z}{c}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} R \quad\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \omega t} f(\omega) d \omega\right]
$$

where $R$ denotes the real part of the expression in brackets. Therefore, the first step in the solution of a linear visco-elastic dynamic problem is to find the solution for the elastic case. As an example consider the solution II-10 given for a semi-infinite elastic medium.

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=f\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)  \tag{a}\\
& \dot{u}=f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)  \tag{b}\\
& \epsilon=\frac{1}{C} f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right) \\
& \sigma=\frac{M}{C} f^{\prime}\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The form of $f$ is determined by the conditions on the boundary $(z=0)$. If an impulse of magnitude $I$ is applied on the boundary at time $t=0$, the boundary condition is

$$
\sigma(0, t)=I \delta(t)
$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function. From equation II-77 (d)

$$
\frac{M}{C} f^{\prime}(t)=I \delta(t)
$$

Integrating with respect to time

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=f\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)=\frac{C}{M} I H\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right) \\
& \dot{u}=\frac{C}{M} I \delta\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right) \\
& \epsilon=\frac{I}{M} \delta\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right) \\
& \sigma=I \delta\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H\left(t-\frac{Z}{C}\right)$ is the Heaviside step function.
Let us find the stress for a visco-elastic medium under the same boundary load. Applying the "correspondence principle," the one-sided Fourier transform of stress $\sigma$ is found first

$$
\sigma(\omega)=I \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega t} \delta\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right) d t
$$

or

$$
\sigma(\omega)=I e^{-i \omega z / C}
$$

Next the elastic compliance $\frac{\bar{M}}{M}$ is replaced by the complex compliance $J(i \omega)$ of the visco-elastic medium. Since $C=\sqrt{M / \rho}$ equation II-82 becomes

$$
\sigma(\omega)=I e^{-i \omega z} \sqrt{\rho J(i \omega)}
$$

The stress itself is found from the inverse of equation II-83

$$
\sigma(z, t)=\frac{I}{\pi} R\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \omega(t-\sqrt{\rho J(i \omega)} z)} d \omega\right]
$$

For a Maxwell material, $J(i \omega)=\frac{l}{M}-\frac{i}{\omega \eta}$ (equation II-73). After substituting in equation II-84 and integrating

$$
\sigma(z, t)=I e^{-\frac{M t}{2 \eta}}\left\{\delta\left(t-\frac{z}{c}\right)+\right.
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\sqrt{\rho M} z}{2 \eta}\left(t^{2}-\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[I_{1}\left(\frac{M}{2 \eta} \sqrt{t^{2}-\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}}\right)\right] H\left(t-\frac{z}{C}\right)\right\} \quad \text { II-85 }
$$

where $I_{l}$ is the first order Bessel function of imaginary argument. The impulse $I$ is propagated with velocity $C$ and attenuation $\sqrt{\rho M / 2 \eta}$. Similar expressions can be obtained for Kelvin-Voigt and standard linear material by substituting their corresponding compliances, $J(i \omega)$, in equation II-84 and performing the integrations. Thus, the solution to any visco-elastic problem can be found from the solution of the corresponding elastic problem by using the "correspondence principle" as was demonstrated for the case of the impulsive load. In Chapter IV this principle is used for obtaining the solution for a standard linear material from the corresponding solution for the linear hysteretic material.

## F. Shock wave propagation

In Section $D$ it was shown that for a nonlinear material each stress level $\sigma_{P}$ travels at a velocity which is given by

$$
C_{P}=\sqrt{\frac{M_{P}}{\rho}}=\sqrt{\frac{I}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}}{\partial \epsilon_{P}}\right)}
$$

If the stress-strain relation for the material is such that increasing stresses are accompanied by increasing values of $\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}}{\partial \epsilon_{P}}$ (fig. II-8), then higher stress waves will travel at higher velocities and eventually catch up with the lower stress waves which preceded them (fig. II-8). This is


Fig. II-8. Typical stress-strain curve causing "shocking up" of the stress wave.
the process of "shocking up" which is governed by the fundamental shockwave equations known as the Rankine-Hugoniot equations derived from the equations of conservation of mass and momentum.

Consider a semi-infinite column of material, having a stress-strain curve shown in fig. II-8, subjected to an instantaneous step pressure applied to its surface (fig. II-9). Under the action of the applied pressure $P$, a shock wave is initiated and propagates down the column with some velocity of propagation $C_{s}$. At a time $t=t_{a}$, the front will reach a position $z=C_{s} t_{a}$, as shown in fig. II-9. During an infinitesimal time dt, the shock front will have moved to position $z=C_{s}\left(t_{a}+d t\right)$. The infinitesimal volume, $A C_{s} d t$, where $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the element, will then obtain a particle velocity $\dot{u}$. From Newton's second law, the relation for impulse-momentum across the shock front is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { PAdt } & =C_{S} d t A \rho_{i} \dot{u} \\
P & =C_{S} \rho_{i} \dot{u}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}$ is the initial density of the material. The strain $\epsilon$ in the infinitesimal volume $\mathrm{AC}_{S}$ dt is defined as the change in displacement per unit length

$$
\epsilon=\frac{\dot{\mathrm{u}} d t}{C_{s} d t}=\frac{\dot{\mathrm{u}}}{C_{s}}
$$

By combining equation II-88 with equation II-87, the shock wave velocity can be expressed as

$$
c_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{P}{\epsilon} \frac{l}{\rho_{i}}}
$$



Fig. II-9. Shock-front conditions.

From equation II-89, it can be concluded that the shock velocity is a function of the secant modulus $\frac{P}{\epsilon}$ of the material as shown in fig. II-8.

Stress Wave Propagation Through A Laterally Constrained Column of Linear Hysteretic Material
A. Description of model

The linear hysteretic model was first used by Salvadori, Skalak, and Weidlinger (16) to approximate the behavior of soils in a uniaxial state of strain. The model is independent of the rate of load application; hence, energy dissipation is due only to the compaction (hysteretic) characteristics of the model.

Consider the stress-strain curve of fig. III-1. The stress-strain curve is a straight line $O A$ on initial loading to $A$. The slope of this line defines an initial modulus $M_{0}$ defined as the elastic constrained modulus. $M_{0}$ is related to Lame's constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$, or to Young's modulus $E$ and Poisson's ratio $\nu$, as follows:

$$
M_{0}=\lambda+2 \mu=\frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}
$$

Upon unloading the stress-strain curve is another straight line $A B$ which defines a second modulus $M_{l}$ (it is assumed herein that Poisson's ratio is the same for loading and unloading). If the material is reloaded, it follows line $B A$ to $A$ and then continues along the initial loading line $A C$. In the limit when $M_{1}$ approaches $M_{0}$, the medium will behave as a linear elastic material.

If the initial peak stress, peak strain and residual strain are respectively denoted as $\sigma_{\max }, \epsilon_{\max }$ and $\epsilon_{r}$ (fig. III-I), the initial loading modulus $M_{o}$ is then given by:


Fig. III-1. Stress-strain curve for linear hysteretic material.

$$
M_{0}=\frac{\sigma_{\max }}{\epsilon_{\max }}
$$

The unloading modulus $M_{l}$ is given by:

$$
M_{I}=\frac{\sigma_{\max }}{\epsilon_{\max }-\epsilon_{r}}
$$

The propagation velocity of an initial loading stress wave is given by:

$$
c_{0}=\sqrt{M_{0} / \rho}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the material. The propagation velocity of an unloading or subsequent reloading stress wave is given by:

$$
C_{1}=\sqrt{M_{1} / p}
$$

B. Boundary load

The dynamic boundary load used with this model for blast-type problems is a pulse characterized by a peak stress at a shock front and an exponentially decaying behavior thereafter (fig. III-2) given by

$$
P(t)=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}= & \text { the peak applied pressure } \\
t= & \text { time, the independent variable } \\
\tau= & \text { the exponential time constant (time at which pressure has } \\
& \text { decayed to } \left.0.368 P_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

C. Formulation of the problem

Consider a plane compression wave of general nature (arbitrary rise and decay) propagating into a column of linear hysteretic material extending from $z=0$ to $z=\infty$. The distribution of pressure along the column length, at a given time $t_{a}$, might be as shown in fig. III-3. The


Fig. III-2. Applied pressure pulse on the boundary.


Fig. III-3. One-dimensional wave conditions.
pressure varies from zero at the front of the wave, point $A$, to a maximum located some distance behind the wave front, point $B$, to the decayed overpressure existing at the surface, point $C$. In the portion of the wave $A B$ the pressure is continuously rising and, hence, this portion of the wave will propagate with velocity $C_{o}$ corresponding to the loading branch, OA , of the stress-strain curve in fig. III-1. The one-dimensional wave equations governing the motion in zone $A B$ are therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{1}{c_{0}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{u}}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{1}{c_{0}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{u}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the stress in the direction of wave propagation, $u$ represents the particle displacement in the direction of wave propagation, and $\dot{\mathrm{u}}$ is the particle velocity. In this zone the behavior is the same as that of a linear elastic material; the stress, particle velocity, particle displacement, and strain are related by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma=C_{0} \rho \dot{u} \\
& \dot{u}=C_{0} \epsilon \\
& u=C_{0} \int \epsilon d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the strain in the direction of wave propagation. In the portion of the wave $B C$, the stress is less than at $B$ and is continuously decreasing. This portion of the wave will propagate with velocity $C_{1}$ corresponding to the unloading branch, $A B$, of the stress-strain curve in
fig. III-1. The governing equations in this zone are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{1}{C_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{1}{C_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{u}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The response of the medium in this zone is not governed by elastic theory because the unloading stress-strain curve is offset from the origin: Since velocity $C_{1}$ is greater than $C_{0}$, the unloading wave front $B C$ eventually overtakes the loading wave front $A B$. The resulting interaction, known as internal reflection, will cause a decrease in both peak particle velocity and peak stress. This process continues with time as will be shown in the following section.
D. Response of the medium to a
discontinuous surface overpressure
A semi-infinite body of linear hysteretic material, such as that in fig. III-3, is loaded uniformly at its surface by a pressure pulse described by $P(t)$. Surface displacement and stress are assumed to be zero before the application of the load. Strains are considered small and, therefore, density is considered constant. The surface pressure pulse is suddenly applied at the time $t=0$ and it remains constant at a value $P_{0}$ until a time $t=t_{1}$; the pressure then suddenly drops to a value $P_{1}$ and remains constant until time $t=\infty$. The more general surface pressure (fig. III-2), $p(t)=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}$, will be analyzed in the next section. At the time $t=0$ and at $z=0$ a wave begins to propagate into the body as shown in fig. III-4. For the time $0<t<t_{I}$, a step
loading wave of pressure $P_{0}$ propagates into the body with a velocity $C_{0}$. The stress in zone 0 of fig. III- 4 is determined by the boundary conditions at the surface and is given as

$$
\sigma_{0}=P_{0}
$$

The particle velocity is determined from the elastic theory (equation III-9) and is given by:

$$
\dot{u}_{0}=\frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{0}}
$$

III-13

At the time $t=t_{1}$, a step unloading wave of pressure $P_{0}-P_{1}$ begins to propagate into the body with a velocity $C_{1}$ which is the slope of the line separating zones $\dot{0}$ and $l$ in fig. III-4. The stress in zone $l$ is determined from the boundary conditions at the surface and is given as:

$$
\sigma_{1}=P_{1}
$$

The particle velocity in zone 1 , $\dot{u}_{1}$, is determined from an equation expressing the uniqueness of $\dot{u}_{1}$

$$
\dot{u}_{I}=\dot{u}_{0}-\Delta \dot{u}_{0 l}
$$

where $\Delta \dot{u}_{o l}$ is the change in particle velocity caused by changing the stress from $\sigma_{0}$ to $\sigma_{1}$

$$
\Delta \dot{u}_{01}=\frac{\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{1}}{\rho C_{1}}=\frac{P_{0}-P_{1}}{\rho C_{1}}
$$

Substituting equations III-13 and III-16 in equation III-15, one obtains for $\dot{u}_{1}$


Fig. III-4. Space-time diagram for discontinuous loading in linear hysteretic material.

$$
\dot{u}_{1}=\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}\left[P_{1}+\frac{C_{1}-C_{0}}{C_{1}}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)\right]
$$

The unloading step wave $P_{0}-P_{1}$ traveling at velocity $C_{l}$ overtakes the loading step wave $P_{0}$ at the point $z_{2}$ at the time $t_{2}$. From fig. III-4 it can be seen that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{2}=c_{0} t_{2}=c_{1}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \\
& c_{0} t_{2}=c_{1} t_{2}-c_{1} t_{1} \\
& t_{2}=\phi t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\phi=\frac{c_{1} / C_{0}}{C_{1} / c_{0}-1}
$$

At time $t_{2}$, all the material in zone 1 , from $z=0$ to $z_{2}=C_{0} t_{2}$, is at a stress of $\sigma_{1}$ and has a particle velocity of $\dot{u}_{1}$. The material below $z_{2}$ is unstressed and at rest. It may be considered that the material in zone $l$ is a body of elasticity $M_{1}$ striking the lower undisturbed mass of elasticity $M_{0}$. The resulting elastic interaction is governed by the equations of continuity of stress and particle velocity across the boundary. The transmitted wave travels downward with velocity $C_{0}$, and the reflected wave, which divides zones 1 and 2, travels upward with velocity $C_{1}$. The particle velocity in zone 2 , for the transmitted wave is

$$
\dot{u}_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\rho C_{0}}
$$

where $\sigma_{2}$ is the stress in zone 2. The particle velocity for the reflected wave is

$$
\dot{u}_{2}=\dot{u}_{1}-\frac{\sigma_{R}}{\rho C_{1}}
$$

where $\sigma_{R}$ is the reflected stress. From continuity of stress across the boundary:

$$
\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{R}=\sigma_{2}
$$

Substituting equation III-22 in equation III-21 obtains

$$
\dot{\mathrm{u}}_{2}=\dot{\mathrm{u}}_{1}-\frac{\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}}{\rho C_{1}}
$$

From equations III-17, III-20, and III-23 it is found that:

$$
\sigma_{2}=P_{1}+\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\alpha=\frac{C_{1} / C_{0}-1}{C_{1} / C_{0}+1}=\frac{1}{2 \varnothing-1}
$$

Since $\alpha>0$ for all cases, the upward traveling wave is a reloading wave. Substituting equation III-24 in equation III-20 yields the particle velocity in zone 2

$$
\dot{u}_{2}=\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}\left[P_{1}+\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)\right]
$$

The reflected wave reaches the surface $(z=0)$ at time $t_{3}$ which is determined from fig. III-4 as

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{3} & =t_{2}+\left(t_{3}-t_{1}\right) / 2 \\
& =2 t_{2}-t_{1} \\
& =\frac{c_{1}+c_{0}}{c_{1}-C_{0}} t_{1}=\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions at time $t_{3}$ are similar to those at time $t_{1}$. The stress is defined from the boundary condition at $t_{3}$

$$
\sigma_{3}=P_{1}
$$

The particle velocity may be written down by analogy to $\dot{u}_{1}$, replacing $\dot{u}_{0}$ by $\dot{u}_{2}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ by $\sigma_{2}$, respectively, in equations III-15 and III-16 obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{3} & =\dot{u}_{2}-\Delta \dot{u}_{23} \\
& =\dot{u}_{2}-\frac{\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}}{\rho C_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equations III-24, III-26, and III-28 in equation III-29, one obtains for $\dot{u}_{3}$

$$
\dot{u}_{3}=\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}\left[P_{1}+\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)\right]
$$

The reflection from the moving wave front at $t_{4}$, fig. III- 4 , may be analyzed in the same way as the reflection at $t_{2}$. The unloading wave traveling at velocity $C_{1}$ overtakes the loading wave at the point $z_{4}$ at the time $t_{4}$. From fig. III-4 it can be seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{4}=c_{0} t_{4}=c_{1}\left(t_{4}-t_{3}\right) \\
& t_{4}=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}-c_{0}} t_{3}=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}-c_{0}} \frac{t_{1}}{\alpha}=\frac{\phi}{\alpha} t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The particle velocity for the transmitted wave in zone 4 is

$$
\dot{u}_{4}=\frac{\sigma_{4}}{\rho C_{o}}
$$

where $\sigma_{4}$ is the stress in zone 4. The particle velocity for the reflected wave is

$$
\dot{u}_{4}=\dot{u}_{3}-\frac{\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{3}}{\rho C_{1}}
$$

From equations III-28, III-30, III-32, and III-33 it is found that

$$
\sigma_{4}=P_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
$$

Substituting equation III-34 in equation III-32 for particle velocity in zone 4,

$$
\dot{u}_{4}=\frac{I}{\rho C_{0}}\left[P_{I}+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{I}\right)\right]
$$

The above process can be continued indefinitely by finding the stress at the surface from the boundary condition, computing the new velocity, taking into account the change of stress, and then analyzing the interaction from the unloading wave overtaking the initial loading wave. From equations III-18, III-31, III-24, III-34, III-26, and III-35, one can deduce the following general expressions for arrival time $t_{n}$, stress $\sigma_{n}$ and particle velocity $\dot{u}_{n}$ in any even numbered zone, that is, $n=2,4,6, \ldots$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{n}=\phi a^{I-n / 2} t_{I} \\
\sigma_{n}=\left[P_{I}+\alpha^{n / 2}\left(P_{0}-P_{I}\right)\right] \\
\dot{u}_{n}=\frac{I}{\rho C_{0}} \sigma_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similar expressions can be deduced for any odd numbered zone, that is,
$\mathrm{n}=1,3,5, \ldots$, from equations III-27, III-I4, III-28, III-17, and III-30

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{n}=\alpha^{(1-n) / 2} t_{1} \\
\sigma_{n}=P_{1} \\
\dot{u}_{n}=\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}\left[P_{1}+\frac{2 \alpha^{(n+1) / 2}}{1+\alpha}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

It is apparent from equations III-40 and III-37 that the stress oscillates from $P_{1}$ for $n$ odd to the value given by equation III- 37 for $n$ even, and approaches $P_{1}$ for large values of $t$ since $\alpha<1$ because $\mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{C}_{0}>1$ and

$$
\operatorname{Limit}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{n / 2}=0
$$

Therefore, for large values of $t$, equation III-37 yields the same results as equation III-40.

$$
\sigma(z, \infty)=P_{1}
$$

The limiting particle velocity from both equations III-38 and III-4I is

$$
\dot{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z}, \infty)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\rho C_{0}}
$$

It is now desirable to write expressions for stress and particle velocity, as functions of time, which are valid in the zone $0<z<C_{0} t$. Examination of equations III-37 and III-40, for stress in even and odd numbered zones, reveals the fact that the stress in each new zone is made up of values from the previous zone plus a contribution due to the wave
traveling upwards or downwards at velocity $C_{1}$. The upwards traveling wave is a reloading wave, it has a positive contribution on stress. The downwards traveling wave is an unloading wave and it has a negative contribution on stress. For instance, the stress in zone 3, from equation III-40, is $\sigma_{3}=P_{1}$. The stress in zone 2, from equation III-37, is $\sigma_{2}=P_{1}+\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)$. Thus, the contributing wave is an unloading wave of magnitude $\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)$ separating zones 2 and 3 . The stress in zone 4 , from equation III-37, is $\sigma_{4}=P_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)$ indicating a contribution of $\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)$ from the reloading wave which separates zone 3 from 4. In general, stress in zone $n \neq 0$ is equal to stress in zone $n-1$ plus a contribution due to the unloading or reloading wave which separates zones n and $\mathrm{n}-1$. Stress in zone $\mathrm{n}-1$ is also made up of stress in zone $\mathrm{n}-2$ plus a contribution from the unloading or reloading wave separating zones $n-2$ and $n-1$. Therefore, stress in any zone $n$ is made up of the sum of all waves traveling downwards and upwards plus a contribution $\sigma_{0}=P_{0}$ from zone 0. The sum of all waves traveling upwards, from fig. III-4 and equations III-37 and III-40 is

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}\right. & \left.-P_{1}\right)+\alpha^{3}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
& +\alpha^{4}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)+\ldots+\alpha^{n}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{Z}{C_{l}}$ is the travel time of the reloading wave from point $z$ to the surface. Equation III-45 can be written in the following form,

$$
G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$

where

$$
f_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & t<t_{1} / \alpha^{n} \\ \alpha^{n}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right), & t>t_{1} / \alpha^{n}\end{cases}
$$

The sum of all waves traveling downwards (unloading waves), from fig. III-4 and equations III-37 and III-40 is

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=-\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) & -\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
& -\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)-\ldots-\alpha^{n}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

or using equation III-47

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right) & =-\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right) \\
& =-\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, stress in any zone $n \neq 0$, fig. III-4, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(z, t_{n}\right) & =G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)-F\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)+P_{0} \\
& =G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)-\left(P_{0}-P_{l}\right)+P_{0} \\
& =P_{l}+G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

To include zone 0 and thus write an expression for stress which is valid in the zone $0<z<C_{0} t$, one must replace $P_{1}$ with a function $P(t)$ so that

$$
P(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{0}, t<t_{1} \\
P_{1}, t>t_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This function is the original input wave $P(t)$ corrected by the travel time $\frac{Z}{C_{l}}$, that is, $P\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\sigma(z, t)=P\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)+G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)
$$

As an example we will compute the stress at some arbitrary point $z$, fig. III-4, at a time $t=t_{5}$.

$$
\sigma\left(z, t_{5}\right)=P\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)+G\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)-G\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$

$P\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)$ is the value of the input wave at $\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)$, from fig. III -4 this value is $P_{1} . G\left(t_{5}+\frac{Z}{C_{1}}\right)$ is the sum of all the reloading waves from $t=0$ to $\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{I}}\right)$, from equation III-46

$$
G\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$

To evaluate equation III-54, one must use equation III-47 in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } n=1, t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}>\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha}=t_{3}, f_{1}\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{c_{1}}\right)=\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
& \text { for } n=2, t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}>\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha^{2}}=t_{5}, f_{2}\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{c_{1}}\right)=\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
& \text { for } n \geq 3, t_{5}+\frac{z}{c_{1}}<\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha^{3}}=t_{7}, f_{3}\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{c_{1}}\right)=f_{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
G\left(t_{5}+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
$$

The last term in equation III-53, which is the sum of all the unloading waves from $t=0$ to $\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)$, can be evaluated in the same manner

$$
G\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)
$$
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from equation III-47

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } n=1, t_{5}-\frac{z}{c_{1}}>\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha}=t_{3}, f_{1}\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
& \text { for } n \geq 2, t_{5}-\frac{z}{c_{1}}<\frac{t_{1}}{\alpha^{2}}=t_{5}, f_{2}\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{c_{1}}\right)=f_{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=\alpha\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
$$

Substituting equations III-55, III-57, and the value of $P\left(t_{5}-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)=P_{1}$ in equation III-53, one obtains for $\sigma\left(z, t_{5}\right)$

$$
\sigma\left(z, t_{5}\right)=P_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right)
$$

which is the stress in zone 4 obtained from equation III-37.
The particle velocity time history can be derived in the same manner by considering the particle velocity in each new zone of fig. III-4 to be made up of values from the previous zone plus a contribution $f_{n}$ (equation III-47) due to the wave traveling upwards or downwards. Since $C_{1}>C_{0}$, from the
interaction equations the reflected (reloading) particle velocity will have the same sign as the incident (unloading) particle velocity. Therefore, the contributions of all the reloading waves on particle velocity are

$$
\frac{-G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\rho C_{l}}
$$
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and the contributions of all the unloading waves are

$$
\frac{-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\rho C_{1}}-\frac{P_{0}-P_{1}}{\rho C_{1}}
$$

The contributions of the original input wave should be written in a form that the following conditions will be satisfied

These conditions are satisfied if the contributions of the original input wave on particle velocity are expressed as

$$
\frac{P\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\rho C_{1}}+P_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}-\frac{1}{\rho C_{1}}\right)
$$

as can be checked by direct substitution. The velocity time history, from equations III-59, III-60, and III-62, becomes
$\dot{u}(z, t)=\frac{I}{O C_{I}}\left[P\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{I}}\right)-G\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{I}}\right)-G\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{I}}\right)\right]+P_{0}\left(\frac{I}{\rho C_{0}}-\frac{I}{\rho C_{I}}\right)$ III-63
E. Response of the medium to a con-
tinuously variable surface pressure
Consider the decreasing surface pressure as shown in fig. III-2. The
pressure $P(t)$ rises from zero to $P_{0}$ at $t=0$. For $t>0$, the pressure decays continuously to zero. This surface pressure may be regarded as a step wave of amplitude $P_{o}$ plus a series of infinitesimal, negative steps, $d P$. Each pressure change $d P$ may be treated in the manner of the single pressure change from $P_{0}$ to $P_{1}$ of the previous section. When the surface pressure shown in fig. III-2 is applied to the boundary of a semi-infinite body of linear hysteretic material, there will result a term like $P\left(t-z / C_{1}\right)$ (equation III-51) where in this case

$$
P\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{I}}\right)=P_{0} e^{-\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{ }^{\frac{-( }{}}
$$

There will also be a series of contributions like $G\left(t \pm z / C_{l}\right)$ in equation III-52. In the case of the continuously decreasing surface pressure each term of the series in equations III-46 and III-49 will itself be a series. For a time $t$, the sum of contributions of the first term $f_{1}(t)$, from equation III-47, will be

$$
f_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, t=0 \\
\alpha \sum_{t=0}^{t=d t}-d P, t>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Integrating equation III-65 over all times for which contributions of the form $f_{l}(t)$ are different from zero, one obtains

$$
f_{1}(t)=\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha t}-\frac{d P}{d t} d t=\alpha P_{0}-\alpha P(\alpha t)
$$

In the same manner contributions of the form $f_{n}(t)$ may be written as

$$
f_{n}(t)=\alpha^{n} P_{0}-\alpha^{n} P\left(\alpha^{n} t\right)
$$

where

$$
P\left(\alpha^{n} t\right)=P_{o} e^{-\frac{\alpha^{n} t}{\tau}}
$$

From equations III-46, III-67, and III-68 the contributions of all the reloading and unloading waves, $G\left(t \pm z / C_{1}\right)$, become

$$
G\left(t \pm \frac{z}{c_{1}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n}\left[P_{0}-P_{0} e^{\frac{-\alpha\left(t \pm \frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\tau}}\right]
$$

Substituting equations III-64 and III-69 in equations III-52 and III-63 one obtains the following expressions for stress and particle velocity for the continuously variable surface pressure.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(z, t)=P_{0} e^{\frac{-\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\tau}}+P_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n}\left[e^{\frac{-\alpha^{n}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\tau}}-\alpha^{n} \frac{\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\tau}\right] \text { III-70 } \\
& \dot{u}(z, t)=P_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}-\frac{1}{\rho C_{1}}\right)+\frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{1}} e^{-\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{l}}\right)} \frac{\tau}{}- \\
& \frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{1}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \alpha^{n}-e^{\frac{-\alpha^{n}\left(t-\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{\tau}-\alpha^{n}\left(t+\frac{z}{C_{1}}\right)}{ }_{\tau}^{\tau}\right] \text { III-71 }
\end{aligned}
$$

F. Computer program

A computer code is available for the numerical evaluation of equations III-70 and III-71. The results of the code computations are valid for any
positive $\tau$ and for any $\alpha$ in the range

$$
0 \leq \alpha<1.0
$$

The computations are made for successive times at selected depths so that stress-time and particle velocity-time wave forms can be constructed. Code output is provided in two forms:

Form 1 Attenuation of peak vertical stress and particle velocity with depth
DEPTH (ft) SIGMAX (psi) VMAX (fps)

Form 2 Stress and velocity time histories at specified depth TIME (sec) SIGZZ (psi) VELOCITY (fps)
where

```
    SIGMAX = Maximum vertical stress at a given depth
        VMAX = Maximum particle velocity at a given depth
    SIGZZ = Vertical stress
    VELOCITY = Particle velocity
```

        The input variables for the code consist of the following:
    | Variable | Description | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EL | Loading modulus (psf) - $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ (fig. III-I) | E 10.2 |
| EU | Unloading modulus (psf) - $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ (fig. III-I) | E 10.2 |
| POP | Peak applied overpressure (psi) - $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ (fig. III-2) | E 10.2 |
| DM | Mass density (slugs/cu ft) - $\rho$ | E 10.2 |
| T0 | Exponential time constant (sec) - $\tau$ (fig. III-2) | E 10.2 |
| Z | Maximum number of depth increments + 1 <br> (Continued) | E 10.2 |

Length of each depth increment (ft) E 10.2

DT
Time increment E 10.2

Time after arrival of the front at each depth at which the program ends (sec)

The first five input variables need no explanation. The selection of $\Delta Z$ and IZ specifies the uniform spacing and total number of evenly spaced depths at which output is required. TEND provides a fixed amount of total time-history to be computed at each output depth; since it is referenced to the time of arrival of the front at each depth, computed durations at each depth will be the same. DT regulates the number of history points to be computed between the time of arrival and TEND at each output depth.

The program is written in FORTRAN II card language. Computer time on a GE 225 for a typical problem is approximately three minutes. A complete listing of the program and an example of typical resulting output is presented in Appendix-III.

Figure III-5 shows computed stress-time histories at three different depths for an example problem. This figure shows the principal features of the linear hysteretic model, that is, attenuation of peak stress and the lengthening of the duration of the stress pulse with depth. The attenuation of peak particle velocity is equal to that of stress.


## APPENDIX III

LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

| C PROGRAM TITLE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| C | STRESS WAVE PR |

STRESS WAVE PROPAGATION THROUGH A LATERALLY CONSTRAINED COLUMN OF
LIVEAR HYSTERETIC MATERIAL02
0PROGRAM NO. 41-25-093
PROGRAMMER ROHANI,RSHORT TITLE 1D LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL05

STRAIN

## OUTPUT

$A L P H A=[1 .-(C L / C U]) /[1 .+[C L / C U])$PRINT 12,EL,EU,DM,CL,CU, ALPHA45
12 FORMAT[1H1,21X,5HEL $=F 1$ O. $1,4 \mathrm{H}$ PSF, $3 \mathrm{X}, 5 \mathrm{HEU}=\mathrm{F} 10.1,4 \mathrm{H}$ PSF, $3 \mathrm{XX}, 5 \mathrm{HDM}$ ..... 452
$1=F 3.1,16 \mathrm{H}$ SLGS.PER CU.FT,.///, $21 \mathrm{X}, 5 \mathrm{HCL}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{F} 0.3,4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{FPS}, 3 X, 5 \mathrm{HCU}=\mathrm{F} 1$ ..... 453
$20.3,4 H$ FFS, $3 X, 8 H A L P H A=F 6.2,1 /, 21 X, 68$ HATTENUATION OF PEAK VERTICA ..... 454
3L STRESS AND PARTICLE VELOCITY WITH DEPTH, 1/, 28X, 10HDEPTHIFT. $1,10 X$ ..... 455
4,11HSIGMAX[PSI],10X,10HVMAX[FPS],//] ..... 456
Z $=$ 。 ..... 46
D0 $5001=1, I Z$47
$T P=Z /[C L * T O\}$ ..... 48
SIGMAX=POP ..... 49
$J=?$50
10n $K=J+1$ ..... 502
TERMSE $=-P O P * 1$ $1 .-A$ ALPHA $] *[A L P H A * * J) *[1 .-E X P F[1-2 . * T P * A L P H A$
51
$5 ?$
1LPHAJ]」 ..... 52
IF[AESF[TERMSE]-0. ©uU5*PחP) $120,110,110$ ..... 53

1. CONT INIJE ..... 54
SI SMAX $=$ SIGMAX + TERMSE ..... 55
$J=J+1$ ..... 56
GO TO 10 O ..... 57
2ก SIGMAX $=$ SIGMAX + TERMSE ..... 58
VMAX $=(S / G M A X * 1441 / / D M * C L 1$ ..... 581
PRINT 11,Z,SIGMAX, VMAX ..... 50
$Z=7+D Z$ ..... 60
11 FORMATILH,28X,F5.1,15x,F7.2,15X,F7.21 ..... 601
CONTINUE ..... 61
$Z=$ ..... 66
DO 601 iN=1,IZ ..... 67
$T A=Z / C L$ ..... 68
TFINPL $=$ TENT+TA ..... 69
PRTNT 13, Z,TA ..... 691
13DFORMATI1H1,21X,33HSTRESS AND VELOCITY TIME HISTORY., //, $22 X$, ..... 692
$14 \mathrm{HI}=\mathrm{F} 7.2$, 3HFT., $5 \mathrm{X}, 5 \mathrm{HTA}=\mathrm{F} 7,4,4 \mathrm{H}$ SFC, //, ?2X,9HTIMEISECI,9X,10HSI ..... 693
2GZ7IFSI], OX,13HVELOCITYIFPCI,I/I ..... 694
$T=T A$ ..... 70
19 SIGZZ $=$ PUF $\pi E X F F[-[T-Z / C(1) / T त \mid$ ..... 71
$V V=(P O P * E X P F[-[T-Z / C U] / T \cap 11 / \mid D M * C U\}+|P \cap P| / \mid D M * C L)-(P O P \mid /[D M * C U\}$ ..... 713
$V V=V V * 144$. ..... 72
$M=1$ ..... 73
2. TERM=POP*2.*(ALPHA** $\left.M_{1}\right] * E X P F[-(A L P H A * * M) * T / T O] *[E X P F[\mid A L P H A * * M) * L T$ ..... 75
$1 T 0 * C U])-E X P F[-[A L P H A * * M] * Z /[T 0 * C U] 1] / 2$. ..... 75
$\quad X X=[[-2 . * P O P) /[D M * C U]] *[\mid A L P H A * * M]-5 *(A L P H A * * M] *[F X P F[-[A L P H A * * M]$ ..... 751
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Typical input for the linear hysteretic model
$E L=3110000.0$ PSF $E U=12440000.0$ PSF $D M=3.1$ SLGS.PER CU.FT.
$C L=1000.00$ FPS $C U=2000.000$ FPS ALPHA $=0.33$
ATTEIVUATION OF PEAK VFRTICAL STRESS AND PARTICLF VELOCITY WITH REPTH DEDTHIFT.1 SIGMAXIPSI) VMAX(FPS)

| 0.0 | 100.00 | 4.63 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50.0 | 69.91 | 3.24 |
| 100.0 | 50.68 | 2.35 |
| 150.0 | 38.18 | 1.77 |
| 200.0 | 29.87 | 1.38 |
| 250.0 | 24.20 | 1.12 |
| $3 n 0.0$ | 20.22 | 0.94 |
| 350.0 | 17.32 | 0.80 |
| 400.0 | 15.15 | 0.70 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL
$Z=0.0 \cap F T \quad T A=0.00 D 0 \mathrm{SEC}$
TIME SSEC) SIGZZIPSI) : VELOCITY[FPS]

| 0.0000 | 100.00 | 4.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0100 | 81.87 | 4.11 |
| 0.0200 | 67.03 | 3.67 |
| 0.0300 | 54.88 | 3.31 |
| 0.0400 | 44.93 | 2.99 |
| 0.0500 | 36.79 | 2.73 |
| 0.0600 | 30.12 | 2.50 |
| 0.0700 | 24.66 | 2.31 |
| 0.0800 | 20.19 | 2.14 |
| 0.10900 | 16.53 | 2.00 |
| 0.1000 | 13.53 | 1.88 |
| 0.1100 | 11.68 | 1.77 |
| 0.1200 | 9.07 | 1.68 |
| 0.1300 | 7.43 | 1.59 |
| 0.1400 | 6.18 | 1.52 |
| 0.1500 | 4.98 | 1.45 |
| 0.1600 | 4.18 | 1.40 |
| 0.1700 | 3.34 | 1.35 |
| 0.1800 | 2.73 | 1.30 |
| 0.1900 | 2.24 | 1.26 |
| 0.2000 | 1.83 | 1.22 |
| 0.2100 | 1.50 | 1.19 |
| 0.2200 | 1.23 | 1.16 |
| 0.2300 | 1.11 | 1.13 |
| 0.2400 | 0.82 | 1.10 |
| 0.2540 | 0.67 | 1.08 |
| 9.2600 | 0.55 | 1.06 |
| 0.2700 | 0.45 | 1.04 |
| 0.2800 | 0.37 | 1.02 |
| 0.2900 | 0.31 | 1.00 |
| 1. 3000 | 0.25 | 0.99 |
| 0.3100 | 0.20 | 0.97 |
| 0.3200 | 0.17 | 0.96 |
| 0.3300 | 0.14 | 0.95 |
| 0.3400 | 0.11 | 0.93 |
| 0.3500 | 0.0 | 7.92 |
| 5.3600 | 0.17 | 0.91 |
| 0.3700 | 0.16 | 0.90 |
| 0.386 c | 0.5 | 0.90 |
| 0.3900 | 0.4 | 0.89 |
| 0.4700 | 0.3 | 0.88 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

| $Z=50.0 \cap F T$ | TA $=0.1500$ SEC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TIMEISEC) | SIGZZ[PSI) | VELOCITY[FPS $]$ |


| 0.3500 | 69.90 | 3.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0640 | 58.37 | 2.90 |
| 0.0700 | 48.86 | 2.61 |
| 0.1800 | 41.61 | 2.37 |
| 0.0950 | 34.53 | 2.16 |
| 0.1000 | 29.18 | 1.98 |
| 0.110 c | 24.74 | 1.82 |
| 0.1200 | 21.06 | 1.69 |
| 0.1360 | 18.60 | 1.57 |
| 0.1400 | 15.46 | 1.46 |
| 0.1500 | 13.34 | 1.37 |
| $0.160{ }^{1}$ | 11.56 | 1.29 |
| 0.170 i | 10.1.8 | 1.22 |
| 0.1800 | 8.83 | 1.15 |
| 0.1900 | 7.78 | 1.10 |
| 0.2040 | 6.89 | 1.04 |
| 0.2100 | 6.13 | 1.00 |
| 0.2200 | 5.49 | 0.95 |
| 0.2300 | 4.94 | 0.91 |
| 0.2400 | 4.46 | 0.88 |
| 0.2500 | 4. 6 | 0.84 |
| 0.2600 | 3.70 | 0.81 |
| 0.2700 | 3.50 | 0.78 |
| 6.2800 | 3.12 | 0.75 |
| 0.2910 | 2.89 | 0.73 |
| 0.356 | 2.68 | 0.70 |
| 0.316 C | 2.49 | 0.68 |
| 3.3200 | 2.37 | 0.66 |
| 0.3300 | 2.18 | 0.64 |
| 0.3400 | 2. 4 | 0.62 |
| 0.3500 | 1.92 | U. 61 |
| 0.360 C | 1.81 | 0.59 |
| 0.3700 | 1.71. | 0.57 |
| 0.3800 | 1.62 | 0.56 |
| 0.3900 | 1.53 | 0.54 |
| 0.4090 | 1.45 | 0.53 |
| 0.4100 | 1.38 | 0.52 |
| 0.4200 | 1.3 ? | 0.50 |
| 0.4300 | 1.25 | 0.49 |
| 0.4400 | 1.27 | 0.48 |
| 0.45 un | 1.14 | 0.47 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSIERETIC MODEL

| $Z=10.0 \cap F T$ | $T A=0.1000$ | SEC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TIME[SEC | SIGZZ[PSI]: |  |


| 0.1000 | 50.68 | 2.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1100 | 43.21 | 2.13 |
| 0.1200 | 37.01 | 1.94 |
| 0.1300 | 31.84 | 1.78 |
| 0.1400 | 27.52 | 1.64 |
| 0.1500 | 23.91 | 1.52 |
| 0.1600 | 20.88 | 1.42 |
| 0.1700 | 18.33 | 1.32 |
| 0.1800 | 16.17 | 1.24 |
| 0.1900 | 14.35 | 1.17 |
| 0.2000 | 12.80 | 1.11 |
| 0.2100 | 11.47 | 1.05 |
| 0.2200 | 10.34 | 1.00 |
| 0.2300 | 9.35 | 0.95 |
| 0.2400 | 8.5? | 0.91 |
| 0.2500 | 7.78 | 0.87 |
| 0.2600 | 7.14 | 0.84 |
| 0.2700 | 6.58 | 0.80 |
| 0.2800 | 6.1.0 | 0.77 |
| 0.2900 | 5.65 | 0.75 |
| 0.30100 | 5.26 | 0.72 |
| 0.3100 | 4.91 | 0.70 |
| 0.3200 | 4.59 | 0.67 |
| 0.3300 | 4.311 | 0.65 |
| 0.3400 | 4. 15 | 0.63 |
| 0.3500 | 3.82 | 0.62 |
| 0.3600 | 3.61 | 0.60 |
| 0.3700 | 3.41 | 0.58 |
| 0.3800 | 3.23 | 0.57 |
| 0.3900 | 3.17 | 0.55 |
| 0.4000 | 2.91 | 0.54 |
| 0.4100 | 2.77 | 0.52 |
| 0.4200 | 2.64 | 0.51 |
| 0.4300 | 2.52 | 0.50 |
| 0.4400 | 2.40 | 0.49 |
| 0.4500 | 2.29 | 0.48 |
| 0.4600 | 2.19 | 0.46 |
| 0.4700 | 2.10 | 0.45 |
| 0.4800 | 2.01 | 0.44 |
| 0.4910 | 1.93 | 0.44 |
| 0.500 | 1.85 | 0.43 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

STRESS AND VELOCITY TIME HISTORY.

| $Z=150.00 F T$. | $T A=0.1500 \mathrm{SEC}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TIME(SEC) | SIGZZ[PSI) | VELOCITY(FPS) |


| 0.1500 | 38.17 | 1.77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1600 | 33.24 | 1.62 |
| 0.1700 | 29.10 | 1.50 |
| 0.1800 | 25.61 | 1.39 |
| 0.1900 | 22.66 | 1.30 |
| 0.2000 | 20.15 | 1.21 |
| 0.2100 | 18.12 | 1.14 |
| 0.2200 | 16.19 | 1.08 |
| 0.2300 | 14.62 | 1.02 |
| 0.2400 | 13.27 | 0.97 |
| 0.2500 | 12.10 | 0.92 |
| 0.2000 | 11.08 | ก. 88 |
| 0.2700 | 10.19 | 0.84 |
| 0.2800 | 9.40 | 0.81 |
| 0.2900 | 8.71 | 0.78 |
| 0.3100 | 8.09 | 0.75 |
| 0.310 C | 7.55 | 0.72 |
| 0.3200 | 7.0 | 0.70 |
| 0.3300 | 6.61 | 0.67 |
| 0.3410 | 6.21 | 0.65 |
| 0.350 n | 5.85 | 0.63 |
| 0.3600 | 5.5 ? | 0.61 |
| 0.3700 | 5.21 | 0.59 |
| 0.3830 | 4.93 | 0.58 |
| 0.3900 | 4.68 | 0.56 |
| 0.4000 | 4.44 | 0.55 |
| 0.4100 | 4.22 | 0.53 |
| 0.4200 | 4.12 | 0.52 |
| 0.4300 | 3.83 | 0.51 |
| 0.4400 | 3.65 | 0.49 |
| 0.4500 | 3.49 | 0.48 |
| 0.4600 | 3.34 | 0.47 |
| 0.4700 | 3.19 | 0.46 |
| 0.4800 | 3.06 | 0.45 |
| 0.4900 | 2.93 | 0.44 |
| 0.5000 | 2.81 | 0.43 |
| 0.5100 | 2.70 | 0.42 |
| 0.5200 | 2.59 | !!.41 |
| 0.5300 | 2.49 | 0.41 |
| 0.5400 | 2.40 | 0.40 |
| 0.5500 | 2.31 | 0.39 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSIERETIC MODEL
$Z=20.0 \mathrm{nFT}$. TA $=0.200 \mathrm{n}$ SEC
TJME[SEC) SIGZZ[PSI] : VELOCITY[FPS]

| 0.2000 | 29.87 | 1.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2100 | 26.53 | 1.29 |
| 0.2200 | 23.69 | 1.20 |
| 0.2300 | 21.26 | 1.13 |
| 0.2400 | 19.18 | 1.06 |
| 0.2500 | 17.39 | 1.00 |
| 0.26 úc | 15.83 | 0.95 |
| 0.2700 | 14.49 | 0.90 |
| 0.2800 | 13.31 | 0.86 |
| 0.2900 | 12.27 | 0.82 |
| 0.3000 | 11.36 | 0.79 |
| 0.3100 | 10.55 | 0.76 |
| 0.3200 | 9.83 | 0.73 |
| 0.33 uc | 9.19 | 0.70 |
| 0.3400 | 8.61 | 0.68 |
| 0.3500 | 8.1 .8 | 0.56 |
| 0.3600 | 7.61 | 0.63 |
| 0.3700 | 7.18 | 0.61 |
| 0.3800 | 6.78 | 0.60 |
| 0.3900 | 6.42 | 0.58 |
| 0.4000 | 6.10 | 0.56 |
| 0.4100 | 5.78 | 0.55 |
| $0.4{ }^{\prime} 00$ | 5.50 | 0.53 |
| 0.4300 | 5.23 | 0.52 |
| U. 4400 | 4.99 | 0.51 |
| 0.4500 | 4.76 | 0.49 |
| 0.4600 | 4.54 | 0.48 |
| 0.4700 | 4.34 | 0.47 |
| 0.4800 | 4.16 | 0.46 |
| 1.4900 | 3.98 | 0.45 |
| 0.5000 | 3.87 | 0.44 |
| 0.5100 | 3.66 | 0.43 |
| 0.5200 | 3.57 | 0.42 |
| 0.5300 | 3.38 | 0.41 |
| 0.5400 | 3.25 | 0.40 |
| 0.5500 | 3.13 | 0.40 |
| 0.5000 | 3.01 | 0.39 |
| 0.5700 | 2.90 | 0.38 |
| 0.5800 | 2.80 | 0.37 |
| 0.5900 | 2.70 | 0.37 |
| $0.6: 00$ | 2.60 | 0.36 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

## STRESS AND VELOCITY TIME HISTORY.

| $Z=250.00 \mathrm{FT}$. | $T A=0.2500$ SEC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TIMEISEC) | SIGZZIPSI) | VELOCITY(FPS $)$ |


| 0.2500 | 24.20 | 1.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2600 | 21.87 | 1.05 |
| 0.2700 | 19.86 | 0.99 |
| 0.2840 | 18.12 | 0.94 |
| 0.2900 | 16.60 | 0.89 |
| 0.3000 | 15.28 | 0.85 |
| 0.3100 | 14.11 | 0.81 |
| 0.3200 | 13.08 | 0.78 |
| 0.3300 | 12.17 | 0.74 |
| 0.3400 | 11.36 | 0.72 |
| 0.3500 | 10.62 | 0.69 |
| 0.3600 | 9.97 | 0.66 |
| 0.3700 | 9.37 | 0.64 |
| 0.3800 | 8.83 | 0.62 |
| 0.3900 | 8.34 | 0.60 |
| 0.4000 | 7.89 | 0.58 |
| 0.4100 | 7.48 | 0.57 |
| 0.4200 | 7.10 | 0.55 |
| 0.4300 | 6.74 | 0.54 |
| 0.4400 | 6.42 | 0.52 |
| 0.4500 | 6.12 | 0.51 |
| 0.4600 | 5.84 | 0.49 |
| 0.4700 | 5.57 | 0.48 |
| 0.4800 | 5.33 | 0.47 |
| 0.4900 | 5.10 | 0.46 |
| 0.51100 | 4.88 | 0.45 |
| 0.5140 | 4.68 | 1). 44 |
| 0.5200 | 4.49 | 1.43 |
| 0.5310 | 4.31 | 0.42 |
| 0.5400 | 4.14 | 0.41 |
| 0.55100 | 3.98 | 0.40 |
| 0.5600 | 3.83 | 0.40 |
| 0.5700 | 3.69 | 0.39 |
| 0.5800 | 3.56 | 0.38 |
| 0.5940 | 3.43 | 0.37 |
| 0.60100 | 3.31 | (i. 37 |
| $0.610 n$ | 3.19 | 0.36 |
| 0.6210 | 3.10 | 19.35 |
| 0.6300 | 2.9R | 0.35 |
| 0.6400 | 2.88 | 0.34 |
| 0.6500 | 2.79 | 0.34 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

STRESS AND VELOCITY TIME HISTORY.
$Z=3: 0.0$ NFT. $\quad T A=0.3000 \mathrm{SEC}$

TIMEISEC1
SIGZZIPSI) VELOCITY(FPS)

| 0.3600 | 20.21 | 0.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3100 | 18.53 | 0.89 |
| 0.3200 | 17.07 | 0.84 |
| 0.3300 | 15.78 | 0.80 |
| 0.3400 | 14.64 | 0.77 |
| 0.3500 | 13.63 | 0.74 |
| 0.3600 | 12.7 ? | 0.71 |
| 0.3700 | 11.91 | 0.68 |
| 0.3800 | 11.18 | 0.66 |
| 0.3910 | 10.52 | 0.63 |
| 0.4000 | 9.93 | 0.61 |
| 0.4100 | 9.38 | 0.59 |
| 0.4200 | 8.88 | 0.57 |
| 0.4300 | 8.42 | 0.56 |
| 0.44110 | 8.00 | 0.54 |
| 0.4500 | 7.61 | 0.53 |
| 0.4640 | 7.24 | 0.51 |
| 0.4700 | 6.91 | 0.50 |
| 0.48 ij 0 | 6.60 | 0.49 |
| 0.4900 | 6.3 ก | 0.47 |
| 0.5000 | 6.13 | 0.46 |
| 0.5100 | 5.77 | 0.45 |
| 0.5200 | 5.53 | 0.44 |
| 0.5300 | 5.31 | 0.43 |
| 0.5400 | 5.19 | 0.42 |
| 0.55110 | 4.90 | 0.41 |
| 0.5600 | 4.71 | 0.41 |
| 0.5700 | 4.53 | 0.40 |
| 0.5800 | 4.36 | 0.39 |
| 0.5900 | 4.27 | 0.38 |
| 0.6000 | 4.115 | 0.37 |
| 0.6100 | 3.91 | 0.37 |
| 0.6210 | 3.78 | 0.36 |
| 0.6300 | 3.65 | 0.35 |
| 0.6400 | 3.53 | 0.35 |
| 0.6500 | 3.41 | 0.34 |
| 0.6600 | 3.30 | 0.34 |
| 0.67110 | 3.19 | 0.33 |
| 0.6800 | 3.09 | 0.33 |
| 0.6900 | 3.00 | 0.32 |
| 0.7000 | 2.91 | 0.32 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

STRESS AND VELOCITY TIME HISTORY.
$Z=350.0$ OFT. $\quad T A=0.3500 \mathrm{SEC}$
TIME(SEC)
SIGZ7[PSI] VELOCITY[FPS]

| 0.3500 | 17.32 | 0.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3600 | 16.07 | 0.77 |
| 0.3700 | 14.96 | 0.73 |
| 0.3800 | 13.98 | 0.70 |
| 0.3900 | 13.00 | 0.68 |
| 0.4000 | 12.29 | 0.65 |
| 0.410 C | 11.57 | 0.63 |
| 0.4200 | 10.92 | 0.61 |
| 0.4300 | 10.32 ? | 0.59 |
| 0.4400 | 9.78 | 0.57 |
| 0.4500 | 9.28 | 0.55 |
| 0.4600 | 8.8? | 0.54 |
| 0.4700 | 8.39 | 0.52 |
| 0.4800 | 8.40 | 0.51 |
| 0.4900 | 7.63 | 0.49 |
| 0.5000 | 7.29 | 0.48 |
| 0.5160 | 6.97 | 0.47 |
| 0.5200 | 6.67 | 0.46 |
| 0.5300 | 6.39 | 0.45 |
| 0.540 n | 6.13 | 0.44 |
| 0.5510 | 5.88 | 0.43 |
| 0.5600 | 5.65 | 0.42 |
| 0.5700 | 5.43 | 0.41 |
| 0.5810 | 5.23 | 0.40 |
| 0.5900 | 5. [:3 | 0.39 |
| 0.60100 | 4.85 | 0.38 |
| 0.6100 | 4.67 | 0.38 |
| 0.6200 | 4.51 | 0.37 |
| 0.6360 | 4.35 | 0.36 |
| 0.6400 | 4.20 | 0.36 |
| 0.6500 | 4.66 | 0.35 |
| 0.6600 | 3.93 | 0.34 |
| 0.6700 | 3.80 | 0.34 |
| 4.6800 | 3.68 | 0.33 |
| 0.6900 | 3.57 | 0.33 |
| 0.7510 | 3.46 | 0.32 |
| 0.7100 | 3.35 | 1.32 |
| 0.7200 | 3.25 | 0.31 |
| 0.7300 | 3.16 | 0.31 |
| 0.7400 | 3.07 | 0.30 |
| 0.7500 | 2.98 | 0.30 |

TYPICAL CODE OUPPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL
$Z=45.0 .0$ nFT. $\quad T A=C .4000$ SEC
TIME[SECI SIGZZIPSI]: VELOCITYIFPS]

| 0.4000 | 15.15 | 0.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4100 | 14.19 | 0.67 |
| 0.4200 | 13.33 | 0.65 |
| 0.4300 | 12.55 | 0.62 |
| 0.4400 | 11.84 | 0.60 |
| 0.4500 | 11.20 | 0.58 |
| 0.4600 | 10.61 | 0.56 |
| 0.4700 | 10.07 | 0.55 |
| 0.4800 | 9.57 | 0.53 |
| 0.4900 | 9.11 | 0.52 |
| 0.5000 | 8.69 | 0.50 |
| 0.5100 | 8.29 | 0.49 |
| 0.5200 | 7.92 | 0.48 |
| 0.5300 | 7.58 | 0.46 |
| 0.5400 | 7.26 | 0.45 |
| 0.5500 | 6.96 | 0.44 |
| 0.5600 | 6.68 | 0.43 |
| 0.5700 | 6.41 | 0.42 |
| 0.5800 | 6.16 | 0.41 |
| 0.5900 | 5.92 | 0.40 |
| 0.6000 | 5.70 | 0.40 |
| 0.6100 | 5.49 | 0.39 |
| 0.6200 | 5.30 | 0.38 |
| 0.6300 | 5.11 | 0.37 |
| 0.6400 | 4.93 | 0.37 |
| 0.6500 | 4.76 | 0.36 |
| 0.6600 | 4.60 | 0.35 |
| 0.6700 | 4.45 | 0.35 |
| 0.6800 | 4.30 | 0.34 |
| 0.6900 | 4.17 | 0.33 |
| 0.7000 | 4.64 | 0.33 |
| 0.7100 | 3.91 | 0.32 |
| 0.7200 | 3.79 | 0.32 |
| 0.7300 | 3.68 | 0.31 |
| 0.7400 | 3.57 | 0.31 |
| 0.7500 | 3.47 | 0.30 |
| 0.7600 | 3.37 | 0.30 |
| 0.7700 | 3.27 | 0.29 |
| 0.7800 | 3.18 | 0.29 |
| 0.7900 | 3.10 | 0.29 |
| 0.8000 | 3.02 | 0.28 |

TYPICAL CODE OUTPUT, LINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

Stress Wave Propagation Through a Laterally Confined Column of Visco-Elastic Hysteretic Material

## A. Introduction

Dynamic compression and wave propagation tests have indicated that some soils, like clay, exhibit both strain-rate and hysteretic effects. Increase in modulus with strain-rate and lag of maximum strain behind maximum stress, an indication of viscous behavior, have been observed by many investigators $(17,24)$ during dynamic compression tests of some soils. Smoothing of the stress pulse during one-dimensional wave propagation tests also supports the viscous behavior of such materials. In order to predict wave propagation phenomena in such soils a mathematical model should be constructed to account for both the hysteretic energy loss and the viscous behavior of soils. The viscous behavior of materials is frequently represented in terms of rheological models consisting of linear springs and dashpots. Three types of such models were discussed in Chapter II and the stress-strain-time relationships for each model were derived in terms of the spring constants and the viscosities of the dashpots (equations II-60, II-64, II-68). It is a difficult task to decide which of these models would best describe the viscous behavior of soils. In fact, no single model can describe viscous phenomena in all soils. The limited research performed in this area (19) has indicated that the three element standard linear visco-elastic model (fig. II-7) may be used to describe the viscous behavior of some clays and sands within certain ranges of boundary and loading conditions. Further experimental work is required in this area if rational rheological models are to be constructed
which will describe the viscous phenomena of soils more accurately and over a broader range of boundary and loading conditions. For the present analysis however, the standard linear visco-elastic model will be used to describe strain-rate effects. The "correspondence principle," described in Chapter II, will be utilized to obtain visco-elastic solutions from equations III-70 and III-7I for stress and particle velocity in a linear hysteretic halfspace subjected to a uniform time-dependent pressure wave at its surface. The solution for any other linear rheological model may be obtained by the same procedure. The linear hysteretic model is used here to account for the hysteretic energy loss of the soil.
B. Formulation and solution of the problem

The linear hysteretic model was analyzed in Chapter III. The following expressions were obtained for particle velocity and stress within the medium (subjected to an exponentially decaying input shock) as functions of time:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(z, t) & =P_{0}\left(\frac{I}{\rho C_{0}}-\frac{1}{\rho C_{1}}\right)+\frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{1}} e^{-\left(t-z / C_{1}\right) / \tau} \\
& -\frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{1}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \alpha^{n}-e^{-\alpha^{n}\left(t-z / C_{1}\right) / \tau}-e^{-\alpha^{n}\left(t+z / C_{1}\right) / \tau}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$\sigma(z, t)=P_{0} e^{-\left(t-z / C_{1}\right) / \tau}+P_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n}\left[e^{-\alpha^{n}\left(t-z / C_{1}\right) / \tau}\right.$
$-e^{\left.-\alpha^{n}\left(t-z / c_{1}\right) / \tau\right]}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})=\text { particle velocity at depth } \mathrm{z} \text { and time } t \\
& \sigma(z, t)=\text { stress at depth } z \text { and time } t
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e & =2.7183 \ldots \\
P_{0} & =\text { peak applied stress } \\
C_{1} & =\text { unloading reloading wave velocity } \\
C_{0} & =\text { loading wave velocity } \\
\alpha & =\frac{C_{1} / C_{0}-1}{C_{1} / C_{0}+1} \\
\tau & =\text { exponential decay constant of the input stress pulse } \\
\rho & =\text { mass density of the medium }
\end{aligned}
$$

The front of the wave propagates with the loading wave velocity $C_{o}$ and reaches the point $z$ at the time $t=z / C_{o}$. The medium at $z$ is undisturbed for times $t<z / C_{0}$ therefore, the one-sided Fourier transforms (equation II-75) of equations IV-1 and IV-2 are non-zero when

$$
z / C_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant \infty
$$

The first step in the "correspondence principle" is to evaluate the onesided Fourier transforms of equations IV-1 and IV-2 within the limits given by equation IV-3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{u}(\omega)=\int_{z / C_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega t} \dot{u}(z, t) d t \\
& \sigma(\omega)=\int_{z / C_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega t} \sigma(z, t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{u}(\omega)$ and $\sigma(\omega)$ are the one-sided Fourier transforms of particle velocity and stress respectively. Substituting for $\dot{u}(z, t)$ and $\sigma(z, t)$ from equations IV-1 and IV-2 and integrating one obtains


Fig. IV-1. Rate-dependent model for the linear-hysteretic medium.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}(\omega)=s_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+i \omega} \lambda_{1} \\
&+s_{0}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+i \omega} \lambda_{2}-\frac{2 \alpha^{n} \lambda_{0}}{i \omega}\right]+\frac{s_{1} \lambda_{0}}{i \omega}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\omega)=P_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+i \omega} \lambda_{1}-P_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+i \omega} \lambda_{2} \quad \text { IV -7 }
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}= \frac{P_{0}}{\rho C_{1}} \\
& S_{1}=P_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\rho C_{0}}-\frac{1}{\rho C_{l}}\right) \\
& \lambda_{0}= e^{-i \omega_{z} / C_{0}} \\
&-\left[i \omega_{z}+\alpha_{z / \tau}^{n_{1}}\left(1-\frac{C_{0}}{C_{1}}\right)\right] / C_{0} \\
& \lambda_{1}=e^{-\left[i \omega_{z}+\alpha_{z / \tau}\left(1+\frac{C_{0}}{C_{l}}\right)\right] / C_{0}} \\
& \lambda_{2}=
\end{aligned}
$$

IV-8

The second step is replace the elastic constants $C_{o}$ and $C_{1}$ in equations IV -6 and IV -7 by $\left[\rho J_{0}(i \omega)\right]^{-1 / 2}$ and $\left[\rho J_{1}(i \omega)\right]^{-1 / 2}$ respectively, where $J_{0}(i \omega)$ and $J_{1}(i \omega)$ are the visco-elastic complex compliance of the segments $O A$ and $A B$ of the hysteretic stress-strain curve shown in fig. IV-1. $A n$ assumption will be made here to reduce the number of parameters and simplify the analysis, that is

$$
\frac{J_{0}(i \omega)}{J_{1}(i \omega)}=\left(\frac{c_{1}}{C_{0}}\right)^{2}
$$

The complex compliance of the standard linear model was given in Chapter II by

$$
J_{0}(i \omega)=\frac{1}{M_{0}}+\frac{M_{1}}{M_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}}-\frac{i}{\omega \eta}\left(1-\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2} \eta^{2}}\right)
$$

If one introduces the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=\frac{M_{1}}{M_{0}} \\
& \mu=\eta / M_{0} \\
& \beta=C_{0} / C_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}=\sqrt{\rho / M_{0}} \sqrt{I+\frac{\phi}{\phi^{2}+\omega^{2} \mu^{2}}-\frac{i \omega \mu}{\phi^{2}+\omega^{2} \mu^{2}}}
$$

Equation IV-14 can be written in terms of its real and imaginary parts as follows

$$
\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}=k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)
$$

where $k_{1}(\omega)$ and $k_{2}(\omega)$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}$. From equations IV-11 and IV-15, the elastic constants $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ should then be replaced by

$$
\left[\rho J_{0}(i \omega)\right]^{-1 / 2}=\frac{1}{k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)}
$$

and

$$
\left[\rho J_{1}(i \omega)\right]^{-1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)}
$$

respectively. Therefore the parameters $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ in equation IV-8 become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{0}=e^{-i \omega\left[z k_{1}(\omega)-i z k_{2}(\omega)\right]} \\
& \lambda_{1}=e^{-\left[\omega z k_{2}(\omega)+k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau}(1-\beta)\right]+i \omega\left[-z k_{1}(\omega)+k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau \omega}(1-\beta)\right]} \\
& \lambda_{2}=e^{-\left[\omega z k_{2}(\omega)+k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha_{1}^{n}}{\tau}(1+\beta)\right]+i \omega\left[-z k_{1}(\omega)+k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau \omega}(1+\beta)\right]} \\
& S_{0}=\frac{P_{0}}{\rho} \beta\left[k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)\right] \\
& S_{1}=\frac{P_{0}}{\rho}(1-\beta)\left[k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The third and the final step in obtaining the visco-elastic solution is to take the inverse transforms of equations IV-6 and IV-7 (see equation II-76) with parameters $S_{0}, S_{1}, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{2}$ given by equation IV-18 and then choose the real parts of the transforms, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathrm{u}}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} R\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \omega t} \dot{\mathrm{u}}(\omega) d \omega\right] \\
& \sigma(z, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} R\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \omega t} \sigma(\omega) d \omega\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ denotes the real part of the expression in brackets. From the
theory of integration of a complex-valued function (15) equations IV-19 and IV-20 can be written in the following forms.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{u}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} R\left[e^{i \omega t} \dot{u}(\omega)\right] d \omega & \text { IV-21 } \\
\sigma(z, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} R\left[e^{i \omega t} \sigma(\omega)\right] d \omega & \text { IV-22 }
\end{array}
$$

The functions $R\left[e^{i \omega t} \dot{u}(\omega)\right]$ and $R\left[e^{i \omega t} \sigma(\omega)\right]$ are determined from equations IV-6, IV-7, and IV-18 by using Euler's formula, $e^{i \theta}=\cos \theta$ $+i \sin \theta$, and selecting the real part of each expression. The process is lengthy but straight forward; as an example, the real part of the first term in equation IV-6 will be calculated here
$R_{l}=R\left[e^{i \omega t} S_{0} \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+i \omega} \lambda_{l}\right]=R\left[\frac{1}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} S_{0} \lambda_{1} e^{i \omega t}\left(\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega\right)\right]$
Substituting for $S_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ from equation IV-18 and simplifying, one obtains

$$
R_{1}=R\left\{\frac{\frac{P_{o}}{\rho} \beta\left[k_{1}(\omega)-i k_{2}(\omega)\right]\left[\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-i \omega\right] e^{-A} e^{i \omega B}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\omega z k_{2}(\omega)+k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau}(1-\beta) \\
& B=t-z k_{1}(\omega)+k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\omega \tau}(1-\beta)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Euler's formula

$$
e^{i \omega B}=\cos \omega B+i \sin \omega B
$$

into equation IV-24 and simplifying, obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{I}=R\left(\frac{\frac{P_{0}}{\rho} \beta e^{-A}\left\{\left[k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{2}(\omega)\right] \cos \omega B+\left[k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+\omega k_{1}(\omega)\right] \sin \omega B\right\}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{i \frac{P_{0} \beta}{\rho} e^{-A}\left\{\left[-k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{1}(\omega)\right] \cos \omega B+\left[k_{l}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{2}(\omega)\right] \sin \omega B\right\}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or
$R_{1}=\frac{\frac{P_{0}}{\rho} \beta e^{-A}\left\{\left[k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{2}(\omega)\right] \cos \omega B+\left[k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+\omega k_{1}(\omega)\right] \sin \omega B\right\}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} \quad$ IV-29

Following the same procedure and substituting the values of $R\left[e^{i \omega t} \dot{u}(\omega)\right]$ and $R\left[e^{i \omega t} \sigma(\omega)\right]$ in equations IV-21 and IV-22, one obtains the following general expressions for the particle velocity and stress in the viscoelastic hysteretic medium shown in fig. IV-1.
$\dot{u}(z, t)=\frac{P_{0} \beta}{\rho \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-A}\left\{\left[k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{2}(\omega)\right] \cos \omega B+\left[k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+\omega k_{1}(\omega)\right] \sin \omega B\right\}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} d \omega$ $+\frac{P_{0} \beta}{\rho \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-A_{1}}\left\{\left[k_{1}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}-\omega k_{2}(\omega)\right] \cos \omega B_{1}+\left[k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau}+\omega k_{1}(\omega)\right] \sin \omega B_{1}\right\}}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} d \omega$

$$
+\frac{P_{0} \beta}{\rho \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \alpha^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\omega z k_{2}(\omega)}}{\omega}\left\{k_{2}(\omega) \cos \omega\left[t-z k_{1}(\omega)\right]-k_{1}(\omega) \sin \omega\left[t-z k_{1}(\omega)\right]\right\} d \omega
$$

$$
-\frac{P_{0}(1-\beta)}{\pi \rho} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\omega z k_{2}(\omega)}}{\omega}\left\{k_{2}(\omega) \cos \omega\left[t-z k_{1}(\omega)\right]-k_{1}(\omega) \sin \omega\left[t-z k_{1}(\omega)\right]\right\} \alpha \omega \quad I v-30
$$

and
$\sigma(z, t)=\frac{P_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-A_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau} \cos \omega B+\omega \sin \omega B\right)}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} d \omega$

$$
-\frac{P_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-A_{l}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{n}}{\tau} \cos \omega B_{l}+\omega \sin \omega B_{l}\right)}{\frac{\alpha^{2 n}}{\tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}} d \omega
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\omega z k_{2}(\omega)+k(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau}(1+\beta) \\
B_{1}=t-z k_{1}(\omega)+k_{2}(\omega) \frac{\alpha^{n} z}{\tau}(1+\beta)
\end{gather*}
$$

and $A$ and $B$ are given by equations IV-25 and IV-26 respectively.
C. Evaluation of $k_{1}(\omega)$ and $k_{2}(\omega)$

To evaluate equations IV-30 and IV-3I, one must know $\mathrm{k}_{1}(\omega)$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}(\omega)$, the real and imaginary parts of $\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}$ (equation IV-14). This can be accomplished if equation IV-14 is written in the polar form of a complex number. The polar form of a complex number, $z=x \pm i y$, is given as $z=r(\cos \theta \pm i \sin \theta)$ where $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ and $\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho J_{0}(i \omega) & =\frac{\rho}{M_{0}}\left(1+\frac{\phi}{\phi^{2}+\omega^{2} \mu^{2}}-\frac{i \omega \mu}{\phi^{2}+\omega^{2} \mu^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\rho}{M_{0}} r(\cos \theta-i \sin \theta)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
r=\left[\left(1+\frac{\phi}{\phi^{2}+\omega_{\mu}^{2}{ }^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\omega u}{\phi^{2}+\omega_{\mu}^{2}{ }^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{\mu}}{\phi^{2}+\omega_{\mu}^{2}+\phi}\right)
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}=\sqrt{\rho / M_{0}} \sqrt{r}(\cos \theta-i \sin \theta)^{I / 2}
$$

Since $(\cos \theta-i \sin \theta)^{I / 2}=e^{-i \theta / 2}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$, equation IV -37 becomes

$$
\sqrt{\rho J_{0}(i \omega)}=\sqrt{\rho / M_{0}} \sqrt{r}\left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)
$$

The real and imaginary parts of $\sqrt{0 J_{0}(i)}$ are therefore

$$
k_{1}(\omega)=\sqrt{\rho / M_{0}} \sqrt{r} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

and

$$
k_{2}(\omega)=\sqrt{\rho / M_{0}} \sqrt{r} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

respectively.
D. Determination of visco-elastic parameters for application to
soil problems.
There are five material constants for the linear hysteretic viscoelastic model under consideration which have to be determined experimentally, they are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varnothing & =\frac{M_{1}}{M_{0}} \\
\mu & =\eta / M_{0} \\
\beta & =\frac{C_{0}}{C_{1}} \\
\alpha & =\frac{C_{1} / C_{0}-1}{C_{1} / C_{0}+1} \\
\text { wave velocity } & =\sqrt{M_{0} / \rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

The visco-elastic parameters $\varnothing$ and $\mu$ can be determined from a dynamic uniaxial strain test in which a step loading is applied and the resultant strain-time history is measured (fig. IV-2). The strain response of the three-element visco-elastic material to a step pulse $\Delta \sigma_{s}$, from equation II-68, is given as

$$
\Delta \sigma_{s}=\frac{M_{1} M_{0}}{M_{1}+M_{0}} \epsilon+\frac{T M_{0}}{M_{1}+M_{0}} \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}
$$

The solution of this differential equation yields the following expression for strain-time history.

$$
\Delta \epsilon(t)=\frac{\Delta_{S}}{M_{0}}\left[1+\frac{M_{0}}{M_{1}}\left(1-e^{-\frac{M_{1}}{\eta} t}\right)\right]
$$

Substituting for $M_{0} / M_{1}$ and $M_{1} / \eta$ from equation IV-4l and simplifying one obtains

$$
\frac{\Delta \epsilon(t)}{\Delta \sigma_{s}} M_{0}=1+\frac{1}{\phi}\left(1-e^{-\frac{\phi_{t}}{\mu}}\right)
$$

At the time $t=0, \frac{\Delta \epsilon(0)}{\Delta \sigma_{s}} M_{0}=1$, or

$$
M_{0}=\left.\frac{\Delta \sigma_{S}}{\Delta \epsilon}\right|_{t=0}
$$

Therefore $M_{0}$ is the secant modulus at the stress level $\Delta \sigma_{s}$ at $t=0$ (fig. IV-2). The slope of equation IV-44 at $t=0$ is given as

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{e}(t)}{\Delta \sigma_{s}} M_{0}\right)\right|_{t=0}=\frac{1}{\mu}
$$

For large values of time, equation IV-44 reduces to


$$
\epsilon_{2}=\epsilon_{3}=0
$$





Fig. IV-2. Response of visco-elastic model to step load.

$$
\frac{\Delta \varepsilon(t)}{\Delta \sigma_{S}} M_{0}=1+\frac{1}{\phi}
$$

Therefore, the visco-elastic constants $M_{0}, \mu$, and $\varnothing$ can be obtained from the measured strain-time history using equations IV-45, IV-46, and IV-47 (see fig. IV-2). However, due to the fact that an instantaneous step load cannot be applied in a uniaxial strain device, approximations (20) to laboratory data (measured strain-time history) are needed for the measurement of the visco-elastic constants.

The parameter $\beta$ in equation IV-4I can be expressed in terms of $\alpha$ as

$$
\beta=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}
$$

The compacting dissipative parameter $\alpha$, is given by the ratio of the slopes at zero strain-rate, that is, by the ratio of the moduli $M_{o}$ and $M_{2}$ (fig. IV-I).

$$
\alpha=\frac{I-\sqrt{M_{0} / M_{2}}}{I+\sqrt{M_{0} / M_{2}}}
$$

If there were no viscous effects, the moduli $M_{0}$ and $M_{2}$ would be proportional to the loading and unloading slopes of the static stress-strain curve. The rate-dependent character of the material however, will modify the proportionality to some extent and will alter the definition of $\alpha$ (see equation III-25). Seaman (17) has developed an iterative procedure for the approximate measurement of $\alpha$ from the dynamic uniaxial test data. Typical values of $\alpha$ obtained by Seaman (17) are 0.12 for dry
sand (110 pef dry density) and 0.02 for kaolinite (102 pcf dry density and 33 percent water content) under 100 psi dynamic stress.

## Stress Wave Attenuation Through a One-Dimensional Column of Nonlinear Locking Material

A. Description of locking media

The dynamic behavior of certain soils and rocks under uniaxial strain conditions may be approximated by the stress-strain curve of fig. V-l. This type of material response is referred to as locking behavior. The loading branch of the stress-strain curve is defined by the relation

$$
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \varepsilon^{2}}>0
$$

During unloading the stress-strain curve is defined by

$$
\varepsilon=\epsilon_{\max }
$$

If the material is reloaded, it will follow the vertical branch of equation $\mathrm{V}-2$ to the previous peak stress level, $\sigma_{\max }$ (corresponding to $\varepsilon_{\text {max }}$ ), and from there on the curve of equation $\mathrm{V}-1$.

Experimentally obtained loading stress-strain curves are often reasonably fitted by a parabolic relation (21) of the form

$$
\sigma=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{k}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

in which $k$ and $n$ are constant characteristics of the medium. Because of the condition $\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \epsilon^{2}}>0$, it is required that $k>0$ and $n>1$. The following treats only the relation given by equation $V-3$; other analytical fits may be dealt with in a similar manner.
B. Boundary load

The dynamic boundary load considered is the pulse characterized by a


Fig. V-1. Typical load-unload stress-strain curve for nonlinear locking medium
peak stress at a shock front and an exponentially decaying behavior thereafter (fig. III-2) given by

$$
P(t)=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

where
$P_{o}=$ the peak applied pressure
$t=$ the independent variable time
$\tau=$ the exponential time constant, time at which pressure has decayed to $0.368 \mathrm{P}_{0}$
C. Formulation of the problem

Consider a semi-infinite locking medium (fig. V-2) that is subjected to a suddenly applied pressure pulse given by equation V-4 on its entire free boundary. As a result of the pulse, a shock is propagated into the medium, compacting it to a density, $\rho$ (note that $\rho$ will vary with depth). Due to the nature of the stress-strain relation (fig. V-l), the density change will be permanent. When the shock front has progressed to a depth, $z$, at a time, $t$, the mass mobilized (involved) in the momentum transfer (assuming unit area) is

$$
m=\rho_{i} z
$$

where $\rho_{i}$ is the initial mass density of the medium. Because of the monotonically decaying character of the input pulse, the material behind the shock front is continually unloaded along the vertical branch $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\max }$ of the stress-strain curve (no strain recovery). Consequently, the mobilized mass, $\rho_{i} z$, acts as a rigid body causing all the material



Fig. V-2. Shock-wave propagation conditions in locking media
behind the shock front to move with an identical velocity $\dot{u}(t)$, while the free boundary is displaced by the amount $u(t)$. In accordance with the Newton's law, the integrated impulse, I

$$
I=\int_{0}^{t} P_{0} e^{-t / \tau} d t \quad V-6
$$

applied in the same period of time equates to the sum of the product of elements of the mobilized mass and their individual particle velocities, that is

$$
\rho_{i} z \dot{u}=\int_{0}^{t} P_{0} e^{-t / \tau} d t
$$

The stress, $\sigma$, at the shock front is given by (see equation II-86)

$$
\sigma=\rho_{i} \dot{u} C_{s}
$$

where $C_{S}$ is the shock-front velocity given by (see equation II-88)

$$
C_{s}=\frac{d z}{d t}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and, $\varepsilon$, is the strain corresponding to $\sigma$ at the shock front which is related to the particle velocity, $\dot{u}$, by (see equation II-14)

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{\dot{u}}{C_{S}}
$$

Substituting equation $V-10$ into equation $V-7$, using equation $V-9$ and rearranging, yields

$$
z \frac{d z}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho_{i} \varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} P_{0} e^{-t / \tau} d t
$$

or

$$
z \frac{d z}{d t}=\frac{\tau P_{o}}{\rho_{i} \varepsilon}\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)
$$

v-12

Substituting equation $V-9$ and its first integral into equation $V-12$ results in the following nonlinear differential equation

$$
(\sigma \varepsilon)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} d t=P_{0} \tau\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)
$$

Differentiating both sides of equation $\mathrm{V}-13$ with respect to time yields

$$
I / 2\left[(\sigma \varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\left(\sigma \frac{d \epsilon}{d t}+\varepsilon \frac{d \sigma}{d t}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}\right)^{l / 2} d t+\sigma=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{d \epsilon}{d t}=\frac{d \epsilon}{d \sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}=\frac{d \sigma / d t}{d \sigma / d \epsilon}
$$

Equation V-14 becomes

$$
I / 2(\sigma \varepsilon)^{I / 2} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}\left[1+\frac{\sigma / \epsilon}{d \sigma / d \epsilon}\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} d t+\sigma=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

Using the results of equation $V-13$, equation $V-16$ becomes

$$
I / 2 \frac{P_{0}{ }^{\tau}}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}\left[1+\frac{\sigma / \varepsilon}{d \sigma / d \epsilon}\right]\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)+\sigma=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

The variable coefficient in the brackets depends on the stress-strain curve. The ratio

$$
\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}=M_{s}
$$

is the secant modulus and

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \epsilon}=M_{t} \quad \mathrm{~V}-19
$$

is the tangent modulus. The case

$$
M_{t}=M_{s}
$$

v-20
corresponds to a linear locking material (fig. V-3).
From equation $V-3$, the stress-strain relation, one obtains

$$
\left[1+\frac{\sigma / \varepsilon}{\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{d} \varepsilon}\right]=1+\frac{1}{n}
$$

Substituting equation $V-21$ into equation $V-17$, it reduces to Bernoulli equation (22).

$$
I / 2 \frac{P_{0} \tau}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)+\sigma=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

With the initial condition

$$
\sigma(0)=P(0)=P_{0}
$$

the solution to equation $V-22$ is

$$
\sigma=\frac{\tau P_{0}\left[1-e^{-t / \tau}\right]^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}}{\frac{2 n}{n+1} \int_{0}^{t}\left[1-e^{-t / \tau}\right]^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} d t}
$$



Fig. V-3. Stress-strain curve for linear locking material

Equation V-24 relates the stress, $\sigma$, at the shock front with the corresponding arrival time. The shock-front velocity, from equations V-3 and $\mathrm{V}-9$, is

$$
C_{s}=\frac{\sigma^{\frac{n-1}{2 n}}}{\left(k \rho_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

The shock-front location, $z$, from'equation $V-12$, is given by

$$
z=\frac{T P_{o}}{\rho_{i} \varepsilon} \frac{1}{C_{S}}\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)
$$

v-26

Utilizing equations $\mathrm{V}-3, \mathrm{~V}-24$, and $\mathrm{V}-25$, the shock-front location time relation becomes

$$
z=\frac{\left(\frac{2 n}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}\left(\tau P_{o}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2 n}}}{\left(\rho_{i} k\right)^{1 / 2}}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} d t\right]^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}
$$

Equations V-24 and V-27 relate the peak stress, $\sigma$, at the shock front, to the shock-front location, $z$, from which one obtains the attenuation of peak stress with depth. The corresponding peak particle velocity-depth relation, from equations $V-8$ and $V-25$, is given by

$$
\dot{\mathrm{u}}=\sigma^{\frac{n+l}{2 n}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\rho_{i}}\right)^{l / 2}
$$

## D. Numerical calculation

A numerical procedure, based on the conservation laws of mass and momentum and described by Zaccor (23), has been adopted for the calculation of peak stress and particle velocity attenuation in the nonlinear locking
medium. This procedure treats soil models composed of all nonlinear loading branch forms for which stress-strain behavior is described by the relation

$$
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \varepsilon^{2}} \geq 0
$$

and a vertical unloading branch given by equation V-2. Hence, the material defined by equation $V-3$ is included as a special case. The applied boundary load can be any pulse characterized by a peak stress at a shock front and a constant or steadily decaying behavior thereafter.

The procedure has been validated (23) by comparison with the closedform solutions presented in section $C$ of this chapter for a parabolic loading stress-strain curve and an exponentially decaying input pulse. E. Computational procedure

The computational procedure described by Zaccor involves the impulsemomentum law given by equation $\mathrm{V}-7$ and the concept of the mobilized mass acting as a rigid body behind the shock front. Material properties (loading branch of the stress-strain curve and the initial mass density) and boundary conditions determine the velocity, $C_{s}$, of the shock front and, hence, its location, $z$, at any time. The shock-front location at any time identifies the mass, $m$, mobilized in the momentum transfer up to that time. The integrated impulse, I , applied in the same period equates to the total momentum transferred, from which one obtains the peak particle velocity and stress at the shock front, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m} \dot{\mathrm{u}} & =I \\
\dot{\mathrm{u}} & =\frac{I}{m} \\
\sigma & =\rho_{\dot{i}} C_{s} \dot{\mathrm{u}}
\end{align*}
$$

The step-by-step procedure used in the program is given below to illustrate some of the concepts involved in the theory.

1. Compute the shock-front velocity, $C_{S}$, at a discrete number of stress levels (the program will accept 50 values of $C_{S}$ ), from the loading branch of the stress-strain curve (for $0 \leq \sigma \leq P_{0}$ ) using the relation

$$
C_{s}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{p_{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and store the information in tabular form.
2. Take a small time increment $\Delta t$.
3. Compute the impulse, $I(1)$, at the time, $t=\Delta t$, as the product of the time increment $\Delta t$, and the average value of the overpressure pulse in that time increment

$$
I(I)=\Delta t\left[P_{0}+P(\Delta t)\right] / 2
$$

where $P(\Delta t)$ is the value of overpressure pulse at $t=\Delta t$.
4. From the shock-front velocity versus stress table of step 1 determine the average shock-front velocity

$$
\bar{C}_{s}=\frac{C_{s}\left(P_{0}\right)+C_{s}(\Delta t)}{2}
$$

in the interval $\Delta t$ as if the stress in the medium at the time $t=\Delta t$ were the same as the surface overpressure at the time $t=\Delta t \cdot C_{S}\left(P_{0}\right)$ is the shock-front velocity corresponding to $\sigma=P_{0}$ and $C_{S}\left(\Delta_{t}\right)$ is the shock-front velocity corresponding to $\sigma=P(\Delta t)$.
5. Compute the shock-front location $z_{I}$, at the end of the time increment $\Delta t$

$$
z_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{s}} \Delta t
$$

6. From I(1) obtained in step 3 compute a value for the particle velocity $\dot{u}_{1}$ at the end of the time increment $\Delta t$ (see equation $V-30$ )

$$
\dot{u}_{I}=\frac{I(I)}{\rho_{i} z_{I}}
$$

where $\rho_{i} z_{l}$ is the mobilized mass at the time $t=\Delta t$.
7. From $\dot{u}_{1}$ obtained in step 6 and $c_{s}(\Delta t)$ from step 4 , compute the stress $\sigma_{1}$ at the shock front at the time $t=\Delta t$ and depth $z_{1}$ (see equation $\mathrm{V}-30$ )

$$
\sigma_{1}=\rho_{1} C_{s}(\Delta t) \dot{\mathrm{u}}_{1}
$$

This value of stress is now a more accurate representation of the true stress in the medium at $Z_{l}$ than the assumption made in step 4 , that is, $\sigma_{1}=P(\Delta t)$.
8. Compute a new value of $\overline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ using

$$
\bar{C}_{s}=\frac{c_{s}\left(P_{0}\right)+c_{s}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)}{2}
$$

where $C_{S}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ is the shock-front velocity corresponding to the current stress $\sigma_{1}$ found in step 7. $C_{S}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ may be determined by interpolation in the table computed for step 1.
9. Start again at step 5 and continue to repeat this iterative procedure until all values agree (within a specified convergence criterion) with those on the previous iteration and store the values obtained on the final iteration, that is, $z_{1}, \dot{u}_{1}, \sigma_{1}$. Note that $\dot{u}_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ are the peak particle velocity and stress at depth $z_{1}$.
10. Take the next time increment. The total elapsed time is now $t=2 \Delta t$.
11. Compute the impulse for the second time increment as

$$
I(2)=\Delta t[P(\Delta t)+P(2 \Delta t)] / 2
$$

where $P(2 \Delta t)$ is the value of overpressure pulse at $t=2 \Delta t$.
12. Compute the total impulse $I(2 \Delta t)$, to the time $t=2 \Delta t$ as

$$
I(2 \Delta t)=I(I)+I(2)
$$

13. Assume an average shock-front velocity

$$
\overline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)
$$

where $C_{S}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ is the shock-front velocity corresponding to the final value of $\sigma_{1}$ as found in step 9, and compute the shock-front location $z_{2}$ at the end of the total elapsed time $t=2 \Delta t$

$$
z_{2}=z_{l}+\bar{c}_{s} \Delta t
$$

14. From $I(2 \Delta t)$ obtained in step 12 and $z_{2}$ obtained in step 13 , compute a value for the particle velocity ${\dot{u_{2}}}_{2}$ at the time $t=2 \Delta t$ and location $z_{2}$

$$
\dot{u}_{2}=\frac{I(2 \Delta t)}{\rho_{i} z_{2}}
$$

15. Repeat the outlined analogous procedures starting at step 7 until values of $z_{2}, \dot{u}_{2}, \sigma_{2}$ are obtained which satisfy the convergence criterion in step 9, and then index to the next time increment starting in step 10.

## F. Computer program

The above procedure is programmed in FORTRAN II language for a GE 225 computer with 8 K memory.

The input variables for the computer code (program) consist of the following:

| Variable | Description | Cormments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE | Initial mass density (slugs/cu ft) - $\rho_{i}$ | Singular parameter |
| DT | ```Time increment (sec) - \Deltat``` | Singular parameter |
| SIG(I) | Stress (psi) - $\sigma$ | Discrete stress values from stress-strain curve |
| EPS (I) | Strain (in./in.) - є | Discrete strain values from stress-strain curve |
| T | Time (sec) - t | ```Discrete values; t = 0 at P}=\mp@subsup{P}{0}{``` |
| P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overpressure (psi) } \\ & -P(t) \end{aligned}$ | Discrete values; $P=P_{0}$ at $t=0$ |

The input sequency and formats are as follows:

Input Card
(A) (ROE, DT)
(B) $[\operatorname{SIG}(I), \operatorname{EPS}(I), I=I, 50]$
(C) $(T, P)$

Format
(F7.2, 4X, F7.5)
(F7.2, 5X, F8.6)
(F8.6, 5X, F7.2)

Card form (B) is repeated 50 times (for the 50 discrete points on the stress-strain curve). Card form (C) is repeated (pulse duration/DT + 1) times, i.e., discrete values of the input pressure pulse are read in and stored in tabular form. The code conveniently accepts these values at
times which are continuous multiples of the time increment DT. Note that $\operatorname{SIG}(1)=\operatorname{EPS}(1)=0.0$ (see fig. $V-1$ ) $; \operatorname{SIG}(50)=P_{0}$, the peak overpres sure; and $T=0.0$ when $P=P_{0}$.

The output variables are given in two groups as follows:
Group 1 Shock-front velocity calculation

| STRESS | STRAIN | SHK-VEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{psi})$ | $($ in./in. $)$ | $(f p s)$ |

Format (F7.2) Format (F8.6) Format (F7.2)
Group 2 Attenuation of peak particle velocity and stress with depth

$$
\mathrm{Z}(f t) \quad \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{fps}) \quad \text { STRESS (psi) }
$$

Format (F6.2) Format (F8.3) Format (F9.3)

## G. Additional program information

The program contains a function subprogram, VELOC, that interpolates linearly in the shock-front velocity versus stress table calculated in step l. The following error indications are given by subprogram VELOC:
a. STRESS TABLE OVERFLOW

This message is printed out if the maximum value of the overpressure pulse exceeds the peak stress input, i.e., $P_{o}>S I G(50)$.
b. STRESS DATA OUT OF ORDER

This message is printed out if the stress-strain input are not in an ascending order, i.e., SIG(I)-SIG(I-I) $\leq 0$.
H. Run time information

Computer time for a typical problem is about 15 minutes. A complete

FORTRAN II listing of the program and typical input and the resulting output for an example problem is presented in Appendix $V$.

APPENDIX V


## READ 1ก1,SIG(I],EPSII)

U[I]=SQRTF[(SIGII)*144.1/(IEPS[I)+.000001)*ROEI]

## 10 PRINT 102.SIG[I],EPS[I],U(I)

101 FORMATIF7.2,5X,F8.6)
102 FORMATIIH $, 14 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F7}, 2,5 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F} 8.6,2 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F7} .21$
PRINT 88
880 FORMATIIH1,12X,54HATTENUATION OF PEAK PARTICLE VELOCITY AND PEAK S 642 2TRESS... $1,16 \mathrm{X}, 5 \mathrm{HZ}(\mathrm{FT}), 7 \mathrm{X}, 6 \mathrm{HV}(\mathrm{FPS}), 10 \mathrm{X}, 11 \mathrm{HSTRESS}(\mathrm{PSI}), 1$

## Z $=0$ 。

STRESS=SIG(50)
$V=([S T R E S S) * 144.1 /[1$ ROE $) * U 50])$
PRINT $104, Z, V$, STRESS
104 FORMAT $(15 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F} 6.2,6 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{F} 8.3,9 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F9} .31$
READ $103, T, P$
103 FORMATIF8.6.5X,F7.21
PULS=0.
ZL=0.
PL=P
READ 133,T,P
PLL=P
UU $=$ VELOC $(P L L) \quad 761$
30 PULS $=D T *(P L+P) * .5+P U L S$ 73
UBAR $=\{V E L O C(P L)+V E L O C(P) \mid * .5$
74
$S S=0$.
$35 \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{UBAR*} \overline{D T+Z L}$ ..... 75741
$V=\{144 . * \mid P U L S /[R O E * Z])]$ ..... 76
STRESS $=$ ROE $* V * U U *(1.1144 .1$ ..... 77
IFIARSF[STRESS-SS)-.0011 $50,50,40$ ..... 78
40 SS=STRESS ..... 781
UBAR=VELOC [SS) ..... 79 ..... 790
UU=VELOCISS
UU=VELOCISS
GO TO 35
50 PRINT $104, Z, V$, STRESSUBAR = VELOC[STRESS]791
$Z \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{Z}$811
READ 13, $\mathrm{T}^{\text {, }} \mathrm{P}$ ..... 821
PULS $=[D T *[P L L+P] / / 2 .+P U L S$ ..... 84
GO TO 35 ..... 841
END ..... 87
FUNCTION VELOC[SIGMA] ..... 86
PARAMETER DECK LOADED BY SUBROUTINE ..... 85
COMMON SIG[5U), EPS (50),U(50) ..... 87
$S=S I G M A$
89DO $2 \mathrm{I}=2.50$IF(SIG(I)-S)$2,3,4$
2 CONTINUE91
PRINT $1: 8$
CALL EXIT
08 FORMAT l 23H STRESS TABLE OVERFLOW.I ..... 96
4 T1= SIG(I)-SIGII-1) ..... 99
IF[T1] 55,55,70 ..... 100
55 PRINT 155
05 FORMAT 126 STRESS DATA OUT OF ORDER. 1 ..... 101 ..... 103
$T 2=U[I]-U(I-1)$

RETURN
END

000000000000100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111







 123456 P:3001

| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| SIG（1） | $\operatorname{EPS}(1)$ |
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SHOCK－FRONT VELOCITY CALCULATION． ROF $=3.44$ SIGS PFR CU．FT．$\quad$ DT $=0.01000 \mathrm{GFC}$ ． STRESS STRAIN SHK VEL （PSI）$[I N / I N]$（FPS）

| $5 .$ | $\begin{array}{r} \therefore 000000 \\ \therefore \quad 002700 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ 278.37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ． 604500 | 3.4 .96 |
| 15. | .006000 | 323.47 |
| ？ | ． 007500 | 334.09 |
| 25 | －． .090000 | 340.08 |
| 3 | $\therefore 10600$ | 354.36 |
| 35. | ． 111200 | 361.67 |
| 4 | ． 112500 | 365.98 |
| 45 | 113600 | 372.15 |
| 5 | ． 114500 | 379.92 |
| 54.28 | － 115700 | 38 C .06 |
| 57.46 | － 16400 | 382.96 |
| 61 | －17100 | 386.42 |
| 64.88 | ． 17800 | 390.60 |
| 69.18 | － 18650 | 394.57 |
| 73.94 | ． 19500 | 398.39 |
| 79．17 | － 20300 | 414.04 |
| $8 亏$. | － 21310 | $4 \cup 8.71$ |
| 91.0 | ． 22310 | 414.66 |
| 94.15 | － 23500 | 426.25 |
| ＝ | .224200 | 426.17 |
| 1. | ． 225180 | 428.30 |
| 15. | － 1261000 | 430.28 |
| 2 | ． 2 ？ 6700 | 433.74 |
| 25. | ． 27500 | 436.20 |
| 2． 3 | －． 28200 | 439.28 |
| 35 | － 29000 | 441.43 |
| 4 | ． 29600 | 444.95 |
| －5． | － 31000 | 450.05 |
| 0 | $\therefore 32100$ | 456.78 |
| 7 | （33200 | 462.97 |
| － | $\therefore .34300$ | 468.69 |
|  | ． 135200 | 475.34 |
|  | － 36200 | 48 U .90 |
| C1 | ． 137110 | 487.42 |
| 2 | － 37700 | 494.24 |
| 3 | ． 38500 | 510.07 |
| 4 | （．） 39100 | $5: 16.89$ |
| 5 | 1． 411000 | 511.49 |
| 6 | ． 144500 | 518.39 |
| 7 | ． 141100 | 524.39 |
| 68 | － 41800 | 529.53 |
| －9 | 1． 142100 | 536.98 |
| $=93$. | ． 142500 | 539.03 |
|  | ． 1.4270 | 542.31 |
| 32. | － 42900 | 542.84 |
| 35 | － 4300 | 544.89 |
| 31 | ． 435200 | 548.07 |
|  | －． 43500 | 5511.56 |

TYPICAL OUTPUT


Stress Wave Propagation Through a Laterally Constrained Column of Nonlinear Hysteretic Material
A. Description of model

The nonlinear hysteretic model was recommended by Hendron (25) on the basis of his study of the behavior of sand under uniaxial strain conditions. The model is rate-independent and energy dissipation is only due to the compaction (hysteretic) characteristics of the model.

A stress-strain curve for the nonlinear hysteretic model is shown in fig. VI-1. The initial loading curve is given by the relation

$$
\sigma=A \varepsilon^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

The unloading curve is given by the relation

$$
\sigma=B\left(\varepsilon-\epsilon_{r}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

where $A, B$, and $n$ are constants characteristic of the medium and $\epsilon_{r}$ is the residual strain. If the material is reloaded, it will follow the curve of equation VI-2 to its previous maximum stress level, $\sigma_{\max }$, corresponding to $\epsilon_{\max }$, and from then on the curve of equation VI-l. The nonlinear hysteretic model is identical with the linear hysteretic model (Chapter III) when $\mathrm{n}=1.0$.

The initial loading tangent modulus $M_{o}$ for the nonlinear hysteretic model, from equation VI-1, is given as

$$
M_{0}=\frac{d \sigma}{d \varepsilon}=A n \varepsilon^{n-1} \text { (Tangent modulus) }
$$



Fig. VI-1. Typical stress-strain curve for nonlinear hysteretic model

The unloading/reloading tangent modulus $M_{1}$, from equation VI-2, is given by

$$
M_{1}=\operatorname{Bn}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{r}\right)^{n-1}
$$

VI-4

For the conditions of shock wave propagation the initial loading wave velocity is determined by using the secant modulus of the material (see equation II-89). The initial loading secant modulus is given as

$$
M_{0}=\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}=A \varepsilon^{n-1} \text { (Secant modulus) }
$$

## B. Boundary load

The dynamic boundary load used for this model is a pulse characterized by a peak stress at the shock front and an exponentially decaying behavior thereafter (see fig. II-2) given by

$$
P(t)=P_{0} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}= & \text { the peak applied pressure } \\
t= & \text { time, the independent variable } \\
\tau= & \text { the exponential time constant (time at which the overpressure } \\
& \text { has decayed to } 0.368 P_{0} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. Solution of the problem

A numerical method developed by Heierli (26) will be used for the solution of the nonlinear hysteretic model. This procedure, of ten referred to as the method of impulses, assumes that (a) the applied pressure pulse is divided into a finite number of steps containing a certain amount of
impulse, (b) the dynamic stress-strain properties of the material are known for all conditions of loading, i.e., initial loading, unloading, and subsequent reloading and unloading, (c) each increment of stress change propagates at a velocity consistent with the secant modulus for the stress increment, (d) the impulse is conserved on an incremental basis and continuity is maintained. Using the conservation laws of mass and momentum, an expression for the change in particle velocity and stress can be obtained which, in conjunction with the propagation velocity-stress relation (obtained from the stress-strain properties of the material), can be used to determine the stress in refracted and reflected waves which propagate away from the intersection between two waves. It then becomes a bookkeeping process to compute the position of all the waves on a spacetime diagram (such as the one shown in fig. III-4) as well as the state (i.e. stress and particle velocity) existing in each zone of the diagram.

## D. Particle velocity-stress relation

The particle velocity-stress relation is determined from the consideration of the conservation laws of mass and momentum for a region of material subjected to a step change $\Delta \sigma$ in stress. This step change in stress, which moves from position $z$ to $z+\Delta z$ in a time $\Delta t$, causes an abrupt change in particle velocity from $\dot{u}$ to $\dot{u}+\Delta \dot{u}$ as shown in fig. VI-2. The strain also changes from $\varepsilon$ to $\epsilon+\Delta \varepsilon$. Assuming that the position $z$ is attached to the moving particle (Eulerian coordinate system), the conservation of mass for the element of fig. VI-2 yields

$$
\rho \Delta z=(\rho+\Delta \rho)[\Delta z-\Delta \dot{u} \Delta t]
$$



Fig. VI-2. Propagation of loading waves; relationships for
step change in stress
where $\Delta \rho$ is the change in density due to the step change $\Delta \sigma$ in stress. Equation VI-7 can be simplified to the following equation

$$
\frac{\Delta p}{\rho+\Delta p} \Delta z=\Delta \dot{u} \Delta t
$$

VI-8

From conservation of momentum

$$
\rho \Delta z \Delta \dot{u}=\Delta \sigma \Delta t
$$

where $\rho \Delta z$ is the mass involved during the momentum transfer process and $\Delta \sigma \Delta t$ is the applied impulse during the time $t=\Delta t$. The absolute (Lagrangian) wave velocity $C$ at which the step $\Delta \sigma$ travels is given by

$$
C=\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta t}+\dot{u}
$$

Using equation VI-10 in equation VI-9 gives

$$
\Delta \sigma=\rho \Delta \dot{u}(c-\dot{u})
$$

Combining equations VI-8, VI-10, and VI-11 to eliminate $\Delta \dot{u}$ and solving for $\Delta \sigma$ gives

$$
\Delta \sigma=\frac{\rho \Delta \rho}{\rho+\Delta \rho}(c-\dot{u})^{2}
$$

The step change $\Delta \sigma$ is assumed to be related to the strain change $\Delta \varepsilon$ by

$$
\Delta \sigma=M_{s} \Delta \varepsilon
$$

where $M_{S}$ is the constrained secant modulus for the stress increment $\triangle \sigma$ as shown in fig. VI-2. Since one-dimensional Eulerian strain is used

$$
\varepsilon=1-\frac{\rho_{i}}{p}
$$

in which $\rho_{i}$ is the initial mass density of the material before propagation of any waves. Using equation VI-14, it is deduced that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \varepsilon & =\left(1-\frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho+\Delta \rho}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho}\right) \\
& =\frac{\rho_{i} \Delta \rho}{\rho(\rho+\Delta \rho)}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining equations VI-13 and VI-15 gives

$$
\Delta \sigma=M_{s}\left[\frac{\rho_{i} \Delta p}{\rho(\rho+\Delta \rho)}\right]
$$

Combining equations VI-12 and VI-16 gives

$$
\rho(c-\dot{u})= \pm \sqrt{M_{s} \rho_{i}}
$$

where $\pm$ signs designate waves traveling in the positive and negative directions, respectively. Using equation VI-17 in equation VI-11 gives

$$
\Delta \dot{u}= \pm \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sqrt{M_{s} \rho_{i}}}
$$

Equation VI-18 relates the change in particle velocity due to a step change in stress. The integral form of equation VI-18 is given as

$$
\Delta \dot{u}=\int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+\Delta \sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{\sqrt{M_{s} \rho_{i}}}
$$

For the boundary load considered in this problem (equation VI-6) and the loading stress-strain relation given by equation VI-I, the initial
loading stress pulse will propagate into the medium as a shock. The velocity of propagation of the initial loading stress wave is then determined from the secant modulus given by equation VI-5. In terms of stress, equation VI-5 can be written as (using equation VI-1)

$$
M_{S}=A^{\frac{1}{n}} \sigma^{\frac{n-1}{n}}
$$

Substitution of equation VI-20 into equation VI-18 results in the following expression for the particle velocity-stress relation for the initial loading

$$
\dot{\mathrm{u}}=\frac{\sigma^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}} A^{\frac{1}{2 n}}} \text { (initial loading) }
$$

VI-21
where $\sigma$ corresponds to the peak stress at the wave front ( $\sigma=P_{0}$ at the surface). During unloading from a stress level $\sigma$ to $\sigma_{j-1}$, the incremental change in particle velocity can be obtained from equation VI-19 where $M_{S}$ is determined from equation VI-4. In terms of stress, equation VI-4 becomes

$$
M_{l}=M_{s}=n B^{\frac{1}{n}} \sigma^{\frac{n-l}{n}}
$$

Substituting from equation VI-22 into equation VI-19 and integrating from $\sigma_{j-1}$ to $\sigma_{j}$, results in the following expression for the change in particle velocity due to a step change $\left(\sigma_{j}-\sigma_{j-1}\right)$ in stress during unloading or reloading

$$
\dot{u}_{j, j-1}=\frac{\left(\sigma_{j-1}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}-\sigma_{j}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\rho_{i} n} B^{\frac{1}{2 n}}\left(\frac{1+n}{2 n}\right)} \text { (unloading/reloading) }
$$

## E. Wave velocity-stress relation

The wave-velocity, $C_{0}$, for the initial stress wave (a shock) is determined from the secant modulus given by equation VI-5, that is,

$$
C_{0}=\left(\frac{A \epsilon^{n-1}}{\rho_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In terms of stress, equation VI- 24 becomes

$$
C_{0}=\binom{\frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{\frac{A^{n} \sigma}{\rho_{i}}}^{1 / 2} \quad \text { (initial loading) }
$$

where, as in equation VI-2l, $\sigma$ corresponds to the peak stress.
The wave velocity for the unloading or reloading stress wave (from $\sigma_{j}$ to $\sigma_{j-1}$ ) is determined from equation VI-2 (the unloading/reloading stress-strain relation), that is

$$
c_{j, j-1}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}\right)}{\left(\epsilon_{j-1}-\epsilon_{j}\right) \rho_{i}}}
$$

Note that the initial mass density is used in equation VI-26; this is satisfactory when the strains are small. From equation VI-2

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{j} & =\epsilon_{r}+\left(\frac{\sigma_{j}}{B}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
\epsilon_{j-l} & =\epsilon_{r}+\left(\frac{\sigma_{j-1}}{B}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting from equation VI-27 into equation VI-26 and simplifying results in the following relation

$$
c_{j, j-1}=\frac{B^{\frac{1}{2 n}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}}{\frac{1}{n}-\sigma_{j}^{\frac{1}{n}}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The radical in equation VI-28 may be expanded (18) in terms of $\sigma_{j-1}+\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}$ to give
$\left(\frac{\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}}{\sigma_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{n}}-\sigma_{j}^{\frac{1}{n}}}\right)^{-1 / 2}=\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\sigma_{j}+\sigma_{j-1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2 n}}$

$$
\left[1-\frac{1}{12} 1-\frac{1}{n}\left(2-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}}{\sigma_{j-1}+\sigma_{j}}\right)^{2}+\ldots \quad\right. \text { VI-29 }
$$

If $\frac{\sigma_{j-1}-\sigma_{j}}{\sigma_{j-I}+\sigma_{j}}<I / 4$ the first term of equation VI-29 is sufficient to give an accuracy of one percent. Then the wave velocity, equation VI-28, for the above conditions becomes

$$
C_{j, j-l}=\frac{B^{\frac{l}{2 n}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}}} n\left(\frac{\sigma_{j}+\sigma_{j-l}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-l}{2 n}} \quad \text { (unloading/reloading) } \quad \text { VI-30 }
$$

F. Intersection relations

Consider the space-time diagram shown in fig. VI-3. The input pressure time is approximated by a series of step changes at the appropriate times $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots$. The first step is given as $P_{0}$ at time $t_{0}$ followed by a decrease in pressure equal to $\Delta P=P_{0}-P_{1}$ at time $t_{1}$. The stress in the first zone of the spacetime diagram is equal to $P_{0}$ (the applied pressure during the first time interval).

$$
\sigma_{1}=P_{0}
$$

The particle velocity in the same zone is obtained from equation VI-21


Fig. VI-3. 'Space-time diagram
which expresses the relation between stress and velocity across a shock-front

$$
\dot{u}_{1}=\frac{P_{0}^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}} A^{\frac{l}{2 n}}}
$$

At time $t_{1}$ a decrease in pressure occurs at the surface and causes an unloading wave to travel into the medium. The stress behind this unloading front (in zone 2) is

$$
\sigma_{2}=P_{1}
$$

The particle velocity, $\dot{u}_{2}$, in zone 2 is determined by computing the change in velocity $\Delta \dot{u}$ due to the step change $P_{0}-P_{1}$ in stress, that is

$$
\dot{u}_{2}=\dot{u}_{1}+\Delta \dot{u}_{12}
$$

where $\Delta \dot{u}_{12}$ is determined from equation VI-23 by substituting equations VI-31 and VI-33 for $\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j-1}$ respectively

The unloading stress wave, $P_{o}-P_{I}$, governed by the unloading stressstrain curve (equation VI-2), travels with a velocity determined from equation VI-30 which is higher than the initial loading wave velocity given by equation VI-25. The unloading wave therefore overtakes the loading wave and the intersection of these waves produces a reloading (reflected) wave which propagates back toward the surface and another (refracted) wave which continues on to greater depths. The region between these two wave-fronts
has a single stress and a single particle velocity.
The intersection equations can be derived by considering the uniqueness of particle velocity at the point of intersection. If regions above and below the interaction of the two waves are denoted by $a$ and $b$, respectively, and the common region by $c$ as shown in fig. VI-4, the particle velocities above and below the common region c are given by

$$
\dot{u}_{c}=\dot{u}_{a}-\Delta \dot{u}_{a c} \text { (above) }
$$

and

$$
\dot{u}_{c}=\dot{u}_{b}+\Delta \dot{u}_{b c}(b e l o w)
$$

where $\Delta \dot{u}_{a c}$ is the change in particle velocity caused by changing the stress from $\sigma_{a}$ to $\sigma_{c}$ and $\Delta \dot{u}_{b c}$ is similarly defined. These particle velocity increments are determined from equation VI-2l for initial loading or equation VI-23 for reloading or unloading. The stress $\sigma_{c}$ is determined from the simultaneous solution of equations VI-36 and VI-37.

The stress $\sigma_{3}$ and the particle velocity $\dot{u}_{3}$ of the third zone in the space-time diagram in fig. VI-3 will be determined utilizing the intersection equations VI-36 and VI-37; $\sigma_{2}$ and $\dot{u}_{2}$, given by equations VI-33 and VI-34, respectively, correspond with $\sigma_{a}$ and $\dot{u}_{a}$ in fig. VI-4; $\sigma_{3}$ and $\dot{u}_{3}$ correspond with $\sigma_{c}$ and $\dot{u}_{c}$, and $\sigma_{b}$ and $\dot{u}_{b}$ are zero because the intersection occurs at the leading edge of the shock-front. The particle velocity change $\Delta \dot{u}_{a c}$ is given by equation VI- 23 and $\dot{u}_{b c}$ is given by equation VI-21. From equation VI-21


Fig. VI-4. Intersection of unloading wave with loading wave in space time

$$
\Delta \dot{u}_{b c}=\frac{\sigma_{3}^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}}{{\sqrt{\rho_{i}}}^{\frac{1}{2 n}}}
$$

From equation VI-23

$$
\Delta \dot{u}_{a c}=\frac{\left(\sigma_{3}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}-\sigma_{2}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\rho_{i} n} B^{\frac{1}{2 n}}\left(\frac{1+n}{2 n}\right)}
$$

Substituting from equations VI-38 and VI-39 into equations VI-37 and VI-36, respectively, and eliminating $\dot{u}_{3}=\dot{u}_{c}$ gives

$$
\frac{\sigma_{3}^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}}{{\sqrt{\rho_{i}}}^{A^{\frac{1}{2 n}}}}=\dot{u}_{2}-\frac{\left(\sigma_{3}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}-\sigma_{2}^{\frac{1+n}{2 n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\rho_{i} n} B^{\frac{1}{2 n}}\left(\frac{1+n}{2 n}\right)}
$$

Equation VI-40 can be solved for $\sigma_{3}$. The particle velocity $\dot{u}_{3}$ can then be determined from equation VI-38

$$
\dot{u}_{3}=\frac{\sigma_{3}^{\frac{n+1}{2 n}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}} A^{\frac{1}{2 n}}}
$$

The process just demonstrated can be continued indefinitely; successively finding the stress at the surface from the boundary conditions, computing the new velocity, taking into account the change of stress, and then analyzing the interactions from unloading waves overtaking the initial loading wave. To obtain more accuracy the time between $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ should be divided into finer divisions as shown in fig. VI-5. The


Fig. VI-5. Space-time diagram for a fine mesh
intersections in this case are not restricted to the simple pattern of fig. VI-3. The intersection equations for an intersection which is not along the leading shock-front are also based on equations VI-36 and VI-37. The stress $\sigma_{b}$ and the particle velocity $\dot{u}_{b}$ are no longer zero and $\Delta \dot{u}_{a c}$ and $\Delta \dot{u}_{b c}$ are both determined from equation VI-23.
G. Computer program

A computer code, based on the foregoing analysis as related to the problem depicted in fig. VI-5, is available to compute the stress and particle velocity histories at selected depths in a homogeneous non-linear hysteretic medium. The code was originally written at Stanford Research Institute in AIGOL language (26) and has been translated to FORTRAN II language for a GE-225 computer with 8 K memory. The mechanics of the code are described in references 18 and 26.

Output parameters are given in terms of the following nondimensional quantities

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
S & =\frac{\sigma}{P_{0}} & \text { VI-42 } \\
T & =\frac{t}{\tau} & \text { VI-43 } \\
V & =\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{u}_{1}} & \text { VI-44 } \\
Z & =\frac{z}{\tau C_{0}} & \text { VI-45 } \\
\alpha=\frac{I}{} \begin{array}{lr}
\left.\frac{1}{B}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 n}} & \text { VI-46 } \\
I &
\end{array}{ }^{\left.\frac{I}{B}\right)^{2 n}} &
\end{array}
$$

where $S, T, V$, and $Z$ are the nondimensional stress, time, particle velocity, and depth respectively; $\dot{u}_{1}$ is particle velocity corresponding to the peak overpressure given by equation VI-32 and $C_{o}$ is the wave velocity of a shock wave with a stress $\sigma=P_{0}$ determined from equation VI-25. Equation VI-46 is identical with the definition of $\alpha$ in equation III-25 if the tangent moduli and wave velocities are taken at one stress level. It is used here as a measure of the hysteretic energy loss.

The input variables consist of the following:

| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| NX | Number of depths of interest |
| $\mathrm{ZA}(\mathrm{N})$ | Specific depths of interest (ft) |
| B | $\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}, \alpha$ is given by the equation |
|  | VI-46 (this B should not be confused with the $B$ used in the body of the paper) |
| P | Stress-strain curvature parameter $n$ (see fig. VI-I) |
| Q | Dummy number $=1$ |
| EPS | Acceptable error level $\approx 0.0005$ |
| DT | Time increment (sec), a constant |
| T21 | ```Initiation time for the first unloading wave (sec), t in in fig. VI-5``` |
| TEND | Terminating time (sec) |

The input sequence and formats are as follows:
(A) $[\mathrm{NX},(\mathrm{ZA}(\mathrm{N}), \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NX})]$
$[I 10,7 \mathrm{FlO} 3,(8 \mathrm{FlO} .3)]$
(B) $[B, P, Q, E P S, D T, T 2 I, T E N D]$
(8Fl0.3)
The output variables are given in three groups as follows:
Group 1. Input data from card form (B) of the input variables followed by some intermediate calculations for debugging purposes.

Group 2. Input data from card form (A) of the input variables.
Group 3. Nondimensional stress (S), time ( $\mathbb{T}$ ), particle velocity (V), and depth ( $Z$ ), given by equations VI-42, VI-43, VI-44, and VI-45, respectively.

A complete FORTRAN II listing of the program and an example of typical input and the resulting output is presented in Appendix VI.

APPENDIX VI
NONLINEAR HYSTERETIC MODEL

```
*FIZ, OCT 9 /OCT 9 , CARD *AAU* FLOATING POINT
```



```
    C
    101 00 103 J=1.11
            DO 102 K=1,11
            SlJ.KJ=0.0 0.059
            T(J,K)=0.n 0060
            V_t.K\_0.0 0061
    102Z\J.K)=0.0 006?
```



```
    C
    C ** READ AND PRINT INPUT PARAMETERS O
        0 0 6 4
    READ 2,B,P,Q,EPS,DT,T12,11,TEND
    PRINT 3
    PRINT A&R&P.A.EPSEDTETI2:11,TEND
    C 0070
    C * COMPUTE CONSTANTS 0071
    VN=0.5*1./(2.*P)
    CN=0.5-1./(2.*P)
    SN = SARTFIP)
    SM = SORTF(O)
    BSN = B/SN
    0 0 7 7
    BSR = BSN/VN
    RM = 2.*SM/ (0+1.)
    ALD= (1-B*SM/SNI/II*B*SM/SNI 0080
    PRINT 1000,VN,CNESN,SM,BSN,BSR,RM,ALP
        S{1.1) = 1.0
        081
        J=2
            T{J,2)=T{J,1) + 2.*DT 0083
        n08?
        104 IE[ABSFIIIN,21-T\J,11]-DT1110,110,99
    C
    0085
C_** COMPUTE INTERSECTIONS ALONG AN UNLOADING WAVE . 0.086.
        99 DO 109_K=1.J
    C
                    IFIK-11105,105,106
                                n987
                                0088
                                0089
            T(J,k)=T(2,1) + (J-2)*nT
            S[1,J)= EXPF[-ALP*T(J,1)/i1,+ALP1)
            S(J,1)=EXPF(-T\J,1))
            V{J,K) = {RM-BSR\*S{1,J!**VN + BSR*S{J,1!**VN
            Z J,1)=0.
                        0095
            GO IO 109
                096
C
    -106 IFIK=J1108,107,107
    n097
    0098
        107S{J,K)={V{J,K-1)*BSR*S{J,K-1)**VN]/[RSR*RM)
            V(J,K)=S{J,K)*RM
            S{J,K)= EXPF{LOGF{SIJ,K\)/VN)
```



```
            CL=SM* (IS (J-1,K-1)+SIJ,K) |/2.)**CN
            IIJ,K]={Z(J-1,K-1)-Z(J,K-1)+T(J,K-1) *CU-T(J-1,K-1)*CL)/(CU-CL) 0104
            Z(J,K)= Z(J-1,k-1)+(TIJ,K)-T(J-1,k-1) )*CL
            GO TO 109
                0105
                                    0 1 0 6
C
        108 StJ.K)=0.5*(SIJ,K-1)**VN*S(J-1,K)**VNI* (0,5/BSR)* (V(J,K-1)=
            IV(.j-1,k))
```



```
            S{J,K)= EXPF{LOGF{S\J,K\!/VN)
            Cu}=(SN/B)*{(S[J,K-1)+S(J,K))//2.)**C
            CL = (SN/B)* ({S{J-1,K) + S(J,Kl)/2.)**CN
            TIJ,K)={Z(J-1,K)-Z (J,K-1)+T{J,K-1)*CU+T(J-1,K)*CL)/(CU+CL) 0114
```



```
C
n176
    121 PRINT 1000,J,K,SIJ,K),TI.I,K);VIJ,K),T(J,K),CU,CL
        IFIJ-11)122,123,123
        122J= J+1
        GO TO 112
        123 NJ = J
C
C*** PROCEDIJRE INTERPOLATE AND PRINT
C
    PRINT 1001
    PRINT 1000,(ZAIN),N=1,NXI
    CALL INTERF
C
    DO 124 K=1, JMAX
        S{1,K)=S{J,K)
        T(1,K)=T(J,K)
        V(1,k)=V(J,k)
        124 Z{1,K)= Z(J,K)
        J = 2
C
C*** GO TO START
        GO TO }11
        125 NJ = J
        PRINT 1000,NX,NJ,JMAX
        CALL INTERP
    C
C*** GO TO AGAIN INEXT CASEI
    GO TO 101
    CAIL EXIT
C
C*** HALT
C
    END
    04172. 15352
    , KP N000%OO
S CO17404 n0400n3
T 0017022 0040006
v 0016440 0040011
z 0016056 0040014
SA N015736 n020050
TA 0015616 n020050
VA n015476 n020050
ZA n015356 (.020050
NJ 0015355
NX 0015354
JMAX On15353
100001 0n00040
1000020700050
100003 ONOCO53
100004 n100115
/01000 0NOO122
101001 0n00127
/00100 0000142
N 00COn17
+00001 0500021
```

SURROUTINE INTFRP
C
PROCEDURE INTERPOLATE

```
    218 KT = J+1
        M = K-1
        ST = I7A(N)-Z(KT,M)]/(ZI,j,K)-Z(KT,M))
        SA(N) = S(KT,M)+ST*(S{J,K)-S{KT,M))
        TA(N)=T(KT,M)+ST* (TIJ,K)-T(KT,M))
        VA[N)_V[KT,M) &ST*(VIJ,K)-V(KT,M))
        GO TO 221
219K = K-1
    22n M = K
        S.L=(ZA(N)-ZIJ,K)|/(Z|J+1,M)-Z(J,K))
        SA(N)=S(J,K)+ST*(S(J+1,M)-S(J,K))
        TA(N)=T(J,K)+ST*(T(J+1,M)-T(J,K))
        VA(N)=V(J,K)+ST*(V{J+1,M)-V{J,K)}
        221 CONTINUE
        C 0067
        GOM}=\mp@subsup{N}{\mathrm{ NO 223}}{M
    222 M = N-1
    223 PR[NT 3,J,ISA[N),TA[N),VA[N),ZA[N],N=1,M)
    224 CONTINUE
C
    RETUPN_
    0074
n075
    END
INTERP 0000012
S 0017404 00400n3
T
v 0016440 0040017
z
SA 0015736 0020050
TA 0015616 n020050
VA n015476 n020050
7A 0015356 0020050
NJ 0015355
NX 0015354
JMAX 0.15353
100001 0000045
100002 0300112
100003 0000156
J 0000025
+0.0001 0000026
N 0000027
100201 0000230
100200 0.00210
100202 0000250
1002n8 0500572
100206 0000535
M COOON32
KT 0000033
100203 0100275
100204 00003n4
K 0000034
ST 0000036
    1002050000523
100207 0000540
NJ1 0000350
100211 0000662
100209 0000621
100213 0200723
```

```
    1001010000165
    J 0000022
    -00012 00.00023
    K 0000024
    0.0 00000n26
    100102 0000224
    100103 0100243
    B 0000030
    P 0000n32
    O 0000034
EPS COODP62
DT 0000264
+000020000266
TEND .0.0n00270
VN 0000272
0.5 0000274
1. }000027
2. 0000300
CN COOO3O4
SN 0000310
SQRTF 0140410 EXT PROG
SM 0000412
BSN 0000414
BSR 0000416
RM 0000420
ALP 0000422
1.0 0000430
100104 0000576
ABSF n140432 EXT PROG
100110 0002206
100099 nnOO623
100105 0n00633
00106 on01045
EXPF 0140434 EXT PROG
,IR C140710 EXT PROG
0. 0000712
1001090002061
100108 0001414
00107 0001053
LOGF 0140714
    EXT PROG
CU COOn716
CL 0000720
100111 0n02271
/00112 0.02321
1C011440002343
100113 0.02337
100125 onO4122
100115 0n02353
100118 nnO2655
ST 0001516
100117 OnN2565
/00116 0702435
C 0001520
/00121 0n03666
100120 OnO3250
100119 0n02663
+00011 0n03164
/00122 0n03756
/00123 ono3762
INTERP 0103166 EXT PROG
```

| 31 | 0.3 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 9.1 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NX | $\mathrm{ZA}(1)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(2)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(3)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(4)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(5)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(6)$ | $\mathrm{ZA}(7)$ |

0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000080000000000000000

 22222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222 222 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}3333333 & 3333 & 3333333 & 33333333 & 33333333 & 33333333 & 3333333 & 3333333 & 3333\end{array}$
 55555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555

 $888888888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 888888888888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 8888$ 99999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999


| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$Z A$ (8) $\quad Z A(9) \quad Z A(10) \quad Z A(11) \quad Z A(12) \quad Z A(13) \quad Z A(14) \quad Z A(15)$
000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 11111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3333 & 3333333 & 33333333 & 33333333 & 33333333 & 3333333 & 3333333 & 33333333 & 3333\end{array}$
 55555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555 666666666666666666666666566666666666666666666666666666666666666666666666666666
 $88888 \quad 888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 88888888 \quad 888888818 \quad 888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 88888888 \quad 8889$ 99999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999


Typical input nonlinear hysteretic model (sheet l of 2)

| 19.3 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 24.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2A (16)
2A (17)
ZA (18)
ZA (19)
ZA (20) ZA (21)
ZA (22)
ZA (23)

 111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 22222222222222 22222222222222222222222222222222222222 22222222222222222222 $33333 \quad 33333333 \quad 33333333$ 333333333 $33333333 \quad 33333333 \quad 33333333 \quad 33333333 \quad 3333$
 55555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555.555555555555555555555555555555 66666E6666666666666666666666666666 666666666666666666666666666666666666666666666
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}88888 & 888888888 & 888888888 & 888888888 & 88888888 & 888888888 & 888888888 & 888888888 & 8888\end{array}$



| ZA (24) | ZA (25) | ZA. (26) | ZA (27) | ZA (28) | ZA. (29) | ZA (30) | ZA (31) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222 $333 \quad 3 \quad 33333333 \quad 33333333$ 333333333 333333333 333333333 $33333333 \quad 33333333 \quad 3333$ $4444444444444 \quad 444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444$ $55555555555555555555555 \quad 55555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555$ 666666666666666666666666666666666 6666666666666666666666666666666666666666666666
 $88888 \quad 888888888888888888 \quad 888888888 \quad 88888888888888888888888888888888888 \quad 8888$ 9999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999995999999999999999999999999999999
 ux [86

Typical input nonlinear hysteretic model (sheet 2 of 2 )





22222222222222 2222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222

 5555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555 555555555555555






Typical input nonlinear hysteretic model

| － 8 | P | 0 | EPS $\quad$ nt | T T21 | tend |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.66667 | 2.00000 | 1.00000 | $0.00010 \quad 0.00$ | $0040 \quad 0.00100$ | O 15．00000 |  |  |
| $7.500707 E-01$ | 2．50000nE－01 | 1．414214E＊00 | 1．070000E＊00 | 4．714045E－01 | 6．2R5394E－04 | 1.000000 E 00 | 3．59245غ̇E－01 |
| －2．030 000 E 00 | 1．${ }^{\text {NOOORCEDOO }}$ | $9.990005 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{n1}$ | 1 1．0n0000E－03 | $9.994551 \mathrm{E}=01$ | O．ORONOOF－09 | －6．2R9766E－49 | －6．28976AE－40 |
| 2.000700 E 00 | 2． 100000 E00 | 9．991681E－01 | 1．892017E－n3 | $9.993761 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 1．891a20E－0． | $2.120835 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.99896 EE－01 |
| 3．000～00E＊00 | 1． 200000 E O00 | 9.986 C10E－01 | $1.470000 \mathrm{E}=03$ | $9.992373 E-01$ | 0．000nO0E－0， | 2．120835E＊00 | 9.99896 EE－01 |
| $3.000700 E=00$ | 2．30000CE＊OC | 9．987373E－01 | 2．092056E－03 | $9.991730 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1．467584E＝0． | 2．120614E＊00 | 2．12076¢E•00 |
| 3．00nnOCE＊00 |  | $9.988356 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2．648940E－03 | $9.991266 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2．648554E－03 | 2．120676E00 | $9.997504 \mathrm{E}=01$ |
| 4．000700E 000 | 1． $120000 E O D$ | $9.982 \sim 16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1．870000E－03 | $9.990195 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 0．000000E－09 | $2.120676 \mathrm{~F}+00$ | 9．997504E－01 |
|  | 2． | $9.983767 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2．292085E－03 | 9．989699E－01 | 1．n43415E－03 | $2.120394 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.12053 \mathrm{AE}+00$ |
| 4．000700E－00 | 3．nOROONE + OR | $9.984050 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2．848996E－03 | $9.989236 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 2．224316E－03 | $2.120448 \mathrm{~F}+00$ | 2．12058aE＊00 |
| $4.090700 E$ OO | 4．${ }^{\text {a }}$ ， 000000 EOR | $9.985032 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．4n5929E－03 | $9.988772 \mathrm{E-01}$ | 3．4n5991E－03 | 2.120500 E －00 | 9.99667 PE－01 |
| 5．000n00E－ | 1．2n0000E＊00 | $9.978024 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2．200000E－03 | $9.988018 \mathrm{E}-01$ | O．ODONOOE－01 | 2．120500E＊00 | 9.99667 JE－01 |
| 5．000900E000 | 2．000000E＊OC | $9.978763 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2．492104E－03 | $9.987669 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $6.193122 E=04$ | 2．120174E＊00 | 2．120307E＊00 |
| 5．000－AOEETON | 3．70000nE＊OO | $9.979745 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．049043E－03 | $9.987206 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1．800145E－03 | $2.120219 \mathrm{E}+0$ O | 2.12036 E 000 |
| 5．000－DIOEOOR | C．AnNOOOEAOR | $9.980727 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.676003 \mathrm{E}=03$ | $9.986742 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.981051 \mathrm{~F}=03$ | $2.120271 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．12041矿00 |
| 5．000～OOESOO | 5．300000E＊OO | $9.981709 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4．162985E－03 | $9.986279 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.162 \mathrm{n} 32 \mathrm{E}=0 \mathrm{X}$ | $2.120324 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.99584 EE－01 |
| 2．000nOOE000 | 1．200000E000 | 9．972197E－01 | 2．784209E－03 | 9．984572E－01 | 0．000000E－01 | 2．120324E＊00 | 9．99584nE－01 |
| 2．000nOOE－00 | 2． $200000 E=00$ | $9.973179 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．341201E－03 | $9.984109 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $1.180750 \mathrm{E}=0 \mathrm{~S}$ | $2.119870 \mathrm{E}+0$ O | 2．12007iE＊00 |
| 2．00～NOOE 000 | 3．ADNOOOE 000 | $9.974161 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．898203E－03 | 9．983645 E－01 | 2．361552F－03 | 2．119923E＊00 | 2．120123E＊00 |
| 2.000 ROOEPOC | 4．7nOOOOE＊ON | $9.975143 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4．455227E－03 | $9.983182 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．542428E－03 | $2.119975 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．120175E＊00 |
| 2．0nOnOOE．00 | 5．InNOORE 000 | $9.976640 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.268831 \mathrm{E}=03$ | $9.982475 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5．247302E－03 | 2．120041E＊OD | 9.99479 E－01 |
| 3．0nOnOEE000 | 1．9nOODOE 0 OR | $9.961094 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3．898192E－03 | 9．978407E－01 | 0．0nORDOE－01 | 2.120041 E 00 | 9.99479 TE－01 |
| 3．000NODEOOO | 2． 000000 E 0 O | $9.962076 E-01$ | 4．455311E－03 | $9.977944 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 1．1AnA91E－0． | $2.119280 \mathrm{E}+$ On | 2．11962AE＊00 |
| $3.000 \sim 00 E \rightarrow 00$ | 3．DORORNE＊OO | 9.963 C57E－01 | 5．012412E－03 | $9.977481 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2．361373E－03 | 2．119332E＊00 | 2.11968 E\＆ 00 |
| 3．090～OOE－00 | 4．AnOOOOEPOR | $9.964555 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5．826129E－03 | $9.976774 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4．085063E－03 | 2．119398E＊00 | 2.119750 E－00 |
| $3.000700 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5．10nOOOE＊ON | $9.967311 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.377840 E－03 | $9.975473 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 7．374A32E－03 | 2．119511E＋00 | 9．99298うE－01 |
| －$\overline{4}$－$\cap$ OTOOE：00 | 1．00000nE－00 | $9.950001 \mathrm{E-01}$ | $5.012430 \mathrm{E}=03$ | $9.972246 \mathrm{E}=01$ | O．000nOOE－09 | 2．219511E＊00 | 9．99298うE－01 |
| 4．OnnIODE＊OO | 2． $200000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.950982 \mathrm{C-O1}$ | 5．569647E－03 | 9．971782E－01 | 1．180571E－0， | 2．118690E＊00 | 2．11903FE＊00 |
| 4．000nOOE．00 | 3．OORODOE 000 | $9.952479 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6．383477E－03 | 9．971076E－01 | $2.904878 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2．118756E＊00 | 2．119117E•On |


| 0 | 4.000000E*00 | $9.955235 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 03 | 01 | 03 | $2.118869 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.119264E00h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O00nOOE +00 | 5. 000000 E On | $9.957989 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.457854 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1 | 03 | 0 | 1 |
| $5.000 \sim 00 E * 00$ | 1.70nOOOE*On | $9.938919 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.1 | $9.966088{ }^{8}-01$ | 0.0n0000E-01 | $2.119016 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
| $5.000700 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.000000E 00 | $9.940415 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 6.940847E-03 | $9.965381 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $1.724105 \mathrm{E}=0 \mathrm{x}$ |  |  |
| $5.000 \sim 00 E \sim 00$ | 3.70n000E000 | $9.943170 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.492989E-03 | $9.964081 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 11 |  |  |
| 5.00~700E.00 | 4. 2000 | 9.945924E-01 | $1.004566 \mathrm{E}=02$ | $9.962781 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 8.3n1n21E-03 |  |  |
| 5.000 T00E000 | 5. 10000 | 9 | 1.159877E-02 | $9.961482 \mathrm{E}=0$ | $1.159132 \mathrm{E}=02$ | 2.118520E*00 | 01 |
| 6.000 | 1.000000E*On | 9.922752E-01 | 7.754828 | .957040E-01 | OODOOE-01 | 2.118520E*00 | 01 |
| 6.000 | 2.3nOOOOE 000 | $9.925506 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.307370E-03 | $9.955740 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7175 E | 2.117285E*00 | 00 |
| OOOnODE*O | 3.000000E*00 | $9.92{ }^{8} 258 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.086035E-02 | 9.954440 E | $6.575510 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0 | 0 |
| $6.000 \sim 00 E+00$ | 4.300000E*00 | D8E-01 | 1.24137AE-02 | 953141 | A65n16E-0 | 7579E*00 | 00 |
| 6.000 NOO | 5.n00000E | $9.935038 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.468334E-02 | 9.95123 |  | 2:117759E*00 | 1 |
| $\overline{7.00 n n O E E+00 ~}$ | 1.000000 E | 9.891988E-01 | 045991E-02 | 93990 | .000000E-01 | 00 | 09 |
| 7.000nOOE 000 | 2. $\cap \cap O O O O E * 00$ | $9.894739{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-01$ | 24 |  | $3.287468 \mathrm{~F}=0 \mathrm{~S}$ | 0 | $2.11661{ }^{\text {I E }}$ +00 |
| $7.000200 E * 00$ | 3.9000 | 9.897487 | 1.396783E-02 | $9.937305 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.575471 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.115780 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.11675 AE +00 |
| 7.000000 E 00 |  | $9.901513 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.623827 E | 935402 E | 1.137066 E | $2.115970 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | $2.11697 \mathrm{xE}=00$ |
| 7.000700 E +00 | 5. nOnOORE | 9.909157E-01 | 2.056977E-02 | $9.931790 \mathrm{E}=0$ | $054633 \mathrm{E}=02$ | 2.116282E*00 | 9.98046 FE-09 |
| 8.000nOOE 000 | 1. NROOOOE 000 | 9.861294E-01 | 1.396769E-02 | $9.922789 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $0.000000 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 2.116282E*00 | 9.980467E-09 |
| 8.000NOOE000 | $2.000000 E * 00$ | $9.864 \cap 41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.552262E-02 | 9.921490E-01 | 3.2n7135E-03 | 2.113999E*00 | 2.11496aE*On |
| 8. 000 NOOE 000 | 3.700000E*00 | 9.868063E-01 | 1.779394E-02 | 9.919588E-01 | 8.089122E-03 | 2.114181E*00 | 2.115184E*O0 |
| 8.00RTOCE 000 | 4.300000E-00 | 9.875701E-01 | 2.212713E-02 | $9.915976 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $1.725160 \mathrm{E}=02$ | 2.114493E*00 | 2.11559うE*00 |
| -8.00nM00E*00 | $5 \cdot 700000 \mathrm{E} 00$ | 9.883330E-01 | $2.646538 \mathrm{E}=02$ | 12369 | 2.642659E-02 | 2-114902 ${ }^{+00}$ | 973950 E-01 |
| 9.000700 E 00 | 1.0000nOE*00 | 9.830674 | 707756E-02 | 05701E-01 | O00000E-01 | 2.114902E*00 | $9.973950 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $9.0000^{\text {P }} 000000$ | 2.7000 | 9 | 3500 | $9.903798 \mathrm{E}=01$ | $4.800424 \mathrm{E}=03$ | 2.112391E*00 | $2.113394 \mathrm{E}=000$ |
| 9.00 OnOO | 3.700000E*00 | 9 | 2.368493E-02 | $9.900186 \mathrm{E}-0 \overline{1}$ | 395871E-0? | $2.112704 \mathrm{E}=00$ | .i13R0ȦE*OO |
| $9.008 \cap O 0 E=00$ | 4.700000E000 | 9.849948E-01 | 2.802487E-02 | $9.896579 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 2.312050F-02 | $2.113113 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.11421DE*On |
| 9.00~NOCE 000 | 5.300000E=00 | $9.857560 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.236908E-02 | 9.802978E-01 | 3.231107E=0? | $2.113522 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.96745 TE-01 |
| 1.000n00E001 | 1. 000000 E00 | 786095E-01 | 2.162257E-02 | 9.880779E-01 | 0.000000E=01 | 2.113522E*00 | $9.96745 \times \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 1.000~NOEEOS | 2.700000E-00 | 93718E-01 | 2.596055 E | 9.877166E-01 | 9.153512E-03 | 2.110090E*00 | 2.111608E*00 |
| 1.000 OOOE 01 | 3.700000E*00 | .801331E-01 | 3.030296E-02 | 9.873560E-01 | 1.831816E-02 | 2.110500E*00 | 2.112017E*00 |
| 1.000 $000 \mathrm{EOO1}$ | 4.00000nE*OO | 9.808933E-01 | 3.464963E-02 | 9.869958E-01 | 2.749360E-02 | 2.110910E*00 | 2.11242TE000 |
| 1.000 OOOE001 | 5.00000nE*OO | 9.820019E-01 | 4.100461E=02 | 9.864708E-01 | 4. $091159 \mathrm{E}=0$ ? | 2.111412E*00 | 9.959451 E-01 |
| 1.100n00E-01 | 1.OnOOOOEOOR | 9.701559E-01 | 3.029852E-02 | 9.833444E-01 | 0.000000E-01 | 2.111412E+00 | 9.95945, E-01 |


| 0.000700E-01 | 2. 100000 -02 | 4.000000E-02 | 6.050000 E-02 | 8.000000E-02 | 1.000000E001 | 2.000000E-01 | 4.00000 ${ }^{\text {E E O }} 01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6.000700 \mathrm{E}=01$ | 8. 700000 -01 | $1.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2. $010000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.000000 E * 00$ | $6.000000 E+00$ | $8.000000 E * 00$ | 1.00000NE:01 |
| 1.200000E*O1 | $1.400000 E * 01$ | 1.600000E*01 | $1.800000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.000000E*O1 | 2.200000E*01 | 2.400000E*01 | 3.00000 E®O1 |
| 4.000700 E 01 | 5. 30n 00 NE* 01 | 6.000000E*01 | 7.000000E-01 | 8. $000000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9. 000000 EOOS | $1.000000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |


**** INTRRPOLATION BETWEEN WAVES *e***


## A. Purpose

A one-dimensional wave propagation problem in a typical soil is solved herein utilizing the computer programs presented in Chapter III, V and VI for the linear hysteretic model, the nonlinear locking model and the nonlinear hysteretic model respectively, in order to compare the resulting solutions with a finite difference solution (28) of the same problem in which the "actual" stress-strain curve for the soil is used.

The stress-strain relation for the soil under consideration is shown in fig. VII-l. During the early stages of loading the stress-strain curve is concave downward indicating a "plastic" behavior of the material. However, as the stress increases further, the curve becomes concave upward indicating a "strain-hardening" behavior of the material. At stress levels higher than those indicated in fig. VII-l, the tangent modulus will generally continue to increase. During unloading the soil exhibits an extremely stiff behavior at all stress levels except near zero where a sharp breaking tail is evident. The result of a complete load cycle is a considerably large permanent strain.

The overpressure-time relation used for the comparative studies is shown in fig. VII-2 and is described by

$$
P(t)=700 e^{-t / 38}
$$

where $P(t)$ is expressed in psi and $t$ is expressed in msec. The initial mass density of the soil is $P=3.66$ slugs $/ \mathrm{ft}^{3}$.


Fig. VII-I. Uniaxial strain relation of real soil used for model comparison study.


Fig. VII-2. Overpressure-time relation for model comparison study.
B. Approximations to the soil
stress-strain curve with the various models
The approximations to the stress-strain curve of fig. VII-1 for the various models are shown in figs. VII-3 through VII-6. Fig. VII-3 depicts the piecewise-linear fit which was used to obtain the finite difference solution of the problem. Six straight line segments were used to represent the loading stress-strain characteristics and four straight line segments were used to represent the unloading/reloading characteristics. The comparison (shown in fig. VII-3) of the piecewise-linear fit with the actual soil relation indicates that the piecewise-linear model does indeed accurately represent the "actual" soil stress-strain relation.

Fig. VII-4 shows the nonlinear locking model approximation to the soil stress-strain curve (this model was discussed in Chapter V) and figs. VII-5 and VII-6 show the linear hysteretic model and the nonlinear hysteretic model approximations, respectively (these models were discussed in Chapters III and VI). For ease of comparison, the actual soil stressstrain relation is also shown (as a dashed line) on each of these figs. Note that all of the approximations to the loading stress-strain relation pass in common through two points on the actual curve, the zero stress level point and the $700-$ psi stress level point.
C. Results of the computer runs

The results of the computer runs for the various models are presented as plots of the attenuation of peak vertical stress and particle velocity with depth in figs. VII-7 and VII-8. In addition, stress-time histories at various depths are shown in fig. VII-9 for the linear hysteretic model and in figs. VII-10 and VII-11 for the piecewise-linear model. Particle


Fig. VII-3. Piecewise-linear stress-strain fit for use with finite difference solution.


Fig. VII-4. Nonlinear locking model fit.


Fig. VII-5. Iinear hysteretic model fit.


Fig. VII-6. Nonlinear hysteretic model fit.
velocity-time histories at various depths are shown in figs. VII-12 and VII-13 for the piecewise-linear model.

## D. Discussion of results

The attenuations of peak vertical stress and particle velocity with depth (figs. VII-7 and VII-8, respectively) for the various models clearly indicate that the attenuation rates are related to the hysteretic energy loss potential (area under the stress-strain curve) as well as the details of the stress-strain curve associated with each model. The piecewise-linear model (fig. VII-3) provides the highest attenuation rate of peak vertical stress and particle velocity with depth. This is partly due to the fact that the plastic behavior included in this model at the lower stress levels causes a spreading and a slowing of the wave front (i.e., an increase in rise-time and a decrease in wave velocity) as the wave propagates down the soil column (see figs. VII-10 through VII-13), and partly because the piecewise-linear model incorporates a high hysteretic energy loss potential. The spreading and slowing of the front is unique to this model; in effect, these phenomena allow unloading waves to have more influence, i.e. cause more attenuation, at a given depth. The other models all produce shocks. A shock will develop if the entire loading stress-strain relation is of the form

$$
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \epsilon^{2}} \geq 0
$$

(which is the case for the nonlinear locking and the linear and nonlinear hysteretic models).

The nonlinear hysteretic model (fig. VII-6), having the least amount


Fig. VII-7. Attenuation of peak vertical stress with depth; various models.


Fig. VII-8. Attenuation of peak vertical particle velocity with depth; various models.
of hysteretic energy loss potential, results in the lowest attenuation rates. The linear hysteretic (fig. VII-5) and the nonlinear locking (fig. VII-4) models, having practically the same hysteretic energy loss potentials, result in similar attenuation rates of the peak stress and particle velocity.

## E. Other ground motion parameters

An interesting parameter associated with wave propagation (not explicitly studied herein), as far as the details of the stress-strain curve are concerned, is particle acceleration. For a shock-fronted overpressure pulse (fig. VII-2) the stress and particle velocity wave forms will retain a shock front if the loading stress-strain properties of the material are of the form $d^{2} \sigma / d \dot{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq 0$, as was discussed in Chapter II. This "jump" discontinuity at the wave front results in an infinite value of particle acceleration. Therefore, for the nonlinear locking, nonlinear hysteretic and linear hysteretic models, the peak acceleration will be infinite at all depths. However, the piecewise-linear model cannot sustain a purely shock-fronted particle velocity or stress wave form due to the inclusion of the plastic behavior of the loading stress-strain curve at the lower stress levels (see Chapter II). This is clearly demonstrated in figs. VII10 through VII-13 where the rise times of the stress and particle velocity wave forms can be seen to continuously increase with depth. This results in finite acceleration values and a large attenuation rate for peak acceleration. Unfortunately, accelerations obtained from finite difference solutions have only qualitative value (28); hence no computed accelerations are presented here.


Fig. VII-9. Typical stress-time histories for several depths; linear hysteretic model.
of hysteretic energy loss potential, results in the lowest attenuation rates. The linear hysteretic (fig. VII-5) and the nonlinear locking (fig. VII-4) models, having practically the same hysteretic energy loss potentials, result in similar attenuation rates of the peak stress and particle velocity.

## E. Other ground motion parameters

An interesting parameter associated with wave propagation (not explicitly studied herein), as far as the details of the stress-strain curve are concerned, is particle acceleration. For a shock-fronted overpressure pulse (fig. VII-2) the stress and particle velocity wave forms will retain a shock front if the loading stress-strain properties of the material are of the form $d^{2} \sigma / d \varepsilon^{2} \geq 0$, as was discussed in Chapter II. This "jump" discontinuity at the wave front results in an infinite value of particle acceleration. Therefore, for the nonlinear locking, nonlinear hysteretic and linear hysteretic models, the peak acceleration will be infinite at all depths. However, the piecewise-linear model cannot sustain a purely shock-fronted particle velocity or stress wave form due to the inclusion of the plastic behavior of the loading stress-strain curve at the lower stress levels (see Chapter II). This is clearly demonstrated in figs. VII10 through VII-13 where the rise times of the stress and particle velocity wave forms can be seen to continuously increase with depth. This results in finite acceleration vaiues and a large attenuation rate for peak acceleration. Unfortunately, accelerations obtained from finite difference solutions have only qualitative value (28); hence no computed accelerations are presented here.


Fig. VII-9. Typical stress-time histories for several depths; linear hysteretic model.


Fig. VII-10. Typical stress-time histories for several depths; piecewise-linear model.


Fig. VII-ll. Typical stress-time histories for several depths; piecewise-linear model.


Fig. VII-12. Typical particle velocity-time histories for several depths; piecewise-linear model.


Fig. VII-13. Typical particle velocity-time histories for several depths; piecewise-linear model.

Particle displacements are not discussed herein either because the computer codes presented in Chapters III, V and VI do not calculate displacements.
F. Conclusions

Figs. VII-7 and VII-8 clearly indicate that computed wave propagation results are sensitive to the stress-strain model chosen to represent actual laboratory data. However, the variations in results exhibited by the models considered for this study are not highly significant; in fact, the "scatter" of these attenuation curves is of the same order of magnitude one would expect to see in data retrieved from actual laboratory onedimensional wave propagation tests (29).
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